Request for Letter of Map Revision

(LOMR) For

BOCA NEGRA ARROYO

Bernalillo County, New Mexico June 2015

SUBMITTED TO:

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)

SUBMITTED BY: Albuquerque Metropolitan Arroyo Flood Control Authority (AMAFCA)

Prepared By



Wilson & Company, Inc., Engineers & Architects 4900 Lang Avenue, NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 505-348-4000

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTORY MATERIAL

Introduction Project Overview Calculations Conclusion

MT-2 FORM 1: Overview & Concurrence Form

MT-2 FORM 2: Riverine Hydrology & Hydraulics Form

MT-2 FORM 3: Riverine Structures Form

APPENDIX 1: Hydrology (CD)

AHYMO –Current Model Basin Summary Table Flowchart Summary, Input and Output Files

APPENDIX 2: HYDRAULICS (CD)

HEC-RAS Models

APPENDIX 3: Supplemental Information (CD) Boca Negra Detention Dam Plat Boca Negra Detention Dam As-Built Drawings

APPENDIX 4: Operation and Maintenance Plan (CD)

APPENDIX 5: Referenced Documents (CD)

APPENDIX 6: Property Owner Notification Letters (CD)

APPENDIX 7: Plates/Exhibits

Plate 1, Current Conditions Basin Boundary Map Work Map Annotated Firm

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this Letter of Map Revision (LOMR) is to request a revision to Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Numbers 35001C0111G and 35001C0112G within Bernalillo County, New Mexico and incorporated areas.

A portion of Zone "AE" and "AO" floodplain along the Boca Negra Arroyo changes due to the newly constructed Boca Negra Detention Dam. Detailed study of Boca Negra Arroyo begins at the eastern edge of the North Geological Window and ends at San Ildefonso Drive crossing, approximately 4,500 ft downstream of the confluence with the South Branch of the Boca Negra Arroyo.

This document contains the required forms for the completion of this LOMR. The forms follow this introduction. Supplemental data, such as modeling output and construction as-built drawings, are provided in the appendices that follow each respective form.

PROJECT OVERVIEW

The Boca Negra Detention Dam is bounded by Unser Boulevard to the southeast, Rainbow Boulevard to the northeast, Scenic Road to the northwest and Vista Vieja Subdivision to the west. See Figure 1, Vicinity Map. The City of Albuquerque (COA) 2014 parcel shape file is included on the CD included with this submittal to provide updated Corporate Limits. This information replaces information on the current annotated FIRM for the project area which shows outdated Corporate Limits.



Figure 1: Vicinity Map

Calculations

LiDAR survey elevation data was used to generate a digital ground surface for the overall area of the Boca Negra Arroyo watershed. The LiDAR mapping prepared for the local agencies was generated with a contour accuracy of +/- 1ft. The LiDAR mapping was supplemented with newly acquired as-built survey topography for the dam area along with cross section survey data for the segment of the Boca Negra Arroyo approximately 200ft upstream of Tesuque Drive downstream to San Ildefonso Drive. See Appendix 5 for Cross Section Survey Map. The data described above was utilized in developing the hydrologic and hydraulic models for the study area. Precipitation data dated 12-01-14 was obtained from the NOAA Atlas 14 website for use in the development of the Hydrologic models. See Appendix 1, Rainfall Data. Based on the original calculations of the dam and per agreement with Albuquerque Metropolitan Arroyo Flood Control Authority (AMAFCA), Arid-lands Hydrologic Model (AHYMO_97) distributed by Anderson-Hydro was used in the hydrologic analysis. See Appendix 1 for AHYMO files.

HEC-RAS River Analysis System V 4.1.0 by U.S. Army Corps of Engineers was used for hydraulic calculations. Electronic data was obtained from FEMA, which included the effective HEC-RAS model and cross sections in GIS format. HEC-RAS cross sections were identified at locations consistent with existing FEMA cross sections and supplemental cross sections were identified for the current conditions models. Main channel bank stations and Manning's "n" values used in the models were obtained from the effective model. These parameters for the supplementary cross sections are consistent with the effective model. The main channel throughout the arroyo varies and in some areas is not definable. Although the effective model sets channel bank stations the Manning's "n" values for the overbank area and main channel do not vary. The channel Bank stations are approximate relating to the apparent main channel but since the cross section "n " values are uniform the specified main channel bank stations do not have an impact on the water surface elevation. See Floodplain Work Map in Appendix 7 for the cross section locations. See Tables 1 and 2, HEC-RAS Flow and Output Comparison and Appendix 2 for the Summary Tables, Profile and Cross Sections.

Table 1 - HEC-RAS Flow Comparison								
RiverCrossPeak Flow (cfs)								
Station			Current					
	Upstr	eam Model						
Reach - 1 Upper								
25374.6	Q	1493	1175					
24989.3	Р	1534	1175					
24106.4	0	1534	1175					
23000	Ν	1534	1175					
22241.1	М	1534	1175					
22027.5	L	1451	0.01					
21845.5	K	1451	0.01					
21840	16	-	612					
21830	15	-	612					
21820	14	-	612					
21335.5	J	1451	612					
21001.7	Ι	1451	612					
	Reach -	1 Split Flow	V					
22027.5	30	1534	1185					
22000	29	-	1185					
21845.5	28	1534	1185					
21835	27	-	1185					
21800	26	-	603					
21770.8	25	-	603					
21520.8	24	-	603					
21335.5	23	-	603					
21325	22	-	603					
21315	21	-	603					
21250	20	-	603					
21200	19	-	603					
21335.5	С	1534	-					
21194.9	18	-	603					
21001.7	В	1534	-					
21001.7	17	-	603					
20774.5	А	1534	-					
	Reacl	n - 1 Lower						
20573.7	Н	1534	1188					
20390.6	G	1534	1188					
19770.6	F	1534	1188					
19290.6	13	-	1188					
19175.6	12	-	1188					

Table 1, Cont'd - HEC-RAS Flow Comparison							
River	Cross	Peak	Flow (cfs)				
Station	Section	Effective	Current				
	Downs	tream Mode	1				
19125.5	11	-	1188				
19054.6	10	-	1188				
19003.57	9	-	1188				
18766.3	E*	1586	-				
18016.1	D*	1586	-				
18022.9	8	-	695				
17987.1	7	-	695				
17735.62	6	-	695				
17129.8	С	1586	695				
17000	В	1831	695				
16550	A1	1831	695				
16457	А	1831	695				
15976	5	-	695				
15247.7	4	-	695				
15100	3B	-	695				
15080	3A	-	695				
14886.4	3	-	1110				
14300.75	2	-	1147				
14200	1D	-	1147				
14100.75	1C	-	1149				
13900.75	1B	-	1149				
13700.75	1A	-	1149				
13150.55	1	-	1149				
13150.54	0.995	-	1149				
13150	0.99	-	1149				
13149.5	0.98	-	1149				
13149	0.97	-	1149				
13148.5	0.96	-	1149				
13148	0.95	-	1149				
13145	0.94	-	1149				
13144	0.93	-	1149				
13143	0.92	-	1149				
13140	0.91	-	1149				
13135	0.9	-	1149				
13130	0.8	-	1149				
13120	0.7	-	1149				
13110	0.6	-	1150				
13100	0.53	-	1150				
13095	0.52	-	1150				

Table 1, Cont'd - HEC-RAS Flow Comparison								
River	Cross	Peak	Flow (cfs)					
Station	Section	Effective	Current					
	Downstream Model							
13093	03 0.51 - 11							
13090	0.5	-	1150					
13080	0.4	-	1150					
13070	0.3	-	1151					
13060	0.21	-	1151					
13050	0.2	-	1151					
13040	0.1	-	1151					

* Located in the Dam

The four existing 60 in. RCP culverts upstream of the dam which were constructed with the SAD 228 project were designed with the 2008 future developed conditions model flow of 610 cfs. The current conditions flow at these culverts is 1,188 cfs which results in overtopping Scenic Road.

Table 2 - HEC-RAS Output Comparison										
River	Cross	Wa	Water Surface Elevation (ft)							
Station	Section	Effective	Duplicate Effective	Current						
	Upstream Model									
Reach - 1 Upper										
25374.6	Q	5435.67	5434.89	5434.87						
24989.3	Р	5431.84	5431.11	5431.09						
24106.4	0	5422.96	5422.07	5422.05						
23000	Ν	5409.68	5408.99	5408.97						
22241.1	М	5401.59	5400.83	5400.73						
		Reach -	1 Mid							
22027.5	L	5397.65	5396.68	5394.25						
21845.5	K	5392.61 5392.03		5388.80						
21840	16			5381.39						
21830	15	-	-	5378.27						
21820	14	-	-	5375.34						
21335.5	J	5375.48	5374.61	5374.00						
21001.7	Ι	5368.37	5367.33	5366.48						
		Reach - 1	Split Flow							
22027.5	30	5400.04	5399.23	5400.45						
22000	29	-	-	5399.45						
21845.5	28	5397.14	5396.45	5398.43						
21835	27	-	-	5396.52						
21800	26	-	-	5393.66						
21770.8	25	-	-	5393.19						

Table 2, Cont'd - HEC-RAS Output Comparison							
RiverCrossWater Surface Elevation (ft)							
Station	Section	Effective	Duplicate Effective	Current			
21520.8	24	-	-	5390.13			
21335.5	23	-	-	5386.94			
21325	22	-	-	5383.46			
21315	21	-	-	5381.37			
21250	20	-	-	5377.97			
21200	19	-	-	5372.76			
21335.5	С	5383.91	5383.09	-			
21194.9	18	-	-	5365.90			
21001.7	В	5372.24	5371.14	-			
21001.7	17	-	-	5363.87			
20774.5	А	5369.90	5368.52	-			
		Reach - 1	Lower				
20573.7	Н	5362.96	5362.16	5362.14			
20390.6	G	5360.86	5360.25	5360.22			
19770.6	F	5353.24	5352.70	5352.69			
19290.6	13	-	-	5347.81			
19200		(CULVERT	•			
19175.6	12	-	-	5342.63			
19125.5	11	-	-	5342.15			
19054.6	10	-	-	5339.93			
19003.57	9	-	-	5338.67			
18766.3	E*	5342.36	5334.14	5338.67			
18016.1	D*	5328.99	5327.94	5338.67			
	L	Downstrea	m Model				
18022.9	8	-	-	5326.91			
17987.1	7	-	-	5326.74			
17735.62	6	-	-	5322.81			
17129.8	С	5316.05	5315.1	5314.43			
17000	В	5275.25	5275.33	5273.82			
16550	A1	-	-	5237.45			
16457	А	5204.29	5203.09	5202.71			
15976	5	-	-	5197.56			
15247.7	4	-	-	5187.85			
15100	3B	-		5187.66			
15090		(CULVERT	1			
15080	3A	-		5184.11			
14886.4	3	-	-	5181.32			
14300.75	2	-	-	5176.26			
14200	1D	-	-	5173.99			
14100.75	1C	-	-	5171.59			

