City of Albuquerque Planning Department Development & Building Services Division DRAINAGE AND TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION SHEET (REV 02/2013)

Project Title: ESTATES AT GLENDALE UNIT 2	Building Permit #:	City Drainage #:
DRB#: EPC#:		Work Order#:
Legal Description: TRACTS A&B THE ESTATES AT GLENDALE		
City Address: GLENDALE BETWEEN WYOMING AND BARSTWO		
Engineering Firm: BIO GRANDE ENGINEERING		Contact: DAVID SOULE
Address: PO BOX 93924 AI BUOUERQUE NM 87199		
Phone#: 505.321.9099 Fax#: 505.	872.0999	E-mail: DAVID@RIOGRANDEENGINEERING.COM
Owner: RANDY SCHMILLE		Contact:
Address:	<u> </u>	
Phone#: Fax#:		E-mail:
Architect: NA		Contact:
Address:	·····	
Phone#: Fax#:		E-mail:
Surveyor: CONSTRUCTION SURVEY TECHNOLOGIES		Contact: JOHN GALLEGOS
Address:		E maile
rione#: <u>917.6921</u> rax#:		E-mail:
Contractor:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Contact:
Address:		
Phone#: Fax#:		E-mail:
TYPE OF SUBMITTAL:	CHECK TYPE OF APPROV	AL/ACCEPTANCE SOUGHT:
× DRAINAGE REPORT	SIA/FINANCIAL GUARAN	TEE RELEASE
DRAINAGE PLAN 1st SUBMITTAL	× PRELIMINARY PLAT APPI	ROVAL
× DRAINAGE PLAN RESUBMITTAL	× S. DEV. PLAN FOR SUB'D	APPROVAL
CONCEPTUAL G & D PLAN	S, DEV. FOR BLDG. PERM	IT APPROVAL
X GRADING PLAN	SECTOR PLAN APPROVAI	L
EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN (ESC)	FINAL PLAT APPROVAL	
ENGINEER'S CERT (HYDROLOGY)	CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPA	ANCY (PERM)
CLOMR/LOMR	CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPA	ANCY (ICL IEMP)
ENCINEER'S CERT (TCL)	FOUNDATION PERMIT APPR	PROVAL
ENGINEER'S CERT (ICL) ENGINEER'S CERT (DDB SITE DI AND	BUILDING PERMIT APPRO	
ENGINEER'S CERT (DRD SHE FLAN)	PAVING PERMIT APPROV	AL FSC PERMIT APPROVAL
SO-19		ESC CERT ACCEPTANCE
OTHER (SPECIFY)	GRADING CERTIFICATION	N OTHER (SPECIFY)
WAS A PRE-DESIGN CONFERENCE ATTENDED:	Yes X No Co	opy Provided
DATE SUBMITTED: 10/7/14	By:	

Requests for approvals of Site Development Plans and/or Subdivision Plats shall be accompanied by a drainage submittal. The particular nature, location, and scope to the proposed development defines the degree of drainage detail. One or more of the following levels of submittal may be required based on the following

1. Conceptual Grading and Drainage Plan: Required for approval of Site Development Plans greater than five (5) acres and Sector Plans

2. Drainage Plans: Required for building permits, grading permits, paving permits and site plans less than five (5) acres

3. Drainage Report: Required for subdivision containing more than ten (10) lots or constituting five (5) acres or more

4. Erosion and Sediment Control Plan: Required for any new development and redevelopment site with 1-acre or more of land disturbing area, including project less than 1-acre than are part of a larger common plan of development

REVISED DRAINAGE REPORT

For

THE ESTATES AT GLENDALE

Albuquerque, New Mexico

Prepared by

Rio Grande Engineering PO Box 93924 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87199

SEPTEMBER 2014



10/13/14

David Soule P.E. No. 14522

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Purpose	3
Introduction	3
Existing Conditions	3
Exhibit A-Vicinity Map	4
Proposed Conditions	5
Summary	5
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

<u>Appendix</u>

NAAMDP, Phase 1 asbuilt excerpts	А
Site Hydrology	В
Hydraulic calculations	С

Map Site Grading and Drainage Plan

.

