

City of Albuquerque

Planning Department Development & Building Services Division

DRAINAGE AND TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION SHEET (REV 1/2016)

Project Title: La Cuentista	Building Permit #:	Hydrology File #:							
DRB#:									
Legal Description: Tract B-1 and B-2 of La Cuent	ista Subdivision								
City Address: North of Rosa Parks between Unser a	and Paseo del Norte								
Applicant: Pulte Homes		Contact: Kevin Patton							
Address: 7601 Jefferson St									
Phone#: _505-341-8591	Fax#: 505-761-9850	E-mail: Kevin.Patton@PulteGroup.com							
Other Contact: Bohannan Huston		Contact: Eric Wrage							
Address: 7500 Jefferson									
Phone#: <u>505-798-7859</u>	Fax#: 505-798-7988	E-mail: ewrage@bhinc.com							
Check all that Apply:									
DEPARTMENT:	TYPE OF AP	PROVAL/ACCEPTANCE SOUGHT:							
HYDROLOGY/ DRAINAGE	BUILDIN	IG PERMIT APPROVAL							
X TRAFFIC/ TRANSPORTATION MS4/ EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL	CERTIFI	CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY							
M34/ EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL		GRADING/ESC PERMIT APPROVAL							
TYPE OF SUBMITTAL:									
AS-BUILT CERTIFICATION	× PRELIMI	NARY PLAT APPROVAL							
	SITE PLA	AN FOR SUB'D APPROVAL							
CONCEPTUAL G & D PLAN	SITE PLA	AN FOR BLDG. PERMIT APPROVAL							
GRADING PLAN	FINAL P	LAT APPROVAL							
DRAINAGE MASTER PLAN									
DRAINAGE REPORT	SIA/ REI	EASE OF FINANCIAL GUARANTEE							
CLOMR/LOMR		ATION PERMIT APPROVAL							
	SO-19 A								
TRAFFIC CIRCULATION LAYOUT (TCL)		PERMIT APPROVAL							
X TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY (TIS)	GRADIN	G/ PAD CERTIFICATION							
NEIGHBORHOOD IMPACT ASSESMENT (1		RDER APPROVAL							
	CLOMR/								
EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN									
OTHER (SPECIFY)	· · · ·	SIGN MEETING?							
	OTHER	(SPECIFY)							
IS THIS A RESUBMITTAL?: Yes _X No		SI ECIF 1)							
DATE SUBMITTED: July 27, 2021	$_{ m By:}$ Eric Wrage								
COA STAFF: ELECTRONIC SUBMITTAL RECEIVED: _	FEE RECEIVED:								

LA CUENTISTA

TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

INITIAL SUBMITTAL

JULY 27, 2021

Prepared For:

Pulte Homes 7601 Jefferson St NE, Suite 320 Albuquerque, NM 87109

HT# D10D002 received 7/27/2021

Prepared By:

Bohannan A Huston

Engineering
Spatial Data
Advanced Technologies



LA CUENTISTA TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

INITIAL SUBMITTAL

Date:

July 27, 2021

Prepared by:

Bohannan Huston, Inc.

7500 Jefferson St NE Courtyard Two Albuquerque, NM 87109

Prepared for:

Pulte Homes

7601 Jefferson St NE, Suite 320 Albuquerque, NM 87109

Eric J. Wrage PE, PTOE, RSP1

Date

TABLE OF CONTENTS

l.	INTI	RODU	CTION AND SUMMARY	, 1
	A.	Stud	y Purpose	. 1
	B.	Exec	utive Summary	, 1
		1.	Site Location and Study Area	. 1
		2.	Principal Findings	. 2
		3.	Recommendations	. 2
II.	PRO	OPOSI	ED DEVELOPMENT	. 6
	A.	Lanc	Use and Intensity	. 6
	B.	Deve	elopment Phasing and Timing	. 6
III.	STU	DY AF	rea conditions	. 6
	A.	Stud	y Area	. 6
	B.	Site /	Accessibility	. 6
	C.	Dato	sources	. 7
IV.	EXIS	sting	CONDITIONS ANALYSIS	.8
	A.	Back	ground	. 8
		1.	Adjacent Roadways	.8
		2.	Multi-Modal Conditions	.9
	B.	Existi	ng Traffic Conditions	. 9
		1.	Approved Development	.9
	C.	Leve	of Service Definitions	0
	D.	Existi	ng Intersection Capacity Analysis	0
V.	PRO	OJEC1	ED TRAFFIC	3
	A.	Site 7	raffic Forecasting	3
		1.	Trip Generation	3
		2.	Trip Distribution and Assignment	4
		3.	Traffic Projections	4
VI.	TRA	AFFIC .	AND IMPROVEMENT ANALYSIS	7
		1.	No Build Intersection Capacity Analysis	7
		2.	Build Intersection Capacity Analysis	21
VII.	CO	NCIL	ISIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	25

A.	Conclusions	25
B.	Recommendations	25
	FIGURES	
Figure 1	1 Vicinity Map	4
Figure 2	2 Site Plan	5
Figure 3	3 Existing Traffic Volumes	12
Figure 4	4 Trip Distribution Percentages	15
Figure 5	5 Trip Assignment Volumes	16
Figure 6	6 No Build Traffic Volumes	20
Figure 7	7 Build Traffic Volumes	24
	TABLES	
Table 1	LOS Definitions	10
Table 2	Existing Unsignalized Intersection Results	11
Table 3	Trip Generation	13
Table 4	2024 No Build Signalized Intersection Results	18
Table 5	2024 No Build Unsignalized Intersection Results	19
Table 6	2024 Build Signalized Intersection Results	21
Table 7	2024 Build Unsignalized Intersection Results	23

APPENDICES

Appendix A Existing Data

Appendix B 2019 Existing Intersection Capacity Analysis

Appendix C Turning Movement Development

Appendix D 2024 No Build Intersection Capacity Analysis

Appendix E 2024 Build Intersection Capacity Analysis

I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

Pulte Homes proposes to develop approximately 59.08 acres, situated southeast of the Paseo del Norte and Unser intersection. The proposed development will include 244 single -family residential units.

A. STUDY PURPOSE

The purpose of the traffic study is to determine the impacts of the proposed development on the surrounding roadway network, evaluate the operation of the proposed site entrances, and to recommend any mitigation measures that may be necessary to support additional traffic generated by the new development.

B. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. SITE LOCATION AND STUDY AREA

The site is located southeast of the Paseo del Norte and Unser intersection in Albuquerque, New Mexico. A vicinity map and site plan are shown in Figure 1, and the proposed site plan of the future development is shown in Figure 2.

The study area consists of the following intersections:

- Paseo del Norte and Kimmick Drive (existing 3-way intersection with existing signal bagged/turned off, future signalized intersection)
- Unser Blvd and Rosa Parks (existing 3-way unsignalized intersection)
- Rosa Parks and Azucena (existing 3-way unsignalized intersection, future 4-way unsignalized intersection)
- Rosa Parks and Redroot (existing 3-way unsignalized intersection, future 4-way unsignalized intersection)

The intersection evaluations include analysis for the AM and PM peak hours for the following traffic conditions:

- Existing traffic (2019)
- 2024 Completion Year without the proposed site development (2024 No Build)
- 2024 Completion Year with proposed site development (2024 Build)

Due to the impact of approved additional development within the study area, for Paseo del Norte and Kimmick Drive, the intersection evaluation include analysis for the AM and PM peak hours with the following conditions.

• Existing traffic (2019 unsignalized intersection)

- Existing traffic (2019 signalized intersection)
- 2024 Completion Year without the proposed site development, without the Cliffs development (2024 No Build_ Without Cliffs)
- 2024 Completion Year without the proposed site development, with the Cliffs development (2024 No Build_ With Cliffs)
- 2024 Completion Year with the proposed site development, without the Cliffs development (2024 Build_ Without Cliffs)
- 2024 Completion Year with the proposed site development, with the Cliffs development (2024 Build_ With Cliffs)

2. PRINCIPAL FINDINGS

The traffic analysis found that all intersections operate overall acceptably in the Existing conditions.

The total trips for the future Cliffs on Paseo development significantly impact the adjacent Paseo del Norte and Kimmick Drive intersection. For both the 2024 No Build and 2024 Build studies, the intersection of Paseo del Norte and Kimmick Drive operates at overall acceptable levels of service. Under these conditions, not including the Cliffs on Paseo development, a signal was not warranted.

For the evaluations with the Cliffs development included, the exiting minor street northbound left movement at Paseo del Norte and Kimmick does not operate at an acceptable level of service in either the 2024 No Build nor the 2024 Build scenario. For these conditions where the Cliffs on Paseo development is included, a traffic signal was warranted.

In the Build scenario, the exiting minor street westbound left and right movements at Unser Boulevard and Rosa Parks Road do not operate at acceptable conditions in both the AM and PM peak hours for 1-stage left turns. The Unser and Rosa Parks intersection operates at acceptable levels of service for the 2-stage, 2024 Build analysis. This intersection does not warrant a traffic signal analysis.

The intersections of Rosa Parks and Azucena, and Rosa Parks and Redroot operate at acceptable levels of service for all conditions including Existing, 2024 No Build and 2024 Build scenarios.

3. RECOMMENDATIONS

- All designs shall satisfy the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) and the City of Albuquerque requirements.
- Since a signal is only warranted at the Paseo del Norte and Kimmick Drive intersection when the traffic generated from the Cliffs on Paseo

development is included, it is not recommended to turn on the existing signal at this time.



Bohannan & Huston
www.bhinc.com 800.877.5332

LA CUENTISTA CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE SITE TRAFFIC ANALYSIS

FIGURE 1 VICINITY MAP



LA CUENTISTA CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE SITE TRAFFIC ANALYSIS

FIGURE 2 SITE PLAN

II. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

A. LAND USE AND INTENSITY

The proposed development is a 244-unit single family residential development on approximately 59.08 acres.

The development is situated at the southeast corner of Paseo del Norte and Unser. The study area is partially developed. The Cliffs on Paseo development, Sonata Apartments, and Ventana Ranch Retail are approved developments to the north and northeast of the La Cuentista development. There is also established residential zones in the surrounding area.

B. DEVELOPMENT PHASING AND TIMING

The project is expected to be developed by 2024, phasing is not anticipated.

III. STUDY AREA CONDITIONS

A. STUDY AREA

The study area consists of the following intersections:

- Paseo del Norte and Kimmick Drive (existing 3-way intersection with existing signal bagged/turned off, future signalized intersection)
- Unser Blvd and Rosa Parks (existing 3-way unsignalized intersection)
- Rosa Parks and Azucena (existing 3-way unsignalized intersection, future 4-way unsignalized intersection)
- Rosa Parks and Redroot (existing 3-way unsignalized intersection, future 4-way unsignalized intersection)

B. SITE ACCESSIBILITY

The development will have access via two future driveways. The primary driveway will be located at the Rosa Parks-Azucena intersection, and the secondary driveway is to be located at the Rosa Parks-Redroot intersection. Both Azucena and Redroot are existing 3-way intersections, future 4-way intersections.

The primary routes to the site are anticipated to be either Paseo del Norte or Unser Boulevard.

C. DATA SOURCES

The data used in this report consist of the traffic volumes described below, aerial photography and mapping from Google Earth®, information is provided by the Projected Turning Movements Worksheets for the Cliffs on Paseo development dated 2017, the Cliffs on Paseo TIA dated 2017 as well as information provided by TAQA Traffic Counts, and Streetlight.

