**Development Review Board (DRB)**

**Review Comments**

**Utility Development Section**

**Reviewer: Kristopher Cadena, P.E.**

**Phone: 505.289.3301**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **DRB Project No:** **PR-2018-001470** | **Date:** **01/22/20** | **Item No:****#3** |
| **Zone Atlas Page:****H-13** | **Legal Description: Lot(s)** TRACT 84E, MRGCD MAP 35**Location:** 2500 12TH STREET between MENAUL BLVD NW and INDIAN SCHOOL RD NW |
| **Request For:** **SI-2019-00421 –** SITE PLAN |
|  |

**ABCWUA Comment:**

**Please provide written description of how the following comments were addressed with the next submittal.**

1. No submittal was provided so the previous comments still apply as follows:
2. Availability statement #191113 has been issued and provides the conditions for service.
3. Will the separate developments be on separate lots?
	1. If not, please provide an alternative utility plan that minimizes public waterline on private property. Perhaps proposed water services for the proposed and future buildings can connect to the existing 12” waterline along the east side of the property. Additionally, private fire hydrants and fire lines can be provided via multiple fire lines from the same 12” waterline.
4. The demolition plan shall include the removal of waterline that will bisect proposed Building F from the east side of 12th St. to the east side of proposed Building F.
5. Based on the availability statement, the proposed waterline loop located north of Building F which loops into the existing 8” waterline along the east side of 12th St. is not desirable and may not be required.
6. The fire protection requirements may be satisfied by the proposed waterline loop via an extension from the existing 8” waterline (east/west alignment) which extends north along the east side of Building F and Building G, ultimately extending east, to the existing 12” waterline (north/south alignment). As previously stated, minimizing the public infrastructure on private property is the intent.
7. The removal of the public waterline shall be on an infrastructure list. If the availability statements does warrant onsite public waterline, this too shall be included on the infrastructure list.
8. The proposed trash enclosure above the existing waterline is not acceptable.