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to provide the Drainage Management Plan for the development of a 14-lot subdivision located on Glendale Avenue between Wyoming Boulevard and Barstow Street NE. This plan was prepared in accordance with the City of Albuquerque design regulations, utilizing the City of Albuquerque's Development Process Manual drainage guidelines. This report will demonstrate that the grading does not adversely affect the surrounding properties, nor the upstream or downstream facilities.

INTRODUCTION

The subject of this report, as shown on the Exhibit A, is a 3.55-acre parcel of land located on the south side of Glendale Avenue between Wyoming Boulevard and Barstow Street NE. The legal description of this site is tracts A&B the Estates at Glendale Subdivision. As shown on FIRM map35013C0133H, the entire site is located within Flood Zone X. The site has had grading activities upon it over the past several years. The site contains a desiltation pond constructed with phase one and multiple stock piles of material have been deposited thru ought the site. Due to the upstream construction, the site is not affected by any upland flows from the north or east. The site is impacted by the adjacent lots to the south. The site currently free discharges to a large pond located at the northwest corner of the site. The development of the site will require the site to discharge at a rate equal to or less than the fully developed conditions assumed for this site in the governing North Albuquerque Acres Master Drainage Plan (NAAMDP), which relevant excerpts can be found in appendix A.

EXISTING CONDITIONS

The site currently does have ponds and stockpiles on it and has been impacted by human development over the years. The site is the second phase of the Estates at Glendale project. The site was initially designed by John Mackenzie by report found in city of Albuquerque drainage file. The site is impacted by minor upland flows from the 4 developed lots to the south. The site currently discharges all of its flow to the North West corner to a temporary retention pond

3



The site is located within basins 204.0 of the NAAMDP. The adjacent infrastructure improvements within Glendale were constructed with phase one of this development. Two 24" storm drain pipes were stubbed into the property with the initial phase. All downstream improvements are in place and accepted by the city of Albuquerque..

PROPOSED CONDITIONS

The proposed improvements consist of a new 14-lot subdivision serviced by private paved roadway. The lots shall free discharge to the roadway and be conveyed within the roadway to the available downstream storm drain. Each lot will be required to retain the first .34" of rain or 255 cubic feet per lot. The slopes of the lots preclude front yard ponds so an alternative underground catchment basin- stormtech SC740 is required. A copy of the product sheet is located in appendix B. The minimum length is shown on the grading plan. All the flow leaving the site will be conveyed via surface drainage to a set of single A inlets located at the entrance to the property. As shown on the drainage basin map and hydraulic spread sheet located in appendix B, the site is affected by 9 small basins from the adjacent property. The largest basing G generates 2.34 cfs, to allow for historical upland flow the south wall will have 3 turned blocks at each property corner and a turned block every 20. As shown on the Turned block weir equation sheet, 3 turned blocks adequately passes the largest of the basins. The spacing of the turned blocks allows the flows to easily pass thru the wall. Each lot is graded to allow the flow to enter the site and flow to the street via surface flow.

The onsite drainage contains 3 basins. Basin A is the majority of the lots and North star Place. This basin combined with the upland flow generates 13.81 CFS. This corresponds to Analysis point 1 on the drainage basin map. A street capacity calculation spreadsheet located in appendix C shows this flow is safely conveyed within the roadway with mountable curbs. Basin B includes lots 1 and 10 along with North star lane and the front yards of lots 11-14. This basin combined with upland basins generates 18.89 cfs (AP2). A street capacity calculation