IV. EXISTING CONDITIONS ANALYSIS

A. BACKGROUND

Roadway federal classification is updated approximately every four years. The classification process involves local governments, the Mid Region Council of Governments (MRCOG), New Mexico Department of Transportation (NMDOT), and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). The 2016 MRCOG Roadway Functional Classification Map classifies roadways based on their function. Roadways are subject to design guidance based on their functional classification, design speed, or based on Comprehensive Plan corridor designations.

1. ADJACENT ROADWAYS

The following are adjacent roadways:

- Paseo del Norte is a principal arterial with 2 lanes west of Kimmick and 4 lanes east of Kimmick. The transition segment from 4 lanes to 2 lanes is located just to the west of the Paseo-Kimmick intersection. Therefore, this intersection was evaluated with two through lanes in each direction on Paseo del Norte. There is an existing signal at this intersection however, it is not currently in use/turned on. Paseo del Norte provides regional connectivity within Albuquerque, serving as a river crossing east of the study area. To the west, Paseo del Norte turns into Atrisco Vista and heads south to connect with I-40. The posted speed limit for Paseo del Norte is 35 miles per hour (MPH) Sidewalks and bicycle lanes are not present on Paseo del Norte in this area. The City DMD has a project NMDOT CN A300261, to widen Paseo del Norte from west of Kimmick to the west to Universe.
- Unser Blvd is a principal arterial, currently with one lane in each direction, as well as curb and gutter along the east side of the roadway. Unser has a posted speed limit of 35 MPH. Unser provides regional connectivity within the greater Albuquerque area, serving as a link between major/minor roadways which travel east-west directions, including I-40, Paseo del Norte, Central Ave, Paseo del Volcan, Norther and Southern Blvd. The City DMD has a partially funded project, MPO#465.3/NMDOT CNA300304 Unser Boulevard Gap Widening Phase 2 Kimmick Drive to Paradise Boulevard, that will widen Unser to 4 lanes, including on-street bicycles lanes. Due to the uncertainty of the construction timeline this project, Unser Boulevard was evaluated as a 2-lane road as it is today, in all scenarios.
- Rosa Parks is a major collector with one lane in each direction and a two-way left-turn lane to the southwest of Azucena. Rosa Parks has a posted speed limit

of 30 MPH. Rosa Parks has paved, separated multi-use facilities on the south side of the roadway.

- Kimmick Drive is a minor collector with one lane in each direction and a posted speed limit of 30 MPH. Kimmick is an undivided roadway with curb and gutter along the west side of the roadway. No sidewalk or bike paths exist on this roadway.
- The future Cliffs on Paseo Development, located at the southwest corner of Paseo del Norte and Kimmick Drive, is expected to have a significant impact on the adjacent transportation system. This will be discussed in more detail later in the report.

2. MULTI-MODAL CONDITIONS

Sidewalks and bicycle lanes are not present on Paseo del Norte in this area. The City of Albuquerque Bike Map identifies future bicycle lanes on Paseo del Norte and a future paved trail on the north side of Paseo del Norte west of Ventana West.

Unser, Rosa Parks, and Kimmick do not currently have any type of multi-use trails.

B. EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

The NMDOT has developed guidelines for Alternative Means to Develop Base Turning Movements Volumes for Traffic Impact Studies During COVID-19 Times, released October 5, 2020. These guidelines provide three (3) methods to develop traffic counts for use in traffic studies. This analysis utilizes Method 2 as reliable link volume data from MRCOG and Streetlight Data for Unser and Paseo del Norte. TAQA does not report data for Rosa Parks or Kimmick, and Streetlight Data was considered unreliable due to small sample sizes. Existing traffic for the existing subdivisions was estimated by counting the houses, developing trip generation, and distributing those trips onto the existing roadway network, similar to a normal traffic study.

1. APPROVED DEVELOPMENT

The area surrounding La Cuentista is the future Cliffs on Paseo development as offices (general and medical/dental), residential apartments and retail buildings. This development is located southwest of the Paseo del Norte and Kimmick Drive intersection.

The total trips for the future Cliffs on Paseo development are included in the 2024 No Build and 2024 Build volumes as approved development. Since this development has not yet started construction, the scenarios for 2024 No Build and 2024 Build are also evaluated without including the trips generated by the future Cliffs on Paseo.

In addition, traffic from the Sonata Apartments and Ventana Ranch Commercial projects was also included in the background traffic.

C. LEVEL OF SERVICE DEFINITIONS

The Highway Capacity Manual Sixth Edition (HCM) defines Level of Service (LOS) for un-signalized intersections in Table 1 as follows:

	Table 1 LOS Definitions											
Level of Service	Definition	Signalized (sec/veh)	Unsignalized (sec/veh)									
Α	Most vehicles do not stop	<10	<10									
В	Some vehicles stop	>10 and <20	>10 and <15									
С	Significant numbers of vehicles stop	>20 and <35	>15 and <25									
D	Many vehicles stop	>35 and <55	>25 and <35									
Е	Limit of acceptable delay	>55 and <80	>35 and <50									
F	Unacceptable delay	>80	>50									

The City of Albuquerque has established LOS D as the generally acceptable level of service in urban areas. When intersections operate below this level, improvements are considered, where feasible. Other critical movements are also desired to have LOS D or better if possible.

D. EXISTING INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS

The existing intersections traffic volume were analyzed using Highway Capacity Software version 7 (HCS7), which uses the intersection methodology from the Sixth Edition of the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM). Individual intersection output for the existing conditions analysis is included in Appendix B. The results are summarized in Table 2.

The one-way stop-controlled intersections of Paseo del Norte and Kimmick Drive, and Unser Boulevard and Rosa Parks Road both operate at acceptable levels of service in the AM and PM peak hours for 1-stage left turns. For 1-stage left turns, the vehicle will wait for a gap large enough in both directions of travel before turning.


For 2-stage left turns, the vehicle will cross into the median and wait until it can merge with the same directional traffic. This type of movement helps decrease the delay time per vehicle and generate a better level of service, however it is acknowledged that not all drivers are comfortable with this maneuver.


The 2-stage analysis for the intersections of Paseo del Norte and Kimmick Drive, plus Unser Boulevard and Rosa Parks Road was not necessary as both operate at


acceptable levels of service in the AM and PM peak hours with 1-stage left turns in existing conditions.


The existing westbound left, northbound left and right movements at intersections of Rosa Parks Road and Azucena Place, and Rosa Parks Road and Redroot Road operate at acceptable levels of service in the AM and PM peak hours.

Table 2 Existing Unsignalized Intersection Results										
		2019 A	M Peak		2019 PM Peak					
Intersection/Movement	Delay (sec) V/C Queue* (ff) LOS		LOS	Delay (sec)	V/C	Queue* (ft)	LOS			
Paseo & Kimmick (1-Stage) Westbound Left Northbound Left Northbound Right	11.3 33.2 14.1	0.03 0.03 0.12	25 25 25	B D B	8.4 24.7 9.8	0.05 0.01 0.05	25 0 25	A C A		
Unser & Rosa Parks (1-Stage) Westbound Left & Right Southbound Left	29.4 8.6	0.24	25 0	D A	23.5 9.2	0.11	25 0	C A		
Rosa Parks & Azucena Westbound Left Northbound Left & Right	7.2 8.6	0.00	0 0	A A	7.2 8.5	0.00	0	A A		
Rosa Parks & Redroot Westbound Left Northbound Left & Right * – HCM 95th percentile queue	7.2 8.6	0.00 0.02	0 25 ext 25-foot	A A incre	7.3 8.6 ment	0.01	0 0	A A		











Bohannan & Huston
www.bhinc.com 800.877.5332

LA CUENTISTA CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE SITE TRAFFIC ANALYSIS

FIGURE 3
EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES

V. PROJECTED TRAFFIC

A. SITE TRAFFIC FORECASTING

1. Trip Generation

Generated trips are broken down into three types; 1) primary, 2) pass-by trips, and 3) diverted link. The Trip Generation report defines these trips as follows:

- Primary Trips These trips are made for the specific purpose of visiting the generator. The stop at that generator is the primary reason for the trip. For example, a home to shopping to home combination of trips is a primary trip set.
- Pass-by Trips These trips are made as intermediate stops on the way
 from an origin to a primary trip generation. Pass-by trips are attracted
 from the traffic passing the site on an adjacent street that contains direct
 access to the generator site. These trips do not require a diversion from
 another roadway. For example, stopping at the store on the way home
 from work is an example of a pass-by trip. No pass-by trips we used in this
 analysis.
- Diverted Linked Trips These trips are attracted from the traffic volume on the roadway within the vicinity of the generator, but which require a diversion from that roadway to another roadway to gain access to the site. The roadways could include streets or freeways adjacent to the generator, but without access to the generator. For this study, the diverted link trips have been included in with the primary trips.

This study evaluates primary trips only.

The trip generation based on the 10th Edition of the Institute of Transportation engineer's (ITE) Trip Generation Manual is shown in Table 3 below with the following considerations. The trip generation is based on the peak hour of the adjacent street traffic.

Table 3 Trip Generation									
Land Use	ITE Code	Daily	AM Enter	AM Exit	PM Enter	PM Exit			
Single Family Detached	2362	44	134	239	89				

2. TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT

The trip distribution was determined using a modified gravity model that considered a region-wide travel shed for employment trips. As the development is residential, standard traffic analysis assumes the trips in the peak hour to be primarily employment trips, so the destinations for the AM trips are employment locations, with the origins the site. In the PM peak hour, the destination is the site, and the origins are the employment locations.


The gravity model uses the locations of employment, which are weighted by the number of jobs in the Subareas in the Albuquerque Metropolitan area divided by their distance from the site. This means that employment locations closer to the site are considered more likely, with those farther away to be less likely, depending on how many jobs are in each Subarea.


The gravity model utilized socioeconomic data obtained from the Mid Region Council of Governments (MRCOG), which included population and employment estimates for each subarea within the Albuquerque Metropolitan Planning Area to develop the trip distribution.


Spreadsheets showing the development of the trip distribution are included in Appendix C. The trip distribution percentages and assigned traffic volumes is shown in Figure 4 and Figure 5.


3. TRAFFIC PROJECTIONS


A background growth rate of 3.5% was applied to provide an estimate of potential future growth of traffic at all intersections evaluated. The growth rate determination and data are summarized in the spreadsheets included in Appendix C. Figure 6 on page 20 shows the 2024 No Build traffic volumes. Figure 7 on page 24 shows the 2024 No Build traffic volumes.












LEGEND Thru Lanes (# as indicated) Turning Lanes (# as indicated) 1234(1234) Trip Assignment Percentages


Entering


Exiting


FIGURE 4 TRIP DISTRIBUTION PERCENTAGES

LA CUENTISTA CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE SITE TRAFFIC ANALYSIS
















LA CUENTISTA CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE SITE TRAFFIC ANALYSIS

FIGURE 5
TRIP ASSIGNMENT VOLUMES

VI. TRAFFIC AND IMPROVEMENT ANALYSIS

The following section will discuss the results of the future year traffic analysis. The intersection capacity analysis was completed using HCS7 which implements the Highway Capacity Manual procedures.

1. NO BUILD INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS

The 2024 No Build analysis consists of two scenarios; 1) without the proposed Cliffs Development, and 2) with Cliffs Development included. Table 4 and Table 5 show the 2024 No Build results. The HCS output is included in Appendix D. As mentioned, the large number of trips resulting from the Cliff's development substantially affects operation of the Kimmick and Paseo del Norte intersection.

The unsignalized intersection of Paseo del Norte and Kimmick Drive was evaluated for two no build conditions including one analysis with the Cliffs Development and the other without the development. Since there is an existing painted median at this intersection, these two conditions were modeled for both 1-stage and 2-stage left turns. For the condition with the Cliffs development excluded, all approaches operate at acceptable levels of service. When the traffic generated from the Cliffs on Paseo development is included, the northbound left movement operates poorly.

