# $A^{\rm City\,of}_{lbuquerque}$



# **DEVELOPMENT REVIEW APPLICATION**

Effective 4/17/19

Please check the appropriate box an	d refer to supp	lemental foi	rms for sub	mittal requirements. All fe	es must	be paid at the time of	application.	
Administrative Decisions	De	cisions Req	uiring a Pu	blic Meeting or Hearing	Policy	Policy Decisions		
□ Archaeological Certificate (Form P3)		Site Plan – E orm P1)	EPC includin	g any Variances – EPC		☐ Adoption or Amendment of Comprehensive Plan or Facility Plan ( <i>Form Z</i> )		
□ Historic Certificate of Appropriatenes (Form L)	s – Minor 🗌	□ Master Development Plan (Form P1)				□ Adoption or Amendment of Historic Designation ( <i>Form L</i> )		
□ Alternative Signage Plan (Form P3)		□ Historic Certificate of Appropriateness – Major (Form L)				□ Amendment of IDO Text (Form Z)		
Minor Amendment to Site Plan (Forn	n P3) 🛛	Demolition Outside of HPO (Form L)			🗆 Ann	□ Annexation of Land <i>(Form Z)</i>		
□ WTF Approval (Form W1)		□ Historic Design Standards and Guidelines (Form L)			□ Amendment to Zoning Map – EPC (Form Z)			
		□ Wireless Telecommunications Facility Waiver (Form W2)			□ Amendment to Zoning Map – Council <i>(Form Z)</i>			
					Appeals			
					A)	$\Box$ Decision by EPC, LC, ZHE, or City Staff <i>(Form A)</i>		
APPLICATION INFORMATION	<u>_</u>				-			
Applicant: Greystar					Ph	one: 512.485.3637		
Address: 2500 Bee Cave Road, Building III, Suite 500						Email: Seth.westerman@greystar.com		
<sup>City:</sup> Austin				State: Texas	Zip: 78746			
Professional/Agent (if any): Consensus Planning, Inc.					Phone: 505 764 9801			
Address: 302 8th Street NW					Email: Frank@consensusplanning.com			
City: Albuquerque				State: NM	<sup>Zip:</sup> 87102			
Proprietary Interest in Site:				List all owners: CRP-GREP OVERTURE ANDALUCIA OWNER LLC ATTN: THE CARLYLE GROUP				
BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST				-				
Minor amendment to the pric	or approved :	site plan fo	or the addi	tion of a refuse contair	ner and	two carports.		
SITE INFORMATION (Accuracy of the	e existing legal	description	is crucial!	Attach a separate sheet if	necessa	ry.)		
Lot or Tract No.: Lot 4-A Plat of T	ract 4-A			Block:	Block: Unit:			
Subdivision/Addition: North Andalu	cia at La Luz	2		MRGCD Map No.:		UPC Code: 101206207401731301		
Zone Atlas Page(s): E-12 Existing Zoning: PD			ning: PD	Proposed Zoning: PD				
# of Existing Lots: 1		# of Proposed Lots: 1				Total Area of Site (acres): 7.7		
LOCATION OF PROPERTY BY STREE	ETS				_			
Site Address/Street:5301 Antequera Road NW Between: Antequera		and and		<sup>d:</sup> Mirandela Street				
CASE HISTORY (List any current or p	prior project ar	d case num	nber(s) that	may be relevant to your re	quest.)			
PR-2020-003658, SI-202	20-00356							
Signature:					Date: 9/28/22			
Printed Name: James K. Strozier,	FAICP					Applicant or 🔽 Agent		
FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY								
Case Numbers	Action		Fees	Case Numbers	Action Fees			
Meeting/Hearing Date:				1	Fee	e Total:		
Staff Signature:				Date:	Pro	ject #		

### FORM P3: ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS AND MINOR AMENDMENTS

A single PDF file of the complete application including all plans and documents being submitted must be emailed to PLNDRS@cabg.gov prior to making a submittal. Zipped files or those over 9 MB cannot be delivered via email, in which case the PDF must be provided to City Staff using other on-line resources such as Dropbox or FTP.