5

spreadsheet located in appendix C shows this flow is safely conveyed within the roadway with standard curbs. As shown in appendix C each single grated inlet captures 8.25 cfs, therefore 2.39 cfs by passes the inlets. As shown on the as built drawings located in appendix A, the HGL at the storm drain connection at main is 5405.50. The HGL's have not been calculated at this time, based upon manning's equation, each pipe will not flow full so the HGL slope will be less than the slope of the pipe therefore the HGL will be less than the top of pipe at each inlet. This flow enters the fully developed Glendale roadway at a rate less than historical, therefore has no affect and is captured by downstream inlets. Basin C contains the rear portion of lots 11-14. This basin combined with the upland basins discharge 2.92 cfs at the northwest wall. As shown in the Turned Blocks Wier calculation sheet, 3 turned blocks safely passes the flows. The flow exists via a 2'x4' gravel 'french-sump' drain against the wall and exists at existing grade. The flow is conveyed to the roadway via a 2' sidewalk culvert which as shown in appendix C has adequate capacity.. The proposed land treatment will conform to the residential density assumptions of the NAADMP. The site discharge is reduced to these master plan assumptions by the creation of water quality ponds in front yards. The impervious area is consistent with table A.5 of the DPM, utilizing 3.5 dwelling units per acre.

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This project is a development of residential subdivision with the North Albuquerque Acres Master Drainage plan. The development is consistent with the land use assumptions of the plan. The surrounding development diverted the majority of the upland flow. The minor flow from the 4 developed lots to the south is allowed to pass thru the site. The discharge will enter the downstream storm drain where it was anticipated. The inlets, pipes and roadways have been shown to provide the required capacity. The site has been designed in accordance with City of Albuquerque Drainage ordinance. This drainage plan and report conforms to the governing drainage regulations of the City. Since the effected area site encompasses more than 1 acre, a NPDES permit will be required prior to any construction activity.

A XIGN399A

North Albuquerque Acres Master Drainage Plan

pu∀

Excerpts of phase one as-builts

FINAL NORTH ALBUQUERQUE ACRES MASTER DRAINAGE PLAN

Prepared For:



City of Albuquerque

Prepared By:



ENGINEERS AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS 1720-B Randolph Road SE, Albuquerque, NM 87106 Telephone (505) 243-7300 Fax (505) 243-7400 rti@nmia.com



October 1998

	TABLE A-4											
	EL CAMINO ARRO	YO SUB-BASIN	CHAR	ACTEI	RISTIC	S						
Basin ID	Hydrologic	Basin Area	La	nd Tre	atment	%	TP					
	Condition	(mi ²)	Α	B	C	D	(hrs)					
201	Existing	.1339	95 05	0	0	5	.133					
	Future	• .1339	95		0		.133					
200	Existing	3030	65 65	20	15		.167					
202.1	Fristing	1021	84	20	2		133					
202.1	Future	.1031	22	23	38	17	.133					
202.2	Existing	.1099	70	5	15	10	.14					
	Future	.1099	22	23	38	17	.14					
202.3	Existing	.0684	60	10	15	15	.133					
	Future	.0518	11	26	33	30	.133					
203.1	Existing	.1258	80	0	10	10	.14					
	Future	.1258	22	23	38	1/	.14					
203.2	Existing	.0485	80	0	10		.133					
202.2	Future Faciation	0000		20	33	10	.133					
203.3	Future	1259	20	20	34	10	.133					
× 204	Existing	2119	80	0	10	10	21					
7	Future	.0773	20	20	34	26	.133					
204.2	Existing	.1333	80	0	10	10	.14					
	Future	.0687	8	22	25	45	.14					
204.1	Existing	.1484	80	0	10	10	.18					
	Future	.1288	17	22	31	30	.18					
204.3	Future	.0870	10	15	30	45	.133					
204.4	Future	.0546	21	21	36	22	.133					
205	Existing	.0459	10 ⁻	0	20	70	.133					
	Future	.0543	0	10	20	70	.133					
206.1	Existing	.1221	75	5	10		.150					
	Tuture	.1221		20	10	30	.130					
206.2	Future	.0561	0	5 20	10 30	10 50	.133					
206.3	Existing	.0480	0	7	7	86	.133					
	Future	.0480	0	7	7	86	.133					
206.4	Existing	.0327	40	25	5	30	.133					
	Future	.0327	0	20	30	50	.133					