Table 2, Cont'd - HEC-RAS Output Comparison									
River	Cross	Wat	Water Surface Elevation (ft)						
Station	Section	Effective Duplicate Effecti		Current					
13900.75	1B	-	-	5169.84					
13700.75	1A	-	-	5167.85					
13150.55	1	-	-	5167.76					
13150.54	0.995			5167.53					
13150.00	0.99	-	-	5167.26					
13149.50	0.98			5166.34					
13149.00	0.97			5165.51					
13148.50	0.96			5164.45					
13148	0.95			5164.71					
13146		(CULVERT						
13145	0.94			5162.04					
13144	0.93			5161.76					
13143	0.92	-	-	5161.55					
13140	0.91	-	-	5159.20					
13135	0.9	-	-	5156.87					
13130	0.8	-	-	5155.35					
13120	0.7	-	-	5153.08					
13110	0.6	-	-	5151.04					
13100	0.53	-	-	5147.29					
13095	0.52	-	-	5143.24					
13093	0.51	-	-	5143.32					
13090	0.5	-	-	5142.88					
13080	0.4	-	-	5140.60					
13070	0.3	-	-	5136.95					
13060	0.21	-	-	5134.23					
13055			BRIDGE						
13050	0.2	-	-	5133.39					
13040	0.1	-	-	5130.11					

* Located in the Dam

Conclusion

Due to the newly constructed Boca Negra Detention Dam and updated mapping and hydrologic data the floodplain for the Boca Negra Arroyo required revision. Updated hydrologic and hydraulic analysis of the Boca Negra Arroyo has been completed to incorporate the new topography for the flood plain. The updated models show that peak flow has been reduced resulting in reduction in water surface elevation at most sections and within 1 ft, which is the contour accuracy of the LiDAR mapping, at cross sections where the water surface elevation is above the base flood elevation from the North Geologic Window to San Ildefonso Drive bridge crossing. The analysis shows some changes from the existing floodplain boundary at the following locations: 1) Boca Negra Detention Dam facility floodplain area; 2) just south of Resolana Place, the arroyo turns south and the channel is divided into a north and south branch

with flows focused on the south branch and 3) upstream of Tesuque Drive where the arroyo depth is shallow, the floodplain widens based on the more detailed topographic mapping. The existing Zone "X" area near the split flow is included in the annotated floodplain map because there is not a certified levee to protect the area which may cause the upstream existing embankment to fail. Changes are due to updated topography, construction of the Dam and revised hydrologic analysis. The results of the analysis indicate that the floodplain widens in several areas affecting 24 vacant properties. Notification Letters have been sent to the affected property owners. See Appendix 6 for Property owner Notification Letters.

Based on the analysis, the water surface widens at two properties, 6423 Star Bright east of Atrisco Drive and 6515 Tesuque Drive at the southwest corner of intersection of Boca Negra Arroyo and Tesuque Drive. Both properties include retaining walls which may divert the floodplain along the property boundary. These walls have not been certified and the maps reflect the floodplain with no walls. See Appendix 5 for photos of both properties retaining wall.

The Boca Negra Detention Dam and outfall pipe storm drain will be maintained and operated by AMAFCA. The Operation and Maintenance plan Volumes 1-3 is included in the CD. The City of Albuquerque (COA) will maintain the Boca Negra Arroyo outside of the Dam. AMAFCA and COA have maintenance programs with crews that maintain all drainage facilities on a routine schedule to ensure facilities are operating as intended.

MT-2 (FORM 1) OVERVIEW & CONCURRENCE FORM

PAPERWORK BURDEN DISCLOSURE NOTICE

Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 1 hours per response. The burden estimate includes the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the needed data, and completing, reviewing, and submitting the form. You are not required to respond to this collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding the accuracy of the burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing this burden to: Information Collections Management, Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 1800 South Bell Street, Arlington, VA 20958-3005, Paperwork Reduction Project (1660-0016). Submission of the form is required to obtain or retain benefits under the National Flood Insurance Program. Please do not send your completed survey to the above address.

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

AUTHORITY: The National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, Public Law 90-448, as amended by the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, Public Law 93-234.

PRINCIPAL PURPOSE(S): This information is being collected for the purpose of determining an applicant's eligibility to request changes to National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM).

ROUTINE USE(S): The information on this form may be disclosed as generally permitted under 5 U.S.C § 552a(b) of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended. This includes using this information as necessary and authorized by the routine uses published in DHS/FEMA/NFIP/LOMA-1 National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP); Letter of Map Amendment (LOMA) February 15, 2006, 71 FR 7990.

DISCLOSURE: The disclosure of information on this form is voluntary; however, failure to provide the information requested may delay or prevent FEMA from processing a determination regarding a requested change to a (NFIP) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM).

A. REQUESTED RESPONSE FROM DHS-FEMA

This request is for a (check one):

CLOMR: A letter from DHS-FEMA commenting on whether a proposed project, if built as proposed, would justify a map revision, or proposed hydrology changes (See 44 CFR Ch. 1, Parts 60, 65 & 72).

☑ LOMR: A letter from DHS-FEMA officially revising the current NFIP map to show the changes to floodplains, regulatory floodway or flood elevations. (See 44 CFR Ch. 1, Parts 60, 65 & 72)

B. OVERVIEW

1.	1. The NFIP map panel(s) affected for all impacted communities is (are):										
Cor	Community No. Community Name						State	Map No.	Panel No.	Effective Date	
Exa	mple	: 480301 480287	City of Katy Harris County					TX TX	48473C 48201C	0005D 0220G	02/08/83 09/28/90
350	001		Bernalillo Cou	nty, Ne	ew Mexico and In	corporated Ar	eas	NM	35001C	0111G	09/26/08
350	001		Bernalillo Cou	nty, Ne	ew Mexico and In	corporated Ar	eas	NM	35001C	0112G	09/26/08
2.	a. Flooding Source: Boca Negra Arroyo b. Types of Flooding: ⊠ Riverine □ Coastal □ Shallow Flooding (e.g., Zones AO and AH)										
			🗌 Alluvia	al fan	Lakes	Other (Attach Descript	ion)			
3.	Pro	ject Name/Ide	entifier: Boca Ne	egra D	etention Dam						
4.	FEN	MA zone desi	gnations affecte	d: AE	(choices: A, AH	, AO, A1-A30,	A99, AE, AR, \	V, V1-V30,	VE, B, C, D, X	()	
5.	Bas	is for Reques	t and Type of R	levisio	n:						
	a.	The basis for	or this revision r	eques	t is (check all that	t apply)					
		Physical	Change		mproved Methode	ology/Data	Regulatory Floodway Revision		/ Revision	Base Map Changes	
		Coastal Analysis		۲	Hydraulic Analysis	3	Hydrologic	Analysis		Corrections	
	U Weir-Dam Changes		Levee Certification		🗌 Alluvial Fan Analysis			Natural Changes			
		🛛 New Top	ographic Data		Other (Attach Des	cription)					
	Note: A photograph and narrative description of the area of concern is not required, but is very helpful during review.										

b. The area of revision encompasses the following structures (check a	b. The area of revision encompasses the following structures (check all that apply)								
Structures:	Bridge/Culvert								
🛛 Dam 🔲 Fill		Other (Attach Desc	ription)						
6. Documentation of ESA compliance is submitted (required to initiate CLOMR review). Please refer to the instructions for more information.									
C. REVIEW FEE									
Has the review fee for the appropriate request category been included? \square Yes Fee amount: $\frac{7,150.00}{2}$									
		No, Attach Explanatio	n						
Please see the DHS-FEMA Web site at http://www.fema.gov/plan/prevent/fl	hm/frm_fees.shtm fo	r Fee Amounts and E	exemptions.						
D. SIGN	IATURE								
All documents submitted in support of this request are correct to the best of r fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1001.		erstand that any false	statement may be punishable by						
Name: Jerry Lovato	Company: AMAF	CA							
Mailing Address: 2600 Prospect Avenue, NE	Daytime Telephon	e No.: 505-884-2215	Fax No.:						
Albuquerque, NM 87107	E-Mail Address: jlovato@amafca.org								
Signature of Requester (required):		Date:							
As the community official responsible for floodplain management, I hereby ac (LOMR) or conditional LOMR request. Based upon the community's review, of the community floodplain management requirements, including the require necessary Federal, State, and local permits have been, or in the case of a co applicant has documented Endangered Species Act (ESA) compliance to FE LOMR requests, I acknowledge that compliance with Sections 9 and 10 of 1 authorized, funded, or being carried out by Federal or State agencies, docu of the ESA will be submitted. In addition, we have determined that the land or will be reasonably safe from flooding as defined in 44CFR 65.2(c), and that documentation used to make this determination.	we find the complete ments for when fill is inditional LOMR, will MA prior to FEMA's the ESA has been ac umentation from th and any existing or	ed or proposed project placed in the regulato be obtained. For Con review of the Conditi hieved independently e agency showing its c proposed structures to	meets or is designed to meet all by floodway, and that all ditional LOMR requests, the onal LOMR application. For of FEMA's process. For actions compliance with Section 7(a)(2) be removed from the SFHA are						
Community Official's Name and Title: Curtis Cherne, Floodplain Administrate	or	Community Name: Be	ernalillo County						
Mailing Address:	Daytime Telephon	e No.: (505) 924-3986	6 Fax No.:						
600 2 nd Street NW Albuquerque, NM 87102	E-Mail Address: c	cherne@cabq.gov							
Community Official's Signature (required):		Date:							
CERTIFICATION BY REGISTERED PROFESSION		AND/OR LAND SU	JRVEYOR						
This certification is to be signed and sealed by a licensed land surveyor, registered professional engineer, or architect authorized by law to certify elevation information data, hydrologic and hydraulic analysis, and any other supporting information as per NFIP regulations paragraph 65.2(b) and as described in the MT-2 Forms Instructions. All documents submitted in support of this request are correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that any false statement may be punishable by fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1001.									
Certifier's Name: Donald Duneman	License No.: NM	17616 Ex	xpiration Date: 12-31-2016						
Company Name: Wilson & Company, Inc.	Telephone No.: 5	05-348-4000 Fa	ax No.: 505-348-4072						
Signature:	Date:	E-Mail Address: do	nald.duneman@wilsonco.com						