#### $\mathbf{M}$ INFORMATION REQUIRED FOR ALL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS OR AMENDMENTS

 $\checkmark$  Letter of authorization from the property owner if application is submitted by an agent  $\sqrt{2}$  Zone Atlas map with the entire site clearly outlined and label

#### ARCHEOLOGICAL CERTIFICATE

- \_\_\_\_ Archaeological Compliance Documentation Form with property information section completed
- \_\_\_\_ Only the information above is required unless the City Archaeologist determines that the application does not qualify for a Certificate of No Effect, in which case a treatment plan prepared by a gualified archaeologist that adequately mitigates any archeological impacts of the proposed development must be submitted and reviewed for a Certificate of Approval per the criteria in IDO Section 14-16-6-5(A)(3)(b)

#### MINOR AMENDMENT TO SITE PLAN - ADMIN, EPC, or DRB

- $\checkmark$  Justification letter describing, explaining, and justifying the request per the criteria in IDO Section 14-16-6-4(Y)(2)  $\checkmark$  The approved Site Plan being amended
- $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  Copy of the Official Notice of Decision associated with the prior approval
- The proposed Site Plan, with changes circled and noted
  - Refer to the Site Plan Checklist for information needed on the proposed Site Plan.

\_ Completed Site & Building Design Considerations Form in accordance with IDO Section 5-2(D) SBDC Form is no longer in use. Section 5-2(D) is archaeological considerations. The site has already been cleared for archaeological relevancy.

Minor Amendments must be within the thresholds established in IDO TABLE 6-4-4. Any amendment beyond these thresholds is considered a Major Amendment and must be processed through the original decision-making body for the request.

#### MINOR AMENDMENT TO SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN APPROVED PRIOR TO THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE IDO

\_\_\_\_ Justification letter describing, explaining, and justifying the request per the criteria in IDO Section 14-16-6-4(Z)(1)(a)

- \_\_\_\_ The approved Site Development Plan being amended
- \_\_\_ Copy of the Official Notice of Decision associated with the prior approval
- \_ The proposed Site Development Plan, with changes circled and noted
- Refer to the Site Plan Checklist for information needed on the proposed Site Plan.
- \_ Completed Site & Building Design Considerations Form in accordance with IDO Section 5-2(D) for new commercial and multifamily development except if the development is industrial or the multifamily is less than 25 units

#### Minor Amendments must be within the thresholds established in IDO TABLE 6-4-4. Any amendment beyond these thresholds is considered a Major Amendment and must be processed through the original decision-making body for the request.

#### ACCELERATED EXPIRATION SITE PLAN

- Justification letter describing, explaining, and justifying the request per the criteria in IDO Section 14-16-6-4(X)(2)(c)
- Site Plan to be Expired

#### ❑ ALTERNATIVE SIGNAGE PLAN

- Proposed Alternative Signage Plan compliant with IDO Section 14-16-5-12(F)(5)
- Justification letter describing, explaining, and justifying the request per the criteria in IDO Section 14-16-6-5(C)(3)(b)
- Required notices with content per IDO Section 14-16-6-4(K)
- Office of Neighborhood Coordination notice inquiry response and proof of emailed notice to affected Neighborhood Association representatives
- Sign Posting Agreement

#### ALTERNATIVE LANDSCAPE PLAN

Justification letter describing, explaining, and justifying the request per the criteria in IDO Section 14-16-5-6(C)(16)

Landscape Plan

October 4, 2022

Mr. Jay Rodenbeck, Planning Manager City of Albuquerque, Development Review Services 600 Second Street NW Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

RE: Andalucía Senior Housing Site Plan and Related Applications

Dear Mr. Rodenbeck:

The purpose of this letter is to authorize Consensus Planning, Inc. to act as our agent for approval of a Minor Amendment to an approved Site Plan – EPC for a multi-family development on the below referenced property.

Legal Description: Tract 4, North Andalucía at La Luz, containing 7.7061 acres

Greystar is the owner of the property.