APPENDIX B

SITE ΗΥDROLOGY



Weighted E Method EAGLE CREST

Existing Developed Basins

											100-Year, 6-I	nr.	
Basin	Area	Area	Treatment	A	Treatmer	nt B	Treatm	ent C	Treatmen	t D	Weighted E	Volume	Flow
	(sf)	(acres)	%	(acres)	%	(acres)	%	(acres)	%	(acres)	(ac-ft)	(ac-ft)	cfs
BASIN A	24002	0.551	43%	0.23693	20.0%	0.110	20.0%	0.1102	17%	0.094	1.127	0.052	1.58
BASIN B	1931	0.044	43%	0.01906	20.0%	0.009	20.0%	0.00887	17%	0.008	1.127	0.004	0.13
BASIN C	10260	0.236	43%	0.10128	20.0%	0.047	20.0%	0.04711	17%	0.040	1.127	0.022	0.68
BASIN D	897	0.021	43%	0.00885	20.0%	0.004	20.0%	0.00412	17%	0.004	1.127	0.002	0.06
BASIN E	4927	0.113	43%	0.04864	20.0%	0.023	20.0%	0.02262	17%	0.019	1.127	0.011	0.32
BASIN F	25284	0.580	43%	0.24959	20.0%	0.116	20.0%	0.11609	17%	0.099	1.127	0.055	1.66
BASIN G	35490	0.815	43%	0.35034	20.0%	0.163	20.0%	0.16295	17%	0.139	1.127	0.077	2.34
BASIN H	1882	0.043	43%	0.01858	20.0%	0.009	20.0%	0.00864	17%	0.007	1.127	0.004	0.12
BASINI	19312	0.443	43%	0.19064	20.0%	0.089	20.0%	0.08867	17%	0.075	1.127	0.042	1.27
ONSITE A	106556	2.446	0%	0	25.0%	0.612	37.0%	0.90509	38%	0.930	1.604	0.327	9.38
ONSITE B	29722	0.682	0%	0	25.0%	0.171	37.0%	0.25246	38%	0.259	1.604	0.091	2.62
ONSITE C	18770	0.431	0%	0	25.0%	0.108	37.0%	0.15943	38%	0.164	1.604	0.058	1.65
TOTAL ONSITE PROPOSED	155048	3.559	0%	0	25.0%	0.890	37.0%	1.31698	38%	1.353	1.604	0.476	13.65
TOTAL ONSITE ALLOWED	155048	3.559	8%	0.28475	22.0%	0.783	25.0%	0.88985	45%	1.602	1.640	0.486	13.68
TOTAL													

Equations:

Weighted E = Ea*Aa + Eb*Ab + Ec*Ac + Ed*Ad / (T	otal	Area)
Impervious= 7*(((3.5*3.5)+(5*3.5))^.5)	38	%
Volume = Weighted D * Total Area		
UPLAND FLOW BASED UPON NAAMDP		
Flow = Qa * Aa + Qb * Ab + Qc * Ac + Qd * Ad		

Where for 100-year, 6-hour storm (zone 3)

Ea= 0.66	Qa= 1.87
Eb= 0.92	Qb= 2.6
Ec= 1.29	Qc= 3.45
Ed= 2.36	Qd= 5.02
FLOW SUMMARY	
ANALYSIS POINT AP1	13.81 CFS
ANALYSIS POINT AP2	18.89 CFS
ANALYSIS POINT AP3	2.92 CFS
MAX FLOW AT TURNED BLOCK	2.34 CFS
ALLOWED DISCHARGE PER NAAMDP	13.68
ONSITE DISCHARGE	13.65

APPENDIX C

NOTAJUDIAD DIJUARDYH

.