Structures: Channelization Is levee/Floodwall Stridge/Culvert Image: Oran Fill Other (Attach Description) 6. Documentation of ESA compliance is submitted (required to initiate CLOMR review). Please refer to the instructions for more information. 7. C. REVIEW FEE Has the review fee for the appropriate request category been included? Image: Structures Please see the DHS FEMA Web site at http://www.fema gov/plan/prevent/flm/fm_fees.ahtm for Fee Amounts and Exemptions. Please see the DHS FEMA Web site at http://www.fema gov/plan/prevent/flm/fm_fees.ahtm for Fee Amounts and Exemptions. Please see the DHS FEMA Web site at http://www.fema gov/plan/prevent/flm/fm_fees.ahtm for Fee Amounts and Exemptions. D. SIGNATURE All documents submitted in support of this request are corred to the best of my knowledge. Lunderstand that any false statement may be punishable by fine or imprisonwend WR 160? Name: Jerry Lovato Company: AMAPCA Mailing Address: Day: Eval Address: Jourise of the appropriate are corred to the best of my knowledge. Lunderstand that any false statement may be punishable by fine or imprisonwent fine the previson or indicate correspan y feeling of the more statement on the state or correspan y feeling of the more statement on the state or correspan y feeling of themore statement on the state agen or indicate	b. The area of revision encom	passes the following structures (check	all that apply)							
	Structures:	Channelization	/ee/Floodwall I Bridge/Culvert							
C. REVIEW FEE Has the review fee for the appropriate request category been included? Image: See amount: \$7,150.00 Image: Decision of the appropriate request category been included? Image: Decision of the appropriate request are correct to the best of my knowledge. Lunderstand that any false statement may be punishable by face or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1001. Name: Jerry Lovato Company: AMAFCA Mailing Address: Daytime Telephone No: 505-884-2215 Fax NO:: 2000 Prospect Avenue, NE Daytime Telephone No: 505-884-2215 Fax NO:: Signature of Requester (required): Date: Company: AMAFCA COMPUTED Telephone No: 505-884-2215 Fax NO:: E-Mail Address: Signature of Requester (required): Date: Company: AMAFCA COMPUTED Telephone No: 505-884-2215 Fax NO:: E-Mail Address: COMPUTED Telephone No: 506-884-2215 Fax NO:: Fax NO:: COMPUTED Telephone No: 506-884-2215 Fax NO:: Fax NO:: COMPUTED Telephone No: 506-884-2216 <td></td> <td>🛛 Dam 🔲 Fill</td> <td></td> <td>Other (Attach Descri</td> <td>ption)</td>		🛛 Dam 🔲 Fill		Other (Attach Descri	ption)					
C. REVIEW FEE Has the review fee for the appropriate request category been included? Image: See amount: \$7,150.00 Image: Decision of the appropriate request category been included? Image: Decision of the appropriate request are correct to the best of my knowledge. Lunderstand that any false statement may be punishable by face or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1001. Name: Jerry Lovato Company: AMAFCA Mailing Address: Daytime Telephone No: 505-884-2215 Fax NO:: 2000 Prospect Avenue, NE Daytime Telephone No: 505-884-2215 Fax NO:: Signature of Requester (required): Date: Company: AMAFCA COMPUTED Telephone No: 505-884-2215 Fax NO:: E-Mail Address: Signature of Requester (required): Date: Company: AMAFCA COMPUTED Telephone No: 505-884-2215 Fax NO:: E-Mail Address: COMPUTED Telephone No: 506-884-2215 Fax NO:: Fax NO:: COMPUTED Telephone No: 506-884-2215 Fax NO:: Fax NO:: COMPUTED Telephone No: 506-884-2216 <td colspan="10"></td>										
Has the review fee for the appropriate request category been included? I Yes Fee amount: \$7,150.00 No, Attach Explanation Ptease see the DHS-FEMA Web site at http://www.fema gov/plan/prevent/flmv/fmr. fees.shtm for Fee Amounts and Exemptions. D. SIGNATURE All documents submitted in support of this request are correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that any false statement may be punishable by fire or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1001. Name: Jerry Lovato Company: AMAFCA Mailing Address: 2000 Prospead Avenue, NE Albuquerque, NM 67107 Signature of Requester (required): As the community official responsible for floodplain management, I hareby acknowledge that we have received and reviewed this Letter of Map Revision (LOMR) or conditional LOMR request. Based upon the community's review, we find the completed or proposed project meets or is designed to meet all necessary Federal, State, and local permits have been, or in the case of a conditional LOMR, will be obtained. For Conditional LOMR request, the applicant has documented Endergreed Seciels AC (ESA) compliance to FEMA for the FEMA for the Verse of the Conditional LOMR request, the applicant has documented Endergreed Seciels AC (ESA) compliance to FEMA for the Verse of the Conditional LOMR request, the applicant has documented Endergreed Seciels AC (ESA) compliance to FEMA for the Verse of the Conditional LOMR request, the applicant has documented Endergreed Seciels AC (ESA) compliance to FEMA for the Verse of the Conditional LOMR request, the applicant has documented Endergreed Seciels AC (ESA) compliance with Sections 9 and 10 of the ESA has been achieved independentity of FEMA is process, for actions or with sections 9 and 10 of the ESA has been achieved independentity of FEMA is process. For actions or with sections 9 and 10 of the test has been achieved independentity of FEMA is process. Community Official's Name and Title: Don Briggs, Floodplain Administrator Community Official's Signature (required):	 Documentation of ESA compl 	liance is submitted (required to initiate	CLOMR review). Pl	ease refer to the instructi	ons for more information.					
Has the review fee for the appropriate request category been included? I Yes Fee amount: \$7,150.00 No, Attach Explanation Ptease see the DHS-FEMA Web site at http://www.fema gov/plan/prevent/flmv/fmr. fees.shtm for Fee Amounts and Exemptions. D. SIGNATURE All documents submitted in support of this request are correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that any false statement may be punishable by fire or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1001. Name: Jerry Lovato Company: AMAFCA Mailing Address: 2000 Prospead Avenue, NE Albuquerque, NM 67107 Signature of Requester (required): As the community official responsible for floodplain management, I hareby acknowledge that we have received and reviewed this Letter of Map Revision (LOMR) or conditional LOMR request. Based upon the community's review, we find the completed or proposed project meets or is designed to meet all necessary Federal, State, and local permits have been, or in the case of a conditional LOMR, will be obtained. For Conditional LOMR request, the applicant has documented Endergreed Seciels AC (ESA) compliance to FEMA for the FEMA for the Verse of the Conditional LOMR request, the applicant has documented Endergreed Seciels AC (ESA) compliance to FEMA for the Verse of the Conditional LOMR request, the applicant has documented Endergreed Seciels AC (ESA) compliance to FEMA for the Verse of the Conditional LOMR request, the applicant has documented Endergreed Seciels AC (ESA) compliance to FEMA for the Verse of the Conditional LOMR request, the applicant has documented Endergreed Seciels AC (ESA) compliance with Sections 9 and 10 of the ESA has been achieved independentity of FEMA is process, for actions or with sections 9 and 10 of the ESA has been achieved independentity of FEMA is process. For actions or with sections 9 and 10 of the test has been achieved independentity of FEMA is process. Community Official's Name and Title: Don Briggs, Floodplain Administrator Community Official's Signature (required):										
Image: Please see the DHS-FEMA Web site at http://www.fema.gov/plan/prevent/hm/fm_fees.shtm for Fee Amounts and Exemptions. D. SIGNATURE All documents submitted in support of this request are correct to the best of my knowledge. Tunderstand that any false statement may be punishable by fine or imprisonment under Tite 18 of the United States Code, Section 1001. Name: Jerry Lovato Company: AMAFCA Mailing Address: Daytime Telephone No.: 505-884-2215 Fax. No.: 2000 Prospect Avenue, NE Abuquerque, NM 87107 Fax. No.: Signature of Requester (required): Date: Date: As the community official responsible for floodplain management, I hereby acknowledge that we have received and reviewed this Letter of Map Revision (LOMR) or conditional LOMR request. Based upon the community streview, we find the complated or proposed project meets or is designed to meet all application. For LOMR request. Based upon the community streview, we find the scenaptiel of the requested has been achieved in the regulatory floodpian management requirements for all of the SAM streview of the Conditional LOMR application. For actions authorized, funded, or being carried out by Federal or State agencies, documentation from the agency showing its compliance with Sections 7 and 10 of the SAM steeview of the Conditional LOMR application. For actions authorized, funded, or being carried out by Federal or State agencies, documentation from the agency showing its compliance with Sections 7 and 10 of the SAM steeview of the Conditional LOMR application. For actions authorized, funded, or being carried out by Federal or State agencies, documentation from the agency showing its compliance with	C. REVIEW FEE									
Please see the DHS-FEMA Web site at http://www.fema.gov/plan/prevent/fhm/fmr_fees.shtm for Fee Amounts and Exemptions. D. SIGNATURE All documents submitted in support of this request are correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that any false statement may be punishable by fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1001. Name: Jerry Lovato Company: AMAFCA Mailing Address: Daytime Telephone No.: 505-884-2215 Fax No.: 2000 Prospect Avenue, NE Daytime Telephone No.: 505-884-2215 Fax No.: Signature of Requester (required): Date: Ac the community official responsible for floodplain management. I hereby acknowledge that we have received and reviewed this Letter of Map Revision receives any Federal. State, and local permits have been, or in the case of a conditional LOMR, will be edialined, or being cardiod to the federal or Stata agency. Storing for to FEMA's process. For actions a uthorized, funded, or being cardiod or Stata agency, should be explained that agency showling its compliance with Section 78 and 10.0MR requests, the applicant has deal or obtime to file of agency store or will be reasonably safe from flooding as defined in other 48.11 [ESA will be submitted. In addition, we have determined that the land and any existing or proposed structures to be removed from the SFHA are or will be reasonably safe from flooding as defined in 0.44CF RE 52.2(c), and that we have available upon request by FEMA, all analyses and documentation used to make this determined that the land and any existing or proposed structures to be removed from the SFHA are or will be reasonably safe from flooding	Has the review fee for the appropriate request category been included? Xes Fee amount: \$7,150.00									
D. SIGNATURE All documents submitted in support of this request are correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that any false statement may be punishable by fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1001. Name: Jerry Lovato Company: AMAFCA Mailing Address: Daytime Telephone No.: 505-884-2215 Fax No.: 2000 Prospect Avenue, NE Daytime Telephone No.: 505-884-2215 Fax No.: Signature of Requester (required): Date: As the community official responsible for floodplain management, I hereby acknowledge that we have received and reviewed this Latter of Map Revision (LOMR) or conditional LOMR request, Based upon the community's review, we find the completed or proposed project meets or is designed to meet any proposed project meets or is designed to meet any requests, the applicant has documented fundingered Species ACI (ESA) compliance to FEM Aprior to FEMA's eview of the Conditional LOMR requests, the applicant has documented funding as defined or proposed project meets or is designed to meet any or will be reasonably safe from flooding as defined or is tata agency showing its compliance with Section 78 and 10 of the ESA has been achieved independently of FEMA's process. For actions any or will be reasonably safe from flooding as defined in 14 dCFR 65.2(c), and that we have available upon request by FEMA, all analyses and documentation used to make this determination. Community Official's Name and Title: Don Briggs, Floodplain Administrator Community Name: County of Bernalillo Mailing Address: Daytime Telephone No.: (505) 848-1510 EAMI Address: dtrings@bemco.gov </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>Ę</td> <td>] No, Attach Explanation</td> <td>1</td>			Ę] No, Attach Explanation	1					
All documents submitted in support of this request are correct to the best of my knowledge. Lunderstand that any false statement may be punishable by fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1001. Name: Jerry Lovato Company: AMAFCA Mailing Address: Daytime Telephone No.: 505-884-2215 Fax No.: 2600 Prospect Avenue, NE Abuquerque, NM 87107 Date: Signature of Requester (required): Date: As the community official responselue on foodplain management. I hareby every were with a decine to a community's review. With a during the requirements for when fill is placed in the regulatory floodway, and that all of the community foodplain management requirements including the requirements for when fill is placed in the conditional LOMR requests, the application. For CMM requests, the application and toolar pemits have been, or in the case of a conditional LOMR request, to a ESA has been achieved independently of EEMA's provides of the Conditional LOMR request, the application sets of state engencies, documentation from the agency showing its compliance with Sections 9 and 10 of the asse of a conditional LOMR will be obstituted. To addition, we have determined and any existing or proposed structures to be removed from the SFHA are or will be constructed. Indeed on the Sci State and any existing or proposed structures to be removed from the SFHA are or will be reasonably safe from flooding as defined in 44CPR 65.2(c), and that we have available upon request by FEMA, all analyses and documentation used to make this determination. Community Official's Signature (required): Daytime Telephone No.: (505) 848-1511 Fax No.: (505) 848-1510	Please see the DHS-FEMA Web site	at http://www.fema.gov/plan/prevent/f	hm/frm_fees.shtm f	or Fee Amounts and Ex	cemptions.					
fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1001. Name: Jerry Lovato Company: AMAFCA Mailing Address: Daytime Telephone No.: 505-884-2215 Fax No.: 2600 Prospect Avenue, NE E-Mail Address: Journame 3ignature of Requester (required): Date: Image: Journame As the community official responsible for floodplain management, I hereby acknowledge that we have received and reviewed this Letter of Map Revision (LOMR) or conditional LOMR request. Based upon the community for the community foodplain management requirements, including the requirements for when fills is placed in the regulatory floodya, and that all necessary Federal, State, and Iccal permits have been, or in the case of a conditional LOMR service of the conditional LOMR requests, the applicant has fave for the fill splaced in the regulatory floodya, and that all necessary Federal, State, and Iccal permits have been, or in the case of a conditional LOMR service of the conditional LOMR requests, the applicant has for the fill State, and Iccal permits have been, or in the case of a conditional LOMR service of the conditional LOMR requests, the applicant has fording as defined in 442CR BG 52(c), and that we have available upon request by FEMA; sprocess, For actions uthorized, uncells or indonida y a defined in 442CR BG 52(c), and that we have available upon request by FEMA, all analyses and documented for hooding as defined in 442CR BG 52(c), and that we have available upon request by FEMA, all analyses and documentation used to make this determination. Community Official's Signature (required): Daytime Telephone No.: (505) 848-1511 Fax No.: (505) 848-1510		D. SIG	NATURE							