Due to the poor operation, a peak hour traffic signal warrant analysis was performed for the intersections of Paseo del Norte and Kimmick Drive.

The peak hour traffic signal warrant analysis for the intersection of Paseo del Norte and Kimmick Drive was performed for both conditions, with and without the Cliffs Development traffic volumes. Each condition was evaluated for both 1-stage and 2-stage left turns. For 1-stage left turns, the vehicle will wait for a gap large enough in both directions of travel before turning. For 2-stage left turns, the vehicle will cross into the median and wait until it can merge with same directional traffic. This type of movement helps decrease the delay time per vehicle and generate a better level of service.

The analysis excluding the Cliffs Development does not warrant a traffic signal. For the condition which includes the additional traffic due to the Cliffs Development, the scenario did warrant a signal for both 1-stage and 2-stage left turns. A copy of the peak hour traffic signal warrant analysis is included in Appendix E.

The analysis found the signalized intersection of Paseo del Norte and Kimmick Drive operates at overall acceptable levels of service in the AM and PM peak hours for both scenarios. A copy of the peak hour traffic signal warrant analysis is included in Appendix E.

Under the condition with the Proposed Cliffs Development, the signalized intersection of Paseo del Norte and Kimmick Drive operate with an increased delay and lower

level of service for the eastbound and westbound approaches compared to the study excluding the Cliffs Development. A copy of the peak hour traffic signal warrant analysis is included in Appendix E.


Table 4 2024 No	Build Signo	alized Int	ersection Re	esults		
Intersection	20	24 AM P	eak	2	024 PM F	Peak
intersection	Delay	LOS	Max V/C	Delay	LOS	Max V/C
Paseo & Kimmick (w/out Cliffs)						
Eastbound Thru & Right	6.4	Α	0.544	5.9	Α	0.233
Westbound Left	18.4	В	0.107	4.8	Α	0.119
Westbound Thru	2.1	Α	0.148	2.9	Α	0.490
Northbound Left	33.7	С	0.039	29.7	С	0.024
Northbound Right	34.0	С	0.475	26.5	С	0.225
Paseo & Kimmick (w/Cliffs)						
Eastbound Thru & Right	11.5	В	0.539	16.1	В	0.319
Westbound Left	26.4	С	0.092	11.7	В	0.547
Westbound Thru	3.2	Α	0.124	10.2	В	0.602
Northbound Left	34.6	С	0.042	28.2	С	0.331
Northbound Right	31.5	С	0.437	26.0	С	0.069


The unsignalized intersection of Unser and Rosa Parks was modeled for both 1-stage and 2-stage left turns. For AM and PM peak hour, the 2024 No Build westbound left and right turn volumes operate below acceptable levels of service for 1-stage type turns. However, when this same scenario is evaluated using 2-stage left turns, all approaches operate at acceptable levels of service.


The peak hour traffic signal warrant analysis for the intersection of Unser Boulevard and Rosa Park Road was evaluated for both 1-stage and 2-stage left turns. This intersection does not warrant a traffic signal. A copy of the peak hour traffic signal warrant analysis is included in Appendix E.


The unsignalized intersections of Rosa Parks and Azucena as well as Rosa Parks and Red Root operate at acceptable levels of service in the 2024 No Build condition.

Table 5 2024 N	No Build l	Jnsigno	alized Inte	rsectio	n Results	;		
		2024 A	M Peak			2024 P	M Peak	
Intersection/Movement	Delay (sec)	V/C	Queue* (ft)	LOS	Delay (sec)	V/C	Queue* (ft)	LOS
Paseo & Kimmick (w/out Cliffs,								
1-Stage)				_				
Westbound Left	12.7	0.04	25	В	8.8	0.07	25	Α
Northbound Left	48.5	0.05	25	E	33.0	0.02	25	D
Northbound Right	16.4	0.17	25	С	10.2	0.06	25	В
Paseo & Kimmick (w/out Cliffs,								
2-Stage)				_				
Westbound Left	12.7	0.04	25	В	8.8	0.07	25	Α
Northbound Left	27.6	0.03	25	D	19.1	0.01	0	С
Northbound Right	16.4	0.17	25	С	10.2	0.06	25	В
Paseo & Kimmick (w/Cliffs,								
1-Stage)			0.5				0.5	
Westbound Left	18.2	0.44	25	С	10.0	0.29	25	A
Northbound Left	450.1	1.19	25	F	1055.5	2.95	25	F
Northbound Right	21.2	0.42	25	С	15.6	0.54	25	С
Paseo & Kimmick (w/Cliffs,								
2-Stage)	100	0 4 4	0.5		100	0.00	0.5	
Westbound Left	18.2	0.44	25	С	10.0	0.29	25	A
Northbound Left	48.1	0.28	25	E	188.6	1.14	25	F
Northbound Right	21.2	0.42	25	С	15.6	0.54	25	С
Unser & Rosa Parks (1-Stage)	45.7	0.00	٥٢	_	01.0	0.10	0.5	
Westbound Left & Right	45.7	0.38	25	E	31.9	0.18	25	D
Southbound Left	8.9	0.01	0	Α	9.7	0.01	0	Α
Unser & Rosa Parks (2-Stage)	00.0	0.10	٥٢		10.0	0.10	0.5	
Westbound Left & Right	20.9	0.19	25	C	18.8	0.10	25	C
Northbound Left	8.9	0.01	0	Α	9.7	0.01	0	Α
Rosa Parks & Azucena	7.0	0.00	0		7.0	0.00		
Westbound Left	7.2	0.00	0	A	7.2	0.00	0	A
Northbound Left & Right	8.6	0.01	25	Α	8.5	0.01	0	Α
Rosa Parks & Redroot	7.0	0.00			7.0	0.01		
Westbound Left	7.2	0.00	0 25	A	7.3	0.01	0	A
Northbound Left & Right	8.6	1		. A	8.6	0.02	0	Α
* – HCM 95 th percentile queue rounde	ed to nex	t 25-foo	ot increme	ent				











Thru Lanes (# as indicated)

Turning Lanes (# as indicated)

1234(1234) AM(PM) Traffic Counts

X(X) AM(PM) Level of Service (LOS)



LA CUENTISTA CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE SITE TRAFFIC ANALYSIS

FIGURE 6 2024 NO BUILD TRAFFIC VOLUMES

2. BUILD INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS

The trips generated by the site (Table 3) were assigned to the intersections using the trip percentages and associated volumes, shown in Figure 4 and Figure 5. These trips were added to the 2024 No Build traffic projections shown in Appendix C. The 2024 Build capacity analysis is shown in Table 6 and Table 7. The individual intersection output is included in Appendix E.

The peak hour traffic signal warrant analysis for the intersection of Paseo del Norte and Kimmick Drive was performed for both conditions, with and without the Cliffs Development traffic volumes. Each condition was evaluated for both 1-stage and 2-stage left turns. A copy of the peak hour traffic signal warrant analysis is included in Appendix E.

The analysis, excluding the Cliffs Development, does not warrant a traffic signal. For the condition including the additional traffic due to the Cliffs Development, a signal was warranted for both 1-stage and 2-stage left turns. In light of this information, activating of the existing traffic signal is likely needed upon development of the Cliff's project, but is not needed for La Cuentista if the Cliff's project is not present. A copy of the peak hour traffic signal warrant analysis is included in Appendix E.

The analysis found the signalized intersection of Paseo del Norte and Kimmick Drive operates at overall acceptable levels of service in the AM and PM peak hours, for both scenarios.

Table 6 2024 Build S	Table 6 2024 Build Signalized Intersection Results										
Intersection	20	024 AM I	Peak	20	2024 PM Peak						
mersection	Delay	LOS	Max V/C	Delay	LOS	Max V/C					
Paseo & Kimmick (w/out Cliffs)											
Eastbound Thru & Right	8.7	Α	0.579	7.0	Α	0.246					
Westbound Left	19.2	В	0.199	5.5	Α	0.238					
Westbound Thru	2.8	Α	0.153	3.4	Α	0.499					
Northbound Left	33.2	С	0.049	29.7	С	0.042					
Northbound Right	33.3	С	0.567	26.2	С	0.343					
Paseo & Kimmick (w/Cliffs)											
Eastbound Thru & Right	14.5	В	0.658	18.9	В	0.343					
Westbound Left	28.6	С	0.853	13.3	В	0.651					
Westbound Thru		Α	0.161	11.2	В	0.607					
Northbound Left	34.1	С	0.136	29.0	С	0.320					
Northbound Right	31.0	С	0.570	25.8	С	0.688					

The unsignalized intersection of Paseo del Norte and Kimmick Drive was evaluated for two 2024 Build conditions, with one analysis including the Cliffs Development, and the other without the Cliffs Development. Since there is an existing painted median at this intersection, these two conditions were modeled for both 1-stage and 2-stage left turns. For the condition with the Cliffs development excluded, all approaches operate


at acceptable levels of service for the 2-stage analysis. When the traffic generated from the Cliffs on Paseo development is included, the northbound left movement operates poorly.


The unsignalized intersection of Unser and Rosa Parks was modeled for both 1-stage and 2-stage left turns. For AM and PM peak hour, the 2024 Build westbound left and right turn volumes operate below acceptable levels of service for 1-stage type turns. However, when this same scenario is evaluated using 2-stage left turns, all approaches operate at acceptable levels of service.


The peak hour traffic signal warrant analysis for the intersection of Unser Boulevard and Rosa Park Road was evaluated for both 1-stage and 2-stage left turns. This intersection does not warrant a traffic signal. A copy of the peak hour traffic signal warrant analysis is included in Appendix E.

The unsignalized intersections of Rosa Parks and Azucena, as well as Rosa Parks and Red Root operate at acceptable levels of service in the 2024 Build condition.

Table 7 2024	Build Ur	nsignal	ized Inters	ection	Results			
		2024 A	AM Peak			2024 P	M Peak	
Intersection/Movement	Delay (sec)	V/C	Queue* (ft)	LOS	Delay (sec)	V/C	Queue* (ft)	LOS
Paseo & Kimmick (w/out Cliffs,								
1-Stage)								
Westbound Left	13.1	0.08	25	В	9.1	0.14	25	Α
Northbound Left	56.9	0.11	25	F	44.5	0.06	25	Е
Northbound Right	19.2	0.34	25	С	10.6	0.12	25	В
Paseo & Kimmick (w/out Cliffs, 2-Stage)								
Westbound Left	13.1	0.08	25	В	9.1	0.14	25	Α
Northbound Left	28.8	0.05	25	D	22.7	0.03	25	С
Northbound Right	19.2	0.34	25	С	10.6	0.12	25	В
Paseo & Kimmick (w/Cliffs,								
1-Stage)								
Westbound Left	19.3	0.49	25	С	10.5	0.36	25	В
Northbound Left	638.3	1.57	25	F	1676.7	4.21	25	F
Northbound Right	27.1	0.59	25	D	17.1	0.60	25	С
Paseo & Kimmick (w/Cliffs,								
2-Stage)								
Westbound Left	19.3	0.49	25	С	10.5	0.36	25	В
Northbound Left	54.7	0.34	25	F	348.9	1.50	25	F
Northbound Right	27.1	0.59	25	D	17.1	0.60	25	С
Unser & Rosa Parks (1-Stage)								
Westbound Left & Right	133.5	0.97	25	F	59.0	0.57	25	F
Southbound Left	9.0	0.02	25	Α	10.2	0.04	25	В
Unser & Rosa Parks (2-Stage)								
Westbound Left & Right	29.7	0.48	25	D	23.8	0.30	25	С
Northbound Left	9.0	0.02	25	Α	10.2	0.04	25	В
Rosa Parks & Azucena								
Eastbound Left	7.4	0.01	0	Α	7.4	0.02	25	Α
Westbound Left	7.3	0.00	0	Α	7.4	0.00	0	Α
Northbound Approach	9.0	0.02	0	Α	9.3	0.01	0	Α
Southbound Approach	9.2	0.05	25	Α	9.3	0.04	25	Α
Rosa Parks & Redroot								
Eastbound Left	7.3	0.01	0	Α	7.5	0.04	25	Α
Westbound Left	7.3	0.00	0	Α	7.3	0.01	0	Α
Northbound Approach	9.1	0.03	25	Α	9.6	0.02	25	Α
Southbound Approach	9.2	0.10	25	Α	9.7	0.08	25	Α
* – HCM 95 th percentile queue round	led to ne	ext 25-	foot increr	ment				







1285(492) 4 387(1251)
3(9) 217(342)

PASEO DEL NORTE / KIMMICK



Thru Lanes (# as indicated)

Turning Lanes (# as indicated)

1234(1234) AM(PM) Traffic Counts

X(X) AM(PM) Level of Service (LOS)



LA CUENTISTA CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE SITE TRAFFIC ANALYSIS

FIGURE 7 2024 BUILD TRAFFIC VOLUMES

VII. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A. CONCLUSIONS

The traffic analysis found that all intersections operate overall acceptably with Existing conditions.