Street Capacity Calculations

NORTHSTAR PLACE AT AP1

26' F-F Street Section with 4" curb

Slope= 0.03

Q=13.81 CFS

For water depths less than 0.0625 feet

Y= Water depth 16*Y^2 Area = SQRT(1025*Y^2) + Y P= n= 0.017

Depth (ft)	Area (ft^2)	P (ft)	R (A/P)	Q (cfs)	2Q (cfs)	Vel (ft/s)	D*V	Fr	D2 (ft)
0.01	0.0016	0.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.43	0.00	0.76	0.00691
0.02	0.0064	0.66	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.69	0.01	0.86	0.01624
0.025	0.01	0.83	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.80	0.02	0.89	0.02136
0.035	0.0196	1.16	0.02	0.02	0.04	1.00	0.03	0.94	0.03228
0.045	0.0324	1. 49	0.02	0.04	0.08	1.18	0.05	0.98	0.04391
0.052	0.043264	1.72	0.03	0.06	0.11	1.30	0.07	1.01	0.0524
0.06	0.0576	1.98	0.03	0.08	0.16	1.43	0.09	1.03	0.0624
0.0625	0.0625	2.06	0.03	0.09	0.18	1.47	0.09	1.04	0.06559

For water depths greater than 0.0625 ft but less than 0.3025 ft

Y1= Y-0.0625

A1 + 2*Y1 + 25*Y1^2 A2=

P1 + SQRT(2501*Y1^2)+Y1 P2=

Depth (ft)	Area (ft^2)	P (ft)	R (A/P)	Q (cfs)	2Q (cfs)	Vel (ft/s)	D*V	Fr	D2 (ft)
0.063	0.063506	2.09	0.03	0.09	0.19	1.47	0.09	1.04	0.06598
0.1	0.172656	3.98	0.04	0.32	0.65	1.87	0.19	1.04	0.10566
0.13	0.311406	5.51	0.06	0.69	1.39	2.23	0.29	1.09	0.14572
0.16	0.495156	7.04	0.07	1.28	2.56	2.58	0.41	1.14	0.1894
0.2	0.810156	9.08	0.09	2.45	4.90	3.02	0.60	1.19	0.25153
0.207	0.873506	9.43	0.09	2.71	5.41	3.10	0.64	1.20	0.26277
0.2612	1.446942	12.20	0.12	5.29	10.58	3.65	0.95	1.26	0.35291
0.3025	1.9825	14.31	0.14	8.04	16.08	4.05	1.23	1.30	0.42471

For water depths greater than 0.3025 ft but less than 0.333 ft

Y2=	Y - 0.3025
A3=	A2 + Y2*13

VO.

P2 + Y2P3=

Depth (ft)	Area (ft^2)	P (ft)	R (A/P)	Q (cfs)	2Q (cfs)	Vel (ft/s)	D*V	Fr	D2 (ft)
0.303	1.9895	14.31	0.14	8.09	16.17	4.06	1.23	1.30	0.42622
0.3039	2.0021	14.31	0.14	8.17	16.34	4.08	1.24	1.30	0.42894
0.3062	2.0343	14.31	0.14	8.39	16.78	4.12	1.26	1.31	0.43588
0.31	2.0875	14.31	0.15	8.76	17.51	4.19	1.30	1.33	0.44737
0.3125	2.1225	14.32	0.15	9.00	18.00	4.24	1.33	1.34	0.45494
0.32	2.2275	14.32	0.16	9.75	19.51	4.38	1.40	1.36	0.47767
0.3317	2.3913	14.34	0.17	10.97	21.94	4.59	1.52	1.40	0.51325
0.333	2.4095	14.34	0.17	11.11	22.22	4.61	1.54	1.41	0.51721