Mailing Address: Daytime Telephone No.: 505-884-2215 Fax No.: 2600 Prospect Avenue, NE Abuquerque, NM 87107 E-Mail Address: jovato@amafca.org Signature of Requester (required): Date:				derstand that any false s	tatement may be punishable by					
2600 Prospect Avenue, NE E-Mail Address: jlovato@amafca.org Signature of Requester (required): Date: As the community official responsible for floodplain management, I hereby acknowledge that we have received and reviewed this Letter of Map Revision (LOMR or conditional LOMR request. Based upon the community's review, we find the completed or propsed project meets or is designed to meet all received and reviewed this Letter of Map Revision (LOMR request. Based upon the community's review, we find the completed or propsed project meets or is designed to meet all received and context of the community floodplain management requirements, for when fill is placed in the regulatory floodway, and that all received and countent of Endangered Species Act (ESA) compliance with Sections 9 and 10 of the ESA has been achieved independently of FEMA's process. For actions authorized, funded, or being carried out by Federal or State agencies, documentation from the agency showing its compliance with Section 7(a)(2) of the ESA will be submitted. In addition, we have determined that the land and any existing or propsed structures to be removed from the SFHA are or will be reasonably safe from flooding as defined in 44CFR 65.2(c), and that we have available upon request by FEMA, all analyses and documentation used to make this determination. Community Official's Name and Title: Don Briggs, Floodplain Administrator Community Ame: County of Bernalillo Mailing Address: Daytime Telephone No.: (505) 848-1511 Fax No.: (505) 848-1510 2400 Broadway SE, Albuquerque, NM 87102 Date: Community Afficial's Signature (required); <td colse<<="" td=""><td>Name: Jerry Lovato</td><td></td><td>Company: AMA</td><td>CA</td><td></td></td>	<td>Name: Jerry Lovato</td> <td></td> <td>Company: AMA</td> <td>CA</td> <td></td>	Name: Jerry Lovato		Company: AMA	CA					
Albuquerque, NM 87107 E-Mail Address: jovato@amafca.org Signature of Requester (required): Date: As the community official responsible for floodplain management, I hereby acknowledge that we have received and reviewed this Letter of Map Revision (LOMR) or ocnditional LOMR request. Based upon the community's review, we find the completed or proposed project meets or is designed to meet all of the community floodplain management requirements, including the requirements for when fill is placed in the regulatory floodway, and that all necessary Federal, State, and local permits have been, or in the case of a conditional LOMR, will be obtained. For Conditional LOMR requests, the application is of the SA was been achieved independently of FEMA's process. For actions a suthorized, funded, or being carried out by Federal or State agencies, documentation from the agency showing its compliance with Section 79 and 10 of the ESA will be submitted. In addition, we have determined that the land and any existing or proposed structures to be removed from the SFFA are or will be reasonably safe from flooding as defined in 44CFR 55.2(c), and that we have available upon request by FEMA, all analyses and documentation used to make this determination. Community Official's Name and Title: Don Briggs, Floodplain Administrator Community Name: County of Bernailllo Mailing Address: Daytime Telephone No.: (505) 848-1511 Fax No.: (505) 848-1510 2400 Broadway SE, Albuquergue, NM 87102 Date: Community Official's Signature (required): Certification is to be signed and sealed by a licensed land surveyor, registered professional engineer, or architect authorized by law to certify ele		14	Daytime Telephone No.: 505-884-2215 Fax No.:							
As the community official responsible for floodplain management, I hereby acknowledge that we have received and reviewed this Letter of Map Revision (LOMR) or conditional LOMR request. Based upon the community's review, we find the completed or proposed project meets or is designed to meet all of the community floodplain management requirements, including the requirements for when fill is placed in the regulatory floodway, and that all necessary Federal, State, and local permits have been, or in the case of a conditional LOMR, will be obtained. For Conditional LOMR application. For LOMR requests, I acknowledge that compliance with Sections 9 and 10 of the ESA has been achieved independently of FEMA's process. For actions authorized, funded, or being carried our by Federal or State agencies, documentation from the agency showing its compliance with Section 7(a)(2) of the ESA will be submitted. In addition, we have determined that the land and any existing or proposed structures to be removed from the SFHA are of will be reasonably safe from flooding as defined in 44CFR 65.2(c), and that we have available upon request by FEMA, all analyses and documentation used to make this determination. Community Official's Name and Title: Don Briggs, Floodplain Administrator Community Official's Name and Title: Don Briggs, Floodplain Administrator Community Official's Signature (required): Community Official's Signature (required): Community Official's Signature (required): Community Official's Signature (required): Community Official's a licensed land surveyor, registered professional engineer, or architect authorized by law to certify elevation information data, hydrologic and hydraulic analysis, and any other supporting information as per NFIP regulations paragraph 65.2(b) and as described in the MT-2 Forms Instructions. All documents submitted in support for this request arc correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that any false statement may be punishable by fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United Sta			E-Mail Address: jlovato@amafca.org							
(LOMR) or conditional LOMR request. Based upon the community's review, we find the completed or proposed project meets or is designed to meet all of the community floodplain management requirements, including the requirements for when fill is placed in the regulatory floodway, and that all necessary Federal, State, and local permits have been, or in the case of a conditional LOMR, will be obtained. For Conditional LOMR requests, the applicant has documented Endangered Species Act (ESA) compliance to FEMA prior to FEMA's review of the Conditional LOMR application. For LOMR requests, 1 acknowledge that compliance with Sections 9 and 10 of the ESA has been achieved independently of FEMA's process. For actions authorized, funded, or being carried out by Federal or State agencies, documentation from the agency showing its compliance with Section 7(a)(2) of the ESA will be submitted. In addition, we have determined that the tand and and any existing or proposed structures to be removed from the SFHA are or will be reasonably safe from flooding as defined in 44CFR 65.2(c), and that we have available upon request by FEMA, all analyses and documentation used to make this determination. Community Official's Name and Title: Don Briggs, Floodplain Administrator Community Name: County of Bernalillo Mailing Address: Daytime Telephone No.: (505) 848-1511 Fax No.: (505) 848-1510 2400 Broadway SE, Alluquerque, NM 87102 Date: Community Official's Signature (required): CertificArtion By REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER AND/OR LAND SURVEYOR This certification is to be signed and sealed by a licensed land surveyor, registered professional engineer, or architect authorized by law to certify elevation information data, hydro	Signature of Requester (required):		Date:							
Mailing Address: Daytime Telephone No.: (505) 848-1511 Fax No.: (505) 848-1510 2400 Broadway SE, Albuquerque, NM 87102 E-Mail Address: drbriggs@bernco.gov Community Official's Signature (required): Daytime Telephone No.: (505) 848-1511 Fax No.: (505) 848-1510 Community Official's Signature (required): Daytime Telephone No.: (505) 848-1511 Community Official's Signature (required): Date: 6/5/15 CERTIFICATION BY REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER AND/OR LAND SURVEYOR This certification is to be signed and sealed by a licensed land surveyor, registered professional engineer, or architect authorized by law to certify elevation information data, hydrologic and hydraulic analysis, and any other supporting information as per NFIP regulations paragraph 65.2(b) and as described in the MT-2 Forms Instructions. All documents submitted in support of this request are correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that any false statement may be punishable by fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1001. Certifier's Name: Donald Duneman License No.: NM 17616 Expiration Date: 12-31-2016 Company Name: Wilson & Company, Inc. Telephone No.: 505-348-4000 Fax No.: 505-348-4072	(LOMR) or conditional LOMR request. Based upon the community's review, we find the completed or proposed project meets or is designed to meet all of the community floodplain management requirements, including the requirements for when fill is placed in the regulatory floodway, and that all necessary Federal, State, and local permits have been, or in the case of a conditional LOMR, will be obtained. For Conditional LOMR requests, the applicant has documented Endangered Species Act (ESA) compliance to FEMA prior to FEMA's review of the Conditional LOMR application. For LOMR requests, I acknowledge that compliance with Sections 9 and 10 of the ESA has been achieved independently of FEMA's process. For actions authorized, funded, or being carried out by Federal or State agencies, documentation from the agency showing its compliance with Section 7(a)(2) of the ESA will be submitted. In addition, we have determined that the land and any existing or proposed structures to be removed from the SFHA are or will be reasonably safe from flooding as defined in 44CFR 65.2(c), and that we have available upon request by FEMA, all analyses and									
2400 Broadway SE, E-Mail Address: drbriggs@bernco.gov Community Official's Signature (required): Date: 6/5/15 CERTIFICATION BY REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER AND/OR LAND SURVEYOR This certification is to be signed and sealed by a licensed land surveyor, registered professional engineer, or architect authorized by law to certify elevation information data, hydrologic and hydraulic analysis, and any other supporting information as per NFIP regulations paragraph 65.2(b) and as described in the MT-2 Forms Instructions. All documents submitted in support of this request are correct to the best of my knowledge. 1 understand that any false statement may be punishable by fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1001. Certifier's Name: Donald Duneman License No.: NM 17616 Expiration Date: 12-31-2016 Company Name: Wilson & Company, Inc. Telephone No.: 505-348-4000 Fax No.: 505-348-4072	Community Official's Name and Title:	Don Briggs, Floodplain Administrator	Community Name: County of Bernalillo							
Albuquerque, NM 87102 E-Mail Address: drbriggs@bernco.gov Community Official's Signature (required): Date: 6/5/15 Date: Date: CERTIFICATION BY REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER AND/OR LAND SURVEYOR This certification is to be signed and sealed by a licensed land surveyor, registered professional engineer, or architect authorized by law to certify elevation information data, hydrologic and hydraulic analysis, and any other supporting information as per NFIP regulations paragraph 65.2(b) and as described in the MT-2 Forms Instructions. All documents submitted in support of this request are correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that any false statement may be punishable by fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1001. Certifier's Name: Donald Duneman License No.: NM 17616 Expiration Date: 12-31-2016 Company Name: Wilson & Company, Inc. Telephone No.: 505-348-4000 Fax No.: 505-348-4072			Daytime Telepho	ne No.: (505) 848-1511	Fax No.: (505) 848-1510					
Community Official's Signature (required): Date: 6/5/15 Date: 6/5/15 CERTIFICATION BY REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER AND/OR LAND SURVEYOR This certification is to be signed and sealed by a licensed land surveyor, registered professional engineer, or architect authorized by law to certify elevation information data, hydrologic and hydraulic analysis, and any other supporting information as per NFIP regulations paragraph 65.2(b) and as described in the MT-2 Forms Instructions. All documents submitted in support of this request are correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that any false statement may be punishable by fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1001. Certifier's Name: Donald Duneman License No.: NM 17616 Expiration Date: 12-31-2016 Company Name: Wilson & Company, Inc. Telephone No.: 505-348-4000 Fax No.: 505-348-4072			E-Mail Address:	drbriggs@bernco.gov	1					
This certification is to be signed and sealed by a licensed land surveyor, registered professional engineer, or architect authorized by law to certify elevation information data, hydrologic and hydraulic analysis, and any other supporting information as per NFIP regulations paragraph 65.2(b) and as described in the MT-2 Forms Instructions. All documents submitted in support of this request are correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that any false statement may be punishable by fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1001. Certifier's Name: Donald Duneman License No.: NM 17616 Expiration Date: 12-31-2016 Company Name: Wilson & Company, Inc. Telephone No.: 505-348-4000 Fax No.: 505-348-4072		red): DouBu	iggs	Date: 6/5/	15					
elevation information data, hydrologic and hydraulic analysis, and any other supporting information as per NFIP regulations paragraph 65.2(b) and as described in the MT-2 Forms Instructions. All documents submitted in support of this request are correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that any false statement may be punishable by fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1001. Certifier's Name: Donald Duneman License No.: NM 17616 Expiration Date: 12-31-2016 Company Name: Wilson & Company, Inc. Telephone No.: 505-348-4000 Fax No.: 505-348-4072	CERTIFICATIO	ON BY REGISTERED PROFESSI	ONAL ENGINEE	R AND/OR LAND SU	RVEYOR					
Company Name: Wilson & Company, Inc. Telephone No.: 505-348-4000 Fax No.: 505-348-4072	This certification is to be signed and sealed by a licensed land surveyor, registered professional engineer, or architect authorized by law to certify elevation information data, hydrologic and hydraulic analysis, and any other supporting information as per NFIP regulations paragraph 65.2(b) and as described in the MT-2 Forms Instructions. All documents submitted in support of this request are correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that									
	Certifier's Name: Donald Duneman		License No.: NN	17616 Ex	piration Date: 12-31-2016					
Signature: Date: E-Mail Address: donald.duneman@wilsonco.com	Company Name: Wilson & Company,	, Inc.	Telephone No.:	505-348-4000 Fax	x No.: 505-348-4072					
	Signature:		Date:	E-Mail Address: don	ald.duneman@wilsonco.com					