The total trips for the future Cliffs on Paseo development significantly impact the adjacent Paseo del Norte and Kimmick Drive intersection. For both the 2024 No Build and 2024 Build studies, the intersection of Paseo del Norte and Kimmick Drive operates at acceptable levels of service. Under these conditions, not including the Cliffs on Paseo development, a signal was not warranted.

For the evaluations with the Cliffs development included, the northbound left movement at Paseo del Norte and Kimmick does not operate at an acceptable level of service in the 2024 No Build and 2024 Build scenarios. For these conditions where the Cliffs on Paseo development is included, a traffic signal was warranted.

In the Build the westbound left and right movements at Unser Boulevard and Rosa Parks Road do not operate acceptably in either the AM or PM peak hours for 1-stage left turns. The Unser and Rosa Parks intersection does operate at acceptable levels of service for the 2-stage, 2024 Build analysis. This intersection does not warrant an analysis.

The intersections of Rosa Parks and Azucena and Rosa Parks and Redroot operate at acceptable levels of service for all conditions including Existing, 2024 No Build and 2024 Build scenarios.

B. RECOMMENDATIONS

- All designs shall satisfy the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) and City of Albuquerque requirements.
- Since a signal is warranted at the Paseo del Norte and Kimmick Drive intersection only when the traffic generated from the Cliffs on Paseo development is included, it is not recommended to turn on the existing signal at this time. Application of signalization without the Cliffs on Paseo development is unwarranted.

APPENDIX A EXISTING DATA

4/27/2021 8:38:51 AM

MRCOG Traffic Counts Summary Statistics

See notes, bottom of report

					Directio	n 1	Direction	n 2		AM I	Peak Ho	ur			PM I	Peak Ho	ur			
COGID	Route Name	Location Description	Count Date	Total Volume	Daily Volume	Dir	Daily Volume	Dir	Time Begin	Volume	% Daily	Dir Split	Pk Dir	Time Begin	Volume	% Daily	Dir Split	Pk Dir	Count Quality	Count Type
250842	PASEO DEL NORTE	WEST OF KIMMICK	4/1/2009	14,466	6,521	E	7,945	W	700	1,033	7.14	0.73	Е	1730	1,531	10.58	0.78	W	Т	Vol
250842	PASEO DEL NORTE	WEST OF KIMMICK	5/7/2013	16,166	8,232	Е	7,934	W	630	1,438	8.90	0.76	Е	1700	1,475	9.12	0.66	W	Т	Vol
250842	PASEO DEL NORTE	WEST OF KIMMICK	3/15/2016	17,354	9,104	Е	8,250	W	645	1,370	7.89	0.82	Е	1630	1,357	7.82	0.68	W	Т	VC
250842	PASEO DEL NORTE	WEST OF KIMMICK	4/27/2020	11,773	6,205	Е	5,568	W	645	704	5.98	0.80	Е	1645	970	8.24	0.63	W	Т	VC
250842	PASEO DEL NORTE	WEST OF KIMMICK	9/1/2020	13,836	7,654	Е	6,182	W	700	1,075	7.77	0.81	Е	1645	1,125	8.13	0.60	W	Т	VC
250842	PASEO DEL NORTE	WEST OF KIMMICK	3/30/2021	14,842	10,780	Е	4,062	W	700	1,102	7.42	0.87	Е	1700	1,090	7.34	0.69	Е	Т	VC
250842	PASEO DEL NORTE	WEST OF KIMMICK	3/11/2019	17,023	9,124	Е	7,899	W	630	1,070	6.29	0.84	Е	1700	1,450	8.52	0.60	E	Т	Vol
250842	PASEO DEL NORTE	WEST OF KIMMICK	6/15/2020	15,526	7,974	Е	7,552	W	700	910	5.86	0.79	Е	1630	1,313	8.46	0.66	W	Т	VC
250862	PASEO DEL NORTE	WEST OF GOLF COURSE RD.	3/1/2009	13,113	6,292	Е	6,821	W	700	977	7.45	0.75	Е	1700	1,265	9.65	0.69	W	Т	Vol
250862	PASEO DEL NORTE	WEST OF GOLF COURSE RD.	3/12/2012	12,776	6,563	Е	6,213	W	545	814	6.37	0.85	Е	1600	1,185	9.28	0.67	W	Т	Vol
250862	PASEO DEL NORTE	WEST OF GOLF COURSE RD.	3/17/2015	17,604	9,248	Е	8,356	W	645	1,535	8.72	0.77	E	1600	1,417	8.05	0.61	W	Т	Vol
250862	PASEO DEL NORTE	WEST OF GOLF COURSE RD.	3/5/2018	17,970	9,088	Е	8,882	W	630	1,368	7.61	0.77	Е	1615	1,386	7.71	0.65	W	Т	VC
250862	PASEO DEL NORTE	WEST OF GOLF COURSE RD.	3/9/2021	18,564	9,844	Е	8,720	W	700	1,240	6.68	0.78	Е	1630	1,687	9.09	0.60	W	Т	Vol
207931	UNSER BLVD.	NORTH OF ROSA PARKS	4/30/2012	8,433	4,403	N	4,030	S	700	807	9.57	0.62	S	1700	852	10.10	0.65	N	Т	Vol
207932	UNSER BLVD.	SW OF PASEO DEL NORTE	5/7/2013	8,824	4,502	N	4,322	S	630	688	7.80	0.58	S	1615	663	7.51	0.58	N	Т	VC
207932	UNSER BLVD.	SW OF PASEO DEL NORTE	4/14/2015	12,084	6,322	N	5,762	S	715	1,048	8.67	0.55	S	1530	981	8.12	0.62	N	Т	VC
207932	UNSER BLVD.	SW OF PASEO DEL NORTE	4/6/2021	14,357	7,413	N	6,944	S	730	1,157	8.06	0.55	N	1630	1,165	8.11	0.57	N	Т	VC
207932	UNSER BLVD.	SW OF PASEO DEL NORTE	4/10/2018	14,515	7,302	N	7,213	S	645	1,221	8.41	0.60	S	1630	1,136	7.83	0.59	N	Т	VC
207941	UNSER BLVD.	N.E. OF PASEO DEL NORTE	5/7/2013	11,159	5,462	N	5,697	S	645	1,033	9.26	0.65	S	1645	1,032	9.25	0.60	N	Т	Vol
207941	UNSER BLVD.	N.E. OF PASEO DEL NORTE	4/14/2015	15,208	6,932	N	8,276	S	645	1,424	9.36	0.69	S	1700	1,299	8.54	0.53	N	Т	Vol
207941	UNSER BLVD.	N.E. OF PASEO DEL NORTE	4/6/2021	15,867	8,066	N	7,801	S	700	1,277	8.05	0.57	S	1700	1,310	8.26	0.54	N	Т	VC
207941	UNSER BLVD.	N.E. OF PASEO DEL NORTE	4/10/2018	13,014	4,491	N	8,523	S	630	1,011	7.77	0.80	S	1545	859	6.60	0.74	S	Т	VC
206552	PASEO DEL NORTE	WEST OF UNSER	5/7/2013	12,549	6,107	Е	6,442	W	630	1,151	9.17	0.74	Е	1715	1,005	8.01	0.75	W	Т	VC
206552	PASEO DEL NORTE	WEST OF UNSER	3/15/2016	14,263	7,202	Ε	7,061	W	630	1,078	7.56	0.78	Е	1630	1,203	8.43	0.67	W	Т	Vol
206552	PASEO DEL NORTE	WEST OF UNSER	4/27/2020	9,942	4,974	Ε	4,968	W	645	530	5.33	0.81	Е	1645	896	9.01	0.65	W	Т	Vol
206552	PASEO DEL NORTE	WEST OF UNSER	8/11/2020	13,250	6,692	Е	6,558	W	700	823	6.21	0.76	Е	1700	1,117	8.43	0.64	W	Т	Vol
206552	PASEO DEL NORTE	WEST OF UNSER	11/3/2020	11,889	5,823	Е	6,066	W	700	849	7.14	0.78	Е	1700	1,074	9.03	0.65	W	Т	Vol
206552	PASEO DEL NORTE	WEST OF UNSER	3/30/2021	13,867	6,984	Е	6,883	W	645	914	6.59	0.80	Е	1700	1,171	8.44	0.62	W	Т	Vol
206552	PASEO DEL NORTE	WEST OF UNSER	3/11/2019	12,922	6,678	Е	6,244	W	645	815	6.31	0.83	Е	1745	966	7.48	0.67	W	Т	Vol


Notes:

- 1. Daily volumes are averages for a 24 hour period.
- 2. AM Peak Period: 6 AM to 9 AM; PM Peak Period: 3 PM to 6 PM.
- 3. Peak **hours** are defined by the maximum hourly 2-way volume occuring during the peak **period**.
- 4. 'Time Begin' is the beginning time of the peak hour (24 hour military time)
- 5. Peak hour % is the percentage of 2-way volume appearing in the peak hour.
- 6. 'Dir Split' is the directional split: the percentage of the 2-way peak hour volume traveling in the peak direction.
- 7. 'Pk Dir' indicates the peak direction. E.g., 'E' means "Eastbound'.
- 8. 'Count Quality' is defined by NMDOT and MRCOG count standards. 'T' indicates a good count. 'Q' indicates a count that meets NMDOT standards but does not meet MRCOG standards. 'F' indicates a bad count.
- 9. 'Count Type': 'Vol' refers to a regular volume tube count; 'VC' refers to a vehicle classification count.