For water depths greater than 0.333 ft but less than 0.513 ft

Y3= Y - 0.333

A4= A3 + 13 * Y3 + 25 * Y3^2

P4= P3 + SQRT(2501 * Y3^2)

Depth (ft)	Area (ft^2)	P (ft)	R (A/P)	Q (cfs)	2Q (cfs)	Vel (ft/s)	D*V	Fr	D2 (ft)
0.335	2.4376	14.44	0.17	11.27	22.55	4.63	1.55	1.41	0.52038

Street Capacity Calculations

NORTH STAR LANE

26' F-F Street Section with 8" curb

Slope= 0.005 Q=18.89 CFS

For water depths less than 0.125 feet

Y= Water depth Area = 8*Y^2 P= SQRT(257*Y^2) + Y 0.017 n=

Depth (ft)	Area (ft^2)	P (ft)	R (A/P)	Q (cfs)	2Q (cfs)	Vel (ft/s)	D*V	Fr	D2 (ft)
0.01	0.00	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.17	0.00	0.31	0.0016081
0.02	0.00	0.34	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.01	0.34	0.0039311
0.04	0.01	0.68	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.44	0.02	0.38	0.0095654
0.06	0.03	1.02	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.57	0.03	0.41	0.0160557
0.08	0.05	1.36	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.69	0.06	0.43	0.0231616
0.1	0.08	1.70	0.05	0.06	0.13	0.80	0.08	0.45	0.030757
0.12	0.12	2.04	0.06	0.10	0.21	0.91	0.11	0.46	0.0387629
0.125	0.13	2.13	0.06	0.12	0.23	0.93	0.12	0.47	0.0408217

For water depths greater than 0.125 ft but less than 0.365 ft

Y1= Y-0.125

l

A2= A1 + 2*Y1 + 25*Y1^2

P2= P1 + SQRT(2501*Y1^2)+Y1

Depth (ft)	Area (ft^2)	P (ft)	R (A/P)	Q (cfs)	2Q (cfs)	Vel (ft/s)	D*V	Fr	D2 (ft)
0.13	0.14	2.38	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.91	0.12	0.45	0.0397601
0.16	0.23	3.91	0.06	0.21	0.42	0.92	0.15	0.41	0.0418764
0.2	0.42	5.95	0.07	0.44	0.87	1.05	0.21	0.41	0.0537489
0.24	0.69	8.00	0.09	0.82	1.65	1.20	0.29	0.43	0.0695702
0.2846	1.08	10.27	0.11	1.49	2.98	1.38	0.39	0.46	0.0896739
0.32	1.47	12.08	0.12	2.22	4.44	1.52	0.48	0.47	0.1068876
0.3551	1.91	13.87	0.14	3.15	6.29	1.65	0.59	0.49	0.124804
0.365	2.05	14.37	0.14	3.45	6.89	1.68	0.61	0.49	0.12999

For water depths greater than 0.365 ft but less than 0.667 ft

Y2= Y-0.365 A2 + Y2*14 A3=

P3= P2 + Y2

Depth (ft)	Area (ft [^] 2)	P (ft)	R (A/P)	Q (cfs)	2Q (cfs)	Vel (ft/s)	D*V	Fr	D2 (ft)	
0.37	2.12	14.38	0.15	3.64	7.29	1.72	0.64	0.50	0.1350191	
0.4556	3.31	14.46	0.23	7.67	15.34	2.31	1.05	0.60	0.223263	
0.4848	3.72	14.49	0.26	9.30	18.59	2.50	1.21	0.63	0.2541815	
0.5	3.94	14.51	0.27	10.19	20.38	2.59	1.30	0.65	0.2704223	XXX
0.54	4.50	14.55	0.31	12.70	25.40	2.83	1.53	0.68	0.3136088	1
0.5584	4.75	14.56	0.33	13.92	27.85	2.93	1.64	0.69	0.3336809	
0.63	5.76	14.64	0.39	19.09	38.18	3.32	2.09	0.74	0.4129151	
0.667	6.27	14.67	0.43	22.00	44.01	3.51	2.34	0.76	0.4545048	

