Kym,

The purpose of this letter is to provide you with supplemental information in regards to our hearing on July 13, 2018. This information was previously supplied to the appropriate members of the DRB on or before May 19, 2018 despite their comments to the contrary on July 13, 2018. I've attached 6 copies of the above information plus 6 full size 6 reduced size copies of the "required DRB Grading and Drainage Plan" as follows:

- 1. Copy of letter to Kym Dicome in response to Planning Comments hearing 5.16.18, dated May 17, 2018 (20 pages).
- Infrastructure List including all requested changes as of May 16, 2018 meeting (3 pages).
- 3. Copy of email to Jon Ertsgaard dated May 19, 2018 (4 pages).
- 4. Copies of items given to Ms. Michel at hearing May 16, 2018, aka Exhibits A, B, C, D, E, F & G previously attached to your letter in item #1 above. As previously stated, we've requested a sidewalk variance on the southside of proposed Signal Village Ln. NE and a deviation from a 50' centerline radii (using a 42.5') to allow for a more buildable Lot #1. Curb, gutter and 6' sidewalk along Ventura are listed on page 2 of Infrastructure List. Notes 14 & 15 on plat identify access easements with all beneficiaries and maintenance responsibilities.
- 5. The original Grading & Drainage Plan was submitted to Hydrology on April 20, 2018, aka Exhibits H & I previously attached to your letter in item #1 above. Hydrology commented on the Plan on May 7, 2018 and May 16, 2018. A 58 page report dated July 10, 2018 was submitted to Hydrology hopefully addressing Hydrology's comments. Copy of reduced size Grading & Drainage Plan (2 pages). No comments from Hydrology have been received as of this date. My Engineers are on standby to turn around any comments received from Hydrology.

Let me know at your earliest convenience if I've fully addressed ABCWUA's and Transportations comments. Thanks for your cooperation in this matter.

**Bob Keeran** 505.249.1502

From: Robert Keeran < llavehomes@hotmail.com>

**Sent:** Saturday, July 14, 2018 12:04 PM

To: Dicome, Kym

**Subject:** Re: Project # 10111598, 18DRB-70137 & 70138 & 70139 & 70140

Kym,

As always thanks for your quick response, it is greatly appreciated. I'll see you Wednesday.

Bob Keeran 505.249.1502

From: Dicome, Kym < <a href="mailto:kdicome@cabq.gov">kdicome@cabq.gov</a>>
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 1:34:52 PM

To: Robert Keeran

Cc: Gomez, Angela J.; Biazar, Shahab

**Subject:** RE: Project # 10111598, 18DRB-70137 & 70138 & 70139 & 70140

Mr. Keeran;

Hydrology is not asking for a deferral. Only you can prior to the hearing. We will just keep you on the agenda. If, at the hearing, the DRB agrees that a deferral is warranted and the date is agreed to by both parties, there is no charge. If the applicant disagrees and asks for an earlier hearing date than the DRB agrees to, the applicant will be charged a deferral fee.

Let me know if you need anything else.

Kym E. Dicome

DRB Chair/Planner

Development Review Services/Planning Department

City of Albuquerque

505-924-3880

kdicome@cabq.gov

From: Robert Keeran [mailto:llavehomes@hotmail.com]

Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 12:20 PM

To: Dicome, Kym

**Subject:** Re: Project # 10111598, 18DRB-70137 & 70138 & 70139 & 70140

Kym,

If Hydrology needs a two week deferral, do I have to pay for the deferral or do I get a pass on the payment?

Bob

From: Dicome, Kym < <a href="mailto:kdicome@cabq.gov">kdicome@cabq.gov</a>>
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 11:05:17 AM

**To:** Robert Keeran **Cc:** Biazar, Shahab

**Subject:** RE: Project # 10111598, 18DRB-70137 & 70138 & 70139 & 70140

## Mr. Keeran;

I checked with Hydrology and reviews are currently taking about 2 weeks from the time they are submitted. This means you will not be approved on the 18<sup>th</sup>. Let me know what you would like to do. If there are additional comments from other members, I will forward them to you next Tuesday.

Kym E. Dicome

DRB Chair/Planner

Development Review Services/Planning Department

City of Albuquerque

505-924-3880

kdicome@cabq.gov

From: Robert Keeran [mailto:llavehomes@hotmail.com]

**Sent:** Thursday, July 12, 2018 4:31 PM

To: Dicome, Kym

**Subject:** Re: Project # 10111598, 18DRB-70137 & 70138 & 70139 & 70140

Kym,

My engineers who have been working with Mr. Hughes since before the last DRB hearing and based upon their conversations, communications, etc. since that time, turned in the report yesterday containing the information requested by Mr. Hughes, Shahab & AMAFCA. FYI AMAFCA received a copy of the report. The reason for my email was to let you know if Mr. Hughes needs extra time I don't have a problem with that and I've expressed that to my engineers. We need to get this right! I didn't want members of the committee spending their valuable time on this if we're not going to ready for another week or two.

Bob Keeran 505.249.1502

From: Dicome, Kym < <a href="mailto:kdicome@cabq.gov">kdicome@cabq.gov</a> Sent: Thursday, July 12, 2018 1:54:13 PM

**To:** Robert Keeran **Cc:** Biazar, Shahab

**Subject:** RE: Project # 10111598, 18DRB-70137 & 70138 & 70139 & 70140

Mr. Keeran;

The DRB members do not have to have their comments for the July 18<sup>th</sup> cases ready until Tuesday the 17<sup>th</sup> so I cannot answer questions 1 or 3. I will ask Doug about the second question but let me ask you to clarify, are you saying you made a submittal/resubmittal of a drainage plan and report (58 pages) yesterday?

Kym E. Dicome

DRB Chair/Planner

Development Review Services/Planning Department
City of Albuquerque
505-924-3880
kdicome@cabq.gov

From: Robert Keeran [mailto:llavehomes@hotmail.com]

Sent: Thursday, July 12, 2018 1:49 PM

To: Dicome, Kym

**Subject:** Project # 10111598, 18DRB-70137 & 70138 & 70139 & 70140

Kym,

In regards to the hearing next week on July 18, 2018 and in an attempt to save everybody time, I would like to know:

- 1. Is the proposed Infrastructure List acceptable to all the members of the committee?
- 2. Is the G&D acceptable to Mr. Hughes? He just received the 58 page package yesterday. FYI, he might need more time to review it requiring him to request a deferral. In the alternative, if he has reviewed it and its not acceptable to him, I might need to request a deferral if my engineers can't satisfy him prior to the hearing.
- 3. Is there anything else I need to provide to any member of the committee prior to the hearing that could delay approval?

Thanks for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bob Keeran 505.249.1502