APPENDIX B 2019 EXISTING INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report										
General Information		Site Information								
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Paseo and Kimmick							
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA							
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Paseo del Norte							
Analysis Year	2019	North/South Street	Kimmick							
Time Analyzed	EXIST_2019_AM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92							
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description La Cuentista (1-stage)										

Lanes



Vehicle Volumes and Ad	justme	nts															
Approach		Eastbound			Westbound			Northbound					Southbound				
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	0	1		0	0	0	
Configuration			Т	TR		L	Т			L		R					
Volume (veh/h)			1080	1	0	14	323			4		51					
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)					2	2				2		2					
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)										0							
Right Turn Channelized										Ν	lo						
Median Type Storage		Undivided															
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)						4.1				7.5		6.9					
Critical Headway (sec)						4.14				6.84		6.94					
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.2				3.5		3.3					
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.22				3.52		3.32					
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of S	ervice														
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)	Т					15			П	4		55					
Capacity, c (veh/h)						590				132		453					
v/c Ratio						0.03				0.03		0.12					
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.1				0.1		0.4					
Control Delay (s/veh)						11.3				33.2		14.1					
Level of Service (LOS)						В				D		В					
Approach Delay (s/veh)					0.5			15.5									
Approach LOS					Ì				С								


HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report										
General Information		Site Information								
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Paseo and Kimmick							
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA							
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Paseo del Norte							
Analysis Year	2019	North/South Street	Kimmick							
Time Analyzed	EXIST_2019_PM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92							
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description	La Cuentista (1-stage)									

Lanes




Vehicle Volumes and Adj	ustme	nts															
Approach	Eastbound			Westbound			Northbound				Southbound						
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	0	1		0	0	0	
Configuration			Т	TR		L	Т			L		R					
Volume (veh/h)			409	4	0	55	1050			2		33					
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)					2	2				2		2					
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)						-				ı)						
Right Turn Channelized									No								
Median Type Storage		Undivided															
Critical and Follow-up He	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)						4.1				7.5		6.9					
Critical Headway (sec)						4.14				6.84		6.94					
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.2				3.5		3.3					
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.22				3.52		3.32					
Delay, Queue Length, and	d Leve	l of S	ervice														
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)	Π					60				2		36					
Capacity, c (veh/h)						1108				185		779					
v/c Ratio						0.05				0.01		0.05					
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.2				0.0		0.1					
Control Delay (s/veh)						8.4				24.7		9.8					
Level of Service (LOS)						А				С		Α					
Approach Delay (s/veh)					0.4			10.7									
Approach LOS								В									

	HCS7 Two-Way Stop	o-Control Report								
General Information		Site Information								
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Unser and Rosa Parks							
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA							
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Rosa Parks							
Analysis Year	2019	North/South Street	Unser Blvd							
Time Analyzed	EXIST_2019_AM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92							
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description	La Cuentista									




Vehicle Volumes and Ad	justme															
Approach		Eastk	ound			Westl	oound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0
Configuration							LR					TR		L	Т	
Volume (veh/h)						32		10			488	32		10	733	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						2		2						2		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)						(0									
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided											
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2						4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)						6.42		6.22						4.12		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.52		3.32						2.22		
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of S	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)	T						46							11		
Capacity, c (veh/h)							193							1007		
v/c Ratio							0.24							0.01		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)							0.9							0.0		
Control Delay (s/veh)							29.4							8.6		
Level of Service (LOS)			Ì				D							А		
Approach Delay (s/veh)					29.4							0.1				
Approach LOS					D											

	HCS7 Two-Way Stop	o-Control Report	
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Unser and Rosa Parks
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Rosa Parks
Analysis Year	2019	North/South Street	Unser Blvd
Time Analyzed	EXIST_2019_PM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	La Cuentista (1-stage)		



Approach		Easth	ound			Westl	oound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0
Configuration							LR					TR		L	Т	
Volume (veh/h)						17		6			670	17		6	466	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						2		2						2		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%))									
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided											
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2						4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)						6.42		6.22						4.12		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.52		3.32						2.22		
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of S	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)							25							7		
Capacity, c (veh/h)							219							862		
v/c Ratio							0.11							0.01		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)							0.4							0.0		
Control Delay (s/veh)							23.5							9.2		
Level of Service (LOS)							С							А		
Approach Delay (s/veh)				23.5							0.1					
Approach LOS					С											


	HCS7 Two-Way Sto	op-Control Report								
General Information		Site Information								
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Rosa Parks and Azucena							
Agency/Co.	BHI	Jurisdiction	COA							
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Rosa Parks							
Analysis Year	2019	North/South Street	Azucena							
Time Analyzed	EXIST_2019_AM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92							
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description	La Cuentista									



Vehicle Volumes and Adj	ustme	nts														
Approach		Eastb	ound			Westl	oound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	1	0		0	0	0
Configuration				TR		LT					LR					
Volume (veh/h)			9	2		2	11			6		5				
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						2				2		2				
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)										()					
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided											
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						4.1				7.1		6.2				
Critical Headway (sec)						4.12				6.42		6.22				
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.2				3.5		3.3				
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.22				3.52		3.32				
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of S	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						2					12					
Capacity, c (veh/h)						1607					1023					
v/c Ratio						0.00					0.01					
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.0					0.0					
Control Delay (s/veh)						7.2					8.6					
Level of Service (LOS)						А					Α					
Approach Delay (s/veh)	1.1				.1		8.6									
Approach LOS										,	4					

Generated: 5/6/2021 4:45:45 PM


	HCS7 Two-Way Stop	o-Control Report	
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Rosa Parks and Azucena
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Rosa Parks
Analysis Year	2019	North/South Street	Azucena
Time Analyzed	EXIST_2019_PM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	La Cuentista		



Vehicle Volumes and Adj	ustme	nts														
Approach		Eastb	oound			Westl	oound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	1	0		0	0	0
Configuration				TR		LT					LR					
Volume (veh/h)			4	5		4	7			3		3				
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						2				2		2				
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%))					
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided											
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						4.1				7.1		6.2				
Critical Headway (sec)						4.12				6.42		6.22				
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.2				3.5		3.3				
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.22				3.52		3.32				
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of S	ervice	•												
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						4					7					
Capacity, c (veh/h)						1610					1031					
v/c Ratio						0.00					0.01					
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.0					0.0					
Control Delay (s/veh)						7.2					8.5					
Level of Service (LOS)						Α					Α					
Approach Delay (s/veh)	2.6							8	.5							
Approach LOS										,	4					


Generated: 5/6/2021 4:47:42 PM

	HCS7 Two-Way Stop	o-Control Report								
General Information		Site Information								
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Rosa Parks and Redroot							
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA							
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Rosa Parks							
Analysis Year	2019	North/South Street	Redroot							
Time Analyzed	EXIST_2019_AM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92							
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description	La Cuentista									




Vehicle Volumes and Adj	ustme	nts														
Approach		Eastb	ound			Westl	oound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	1	0		0	0	0
Configuration				TR		LT					LR					
Volume (veh/h)			5	4		3	2			11		11				
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						2				2		2				
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)										()					
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided											
Critical and Follow-up Ho	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						4.1				7.1		6.2				
Critical Headway (sec)						4.12				6.42		6.22				
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.2				3.5		3.3				
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.22				3.52		3.32				
Delay, Queue Length, and	d Leve	l of S	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						3					24					
Capacity, c (veh/h)						1610					1036					
v/c Ratio						0.00					0.02					
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.0					0.1					
Control Delay (s/veh)						7.2					8.6					
Level of Service (LOS)						А					Α					
Approach Delay (s/veh)	4.4						8.6									
Approach LOS										,	4					


	HCS7 Two-Way Stop	o-Control Report	
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Rosa Parks and Redroot
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Rosa Parks
Analysis Year	2019	North/South Street	Redroot
Time Analyzed	EXIST_2019_PM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	La Cuentista		



Vehicle Volumes and Adj	ustme	nts														
Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	1	0		0	0	0
Configuration				TR		LT					LR					
Volume (veh/h)			3	12		11	4			7		6				
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						2				2		2				
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%))					
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided											
Critical and Follow-up He	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						4.1				7.1		6.2				
Critical Headway (sec)						4.12				6.42		6.22				
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.2				3.5		3.3				
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.22				3.52		3.32				
Delay, Queue Length, and	d Leve	l of S	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						12					14					
Capacity, c (veh/h)						1601					1012					
v/c Ratio						0.01					0.01					
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.0					0.0					
Control Delay (s/veh)						7.3					8.6					
Level of Service (LOS)						А					Α					
Approach Delay (s/veh)	5.3					.3			8	.6						
Approach LOS										,	4					

APPENDIX C TURNING MOVEMENT DEVELOPMENT





La Cuentista 2025 Build Trip Distribution - Residential Trips Employment by Subarea

Subarea	Emp l oyment* 2016	2040	2025	Distance	Employment / distance 2030	% Emp / Dist	Ur	UN ser to/from No % Emp/ Dist.	rth
							% Utililizing	Utilizing	Emp
1	8,373	11,695	9,619	11.8	819	1.41%	80%	1.13%	7,695
2	16,177	19,251	17.330	4.9	3,533	6.10%	70%	4.27%	12,131
3	1,579	1,775	1,653	7.1	233	0.40%	65%	0.26%	1,074
4	3,725	4,083	3,859	15.9	243	0.42%	0070	0.2070	1,01
5	14,923	16,730	15,601	2.1	7,347	12.68%	45%	5.71%	7,020
6	2,051	5,205	3,234	1.0	3,234	5.58%	24%	1.32%	767
7	9,234	11,922	10,242	3.8	2,685	4.63%	2170	1.0270	101
8	9,101	12,837	10,502	6.6	1,598	2.76%			
9	671	970	783	19.4	40	0.07%	35%	0.02%	274
10	3,409	5,486	4,188	11.6	362	0.62%	3070	0.0270	
11	5,699	6,882	6,143	11.5	536	0.93%			
12	6,287	7,474	6,732	4.5	1,485	2.56%			
13	38,387	42,986	40,112	6.5	6,199	10.70%			
14	37,516	41,146	38,877	12.0	3,243	5.60%			
15	17,358	20,784	18,643	5.0	3,741	6.46%			
16	54,135	60,416	56,490	11.1	5,069	8.75%			
17	39,647	47,495	42,590	6.7	6,315	10.90%			
18	47,403	53,720	49,772	9.0	5,514	9.52%			
19	26,057	30,705	27,800	13.3	2,090	3.61%			
20	5,978	8,831	7,048	12.1	581	1.00%			
21	1,755	4,714	2,865	14.8	194	0.34%			
22	28,349	31,083	29,374	15.7	1,866	3.22%			
23	2,923	3,349	3,083	23.9	129	0.22%			
24	1,271	1,266	1,269	18.5	69	0.12%			
25	112	112	112	23.6	5	0.01%			
26	18,011	21,494	19,317	33.8	572	0.99%			
27	5,846	6,024	5,913	39.6	149	0.26%	75%	0.19%	4,435
28	4,322	5,118	4,621	61.5	75	0.13%			
29	1,784	2,111	1,907	41.0	46	0.08%			
Total USE	412,083	485,664			57,926	100.00%		12.91% 13.00%	

from MRCOG website

La Cuentista 2025 Build Trip Distribution - Residential Trips Employment by Subarea