Sincerely,

Seth A. Westerman

Seth A. Westerman, Associate, Development Greystar





October 3, 2022

Mr. James M. Aranda, Deputy Director/ZEO Albuquerque Planning Department 600 North 2<sup>nd</sup> Street NW Albuquerque, NM 87102 <u>jmaranda@cabq.gov</u>

#### Dear Mr. Aranda:

Landscape Architecture Urban Design Planning Services

302 Eighth St. NW Albuquerque, NM 87102

(505) 764-9801 Fax 842-5495 cp@consensusplanning.com www.consensusplanning.com The purpose of this letter is to request approval of a Minor Amendment to the Overture Andalucia Site Plan – DRB (PR-2020-003658) to allow for an additional residential refuse container (for recycling only) and two additional carports. The subject site is under construction and located at 5301 Antequera Road NW and is legally described as *Lot 4-A Plat of Tract 4-A North Andalucia at La Luz* and is approximately 8 acres in size.

The attached amended site plan shows the new refuse container's location and the location of the proposed carports. The additional refuse container and carports will be developed consistent with the approved details. This amendment meets the criteria to be considered a minor amendment under Section 14-16-6-4 (Y)(2)(a) of the IDO based on the following:

#### 6-4(Y)(2) Minor Amendments

6-4(Y)(2)(a) A minor amendment must meet all of the following criteria:

1. The amendment is necessary because of site conditions or user requirements that were not known, and could not reasonably have been known, at the time the City approved the approval that is proposed to be amended, and that were not created by the actions of the owner of the property.

**Applicant Response:** The amendment to the existing site plan is being proposed to better serve the residents of the proposed senior community. It was not known to the client at the time that they initially designed the original site plan that an additional refuse container for recycling and two covered carports would be necessary to ensure the maximum quality of life of potential residents. Given the age of residents, as it is a senior living apartment complex, providing an additional refuse container near the northeast corner of the resident's garage and storage area will help to increase access for residents thereby minimizing the distances residents will need to travel to utilize the refuse containers. The existing refuse container which was approved with the original site plan is on the southwest side of the resident's garage and storage and storage area. The addition of the second container ensures residents with garages on both the eastern and western sides of the structure will have equitable access to a refuse area.

#### PRINCIPALS

James K. Strozier, FAICP Christopher J. Green, PLA, ASLA, LEED AP Jacqueline Fishman, AICP The approved site plan only features two carport areas which are abutting the resident's garage and storage area. The two proposed carports will increase residents' access to covered parking and help to ensure it is equitably distributed throughout the parking area. At the time of creating the original site plan, the client did not know there would be an increased need for carport parking. The additional carport areas will help to provide an increase in all-weather parking areas for residents and will improve the longevity of residents' cars by protecting them from the elements. The location of the



additional carports, on the southern property line, will not create any visual impacts since the adjacent property is at a higher grade.

2. The amendment does not increase or decrease the dimension of any standard beyond the thresholds allowed as minor amendments pursuant to Table 6-4-4 (cumulative of any earlier deviations or amendments) and does not affect density, parking, or drive aisles.

**Applicant Response:** This amendment does not exceed any standard beyond the thresholds allowed as minor amendments pursuant to Table 6-4-4.

3. The amendment does not decrease the total amount of open space in the development and does not reduce the size of any open space abutting a lot containing a residential use.

**Applicant Response:** The original approved site plan features 109,888 square feet of usable open space area. The required amount of usable open space per the IDO is 36,950 square feet. The proposed refuse container will encroach slightly on a landscaped area of the 35-foot buffer near Coors Boulevard NW however this will not affect the required amount of usable open space as there is a significant surplus provided in the site plan. Additionally, the carport area will not affect the usable open space rather it will cover already designated parking spaces.

4. The amendment does not reduce any building setback adjacent to development containing residential uses.

**Applicant Response:** This amendment does not reduce any building setback adjacent to development containing residential uses by any amount.

5. The amendment does not increase the maximum number of residential dwelling units in the development from that shown in the existing permit, approval, or plan. If the property is located in a DT-UC-MS-PT area, the amendment does not decrease the required number of residential dwelling units in the development from that shown in the existing permit, approval, or plan.