For water depths greater than 0.667 ft but less than 0.847 ft

Y3= Y - 0.667

A4= A3 + 14 * Y3 + 25 * Y3^2 P4=

P3 + SQRT(2501 * Y3^2)

Depth (ft)	Area (ft ²)	P (ft)	R (A/P)	Q (cfs)	2Q (cfs)	Vel (ft/s)	D*V	Fr	D2 (ft)
0.7	6.76	16.32	0.41	23.23	46.45	3.43	2.40	0.72	0.4471541
0.72	7.09	17.32	0.41	24.13	48.26	3.41	2.45	0.71	0.4451558

TURNED BLOCKS

Weir Equation:

 $Q = CLH^{3/2}$

West drainage swale thru walls

Q= 2.92 cfsC = 2.95 H = 0.5 ft L = Length of weir

$$Q = 2.95 * .5 * ((0.5)^{(3/2)})$$

Each opening is 6"x6" Each block has two openings Each opening has .52 cfs capacity

For west lots Therefore 2.92 cfs requires 6 openings and 3 turned blocks

For south lots Therefore 2.34 cfs requires 4.5 openings and 3 turned blocks

SIDEWALK CULVERT CAPACITY

Depth	Area	WP	R	Slope	Q Provided	Q Required	Velocity
(ft)	(ft^2)	(ft)		(%)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(ft/s)
0.67	2.68	5.34	0.5018727	2	20.98	2.92	1.09
0.67	1.34	3.34	0.4011976	2	9.04	2.92	2.18

GRATING CAPACITIES FOR TYPE "A" , "C" and "D"



INLE | CALCULATION

Pipe Capacity

Pipe	D	Slope	Area	R	Q Provided	Q Required	Velocity
	(in)	(%)	(ft^2)		(cfs)	(cfs)	(ft/s)
24" RCP	24	1.13	3.14	0.5	18.44	16.50	5.25
18" RCP	18	2	1.77	0.375	11.39	8.25	4.67

<u>Manning's Equation:</u> Q = 1.49/n * A * R^(2/3) * S^(1/2)

A = Area

R = D/4

S = Slope

n = 0.017



EROSION CONTROL NOTES: CONSTRUCTION.

1. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING A TOPSOIL DISTURBANCE PERMIT PRIOR TO BEGINNING WORK.

2. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR MAINTAINING RUN-OFF ON SITE DURING

3. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR CLEANING ALL SEDIMENT THAT GETS INTO EXISTING RIGHT-OF-WAY.

4. REPAIR OF DAMAGED FACILITIES AND CLEANUP OF SEDIMENT ACCUMULATIONS ON ADJACENT PROPERTIES AND IN PUBLIC FACILITIES IS THE