Ensure the forms that are appropriate to your revision request are included in your submittal.						
Form Name and (Number)	Required if					
Riverine Hydrology and Hydraulics Form (Form 2)	New or revised discharges or water-surface elevations					
Riverine Structures Form (Form 3)	Channel is modified, addition/revision of bridge/culverts, addition/revision of levee/floodwall, addition/revision of dam					
Coastal Analysis Form (Form 4)	New or revised coastal elevations					
Coastal Structures Form (Form 5)	Addition/revision of coastal structure	Seal (Optional)				
Alluvial Fan Flooding Form (Form 6)	Flood control measures on alluvial fans					

MT-2 (FORM 2) riverine hydrology & hydraulics form

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY RIVERINE HYDROLOGY & HYDRAULICS FORM

PAPERWORK BURDEN DISCLOSURE NOTICE

Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 3.5 hours per response. The burden estimate includes the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the needed data, and completing, reviewing, and submitting the form. You are not required to respond to this collection of information unless a valid OMB control number appears in the upper right corner of this form. Send comments regarding the accuracy of the burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing this burden to: Information Collections Management, Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 1800 South Bell Street, Arlington VA 20958-3005, Paperwork Reduction Project (1660-0016). Submission of the form is required to obtain or retain benefits under the National Flood Insurance Program. **Please do not send your completed survey to the above address.**

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

AUTHORITY: The National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, Public Law 90-448, as amended by the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, Public Law 93-234.

PRINCIPAL PURPOSE(S): This information is being collected for the purpose of determining an applicant's eligibility to request changes to National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM).

ROUTINE USE(S): The information on this form may be disclosed as generally permitted under 5 U.S.C § 552a(b) of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended. This includes using this information as necessary and authorized by the routine uses published in DHS/FEMA/NFIP/LOMA-1 National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP); Letter of Map Amendment (LOMA) February 15, 2006, 71 FR 7990.

DISCLOSURE: The disclosure of information on this form is voluntary; however, failure to provide the information requested may delay or prevent FEMA from processing a determination regarding a requested change to a NFIP Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM).

Flooding Source: Boca Negra Arroyo

Note: Fill out one form for each flooding source studied

Reason for New Hydrologic Analysis (check all that apply)

A. HYDROLOGY

	readen for real right or give r mary								
	Not revised (skip to section B)		□ No existing analysis		Improved data				
	Alternative methodology		Proposed Conditions (CLO	MR) 🛛	Changed physical Change	sical condition of watershed			
2.	2. Comparison of Representative 1%-Annual-Chance Discharges								
	Location	Drainage	e Area (Sq. Mi.)	Effective/FIS	(cfs)	Revised (cfs)			
W	est of Atrisco Drive	2.12	894			1,218			
Sc	outh Branch Confluence	4.38	1,653	1		1,208			
Do	wnstream Confluence	7.20	2,912			1,660			
3.	Methodology for New Hydrologic	Analysis (chec	k all that apply)						
	Statistical Analysis of Gage R	ecords 🛛 🗵	Precipitation/Runoff Model	→ Specify Mode	el: <u>AHYMO_97</u>				
	Regional Regression Equation	ns 🗌	Other (please attach descri	ption)					
	Please enclose all relevant mode new analysis.	ls in digital form	at, maps, computations (inclu	ding computatio	n of parameters)), and documentation to support the			
4.	Review/Approval of Analysis								
	If your community requires a region	onal, state, or fe	ederal agency to review the hy	drologic analysi	s, please attach	evidence of approval/review.			
5.	Impacts of Sediment Transport or	n Hydrology							
	Is the hydrology for the revised flooding source(s) affected by sediment transport? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No								
	If yes, then fill out Section F (Sediment Transport) of Form 3. If No, then attach your explanation								