**Applicant Response:** This amendment does not increase the maximum number of dwelling units in the approved site plan.

6. The amendment does not adjust a building design standard unless doing so improves the perception of building quality, variety, durability, and articulation when viewed from adjacent streets and abutting properties.

#### Applicant Response: This amendment does not adjust a building design standard.

7. The amendment does not reduce the amount of total landscaping installed on the subject property or the amount of screening or buffering required on portions of the site abutting any property containing residential dwelling units and does not waive or weaken any other landscaping or buffering requirement unless the ZEO determines that alternative building design elements included in the amendment improve the



visual quality and screening and buffering effect of landscaping as viewed from adjacent streets and public areas.

**Applicant Response:** The approved site plan features a proposed landscape area coverage of 86,487 square feet. The required landscape area is 15% of the net area or 33,331 square feet. The placement of the proposed refuse container will encroach slightly on the landscaped area of the 35-foot buffer near Coors Boulevard but will not adversely impact the total amount of landscaped area. The site plan with the proposed amendment will still be in compliance with the IDO section 5-6(C)(2). The addition of the additional refuse will not be visible from Coors Boulevard due to the significant grade change.

8. The amendment does not increase the traffic accessing the subject property from local streets and does not increase or decrease the number of through streets, sidewalks, trails, or trail connections passing through the property or connecting to or designed to connect to abutting properties.

**Applicant Response:** The requested amendment is for an additional refuse container and two covered carports for residential use and will not affect or increase traffic patterns for the subject property. There will be no increase or decrease in the number of streets, sidewalks, or trails.

9. The amendment does not require major public infrastructure or significant changes to access or circulation patterns on the subject property.

**Applicant Response:** The amendment does not require major public infrastructure or any changes to access or circulation patterns. The project is under construction.

10. The amendment does not change a specific condition attached by a decision-making body listed in Table 6-1-1 to a prior development permit, approval, or plan for or including the subject property. For example, a specific condition attached to a prior approval requiring additional buffering to mitigate development impacts shall not be removed through a minor amendment process.

**Applicant Response:** This amendment does not change any conditions attached by the previous decision-making body (the DRB) to the existing Site Plan – DRB.

11. The amendment does not affect a property in an Overlay zone as regulated per 0, in which case amendments may be granted per the original approval process for the Site Plan governing the site.

**Applicant Response:** The property is located in the Coors Boulevard Overlay Zone (CPO-2). The proposed amendment to the site plan to allow for an additional refuse container (recycling only) and two carports will not affect any design or development standards relating to the CPO-2.

12. The amendment does not approve any land use that was not authorized by the permit or approval or that is not allowed by right on the subject property.

Applicant Response: This amendment does not change the land use for this property.



13. The amendment does not expand a nonconformity as regulated per Section 14-16-6-8 (Nonconformities).

**Applicant Response:** The subject property is under construction following the approved Site Plan – EPC, and no nonconformities exist on the site.

Based on the IDO Criteria and responses provided above, we respectfully request approval of the attached Minor Amendment as provided for in the IDO.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions or require any additional information.

Singerely, James K. Strozier, FAICP Principal

PLANNING DEPARTMENT DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DIVISION 600 2nd Street NW, Ground Floor, 87102 P.O. Box 1293, Albuquerque, NM 87103 Office (505) 924-3946

# **OFFICIAL NOTIFICATION OF DECISION**

Greystar 1717 West 6<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 262 Austin, TX 78703 Project# PR-2020-003658 Application# SI-2020-01052 EPC SITE PLAN FINAL SIGN-OFF

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

All or a portion of **TRACT 4, NORTH ANDALUCIA AT LA LUZ,** zoned PD, located at **5301 ANTEQUERA RD NW between BOSQUE SCHOOL RD and MIRANDELA ST,** containing approximately 7.7061 acre(s). (E-12)

On October 28, 2020, the Development Review Board (DRB) held a public meeting concerning the above referenced application and approved the request, with delegation to Transportation and Planning, based on the following Findings:

- 1. The EPC approved this project on September 10, 2020.
- 2. The Site Plan meets the EPC conditions.
- 3. The request proposal includes 55 senior independent living, multi-family apartment dwellings (age-restricted 55+).
- 4. The proper notice was given as required by the IDO in Table 6-1-1.
- 5. <u>Pursuant to 6-6(H)(3) Review and Decision Criteria An application for a Site Plan EPC</u> <u>shall be approved if it meets all of the following criteria:</u>
  - a. <u>6-6(H)(3)(a)</u> The Site Plan is consistent with the ABC Comp Plan as amended.