	A-1	18		
A+1	ALBUQUERQUE	19 A-1	7 A	DR 16
	PAS			AV SRP60006
A-1		17 32 A-1	TRACT 1 UNI	T 3 17 3 SAP 60036
CHILLS	Houtre DESERT R-D 4 DU/A			
27 17 - 4		NORTH		A-1 16 1
A-1				AV
4 4 A-1		ALBUQUERQU		A-1 16 1
ACRES		22 A-1	IRACI I UNII 3	ACRES 17 32
		18 DESERT RIDGE TRAILS EAST	B-D 14 15 16 LA UEV 3 DU/A 31 32 33 17 EST ATTEX	A LEXE LA (PVT) 8P1 1
7 8P1 3 P0 P-D 5 DU/A			A 1000417 128 ¹ 1000417	A 30 00 A 12P1 32 32
	22 RIDGE 13 18P1 NV 22 1P1 1P1			
		2 R-D 20 3P1 (1 + p1 - p2 -	24 24 VIA ALEGRE	
P P P P P P P P P P P P P P		16 R-D II REGORMAN	IGHLANDS AT LA CUEVA	AV R-D AV AV AV R-D SA B B C
VICINI	τη μαρ.		R = 19 = 7	
<u></u>	<u> </u>	Ł		
				DIECOOCT
	ELENA GALLEGOS		BARSTOWS	SANDIEGOCTI
AN DIEGO AVE	ELENA GALLEGOS LAND GRANT			SANDECOCT
AN DIEGO AVE	ELENA GALLEGOS LAND GRANT			Saking Concern
AN DIEGO AVE	ELENA GALLEGOS LAND GRANT BERNALILLO			Salu Diecosoft
AN DIEGO AVE	ELENA GALLEGOS LAND GRANT BERNALILLO CITY OF ALBUC		City of Albu	
AN DIEGO AVE	ELENA GALLEGOS LAND GRANT BERNALILLO CITY OF ALBUC		City of Albu 3500	iquerque 02
AN DIEGO AVE	ELENA GALLEGOS LAND GRANT BERNALILLO CITY OF ALBUC		City of Albu 3500 ZONE AH (EL 5474)	
AN DIEGO AVE	ELENA GALLEGOS LAND GRANT BERNALILLO CITY OF ALBUC ERISSA LISTETE		City of Albu 3500 ZONE AH (EL 5474)	GLENDALE AV Camino Arroya
AN DIEGO AVE	ELENA GALLEGOS LAND GRANT BERNALILLO CITY OF ALBUC ERISSA LIGNETTE	COUNTY DUERQUE VIA ENCANTADA NE	City of Albu 3500 City of Albu 3500 CONE AH (EL 5474)	iquerque o2 GLENDALE AV Camino Arroya
AN DIEGO AVE	ELENA GALLEGOS LAND GRANT BERNALILLO CITY OF ALBUC ERISSA LISTETE		City of Albu Solution City of Albu Solution	Iquerque OLENDALE AV Camino Arroya
AN DIEGO AVE	ELENA GALLEGOS LAND GRANT BERNALILLO CITY OF ALBUC CITY OF ALBUC CITY OF ALBUC ERISSA LINETE	COUNTY DUERQUE VIA ENCANTADA NE VIA ALEGRE NE STO AVE NE	City of Alba Scity of Alba Sci	GLENDALE AV Camino Arroya DEPTH 2)
AN DIEGO AVE	ELENA GALLEGOS LAND GRANT BERNALILLO CITY OF ALBUC CITY OF ALBUC CITY OF ALBUC CITY OF ALBUC CITY OF ALBUC RICIGORMANAVENE WIL	COUNTY DUERQUE VIA ENCANTADA NE VIA ENCANTADA NE VIA ALEGRE NE STO AVE NE	City of Albu SCIP	GLENDALE AV Camino Arroya

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: TRACTS A AND B, THE ESTATES @ GLENDALE ESTATES

NOTES:

1. ALL SPOT ELEVATIONS REPRESENT FLOWLINE ELEVATION UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED

2. ALL CURB AND GUTTER TO 4" MOUNTABLE-ROLL UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED, EXCEPTING ALAMEDA AND OAKLAND WHICH SHALL BE 8" STANDARD. 3. ALL RETAINING WALL DESIGN SHALL BE BY OTHERS.

LEGEND

EXISTING CONTOUR
EXISTING INDEX CONTOUR
PROPOSED CONTOUR
PROPOSED INDEX CONTOUR
SLOPE TIE
EXISTING SPOT ELEVATION
PROPOSED SPOT ELEVATION
BOUNDARY
CENTERLINE
RIGHT-OF-WAY
PROPOSED CURB AND GUTTER
EXISTING CURB AND GUTTER
PROPOSED SIDEWALK
PROPOSED SETBACK
PROPOSED LOT LINE
PROPOSED SCREEN WALL
PROPOSED RETAINING WALL





10/13/14