B. HYDRAULICS

1. Reach to be Revised					
	Descript	tion	Cross Section	Water-Surface	Elevations (ft.)
				Effective	Proposed/Revised
Downstream Limit*	800 ft east of Un	ser Blvd	<u>A</u>	5204.29	<u>5199.33</u>
Upstream Limit*	655 ft upstream o	of Dam	<u>F</u>	5353.24	5352.71
*Proposed/Revised elevations mus	st tie-into the Effective e	levations within 0.5	foot at the downstream	and upstream limits of re	vision.
2. Hydraulic Method/Model Used:	River Analysis System	HEC-RAS V 4.1.0	DY USACE		
 Pre-Submittal Review of Hydra DHS-FEMA has developed two respectively. We recommend t 	review programs, CHE	CK-2 and CHECK-R C-2 and HEC-RAS r	AS, to aid in the review	of HEC-2 and HEC-RAS	hydraulic models,
4. Models Submitted	Natura			loodway Run	<u>Datum</u>
Duplicate Effective Model*	File Name:	Plan Name:	File Name: Boca Negra Arro	Plan Name: oyo Efftive Multip	
Corrected Effective Model*	File Name:	Plan Name:	File Name: Boca Negra Arro	Plan Name:	
Existing or Pre-Project Conditions Model	File Name:	Plan Name:	File Name:	Plan Name:	
Revised or Post-Project Conditions Model	File Name:	Plan Name:	File Name: BNA Prop Upst I	Plan Name: Dam Proposed Upstre	
Other - (attach description)	File Name:	Plan Name:	File Name: BNA Prop Dwn D	Plan Name: am_ ProposedDownst	
* For details, refer to the correspor	nding section of the instr	ructions.			
	🛛 Di	gital Models Submit	ted? (Required)		
	c	. MAPPING REC	QUIREMENTS		
A certified topographic work ma and proposed conditions 1%-annu floodplains and regulatory floodwa indicated; stream, road, and other property; certification of a registere referenced vertical datum (NGVD, Topographic Information: Lidar, as	al-chance floodplain (for y (for detailed Zone AE, alignments (e.g., dams, ed professional engineer NAVD, etc.).	r approximate Zone AO, and AH revisio levees, etc.); currer registered in the su al Mapping (GIS/CA	A revisions) or the bour ns); location and alignm at community easement	ndaries of the 1%- and 0.2 nent of all cross sections of s and boundaries; bounda d description of reference	2%-annual-chance with stationing control aries of the requester's
Source: As-built drawings		Date:	November 2014		
Accuracy: <u>1' contour interval</u>					
Note that the boundaries of the eximust tie-in with the effective floodp scale as the original, annotated to the boundaries of the effective 1% revision.	blain and regulatory flood show the boundaries of	dway boundaries. Pl the revised 1%-and	ease attach a copy of t 0.2%-annual-chance fl	the effective FIRM and/c oodplains and regulatory	or FBFM, at the same floodway that tie-in with

Annotated FIRM and/or FBFM (Required)

D. COMMON REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS*

1.	For LOMR/CLOMR requests, do Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) increase?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	a. For CLOMR requests, if either of the following is true, please submit evidence of compliance with Section 65.12 of the	NFIP regulations:
	The proposed project encroaches upon a regulatory floodway and would result in increases above 0.00 foot compa conditions.	ared to pre-project
	 The proposed project encroaches upon a SFHA with or without BFEs established and would result in increases ab compared to pre-project conditions. 	ove 1.00 foot
	b. Does this LOMR request cause increase in the BFE and/or SFHA compared with the effective BFEs and/or SFHA? If Yes, please attach proof of property owner notification and acceptance (if available) . Elements of and examples notifications can be found in the MT-2 Form 2 Instructions.	Yes D No of property owner
2.	Does the request involve the placement or proposed placement of fill?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
	If Yes, the community must be able to certify that the area to be removed from the special flood hazard area, to include any si proposed structures, meets all of the standards of the local floodplain ordinances, and is reasonably safe from flooding in acc NFIP regulations set forth at 44 CFR 60.3(A)(3), 65.5(a)(4), and 65.6(a)(14). Please see the MT-2 instructions for more inform	ordance with the
З.	For LOMR requests, is the regulatory floodway being revised?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
	If Yes, attach evidence of regulatory floodway revision notification . As per Paragraph 65.7(b)(1) of the NFIP Regulations required for requests involving revisions to the regulatory floodway. (Not required for revisions to approximate 1%-annual-cha [studied Zone A designation] unless a regulatory floodway is being established. Elements and examples of regulatory floodway notification can be found in the MT-2 Form 2 Instructions.)	ince floodplains
4.	For CLOMR requests, please submit documentation to FEMA and the community to show that you have complied with Section Endangered Species Act (ESA).	ns 9 and 10 of the
	actions authorized, funded, or being carried out by Federal or State agencies, please submit documentation from the a npliance with Section 7(a)(2) of the ESA. Please see the MT-2 instructions for more detail.	gency showing its

* Not inclusive of all applicable regulatory requirements. For details, see 44 CFR parts 60 and 65.

Comments to MT-2 Form 2: Riverine Hydrology & Hydraulics Form

Section A: Hydrology

The Punch Hyd command was used to recall flows directly from the drainage studies for The Trails Subdivision, SAD 228 Ponds 4, 5 and 6 and also the South Branch of Boca Negra Arroyo. See Plate 1, Current Conditions Basin Boundary Map for pond location. SAD 228 Pond 4 is located east of Unser Boulevard and Compass Drive intersection discharging 18 cfs. SAD Pond 5 is located at the northeast corner of intersection of Compass Drive and Petirrojo Road discharging 37 cfs. Pond 6 is located on the south edge of SAD 228 contributes 51 cfs to the Boca Negra Arroyo upstream of Tesuque Drive. See Pond 4, 5 and 6 Grading Plan sheet 109A, 110R and 111R of 156 in Appendix 3. The Unser Boulevard temporary ponds A and B drain into temporary Pond C with a 30 in. RCP outlet pipe discharging a maximum of 19 cfs. This pipe ultimately ties into the existing 54 in. RCP in Unser Boulevard. Peak flows from these areas were added to the outflow from the primary principal spillway from the dam. See Atrisco Storm Drain Plan & Profile sheet 43 of 62 in Appendix 3.

A 72 in. RCP in Emerald Drive conveys 378 cfs through SAD 227 to the South Branch just east of Unser Boulevard (399 cfs per as-built). See SAD 227 Storm Drain & Profile Emerald Drive sheet 63A of 135. Non- contributing basins to the Boca Negra Arroyo as shown on Plate 1, Current Conditions Basin Boundary Map are either retained on site or bypassed to the San Antonio Arroyo per Boca Negra – Mariposa Arroyo Drainage Management Plan (DMP) dated April 2005. See Appendix 5, Referenced Documents Vista Vieja Current Basin Boundary Map, SAD 227 Grading and Storm Drain Plan & Profile sheets 125A to 127A of 159, SAD 227 Storm Drain Plan & Profile, Onyx Drive NW sheet 71A of 135 and Vista Vieja Phase I Paving and Storm drain Plan & Profile Vista Vieja Avenue, NW sheet 14 of 35.

A hydrologic model for the Post Project Conditions (Current Conditions) using current rainfall data for the 100 year storm event was developed. See Appendix 1 for Rainfall data and AHYMO files, and Appendix 7 for Plate 1, Current Conditions Basin Boundary Map for results. Based on the hydrologic model, total inflow to the dam is 1,287 cfs and the outflow is 1,067 cfs. The maximum water surface elevation of the dam was calculated at 5338.61 ft. The Boca Negra Detention Dam was designed in between 2005 and 2012 to detain a flood as a result of the 100 year 24 hour storm event below the crest of the emergency spillway elevation of 5338.20 using NOAA 14 rainfall data from 2005. The current conditions model indicates flows will overtop the emergency spillway due to an increase in the precipitation data for 2014. The stepped soil cement emergency spillway is 17 ft wide and 419 ft long with an emergency spillway crest elevation of 5338.20 ft. See Appendix 3, Boca Negra Detention Dam As-Built drawings. The primary principal and secondary principal spillways are

48 in. x 48 in. CBCs. The primary principal spillway is capable of conveying 372 cfs through an underground storm drain to the confluence with the South Branch. The secondary principal spillway and emergency spillway drain on the surface via a concrete lined low flow channel under the Unser Boulevard Bridge to the Boca Negra Arroyo. Accumulated flows downstream of the Dam were routed based on the existing pipe sizes. See AHYMO calculations and flowchart in Appendix 1. The existing culvert crossings in Atrisco Vista Boulevard were not included in the AHYMO calculations since the culverts have sufficient capacity to convey the runoff with minimal to no attenuation or

accumulated backwater. See Plate 1, Current Conditions Basin Boundary Map for existing culverts size and location.

The total surface flow in the Boca Negra Arroyo downstream of the dam is 695 cfs just downstream of Unser Boulevard; 1,110 cfs upstream of the confluence with the South Branch, 1,147 cfs downstream of the confluence, 1,149 cfs at Tesuque Drive; and 1,151 cfs at San Ildefonso Drive.

Section B: Hydraulics

Four HEC-RAS models were developed for the study and utilized to compare water surface elevations. The models consist of the effective model, a duplicate effective model and two proposed models. The effective model consists of existing FEMA cross sections with updated flows while the duplicate effective model utilizes updated topography. The two current conditions models include the new dam and consist of: 1) from the east boundary of the North Geologic Window to the dam including four reaches of Upper, Mid, Split Flow and Lower; and 2) from the dam to San Ildefonso Drive bridge crossing, 4,500 ft downstream of the confluence with the South Branch. The models were developed for the 1% annual chance storm event. Based on the existing topography, the main channel is diverted south just downstream of Resolana Place due to a berm that has been constructed on the main arroyo. The capacity of the arroyo is exceeded just downstream of the berm where it overflows to the north branch. The overflow was computed based on the capacity of the arroyo. Due to updated hydrologic analysis and the dam, flows were revised and water surface elevations are modified based on the more detailed data for the current conditions. See Appendix 2 for the Summary Tables, Profile and Cross Sections.

MT-2 (FORM 3) RIVERINE STRUCTURES FORM

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY **RIVERINE STRUCTURES FORM**

O.M.B. NO. 1660-0016 Expires February 28, 2014

PAPERWORK BURDEN DISCLOSURE NOTICE

Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 7 hours per response. The burden estimate includes the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the needed data, and completing, reviewing, and submitting the form. You are not required to respond to this collection of information unless a valid OMB control number appears in the upper right corner of this form. Send comments regarding the accuracy of the burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing this burden to: Information Collections Management, Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 1800 South Bell Street, Arlington, VA 20598-3005, Paperwork Reduction Project (1660-0016). Submission of the form is required to obtain or retain benefits under the National Flood Insurance Program. Please do not send your completed survey to the above address.