The Site Plan is consistent with the ABC Comp Plan as amended.

b. <u>6-6(H)(3)(b)</u> The Site Plan is consistent with any applicable terms and conditions in any previously approved NR-SU or PD zoning covering the property and any related development agreements and/or regulations.

The site is zoned PD. The Site Plan is consistent with any applicable terms and conditions in any previously approved PD zoning covering the property and any related development agreements and/or regulations.

c. <u>6-6(H)(3)(c)</u> The Site Plan complies with all applicable provisions of this IDO, the DPM, other adopted City regulations, and any terms and conditions specifically applied to development of the property in a prior permit or approval affecting the property.

The features and improvements depicted on the Site Plan meet the IDO requirements including parking, landscaping, design and building height. The landscaping plan shows 33,331 square feet of landscaping required and 86,487 square feet provided. The project required 214 parking spaces and has provided 234 spaces, including 6 compact parking spaces and 6 electric vehicle parking spaces. The maximum permitted building heights were determined based on a view analysis and building heights shown on the site plan comply with the Coors Boulevard VPO-1 view protection requirements.

d. <u>6-6(H)(3)(d)</u> The City's existing infrastructure and public improvements, including but not limited to its street, trail, drainage, and sidewalk systems, have adequate capacity to serve the proposed development, and any burdens on those systems have been mitigated to the extent practicable.

The site has access to a full range of urban services including utilities, roads and emergency services. A Traffic Impact Study was not required and the site has an approved grading and drainage plan.

e. <u>6-6(H)(3)(e)</u> The application mitigates any significant adverse impacts on the surrounding area to the maximum extent possible.

The project provides adequate landscaping and screening around the periphery of the site. Street trees along Coors Boulevard, Mirandela Road, and Antequera Road will help to screen the project.

## **Conditions:**

1. Final sign-off is delegated to Transportation for a note on the Site Plan for a landing at the top of the perpendicular ramps.

- 2. Final sign-off is delegated to Planning for the Solid Waste signature and for signed stamps/seals on the Site Plan sheets.
- 3. The applicant will obtain final sign off by December 9, 2020 or the case may be scheduled for the next DRB hearing and could be denied per the DRB Rules of Procedure.

<u>APPEAL</u>: If you wish to appeal this decision, you must do so within 15 days of the DRB's decision or by **NOVEMBER 12, 2020.** The date of the DRB's decision is not included in the 15-day period for filing an appeal, and if the 15<sup>th</sup> day falls on a Saturday, Sunday or Holiday, the next working day is considered as the deadline for filing the appeal.

For more information regarding the appeal process, please refer to Section 14-16-6-4(U) of the Integrated Development Ordinance (IDO). Appeals should be submitted via email to <u>PLNDRS@CABQ.GOV</u> (if files are less than 9MB in size). Files larger than 9MB can be sent to <u>PLNDRS@CABQ.GOV</u> using <u>https://wetransfer.com</u>. A Non-Refundable filing fee will be calculated and you will receive instructions about paying the fee online.

You will receive notification if any person files an appeal. If there is no appeal, you can receive Building Permits at any time after the appeal deadline quoted above, provided all conditions imposed at the time of approval have been met. Applicants submitting for building permit prior to the completion of the appeal period do so at their own risk. Successful applicants are reminded that there may be other City regulations of the IDO that must be complied with, even after approval of the referenced application(s).