Subarea	Employment* 2016	2040	2025	Distance	Employment / distance 2030	% Emp / Dist		US ser to/from So % Emp/ Dist.	uth		PW seo to/from We % Emp/ Dist.	est	1	PE seo to/from Ea % Emp/ Dist.	ast
							% Utililizing	Uti l izing	Emp	% Utililizing	Utilizing	Emp	% Utililizing	Uti l izing	Emp
1	8,373	11.695	9,619	11.8	819	1.41%				10%	0.14%	962	10%	0.14%	962
2	16,177	19,251	17,330	4.9	3,533	6.10%				10%	0.61%	1,733	20%	1.22%	3,466
3	1,579	1,775	1,653	7.1	233	0.40%				10%	0.04%	165	25%	0.10%	413
4	3,725	4,083	3,859	15.9	243	0.42%				10,7	0.0 170		100%	0.42%	3,859
5	14,923	16,730	15,601	2.1	7,347	12.68%	45%	5.71%	7,020	5%	0.63%	780	5%	0.63%	780
6	2,051	5,205	3,234	1.0	3,234	5.58%	51%	2.85%	1,648	19%	1.07%	621	6%	0.34%	197
7	9,234	11,922	10,242	3.8	2,685	4.63%	95%	4.40%	9,730				5%	0.23%	512
8	9,101	12,837	10,502	6.6	1,598	2.76%	70%	1.93%	7,351	15%	0.41%	1,575	15%	0.41%	1,575
9	671	970	783	19.4	40	0.07%	35%	0.02%	274	15%	0.01%	117	15%	0.01%	117
10	3,409	5,486	4,188	11.6	362	0.62%	70%	0.44%	2,932				30%	0.19%	1,256
11	5,699	6,882	6,143	11.5	536	0.93%	70%	0.65%	4,300				30%	0.28%	1,843
12	6,287	7,474	6,732	4.5	1,485	2.56%	10%	0.26%	673				90%	2.31%	6,059
13	38,387	42,986	40,112	6.5	6,199	10.70%	40%	4.28%	16,045				60%	6.42%	24,067
14	37,516	41,146	38,877	12.0	3,243	5.60%							100%	5.60%	38,877
15	17,358	20,784	18,643	5.0	3,741	6.46%	55%	3.55%	10,254				45%	2.91%	8,389
16	54,135	60,416	56,490	11.1	5,069	8.75%	25%	2.19%	14,123				75%	6.56%	42,368
17	39,647	47,495	42,590	6.7	6,315	10.90%	40%	4.36%	17,036				60%	6.54%	25,554
18	47,403	53,720	49,772	9.0	5,514	9.52%	45%	4.28%	22,397				55%	5.24%	27,375
19	26,057	30,705	27,800	13.3	2,090	3.61%	45%	1.62%	12,510				55%	1.98%	15,290
20	5,978	8,831	7,048	12.1	581	1.00%	45%	0.45%	3,172				55%	0.55%	3,876
21	1,755	4,714	2,865	14.8	194	0.34%	45%	0.15%	1,289				55%	0.18%	1,576
22	28,349	31,083	29,374	15.7	1,866	3.22%	45%	1.45%	13,218				55%	1.77%	16,156
23	2,923	3,349	3,083	23.9	129	0.22%	40%	0.09%	1,233				60%	0.13%	1,850
24	1,271	1,266	1,269	18.5	69	0.12%	65%	0.08%	825				35%	0.04%	444
25	112	112	112	23.6	5	0.01%	45%		50				55%	0.00%	62
26	18,011	21,494	19,317	33.8	572	0.99%	45%	0.44%	8,693				55%	0.54%	10,624
27	5,846	6,024	5,913	39.6	149	0.26%				20%	0.05%	1,183	5%	0.01%	296
28	4,322	5,118	4,621	61.5	75	0.13%	60%	0.08%	2,772				40%	0.05%	1,848
29	1,784	2,111	1,907	41.0	46	0.08%	40%	0.03%	763				60%	0.05%	1,144
Total USE	412,083	485,664			57,926	100.00%		39.31% 40.00%			2.97% 3.00%			44.88% 44.00%	

from MRCOG website

La Cuentista 2025 Build Trip Distribution - Residential Trips Employment by Subarea

Subarea	Employment* 2016	2040	2025	Distance	Employment / distance 2030	% Emp / Dist
1	8,373	11,695	9,619	11.8	819	1.41%
2	16,177	19,251	17,330	4.9	3,533	6.10%
3	1,579	1,775	1,653	7.1	233	0.40%
4	3,725	4,083	3,859	15.9	243	0.42%
5	14,923	16,730	15,601	2.1	7,347	12.68%
6	2,051	5,205	3,234	1.0	3,234	5.58%
7	9,234	11,922	10,242	3.8	2,685	4.63%
8	9,101	12,837	10,502	6.6	1,598	2.76%
9	671	970	783	19 .4	40	0.07%
10	3,409	5,486	4,188	11.6	362	0.62%
11	5,699	6,882	6,143	11.5	536	0.93%
12	6,287	7,474	6,732	4.5	1, 4 85	2.56%
13	38,387	42,986	40,112	6.5	6,199	10.70%
14	37,516	41,146	38,877	12.0	3,243	5.60%
15	17,358	20,784	18,643	5.0	3,741	6.46%
16	54,135	60,416	56,490	11.1	5,069	8.75%
17	39,647	47,495	42,590	6.7	6,315	10.90%
18	47,403	53,720	49,772	9.0	5,514	9.52%
19	26,057	30,705	27,800	13.3	2,090	3.61%
20	5,978	8,831	7,048	12.1	581	1.00%
21	1,755	4,714	2,865	14.8	194	0.34%
22	28,349	31,083	29,374	15.7	1,866	3.22%
23	2,923	3,349	3,083	23.9	129	0.22%
24	1,271	1,266	1,269	18.5	69	0.12%
25	112	112	112	23.6	5	0.01%
26	18,011	21,494	19,317	33.8	572	0.99%
27	5,846	6,024	5,913	39.6	149	0.26%
28	4,322	5,118	4,621	61.5	75	0.13%
29	1,784	2,111	1,907	41.0	46	0.08%
Total	412,083	485,664			57,926	100.00%
USE	412,003	700,004			37,320	100.00 /0

from MRCOG website

La Cuentista Subdivision Trip Distribution - Residential Trips Employment by Data Analysis Subzone for Subarea 6

DASZ's of S	2016 ubarea 6	Employment* 2040	2025	2025 EMP%	5.58% Zone % Emp % * 5.58%		UN nser to/from No % Emp/ Dist. Utilizing	rth Emp	Un % Utililizina	US ser to/from Sou % Emp/ Dist. Utilizing	ith Emp	Pa:	PW seo to/from W % Emp/ Dist. Utilizing	est Emp
					Zinp 70 0.0070	70 Ottimizing	Othizing	ЕшЬ	70 Othinizing	Otilizing	Ешр	70 Othlizing	Othizing	
5901	0	0	0	0.00%	0.0%	30%	0.00%	0	60%	0.00%	0	5%	0.00%	0
5911	113	170	134	4.16%	0.2%	40%	0.09%	54	40%	0.09%	54	10%	0.02%	13
6313	172	196	181	5.60%	0.3%		0.00%	0	100%	0.31%	181		0.00%	0
6314	0	0	0	0.00%	0.0%		0.00%	0	100%	0.00%	0		0.00%	0
6315	25	26	25	0.78%	0.0%		0.00%	0	100%	0.04%	25		0.00%	0
6316	59	60	59	1.84%	0.1%	30%	0.03%	18	40%	0.04%	24	30%	0.03%	18
6317	5	5	5	0.15%	0.0%	100%	0.01%	5		0.00%	0		0.00%	0
6318	15	96	45	1.40%	0.1%	35%	0.03%	16	55%	0.04%	25	5%	0.00%	2
6336	21	21	21	0.65%	0.0%	35%	0.01%	7	35%	0.01%	7	30%	0.01%	6
6337	0	0	0	0.00%	0.0%	35%	0.00%	0		0.00%	0	30%	0.00%	0
6451	0	0	0	0.00%	0.0%	45%	0.00%	0		0.00%	0	15%	0.00%	0
6452	0	0	0	0.00%	0.0%	40%	0.00%	0		0.00%	0	30%	0.00%	0
6453	0	0	0	0.00%	0.0%	40%	0.00%	0		0.00%	0	30%	0.00%	0
6454	0	86	32	1.00%	0.1%	40%	0.02%	13		0.00%	0	30%	0.02%	10
6461	0	0	0	0.00%	0.0%	40%	0.00%	0	20%	0.00%	0	40%	0.00%	0
6462	0	0	0	0.00%	0.0%	40%	0.00%	0	20%	0.00%	0	40%	0.00%	0
6463	0	0	0	0.00%	0.0%	40%	0.00%	0	20%	0.00%	0	40%	0.00%	0
6471	12	23	16	0.50%	0.0%	40%	0.01%	6	20%	0.01%	3	40%	0.01%	6
6472	13	14	13	0.41%	0.0%	40%	0.01%	5	20%	0.00%	3	40%	0.01%	5
6473	138	272	188	5.82%	0.3%	40%	0.13%	75	20%	0.06%	38	40%	0.13%	75
6481	9	10	9	0.29%	0.0%	30%	0.00%	3	40%	0.01%	4	25%	0.00%	2
6482	520	3272	1,552	47.99%	2.7%	30%	0.80%	466	40%	1.07%	621	25%	0.67%	388
6491	0	0	0	0.00%	0.0%	85%	0.00%	0		0.00%	0	15%	0.00%	0
6492	11	12	11	0.35%	0.0%	40%	0.01%	5	55%	0.01%	6	5%	0.00%	1
9683	938	942	940	29.05%	1.6%	10%	0.16%	94	70%	1.14%	658	10%	0.16%	94
Total	2,051	5,205	3,234	100.00%	5.58%	9	1.32%			2.85%			1.07%	

 $[\]mbox{\ensuremath{^{\star}}}\mbox{-}$ Subarea Population from MRCOG 2040 Socioeconomic Forecasts from MRCOG website

La Cuentista Subdivision Trip Distribution - Residential Trips Employment by Data Analysis Subzone for Subarea 6

DASZ's of Su	2016 ubarea 6	Employment* 2040	2025	2025 EMP%	5.58% Zone % Emp % * 5.58%		PE seo to/from Ea % Emp/ Dist. Utilizing	st Emp
5901	0	0	0	0.00%	0.0%	5%	0.00%	0
5901 5911	113	170	134	0.00% 4.16%	0.0%	10%	0.00%	13
6313	172	196		5.60%	0.3%	1070	0.02%	0
6314	0	0	181 0	0.00%	0.0%		0.00%	
6315	25	26		0.78%	0.0%		0.00%	0
	∠5 59	26 60	25	0.78% 1.84%	0.0%			0
6316	59 5	5	59				0.00%	0
6317	-		5	0.15%	0.0%	F0/	0.00%	0
6318	15	96	45	1.40%	0.1%	5%	0.00%	2
6336	21	21	21	0.65%	0.0%	0.50/	0.00%	0
6337	0	0	0	0.00%	0.0%	35%	0.00%	0
6451	0	0	0	0.00%	0.0%	40%	0.00%	0
6452	0	0	0	0.00%	0.0%	30%	0.00%	0
6453	0	0	0	0.00%	0.0%	30%	0.00%	0
6454	0	86	32	1.00%	0.1%	30%	0.02%	10
6461	0	0	0	0.00%	0.0%		0.00%	0
6462	0	0	0	0.00%	0.0%		0.00%	0
6463	0	0	0	0.00%	0.0%		0.00%	0
6471	12	23	16	0.50%	0.0%		0.00%	0
6472	13	14	13	0.41%	0.0%		0.00%	0
6473	138	272	188	5.82%	0.3%		0.00%	0
6481	9	10	9	0.29%	0.0%	5%	0.00%	0
6482	520	3272	1,552	47.99%	2.7%	5%	0.13%	78
6491	0	0	0	0.00%	0.0%		0.00%	0
6492	11	12	11	0.35%	0.0%		0.00%	0
9683	938	942	940	29.05%	1.6%	10%	0.16%	94
Total	2,051	5,205	3,234	100.00%	5.58%		0.34%	

^{* -} Subarea Population from MRCOG 2040 Socioeconomic Forecasts from MRCOG website

La Cuentista Subdivision Trip Distribution - Residential Trips Employment by Data Analysis Subzone for Subarea 6


