## CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE



April 15, 2009

Martin J. Chávez, Mayor

Smith Engineering Mr. Pat Conley, P.E. 2201 San Pedro, N.E. Building 4, Suite 200 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87110

## RE: City Wide On Call Engineering Services for Hydrology (Bell-Commercial Pump Station & Force Main Feasibility Study - NTP #5)

Dear Mr. Conley:

PO Box 1293

In accordance with your agreement (A/E Job No. 7513-01) dated November 20, 2008, your firm is hereby issued authorization to perform services as described in paragraph A.1 of Exhibit I of your agreement.

Albuquerque

The specific services falling under this authorization are the scope of services as specified under your letter dated March 18, 2009.

NM 87103

This authorization is for twenty-five thousand and one hundred six dollars and thirty-three cents (\$25,106.33) excluding NMGRT.

Sincerely,

www.cabq.gov

John H. Kolessar, P.E. Acting Deputy Director Department of Municipal Development

c: John Curtin, Project Manager Dan Dunne, Program Coordinator

Activity #7359030

C\_751301NTP5(\$25106 33)SEC.DOC



Smith Engineering Company

A Full Service Engineering Company

March 18, 2009

Mr. John Curtin, PE City of Albuquerque DMD Hydrology PO Box 1293 Albuquerque, NM 87103

## Re: Hydrology On-Call Engineering Services, 109104 E Bell and Commercial Pump Station and Force Main Feasibility Study, Rev. 1

Dear Mr. Curtin:

Smith Engineering Company (SEC) is submitting this proposal to provide engineering services for the referenced project. Our understanding of the scope of work is as follows:

- Meet with COA Hydrology Division as required during the design.
- Request and obtain as-builts from the COA.
- Request private utility drawings.
- Obtain City/County mapping for the report.
- Meet with and coordinate with private utilities regarding conflicts.
- · Coordinate with the NMDOT and the railroad regarding crossing the existing rail yard.
- Prepare sketches of the proposed improvement This effort will include a conceptual plan-andprofile sheet for the project showing known conflicts and vertical and horizontal alignment.
- Prepare a conceptual cost estimate.
- Pick up review comments from the COA.

SEC will deliver a letter report, sketches, and conceptual cost estimate for the project to the COA hydrology as the deliverable. This work will be completed on a time-and-materials basis not to exceed twenty-five thousand, one hundred six and 33/100 dollars (\$ 25,106.33) not including applicable taxes. We have attached a spreadsheet of our estimated hours and costs for completing the study. If you have any questions, please call us at 884-0700.

Sincerely Smith Engineering Company Patrick J. Comley, PE

Project Manager

cc: File

2201 San Pedro Drive, NE Building 4, Suite 200 Albuquerque, NM 87110 PatC@secnm.com Telephone 505/884-0700 Fax 505/884-2376

Rev. 20060824 Q:\BD\2009 Proposals\Albuqueruqe\COA Hydrology On-Call\Bell and Commercial Pump Station and Force Main\bell commercial pump station feasibility study rev 1 031809.doc

Date:

## 3/18/2009 Rev. 1 Bell and Commercial pump Stationa and Force Main Feasibility Study Project

SEC #: 109104 E

Page 1 of 1

| Discipline:<br>Labor Category:                          | Principal_     | Eng IV      | Eng III             | Eng II         | Engl       | Eng Assoc III | Eng Assoc II    | Eng Assoc I   | CAD Tech II | Admin Asst       |
|---|----------------|-------------|---------------------|----------------|------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|
| Hourly Rate   | \$63.00        | \$57.23     | \$48.82             | \$43.77        | \$40.40    | \$38.27       | \$33.67         | \$30.30       | \$26.93     | \$21.88          |
|   |                |             |                     | ļ              | <u> </u>   |               |                 | ļ             | <u></u>     | ··               |
| PROJECT MANAGEMENT                                      |                |             |                     | ·····-         | <u> </u>   | <u> </u>      |                 | <u> </u>      | +           |                  |
| 1 Scoping   | 3              | <u> </u>    |                     |                |            | <u> </u>      |                 | <u> </u>      | <u>↓</u>    | 6                |
| 2 Project Management                                    |                | 6           |                     |                | <u>+</u>   |               |                 | <u>├</u> ──── | +           |                  |
|   |                |             |                     |                | 0          | 0             | 0               | 0             | 0           | 6                |
| Hours by Labor Category:                                | 3              | 6           | 0                   | 0              | \$0.00     | \$0.00        | \$0.00          | \$0.00        | \$0.00      | \$131.28         |
| Unburdened Cost by Labor Category:                      | \$189.00       | \$343.38    | \$0.00              | \$0.00         | \$0.00     | \$0.00        | \$0.00          | \$0.00        | \$0.00      | \$389.90         |
| Burdened Cost (times 2.97 multiplier) by Labor Category | \$561.33       | \$1,019.84  | \$0.00              | \$0.00         | \$0.00     | \$0.00        | \$0.00          | \$0.00        | \$0.00      | \$309.90         |
| Burdened Cost for Phase of Work                         | \$1,971.07     |             |                     | ł              | +          |               |                 | <u> </u>      |             | <u> </u> -       |
| FEASIBILITY STUDY                                       |                |             |                     | <u>├</u>       |            | <u>_</u>      |                 | +             |             |                  |
| 1 Meet wiht COA hydrology                               | 2              | 12          |                     | f              | <u> </u>   |               |                 |               | 1           |                  |
| 2 Request and get as-builts from COA                    | - <u>+</u>     | 2           |                     | t              | 1          | T             | <u> </u>        | 6             |             |                  |
| 3 Request utility drawings from private companies       |                | 2           |                     | t              | <u> </u> - | 1             |                 | 4             | 1           |                  |
| 4 Obtain City/County mapping                            | _ <del> </del> | 1           |                     | t              |            | 1             |                 | 1             |             |                  |
| 5 Coordinate with private utilities                     |                | 8           |                     | ł              |            | 1             |                 | 12            |             | 1                |
| 6 Coordinate with NMDOT for rail yards                  |                | 8           |                     | t              |            |               |                 |               |             |                  |
| 7 Coordinate with railroad for rail yards               |                | 8           | · · · · · · · · · · | †              |            | 1             |                 | 1             |             |                  |
| 8 Size pump station incl. potential power requirements  |                | 8           |                     | <u>├──</u> ~── | 8          | 12            |                 |               |             |                  |
| 9 Prepare sketches of option using COA mapping          |                | 16          |                     |                |            | 1             |                 | 32            |             |                  |
| 10 Prepare cost estimate                                |                | 6           |                     | <u> </u>       | 8          |               |                 | 12            | T           |                  |
| 11 Pick up COA comments                                 | -†             | 6           |                     | <u> </u>       |            | <u> </u>      |                 | 4             | 1           |                  |
|   |                |             |                     |                |            |               |                 |               |             |                  |
| Hours by Labor Category:                                | 2.0            | 77.0        | 0.0                 | 0.0            | 16.0       | 12.0          | 0.0             | 71.0          | 0,0         | 0.0              |
| Unburdened Cost by Labor Category:                      | \$126.00       | \$4,406.71  | \$0.00              | \$0.00         | \$646.40   | \$459.24      | \$0.00          | \$2,151.30    | \$0.00      | \$0.00           |
| Burdened Cost (times 2.97 multiplier) by Labor Category | \$374.22       | \$13,087.93 | \$0.00              | \$0.00         | \$1,919.81 | \$1,363.94    | \$0.00          | \$6,389.36    | \$0.00      | \$0.00           |
| Burdened Cost for Phase of Work                         | \$23,135.26    |             |                     | <u> </u>       | +          | <u> </u>      | ļ               | <u> </u>      |             |                  |
|   |                |             |                     |                | <u> </u>   |               |                 |               |             |                  |
| 1   |                |             |                     |                |            |               |                 | <u> </u>      | ļ           | <u> </u>         |
| Hours by Labor Category:                                | 0.0            | 0.0         | 0.0                 | 0.0            | 0.0        | 0.0           | 0.0             | 0.0           | 0.0         | 0.0              |
| Unburdened Cost by Labor Category:                      | \$0.00         | \$0.00      | \$0.00              | \$0.00         | \$0.00     | \$0.00        | \$0.00          | \$0.00        | \$0.00      | \$0.00           |
| Burdened Cost (times 2.97 multiplier) by Labor Category | \$0.00         | \$0.00      | \$0.00              | \$0.00         | \$0.00     | \$0.00        | \$0.00          | \$0.00        | \$0.00      | \$0.00           |
| Burdened Cost for Phase of Work                         | \$0.00         |             |                     | ļ              | +          | <u> </u>      | <u> </u>        | <u> </u>      |             | <u> </u>         |
|   |                |             |                     | ÷              |            |               | <u>├</u> ────── |               |             |                  |
| 1   |                |             |                     |                |            |               |                 |               |             |                  |
| 2   |                |             |                     |                |            | <u> </u>      | <u> </u>        | <u> </u>      | +           | 0                |
| Hours by Labor Category:                                | 0              | 0           | 0                   | 0              | 0          | 0             | 0               | 0             | 0           |                  |
| Unburdened Cost by Labor Category:                      | \$0.00         | \$0.00      | \$0.00              | \$0.00         | \$0.00     | \$0.00        | \$0.00          | \$0.00        | \$0.00      | \$0.00<br>\$0.00 |
| Burdened Cost (times 2.97 multiplier) by Labor Category | \$0.00         | \$0.00      | \$0.00              | \$0.00         | \$0.00     | \$0.00        | \$0.00          | \$0.00        | \$0.00      |                  |
| Burdened Cost for Phase of Work                         | \$0.00         | <u></u>     |                     |                | -{         | <u> </u>      | <u> </u>        | +             | +           | <u> </u>         |
| Total Burdened Cost by Labor Category                   | \$935.6        | \$14,107.8  | \$0.0               | \$0.0          | \$1,919.8  | \$1,363.9     | \$0.0           | \$6,389.4     | \$0.0       | \$389.9          |
|   |                | <u> </u>    | <u> </u>            | ┼────          |            | <u> </u>      | <u> </u>        | +             |             |                  |
| Subconsultants  |                | +           | <u> </u>            | 1              | 1          |               |                 |               |             |                  |
| 2   |                |             |                     |                |            |               |                 |               |             |                  |
| 3   |                | <u> </u>    |                     | <u> </u>       |            | +             | ļ               | <u> </u>      | +           |                  |
| TOTAL SUB-CONSULTANTS COST:                             | \$0.0          | L           | Ĺ                   | L              |            | <u></u>       | 1               | L             |             | <u> </u>         |

| RE-CAP                          |             |
|---------------------------------|-------------|
| PROJECT MANAGEMENT              | \$1,971.07  |
| FEASIBILITY STUDY               | \$23,135.26 |
| TITLE II                        | \$0.00      |
|                                 | \$0.00      |
| Sub-total for SEC               | \$25,106.33 |
| Subconsultants                  | \$0.00      |
| TOTAL INCLUDING SUB-CONSULTANTS | \$25,106.33 |