Sincerely,

Jolene Wolfley

DRB Chair

JW/jr

Consensus Planning, Inc, 302 8<sup>th</sup> Street NW, ABQ, NM 87102

ADMINISTRAT	<b>FIVE AMENDMENT</b>					
FILE #	PROJECT #					
·····						
······································						
APPROVED B	DATE					

Modification of building elevations for cottage duplex units (removal of stone veneer from exterior wall base);

Minor modifications to windows and awnings on the primary building (to accommodate roof drainage requirements with downspouts)

- APPROVAL OF THIS PLAN DOES NOT CONSTITUTE OR IMPLY EXEMPTION FROM WATER WASTE PROVISIONS OF THE WATER CONSERVATION LANDSCAPING AND WATER WASTE ORDINANCE. WATER WASTE
- PROPERTY LINES OF THIS SITE. ALL GROUND MOUNTED EQUIPMENT SHALL BE SCREENED PER THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE INTEGRATED
- SITE PLAN FOR SUBDIVISION DESIGN GUIDELINES AND SHALL BE
- NIGHT SKY COMPLIANCE.
- . LANDSCAPING, FENCING AND SIGNING WILL NOT INTERFERE WITH CLEAR SIGHT REQUIREMENTS. THEREFORE, SIGNS, WALLS, TREES, AND SHRUBBERY BETWEEN AND 8 FEET TALL (AS MEASURED FROM THE GUTTER PAN) WILL NOT BE ACCEPTABLE IN THE CLEAR SITE TRIANGLE.
- 6. ALL IMPROVEMENTS LOCATED IN THE RIGHT OF WAY MUST BE INCLUDED IN A PUBLIC WORK ORDER.
- 7. A MINOR REPLAT WILL BE REQUIRED TO ENSURE THE RIGHT-OF-WAY AT THE EGG-A-BOUT.
- THIS SITE. WHERE THIS SITE PLAN IS SILENT, PROVISIONS OF THE IDO APPLY.

(AGE-RESTRICTED 55+)

SUBDIVISION)

PARKING FACILITIES: PARKING REQUIRED: 214 SPACES (PER AMENDED SITE



120	(1)	STAMPED CONCRETE PAVERS	
	12	CROSSWALK; STRIPING OR DECORATIVE PAVERS	
	(13)	RESIDENT MOVE-IN PARKING AREA	
	14	RETAINING WALL (SEE GRADING & DRAINAGE PLANS)	
	15	PONDING AREA (SEE GRADING & DRAINAGE PLANS)	
	16	DOG PARK	
	17	POOL / COURTYARD (POOL BY SEPARATE PERMIT)	
	18	6' HEIGHT STEEL PICKET FENCE	
	(19)	BIKE RACK (SEE CG-501)	
	20	LED POLE AREA FIXTURE (SEE ELECTRICAL SITE PLAN)	)
	21	9'-0" X 16'-0" COMPACT PARKING SPACE (SEE CG-5	501)
	22	6'-0" PUBLIC SIDEWALK	
	23	ELECTRICAL VEHICLE PARKING SPACE – $\textcircled{E}$	
	240	ADA COMPLIANT RAMP AND RAMP CONDITION TYPE (S	EE
	25	6" HIGH CONCRETE MEDIAN CURB AND GUTTER. TYPIC	AL.
	26	TYPICAL CURB RADII AT ALL PARKING = 3' UNLESS N	OTE
	(D)	8'-0" PUBLIC SIDEWALK (NMDOT)	
	(28	CORTEN STEEL SHEET PILE RETAINING WALL	
7		MBER: PR-2020-003658 Number: SI-2020-00356	
	of approved	icture List required?()Yes()No If yes, then a set DRC plans with a work order is required for any constructi Right-of-Way or for construction of public improvements	
	DRB SITE DEV	ELOPMENT PLAN APPROVAL:	
	Traffic Engin	eering, Transportation Division	
	ABCWUA		г
	ABEWON		
	Parks and Re	creation Department	[
	City Enginee	r/Hydrology	[
1	Code Enforce	ement	C
	* Environme	ntal Health Department (conditional)	[
	Solid Waste	Management	[
	DRB Chairp	erson, Planning Department	