	2016	Employment* 2040	2025		5.58%
DASZ's of Su		20.0		2025 EMP%	Zone %
					Emp % * 5.58%
5901	0	0	0	0.00%	0.0%
5911	113	170	134	4.16%	0.2%
6313	172	196	181	5.60%	0.3%
6314	0	0	0	0.00%	0.0%
6315	25	26	25	0.78%	0.0%
6316	59	60	59	1.84%	0.1%
6317	5	5	5	0.15%	0.0%
6318	15	96	45	1.40%	0.1%
6336	21	21	21	0.65%	0.0%
6337	0	0	0	0.00%	0.0%
6451	0	0	0	0.00%	0.0%
6452	0	0	0	0.00%	0.0%
6453	0	0	0	0.00%	0.0%
6454	0	86	32	1.00%	0.1%
6461	0	0	0	0.00%	0.0%
6462	0	0	0	0.00%	0.0%
6463	0	0	0	0.00%	0.0%
6471	12	23	16	0.50%	0.0%
6472	13	14	13	0.41%	0.0%
6473	138	272	188	5.82%	0.3%
6481	9	10	9	0.29%	0.0%
6482	520	3272	1,552	47.99%	2.7%
6491	0	0	0	0.00%	0.0%
6492	11	12	11	0.35%	0.0%
9683	938	942	940	29.05%	1.6%
Total	2,051	5,205	3,234	100.00%	5.58%

^{* -} Subarea Population from MRCOG 2040 Socioeconomic Forecasts from MRCOG website

AWDT on Unser (Between Paseo Del Norte & Rosa Parks) **AWDT** Year 2015 11,115 Linear Growth Rate = $\{[(14,489 - 11,115)/4]/14,489\}x100 = 5.82\%$ 11,304 2016 2017 11,558 14,072 2018 2019 14,489 Regression Output R Square 0.85 Standard Error 7.32E+02 Observations 5 -1,906,870 Intercept Std Err of Intercept 5.E+05 Coefficient 952 Std Err of Coefficient Projected AWDT 231 2015 10,604 2016 11,556 Regression Equation AWDT = 952 x Year - 1,906,870 Coefficient Growth Rate 6,57%



Estimated Annual Growth Rate [19,169- 14,489)/14,489) x 100% = 32.30% 32.30%/5= 6,46%



AWDT on Unser (South of Rosa Parks) Year AWDT 2015 12,368 2016 12,578 2017 12,860 2018 14,327 2019 14,752

Linear Growth Rate = $\{[(14,752 - 12,368)/4]/14.752\}x100 = 4.04\%$


Regression Output					
R Square	0.900				
Standard Error	3.96E+02				
Observations	5				
Intercept	-1,301,102				
Std Err of Intercept	2.52E+05				
Coefficient	652				
Std Err of Coefficient	125				

Projected AWDT 2015 12,074 2016 12,725 2017 13,377 14,029 2018 2019 14,680 2020 15,332 15,984 2021 2022 16,636 2023 17,287 17,939 2024

Regression Equation AWDT = 652 x Year - 1,301,102

Coefficient Growth Rate 4.42%

Estimated Annual Growth Rate $((17,939 - 14,752))/14,752) \times 100\% = 21.60\%$ 21.60%/5 = 4.32%



AWDT on Paseo del Norte (Between Unser & Kimmick) Year AWDT 2015 15,398 2016 16,370 2017 16,737 2018 17,019 2019 16,234


Linear Growth Rate = $\{[(16,234-15,398)/4]/16,234\}x100 = 1.29\%$

Regression	n Output
R Square	0.35
Standard Error	5.71E+02
Observations	5
Intercept	-451,794
Std Err of Intercept	364,323
Coefficient	232
Std Err of Coefficient	1.81E+02

Projected AWDT 2015 15,887 2016 16,119 2017 16,352 16,584 2018 2019 16,816 2020 17,048 2021 17,280 2022 17,512 2023 17,744 2024 17,976

Regression Equation
AWDT = 232 x Year - 451,794
Coefficient Growth Rate 1.43%

Estimated Annual Growth Rate [(5,485 -3,700)/3,700) x 100% = 10.73% 10.73%/5 = 2.15%



AWDT on Paseo del Norte (East of Kimmick) Year AWDT 2015 16,584 2016 16,866 2017 16,049 2018 17,665 2019 18,189

Linear Growth Rate = $\{[(18,189-16,584)/3]/18,189\}x100 = 0.029413382$


Regression	Output
R Square	0.55
Standard Error	6.63E+02
Observations	5
Intercept	-791,545
Std Err of Intercept	422,966
Coefficient	401
Std Err of Coefficient	2.10E+02

Projected AWDT 2015 16,269 2016 16,670 2017 17,071 2018 17,472 2019 17,872 2020 18,273 2021 18,674 2022 19,075 2023 19,476 2024 19,877

Regression Equation AWDT = 401 x Year -791,545

Coefficient Growth Rate 2.20%

Estimated Annual Growth Rate $[(19,877 \ -18,189)/18,189) \times 100\% = 9.28\% \\ 9.28\%/5 = 1.86\%$



	AWDT	
	ALL	
Year		AWDT
2015		55,465
2016		57,118
2017		57,204
2018		63,083
2019		63,664


Linear Growth Rate = {[63,664-55,465)/3]/63,664}x100= 3.43%

Regressior	Output	_
R Square	0.87	1.00
Standard Error	1.55E+03	0.00E+00
Observations	5	2
Intercept	-4,451,310	-1,109,375
Std Err of Intercept	988,573	0
Coefficient	2,236	581
Std Err of Coefficient	4.90E+02	0.00E+00

Projecte	ed AWDT	
2015	54,834	61,340
2016	57,071	61,921
2017	59,307	62,502
2018	61,543	63,083
2019	63,779	63,664
2020	66,016	64,245
2021	68,252	64,826
2022	70,488	65,407
2023	72,725	65,988
2024	74,961	66,569

Regression Equation		
AWDT = 2,236 x Year - 4,451,310	Coefficient Growth Rate	3.51%
	USE	3.5%

Estimated Annual Growth Rate [(74,961-63,664)/63,664) x 100% = 17.74% 17.74%/5 = 3.55%



INTERSECTION: PASEO & KIMMICK (w/out Cliffs)

AM Peak Hour		Eastbound			Westbound		N	lorthboun	d	S	outhboun	d
		PASEO			PASEO			KIMMICK			KIMMICK	
	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
Existing (2019)		1,080	1	14	322		4		51			
Background Growth		189		3	56		1		9			
Approved Development (w/out Cliffs)		16			7							
No Build (2024)		1,285	1	17	385		4		61			
Entering			1	19								
Exiting							4		59			
Build (2024)		1,285	2	36	385		8		119			
PHF	0.94			0.94			0.94			0.94		
HV %		2			2			2			2	
PM Peak Hour		Eastbound			Westbound		N	lorthboun	d	S	outhboun	d
		PASEO			PASEO			KIMMICK			KIMMICK	
	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
Existing (2019)		408	4	55	1,050		2		33			
Background Growth		71	1	10	184				6			
Approved Development (w/out Cliffs)		12			17							
No Build (2024)		491	4	65	1,251		2		39			
Entering			5	66								
Exiting							3		39			
Build (2024)		491	9	131	1,251		5		78			
PHF	0.94			0.94			0.94			0.94		
HV %		2			2			2			2	
growth rates	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%
Trip Distribution % Enter			3.0%	44.0%								
Trip Distribution % Exit	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	44.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

INTERSECTION: PASEO & KIMMICK (w/Cliffs)

AM Peak Hour		Eastbound			Westbound		ı	Northboun	d		Southboun	nd
		PASEO			PASEO			KIMMICK			KIMMICK	
	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
Existing (2019)		1,080	1	14	323		4		51			
Background Growth		189		3	57		1		9			
Approved Development		16		181	7		26		88			
No Build (2024)		1,285	1	198	387		30		149			
Entering			1	19								
Exiting							4		59			
Build (2024)		1,285	2	217	387		34		207			
				221						2.24		
PHF	0.94	•		0.94			0.94			0.94		
HV %		2			2			2			2	
PM Peak Hour		Eastbound	1		Westbound			Northboun	ام		Southboun	
PIVI PEAK HOUI		PASEO			PASEO		ſ	KIMMICK			KIMMICK	
•						T =						
	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
Existing (2019)		409	4	55	1,050		2		33			
Background Growth		71	1	10	184				6			
Approved Development		12		211	17		131		322			
No Build (2024)		492	4	276	1,251		133		361			
Entering			5	66								
Exiting							3		39			
Build (2024)		492	9	342	1,251		136		400			
DUE	0.04			0.04			0.04			0.04		
PHF	0.94	0		0.94	0		0.94	0		0.94	2	
HV %		2			2			2			2	
growth rates	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%
Trip Distribution % Enter						1	I	1	I		l	1
THE DISTINGUISH TO LITTER			3.0%	44.0%								

INTERSECTION: UNSER & ROSA PARKS

AM Peak Hour		Eastbound ROSA PARK			Westbound ROSA PARK			Northbound UNSER	i		Southbound UNSER	
	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
Existing (2019)			32		10		488	32	10	733	
Background Growtl	ı			6		2		85	6	2	128	
Approved Developmen	t							1				
No Build (2024)			37		12		574	37	12	861	
Entering	9								18	6		
Exitinç	9			54		17						
Build (2024)			91		30		574	55	18	861	
PHF	0.94			0.94			0.94			0.94		
HV %	;	2			2			2			2	
PM Peak Hour		Eastbound	I		Westbound	d		Northbound	ł		Southbound	
		ROSA PARK	(S	F	OSA PARK	(S		UNSER			UNSER	
	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
Existing (2019)			17		6		670	17	6	466	
Background Growth	1			3		1		117	3	1	82	

		Eastbound		,	Westbound	t		Northbound	l		Southbound	
	F	ROSA PARK	S	R	OSA PARK	(S		UNSER			UNSER	
	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
Existing (2019)				17		6		670	17	6	466	
Background Growth				3		1		117	3	1	82	
Approved Development								1				
No Build (2024)				20		7		788	20	7	548	
Entering									60	20		
Exiting				36		12						
Build (2024)				56		18		788	80	26	548	
		-				-			-		-	-
PHF	0.94			0.94			0.94			0.94		
HV %		2			2			2			2	

growth rates	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%
Trip Distribution % Enter									40.0%	13.0%		
Trip Distribution % Exit	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%	0.0%	13.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

INTERSECTION: AZUCENA & ROSA PARKS

AM Peak Hour	F	Eastbound ROSA PARK			Westbound			Northboun AZUCENA			Southboun AZUCENA	
	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
Existing (2019)		9	2	2	11		6		5			
Background Growth		2			2		1		1			
Approved Development												
No Build (2024)		11	2	2	13		7		6			
Entering	8	16				7						
Exiting					48					21		23
Build (2024)	8	26	2	2	61	7	7		6	21		23
PHF	0.94			0.94			0.94			0.94		
HV %	0.94	2		0.94	0		0.94	0		0.94	0	
HV %		2			2			2			2	
PM Peak Hour		Eastbound		,	Westbound	ı		Vorthboun	d	l 5	outhboun	d l
	F	ROSA PARK			OSA PARK			AZUCENA			AZUCENA	
	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
Existing (2019)		4	5	4	7		3		3			
Background Growth		1	1	1	1		1		1			
Approved Development												
No Build (2024)		5	6	5	8		4		4			
Entering		53				23						
Exiting					32					14		16
Build (2024)	26	58	6	5	39	23	4		4	14		16
PHF	0.94			0.94			0.94			0.94		
HV %	0.54	2		0.54	2		0.54	2		0.07	2	
114 70		_			_			_			_	
growth rates	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%
Trip Distribution % Enter	17.5%	35.5%				15.5%						
Trip Distribution % Exit	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	35.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.5%	0.0%	17.5%

INTERSECTION: REDROOT & ROSA PARKS

AM Peak Hour	F	Eastbound ROSA PARK			Westbound			Northboun REDROOT			outhboun	
	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
Existing (2019)		5	4	3	2		11		11			
Background Growth		1	1	1			2		2			
Approved Development												
No Build (2024)		6	4	4	2		13		13			
Entering	16				7	14						
Exiting		21								42		48
Build (2024)	16	27	4	4	9	14	13		13	42		48
PHF	0.94			0.94			0.94			0.94		
HV %	0.01	2		0.01	2		0.07	2		0.07	2	
114 70		-			-			-			-	
PM Peak Hour		Eastbound		,	Westbound	t	ı	orthboun	d	S	outhboun	d
	F	ROSA PARK	S	R	OSA PARK	(S		REDROOT	-		REDRO01	-
	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
Existing (2019)		3	12	11	4		7		6			
Background Growth		1	2	2	1		1		1			
Approved Development												
No Build (2024)		4	14	13	5		8		7			
Entering					23	47						
Exiting		14								28		32
Build (2024)	53	17	14	13	28	47	8		7	28		32
PHF	0.94			0.94			0.94			0.94		
 HV %	0.01	2		0.01	2		0.01	2		0.07	2	
770		-			-			-			-	
growth rates	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%
Trip Distribution % Enter	35.5%				15.5%	31.5%						
Trip Distribution % Exit	0.0%	15.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	31.5%	0.0%	35.5%

The Cliffs on Paseo Development

Projected Turning Movements Worksheet

Paseo del Norte / Unser Blvd.