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

AUTHORITY: The National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, Public Law 90-448, as amended by the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, Public Law 93-234.

PRINCIPAL PURPOSE(S): This information is being collected for the purpose of determining an applicant's eligibility to request changes to National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM).

ROUTINE USE(S): The information on this form may be disclosed as generally permitted under 5 U.S.C § 552a(b) of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended. This includes using this information as necessary and authorized by the routine uses published in DHS/FEMA/NFIP/LOMA-1 National Flood Insurance Program; Letter of Map Amendment (LOMA) February 15, 2006, 71 FR 7990.

DISCLOSURE: The disclosure of information on this form is voluntary; however, failure to provide the information requested may delay or prevent FEMA from processing a determination regarding a requested change to a NFIP Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM).

Flooding Source: Boca Negra Arroyo

Note: Fill out one form for each flooding source studied.

		A. GENERAL			
Compl	ete the appropriate section(s) for each Structure list Channelizationcomplete Section B Bridge/Culvertcomplete Section C Damcomplete Section D Levee/Floodwallcomplete Section E Sediment Transportcomplete Section F (if r				
<u>Descri</u>	ption Of Modeled Structure				
1.	Name of Structure: Boca Negra Detention Dam				
	Type (check one):	Bridge/Culvert	Levee/Floodwall	🛛 Dam	
	Location of Structure: Unser Blvd and Rainbow Blv	d Intersection			
	Downstream Limit/Cross Section: 680 ft west of Ur	nser Blvd/C			
	Upstream Limit/Cross Section: 1,880 ft east of Unse	er Blvd/F			
2.	Name of Structure: Scenic Road Culverts				
	Type (check one):	Bridge/Culvert	Levee/Floodwall	Dam	
	Location of Structure: Scenic Road / Boca Negra A	<u>nrroyo</u>			
	Downstream Limit/Cross Section: 12				
	Upstream Limit/Cross Section: 13				
3.	Name of Structure:				
	Type (check one)	Bridge/Culvert	Levee/Floodwall	🗌 Dam	
	Location of Structure:				
	Downstream Limit/Cross Section:				
	Upstream Limit/Cross Section:				
	NOTE: FOR MORE STRU	ICTURES, ATTACH ADDITION	NAL PAGES AS NEEDED.		

	B.	CHANNELIZATION	
Floc	ding Source:		
Nan	ne of Structure:		
1.	Hydraulic Considerations		
	The channel was designed to carry (cfs) and/or the The design elevation in the channel is based on (check one		
	□ Subcritical flow □ Critical flow	Supercritical flow	Energy grade line
	If there is the potential for a hydraulic jump at the following jump is controlled without affecting the stability of the chan		ach an explanation of how the hydraulic
	Inlet to channel Outlet of channel At Drop	Structures 🔲 At Transitions	
	Other locations (specify):		
2.	Channel Design Plans		
	Attach the plans of the channelization certified by a registe	red professional engineer, as describe	ed in the instructions.
3.	Accessory Structures		
		orop structures Superelevated basin/detention basin [Attach Section	_
	Weir Other (Describe):		
4.	Sediment Transport Considerations		
	Are the hydraulics of the channel affected by sediment transp	oort? 🗌 Yes 🔲 No	
li	f yes, then fill out Section F (Sediment Transport) of Form 3. sidered.		r why sediment transport was not
Floc	ding Source: <u>Boca Negra Arroyo</u>	BRIDGE/CULVERT	
Nan	ne of Structure: Scenic Road Culverts		
1.	This revision reflects (check one):		
	Bridge/culvert not modeled in the FIS		
	Modified bridge/culvert previously modeled in the FIS		
	Revised analysis of bridge/culvert previously modeled in	the FIS	
2.	Hydraulic model used to analyze the structure (e.g., HEC-2 If different than hydraulic analysis for the flooding source, ju the structures. Attach justification.		
3.	Attach plans of the structures certified by a registered profest (check the information that has been provided):	ssional engineer. The plan detail and	information should include the following
	Dimensions (height, width, span, radius, length)	Distances Between Cross S	Sections
	Shape (culverts only)	Erosion Protection	
	X Material	Low Chord Elevations – Up	stream and Downstream
	Beveling or Rounding	Top of Road Elevations – U	lpstream and Downstream
	Wing Wall Angle	Structure Invert Elevations	 Upstream and Downstream
	Skew Angle	Stream Invert Elevations –	Upstream and Downstream
		Cross-Section Locations	
4.	Sediment Transport Considerations		
4.	Sediment Transport Considerations Are the hydraulics of the structure affected by sediment tran	nsport? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No	

	D. DAM/BASIN
	oding Source: <u>Boca Negra Arroyo</u> ne of Structure: <u>Boca Negra Detention Dam</u>
1.	This request is for (check one):
2.	The dam/basin was designed by (check one): 🗌 Federal agency 🗌 State agency 🛛 Private organization 🗋 Local government agency
	Name of the agency or organization: Wilson & Company, Inc.
3.	The Dam was permitted as (check one): Federal Dam State Dam
	Provide the permit or identification number (ID) for the dam and the appropriate permitting agency or organization
	Permit or ID number <u>NM00698</u> Permitting Agency or Organization <u>NMOSE-DSB</u>
	a. 🛛 Local Government Dam 🗌 Private Dam
	Provided related drawings, specification and supporting design information.
4.	Does the project involve revised hydrology? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	If Yes, complete the Riverine Hydrology & Hydraulics Form (Form 2).
	Was the dam/basin designed using critical duration storm? (must account for the maximum volume of runoff)
	Yes, provide supporting documentation with your completed Form 2.
	No, provide a written explanation and justification for not using the critical duration storm.
5.	Does the submittal include debris/sediment yield analysis? 🛛 Yes 🛛 No
	If Yes, then fill out Section F (Sediment Transport). If No, then attach your explanation for why debris/sediment analysis was not considered?
6.	Does the Base Flood Elevation behind the dam/basin or downstream of the dam/basin change? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	If Yes, complete the Riverine Hydrology & Hydraulics Form (Form 2) and complete the table below.
	FREQUENCY (% annual chance) FIS REVISED
	10-year (10%)
	50-year (2%)
	100-year (1%) 5353.4 5338.61 500-year (0.2%)
	Normal Pool Elevation
7.	Please attach a copy of the formal Operation and Maintenance Plan
	E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL

1.	System Elements							
	a. This Levee/Floodwall analysis is based on (check	one):		upgrading of an existing levee/floodwall system		a newly constructed levee/floodwall system		reanalysis of an existing levee/floodwall system
	b. Levee elements and locations are (check one):							
	 earthen embankment, dike, berm, etc. structural floodwall Other (describe): 			—				
	c. Structural Type (check one):	n place reinforced	con	crete 🗌 reinforc	ed co	ncrete masonry bl	ock	☐ sheet piling
	d. Has this levee/floodwall system been certified by a	Federal agency to	o pro	vide protection fro	m the	base flood?		
	Yes No							
	If Yes, by which agency?							
	If Yes, by which agency?							

	e.	Attach certified d	rawings containing the following	information (indicate drawing	sheet numbers):		
		1. Plan of the lev	vee embankment and floodwall s	structures.	:	Sheet Numbers:	
		·	e levee/floodwall system showing	•	,. ,.		
			vall crest and foundation, and clo e BFE, closure opening outlet ar			Sheet Numbers:	
			nd kind of closure.			Sheet Numbers:	
		•	I for the embankment protection			Sheet Numbers:	
		•	out, and size and shape of the level octure, closure structures, and pu			Sheet Numbers:	
2.	<u>Fre</u>	eeboard					
		a. The minimum	freeboard provided above the B	BFE is:			
		<u>Riverine</u>					
			at the downstream end and thro	bughout		🗌 Yes	🗌 No
			at the upstream end	°		 □ Yes	 □ No
		4.0 feet within 10	00 feet upstream of all structures	s and/or constrictions		☐ Yes	🗌 No
		Coastal					
			ne height of the one percent wav elevation or maximum wave runt		ual-chance	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
		2.0 feet above th	ne 1%-annual-chance stillwater s	surge elevation		☐ Yes	🗌 No
			casionally exceptions are made t addressing Paragraph 65.10(b)(1		irement. If an exceptic	on is requested, atta	ch
		If No is answere	d to any of the above, please att	tach an explanation.			
	b.	Is there an indica	tion from historical records that i	ice-jamming can affect the BFI	E? 🗌 Yes [No	
	lf Y	es, provide ice-jan	n analysis profile and evidence t	hat the minimum freeboard dis	cussed above still exis	its.	
3.	<u>CI</u>	osures					
	a.	Openings through	h the levee system (check one):	🗌 exists 🛛 do	es not exist		
	lf	opening exists, list	all closures:				
	Cha	nnel Station	Left or Right Bank	Opening Type	Highest Elevation Opening Inver		Closure Device
(Ext	end	table on an add	ed sheet as needed and refe	rence)			
Note	e: G	eotechnical and	geologic data				
ana	lysis	for the following	d detailed analysis reports, c system features should be 1110-2-1906 Form 2086.)				

