DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT

DRB Project I Winrock Cent	Number: 1002202 er	AGENDA ITEM NO: 11
SUBJECT: S	ketch Plat	
ENGINEERIN	IG COMMENTS:	
2. Provid	e additional information as to what is being changed. e an exhibit showing the existing conditions of the site. vays should be dedicated right of way or public roadway	easement.
	comments provided are based upon the information received from ion is submitted, additional comments may be provided by Transp	
FROM:	Racquel M. Michel, P.E. Transportation Development 505-924-3991 or rmichel@cabq.gov	DATE: April 11, 2018
ACTION:		
APPROVED_	_; DENIED; DEFERRED; COMMENTS PROVID	DED X; WITHDRAWN
	TO: (TRANS) (HYD) (WUA) (PI	

Revised: 4/10/18 Agenda Item #11

DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD

Code Enforcement Comments

AGENDA ITEM	NO:11
DRB Project Nu	mber: <u>1002202</u>
Application Nur	nber: <u>18DRB-70117</u>
Project Name: _	
Request: Sketo	h Plat
COMMENTS:	
No objection.	
(Comments ma	y continue onto the next page)
	omments provided are based upon the information received from the applicant/agent. If new or revised mitted, additional comments may be provided by Planning.
FROM:	Benjamin McIntosh, Code Enforcement Supervisor DATE: 4/11/2018 Planning Department
	924-3466 bmcintosh@cabq.gov
ACTION:	
APPROVED	.; DENIED; DEFERRED; COMMENTS PROVIDED; WITHDRAWN
DELEGATED:	(TRANS) (HYD) (WUA) (PRKS) (PLNG) (CE)

DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD

Code Enforcement Comments

Planning Department- DRB Sketch Plat Review and Comment

Project: #1012202 18DRB-70117

Meeting Date: April 11, 2018

Plat:

Line weights for existing parcels should be the same i.e. Tract A2, A1A1B, A1A2 and A3 boundary must have the same line weight as the boundary of A1A1A1A. Parcel A1A1A1A2 does not show up on AGIS as an existing parcel so it should not be labelled on this plat.

Access easements should be labelled public Access Easements. As shown now, Proposed Tract H is landlocked which is not allowed. Is there a public access easement from Louisiana into the site?

Are the proposed easements supposed to be R/W per TIDD?

Must add a signature block for Code Enforcement.

SPS:

If you want to develop under the existing rules, Uptown SDP, then all of the design standards must be listed on the SPS, not referencing the Uptown SDP since it goes away on May 17th. Might consider developing under the IDO which does not require a SPS. The design standards would be what are listed in the IDO. Have to select one or the other but cannot mix.

General: The parcel/tract numbers for the SPS and Plat should match.