4.	Em	bankment Protectior	<u>1</u>							
	a.	The maximum levee slope land side is:								
	b.	The maximum leve	e slope flood si	de is:						
	c.	The range of veloci			e base flood is	:: (min.)	to	(max.)		
	d.	Embankment mater	rial is protected	by (describe	what kind):					
	e.	Riprap Design Para Attach references	ameters (check	one):	Velocity	Пт	ractive str	ess		
				Flow		Curve or		Stone	Riprap	
		Reach	Sideslope	Depth	Velocity	Straight	D ₁₀₀	D ₅₀	Thickness	Depth of Toedown
Sta		to								
Sta		to								
Sta		to								
Sta		to								
Sta		to								
Sta		to								
(Exte	end t	able on an added sh	eet as needed	and reference	each entry)					
	f.	Is a bedding/filter a	nalysis and des	ign attached?	🗌 Yes [] No				
	g.	Describe the analys	sis used for othe	er kinds of pro	tection used (i	nclude copies	of the des	sign analy	vsis):	
Attac	ch en	igineering analysis to	o support const	ruction plans.						
5.	Em	bankment And Foun	dation Stability							
	a.	Identify locations a	and describe the	e basis for sele	ection of critica	I location for a	inalysis:			
			Cto : bo	icht ft						
		Overall height:		-						
		Limiting foundat								
			degrees,		f					
			(h) to							
			eded on an add							
	b.	Specify the emban	kment stability	analysis meth	odology used	(e.g., circular a	arc, sliding	g block, in	ifinite slope, etc.):	
	c.	. Summary of stability analysis results:								

E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL (CONTINUED)								
5. <u>Embank</u>	ment And Fo	undation Stability	(continued)					
Case Loading Conditions				Critica	al Safety	Factor		Criteria (Min.)
I End of construct		truction						1.3
П	Sudden drav	wdown						1.0
Ш	Critical flood	stage						1.4
IV	Steady seep	age at flood stag	je					1.4
VI	Earthquake	(Case I)						1.0
(Reference: l	USACE EM-1	110-2-1913 Table	e 6-1)					
d. Wa	s a seepage a	analysis for the e	mbankment perf	ormed?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No		
lf Y	es, describe r	nethodology use	d:					
		analysis for the fo		med?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No		
		ures at the emba			□ Yes			
		kit gradients cheo			□ Yes			
-		-		t the embankment is _		_		
		-			10	urs.		
Attach e	engineering ar	nalysis to suppor	t construction pla	ans.				
2 Election								
	all And Found	-				· · · · · · · ·	· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	-	s submittal based					Other (specify):	
b. Sta	bility analysis	submitted provid	les for:	Overturning			explain:	
c. Loa	ading included	in the analyses	were:	Lateral earth @ F	P _A =	psf; P _p =	psf	
	Surcharge-SI	ope @,	surface	_psf				
	Wind @ $P_w =$	psf						
	Seepage (Up	lift);	Earth	quake @ P _{eq} =	%g			
□ 1%-	annual-chanc	e significant wav	e height:	ft.				
□ 1%-a	annual-chance	e significant wave	e period:	sec.				
		bility Analysis Re						
Ite	mize for each	range in site lay	out dimension a	nd loading condition lir	mitation	for each respe	ective reach.	
		Criteria	(Min)	Sta		То	Sta	То
Loading C	Condition	Overturn	Sliding	Overturn		Sliding	Overturn	Sliding
Dead & Wind		1.5	1.5	Overtuin	``````````````````````````````````````	Siluing	Overtuin	Siluing
Dead & Wind		1.5	1.5					
Dead, Soil, Fl	and P	1.5	1.5					
Impact	00u, a	1.5	1.5					
Dead, Soil, & Seismic		1.3	1.3					

E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL (CONTINUED)

6. <u>Floodwall And Foundation Stability</u> (continued)

e. Foundation bearing strength for each soil type:

Bearing Pressure	Sustained Load (psf)	Short Term Load (psf)		
Computed design maximum				
Maximum allowable				

	f.	Foundation scour protection \Box is, \Box is not provided. If provid	ded, attac	h explanation and supporting documentation:
		Attach engineering analysis to support construction plans.		
7.	<u>Set</u>	ttlement		
	a.	Has anticipated potential settlement been determined and inco established freeboard margin?	orporated	into the specified construction elevations to maintain the
	b.	The computed range of settlement is ft. to ft.		
	c.	Settlement of the levee crest is determined to be primarily from Other (Describe):	n :	☐ Foundation consolidation ☐ Embankment compression
	d.	Differential settlement of floodwalls 🗌 has 🗌 has not been	accomm	odated in the structural design and construction.
		Attach engineering analysis to support construction plans.		
8.	<u>Inte</u>	erior Drainage		
	a.	Specify size of each interior watershed:		
		Draining to pressure conduit: acres Draining to ponding area: acres		
	b.	Relationships Established		
		Ponding elevation vs. gravity flow] Yes] Yes] Yes	No No No
	c.	The river flow duration curve is enclosed:] Yes	□ No
	d.	Specify the discharge capacity of the head pressure conduit:	cfs	5
	e.	Which flooding conditions were analyzed?		
		 Common storm (River Watershed) Historical ponding probability Coastal wave overtopping] Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No
		If No for any of the above, attach explanation.		
	e.		/ or interio	or and exterior flooding and the capacities of pumping and outlet No If No, attach explanation.
	g.	The rate of seepage through the levee system for the base floo	od is	cfs
	h.	The length of levee system used to drive this seepage rate in it	tem g:	ft.

E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL (CONTINUED) Interior Drainage (continued) Will pumping plants be used for interior drainage? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No

If Yes, include the number of pumping plants: _____ For each pumping plant, list:

8.

i.

			Plant #1	Plant #2		
The	num	ber of pumps				
The	ponc	ling storage capacity				
The	maxi	imum pumping rate				
The	maxi	imum pumping head				
The	pum	ping starting elevation				
The	pum	ping stopping elevation				
Is th	e dis	charge facility protected?				
Is th	ere a	a flood warning plan?				
How and		ch time is available between warning ling?				
Will	the o	peration be automatic?	☐ Yes	□ No		
If the	e pun	nps are electric, are there backup power	sources? Yes	□ No		
(Ref	eren	ce: USACE EM-1110-2-3101, 3102, 31	03, 3104, and 3105)			
		copy of supporting documentation of da atersheds that result in flooding.	ta and analysis. Provide a map showing the floode	ed area and maximum ponding elevations for all		
9.	<u>Oth</u>	ner Design Criteria				
	a.	The following items have been address	ed as stated:			
	b.		oblem bils of high shrink/swell is is not a problem			
 b. For each of these problems, state the basic facts and corrective action taken: Attach supporting documentation c. If the levee/floodwall is new or enlarged, will the structure adversely impact flood levels and/or flow velocities floodside of the structure? d. Sediment Transport Considerations: Was sediment transport considered? Yes No If Yes, then fill out Section F (Sediment Transport). If No, then attach your explanation for why sediment transport was not considered. Operational Plan And Criteria a. Are the planned/installed works in full compliance with Part 65.10 of the NFIP Regulations? Yes No b. Does the operation plan incorporate all the provisions for closure devices as required in Paragraph 65.10(c)(1) of the NFIP regulations? c. Does the operation plan incorporate all the provisions for interior drainage as required in Paragraph 65.10(c)(2) of the NFIP regulations? c. Does the operation plan incorporate all the provisions for interior drainage as required in Paragraph 65.10(c)(2) of the NFIP regulations? Mo f Yes No f the answer is No to any of the above, please attach supporting documentation. 						

E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL (CONTINUED)

11. <u>Maintenance Plan</u> Please attach a copy of the fomal maintenance plan for the levee/floodwall	
12. Operations and Maintenance Plan	
Please attach a copy of the formal Operations and Maintenance Plan for the levee/floodwall.	
CERTIFICATION OF THE LEVEE DOCUMENTION	
This certification is to be signed and sealed by a licensed registered professional engineer authorized by law to certify elevation information hydrologic and hydraulic analysis, and any other supporting information as per NFIP regulations paragraph 65.10(e) and as described in t Forms Instructions. All documents submitted in support of this request are correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that any fals statement may be punishable by fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1001.	he MT-2
Certifier's Name: License No.: Expiration Date:	
Company Name: Telephone No.: Fax No.:	
Signature: Date: E-Mail Address:	
F. SEDIMENT TRANSPORT	
Flooding Source: Boca Negra Arroyo	
Name of Structure: Boca Negra Detention Dam	
If there is any indication from historical records that sediment transport (including scour and deposition) can affect the Base Flood Elevation and/or based on the stream morphology, vegetative cover, development of the watershed and bank conditions, there is a potential for debite sediment transport (including scour and deposition) to affect the BFEs, then provide the following information along with the supporting documentation:	
Sediment load associated with the base flood discharge: Volume <u>18.416</u> acre-feet	
Debris load associated with the base flood discharge: Volume acre-feet	
Sediment transport rate 5% & 9% (percent concentration by volume) Based on community adopted numbers from Boca Negra-Mariposa	DMP
Method used to estimate sediment transport: Bulking Factors	
Most sediment transport formulas are intended for a range of hydraulic conditions and sediment sizes; attach a detailed explanation for us selected method.	sing the
Method used to estimate scour and/or deposition:	
Method used to revise hydraulic or hydrologic analysis (model) to account for sediment transport: Based on Boca Negra-Maripo	sa DMP
Please note that bulked flows are used to evaluate the performance of a structure during the base flood; however, FEMA does not map B on bulked flows.	FEs based
If a sediment analysis has not been performed, an explanation as to why sediment transport (including scour and deposition) will not affect or structures must be provided.	t the BFEs

Comments to MT-2 Form 3: Riverine Structures Form

Section C.2: Bridge / Culverts

Bentley Culvert Master V3.3 was used only for the purpose of generating the rating curve upstream of the Scenic Road culverts. See attached calculations.

Section F: Sediment Transport

Sediment transport was addressed by adding a 5% bulking factor to areas above the escarpment and 9% to areas below the escarpment to the flows in the hydrologic model. The percentages are based on the Boca Negra – Mariposa Arroyo DMP. The DMP notes annual sediment yield using Flaxman Method to be 0.132 ac-ft/sq mi/yr for the Boca Negra Arroyo.

Culvert Calculator Report 4-60 IN AT IP3 - LOMR

Solve For: Headwater Elevation

Culvert Summary					
Allowable HW Elevation	5,346.79	ft	Headwater Depth/Height	2.08	
Computed Headwater Eleva	5,350.28	ft	Discharge	1,188.00	cfs
Inlet Control HW Elev.	5,350.28	ft	Tailwater Elevation	0.00	ft
Outlet Control HW Elev.	5,349.67	ft	Control Type	Inlet Control	
Grades					
Upstream Invert	5,339.90	ft	Downstream Invert	5,339.81	ft
Length	56.00	ft	Constructed Slope	0.001607	ft/ft
Hydraulic Profile					
Profile CompositeM2Pre	ssureProfile		Depth, Downstream	4.66	ft
Slope Type	Mild		Normal Depth	N/A	ft
Flow Regime	Subcritical		Critical Depth	4.66	ft
Velocity Downstream	15.59	ft/s	Critical Slope	0.011246	ft/ft
Section					
Section Shape	Circular		Mannings Coefficient	0.013	
Section Material	Concrete		Span	5.00	ft
Section Size	60 inch		Rise	5.00	ft
Number Sections	4				
Outlet Control Properties					
Outlet Control HW Elev.	5,349.67	ft	Upstream Velocity Head	3.56	ft
Ke	0.20		Entrance Loss	0.71	ft
Inlet Control Properties					
Inlet Control HW Elev.	5.350.28	ft	Flow Control	N/A	
Inlet Type Groove end	- ,		Area Full	78.5	ft²
K	0.00180		HDS 5 Chart	1	
M	2.00000		HDS 5 Scale	2	
С	0.02920		Equation Form	1	
Y	0.74000		-		