INTERSECTION: E-W Street: Paseo del Norte (2)

N-S Street: Unser Blvd.

Year of Existing Counts 2017 Implementation Year 2021

0.50% 3.30% 8 60% 8 60% **Growth Rates** Eastbound (Paseo del Norte) Westbound (Paseo del Norte) Northbound (Unser Blvd.) Southbound (Unser Blvd.) Thru Right Left Thru Right Left Thru Right Left Thru Right **Existing Volumes** 22 612 70 23 238 73 479 212 602 Background Traffic Growth <u>3</u> 26 22 624 269 122 285 15 644 809 Subtotal 83 Taos II at the Trails 52 11 0 18 La Cuentista Subd. - Phase I & II Subtotal (NO BUILD - A.M.) 288 809 23 676 82 26 84 644 122 285 19 Percent Residential Trips Generated(Entering) 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 1 44% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 1 34% Percent Residential Trips Generated(Exiting) 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 1.44% 1.34% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% Percent Commercial Trips Generated(Entering) 0.00% 25.06% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 18.42% 0.00% 0.00% Percent Commercial Trips Generated(Exiting) 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 25.06% 18.42% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% Percent Office Trips Generated(Entering) 0.00% 0.78% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.65% 0.00% 0.00% Percent Office Trips Generated(Exiting) 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.78% 0.65% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% Total Trips Generated Total AM Peak Hour BUILD Volumes 23 700 82 26 303 95 19 644 122 303 809

Existing Volumes Background Traffic Growth Subtotal Taos II at the Trails La Cuentista Subd. - Phase I & II Subtotal (NO BUILD - P.M.)

Percent Residential Trips Generated(Entering) Percent Residential Trips Generated(Exiting) Percent Commercial Trips Generated(Entering) Percent Commercial Trips Generated(Exiting) Percent Office Trips Generated(Entering)

Percent Office Trips Generated(Exiting)
Total Trips Generated
Total PM Peak Hour BUILD Volum

Eastbou	nd (Paseo d	el Norte)	Westbou	nd (Paseo d	el Norte)	Northb	ound (Unse	r Blvd.)	Southb	ound (Unsei	^r Blvd.)
Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
18	389	20	99	673	119	32	520	36	140	546	14
<u>0</u>	<u>8</u>	0	<u>13</u>	<u>89</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>179</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>188</u>	<u>5</u>
18	397	20	112	762	135	43	699	48	188	734	19
1	33	7	0	57	0	13	0	0	0	0	1
<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
19	430	27	112	819	135	56	699	48	188	734	20
0.00%	1.44%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1.34%	0.00%	0.00%
0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1.44%	1.34%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
0.00%	25.06%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	18.42%	0.00%	0.00%
0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	25.06%	18.42%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
0.00%	0.78%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.65%	0.00%	0.00%
0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.78%	0.65%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
0	69	0	0	75	55	0	0	0	51	0	0
19	499	27	112	894	190	56	699	48	239	734	20

	Entering	Exiting	
Number of Residential Trips Generated	11	42	A.M.
	47	25	P.M.
Number of Commercial Trips Generated	91	56	A.M.
	269	292	P.M.
Number of Office Trips Generated	174	40	A.M.
	74	229	P.M.

100% Residential Development

100% Commercial Development

100% Office Development

APPENDIX D 2024 NO BUILD INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Inforn	nation								ntersec	tion Info	ormatio	on	7	4 사파	1 14 1
Agency		ВНІ						_	Duration		0.250				
Analyst		MG		Analys	sis Date	e Jun 1	7. 2021		Area Typ		Other				<u>~</u> &
Jurisdiction		COA		Time F		9 00	,		PHF		0.92			w∱E	
Urban Street		La Cuentista		Analys		r 2024			Analysis	Period	1> 7:	00			√ ← ∵
Intersection		Paseo & Kimmick		File Na			1 Paseo			v-out clif				K 2	· ·
Project Descrip	tion	2024NB_w/out Cliff	's AM	1 110 11	u1110	1467 (10	11 4000	dila itt	THITIOK V	v out om	10.740			4 1 4r	ግ ተ ጉ
Troject Besch	1011	202 11 18_W/Out Olin	<u></u>												
Demand Inform	nation				EB		Т	WB	:		NB			SB	
Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Demand (v), v	eh/h				1285	5 1	17	385	,	4		61			
Signal Informa	ation				- 2	1 2									
Cycle, s	76.1	Reference Phase	2		L.			2			¥	\frown	₹ .	2	
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End	Green	19	51.5	4.7	0.0	0.0	0.0			Y 2	3	1
Uncoordinated	Yes	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow		4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			7		5.2
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		5	6	7	8
Timer Results				EBI	-	EBT	WB	L	WBT	NBL		NBT	SBL		SBT
Assigned Phas	-				_	2	1	_	6		_	8		_	
Case Number					_	8.3	1.0	_	4.0	_	_	9.0		_	
	Phase Duration, s				_	57.5	7.9		65.4		_	10.7		_	
Change Period, (Y+R c), s				_	_	6.0	6.0		6.0			6.0		_	
	Max Allow Headway (<i>MAH</i>), s				_	3.0	3.1	_	3.0			3.4		_	
Queue Clearan					_	47.4	2.2	_	4.2			5.0		_	
Green Extension		(g e), s			_	4.1	0.0		4.4			0.1		_	
Phase Call Pro						1.00	0.32	2	1.00			0.78		4	
Max Out Proba	bility	_				0.09	0.00)	0.00	_	_	0.00	_	_	
Movement Gro	oup Res	sults			EB			WB			NB			SB	
Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Assigned Move	ment				2	12	1	6		3		18			
Adjusted Flow I	Rate (v), veh/h			699	699	18	418		4		66			
Adjusted Satura	ation Flo	ow Rate (s), veh/h/l	ln		1900	1899	1810	1809		1810		1610			
Queue Service					45.4	14.3	0.2	2.2		0.2		3.0			
Cycle Queue C	learanc	e Time (<i>g c</i>), s			45.4	14.3	0.2	2.2		0.2		3.0			
Green Ratio (g	1/C)				0.68	0.68	0.73	0.78		0.06		0.09			
Capacity (c), v	/eh/h				1286	1285	172	2826		111		140			
Volume-to-Cap	acity Ra	ntio (X)			0.544	0.544	0.107	0.148		0.039		0.475			
Back of Queue	(Q), ft	/In (95 th percentile))		186.5	186.5	9	16.4		3.4		52			
Back of Queue	(Q), ve	eh/ln (95 th percent	ile)		7.5	7.5	0.4	0.7		0.1		2.1			
Queue Storage	Ratio (RQ) (95 th percent	tile)		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00			
Uniform Delay	(d 1), s.	/veh			6.3	6.3	18.3	2.1		33.6		33.1			
Incremental De	lay (d 2), s/veh			0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0		0.1		0.9			
Initial Queue De	elay (d	з), s/veh			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0			
Control Delay (d), s/veh					6.4	6.4	18.4	2.1		33.7		34.0			
Level of Service (LOS)				Α	Α	В	Α		С		С				
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS			6.4		Α	2.8		Α	34.0		С	0.0			
	Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS					6	.6						A		
Na. 14: 1 -								14.5			NID			0.5	
Multimodal Re		// 00		4.00	EB		0.00	WB	Δ.	0.01	NB		0.11	SB	
				1.85 1.64	_	В	0.62		A	2.31		В	2.14		В
Bicycle LOS So	Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS Bicycle LOS Score / LOS				1	В	0.85)	Α			F			

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Inform	eneral Information							l l	ntersec	tion Info	ormatio	on	لي	작가하↑	Ju ly
Agency		ВНІ							Duration	, h	0.250)			
Analyst		MG		Analys	sis Date	e Jun 10	6, 2021	1	Area Typ	e	Other	•			<u>~</u> &
Jurisdiction		COA		Time F					PHF		0.92			w∱E	—
Urban Street		La Cuentista			sis Yea	r 2024			\nalysis	Period	1> 7:0	00			-
Intersection		Paseo & Kimmick		File N			1 Paseo			v-out clif				5 7	F-
Project Descrip	tion	2024NB_w/out Cliff	's PM										- §	4 1 4 Y	7 47
		_													
Demand Inform	nation				EB			WB			NB			SB	
Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R	L	T	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Demand (v), v	eh/h				491	4	65	125	1	2		39			
0:	4														
Signal Informa		Deference Dhara		-	1	=					,	_			
Cycle, s	65.7	Reference Phase	2	-	2	, R '	5	7					> 2	3	4
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End	Green		40.0	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Uncoordinated	Yes	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	-	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			Y		Y
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ļ.	5	6	7	8
Timer Results	_			EBI	-	EBT	WB	L	WBT	NBL	. T	NBT	SBL	.	SBT
Assigned Phase	e					2	1		6			8			
Case Number						8.3	1.0		4.0			9.0			
Phase Duration	ı, S					46.0	10.3	3	56.3			9.3			
Change Period	, (Y+R	c), S				6.0	6.0		6.0			6.0			
Max Allow Head		<u> </u>				3.0	3.1		3.0			3.4			
Queue Clearan						14.9	2.8		11.2			3.6			
Green Extension					5.5		0.1		5.5		\neg	0.1			
Phase Call Pro		(C)				1.00	0.72	2	1.00			0.56			
Max Out Proba	bility					0.00	0.00)	0.00			0.00			
Movement Gro	oup Res	sults			EB			WB			NB			SB	
Approach Move				L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Assigned Move					2	12	1	6		3		18			
Adjusted Flow F), veh/h			269	269	71	1360		2		42			
Adjusted Satura	ation Flo	ow Rate (s), veh/h/l	n		1900	1894	1810	1809		1810		1610			
Queue Service	Time (g s), s			12.9	4.2	0.8	9.2		0.1		1.6			
Cycle Queue C	learanc	e Time (<i>g c</i>), s			12.9	4.2	0.8	9.2		0.1		1.6			
Green Ratio (g	/C)				0.61	0.61	0.71	0.77		0.05		0.12			
Capacity (c), v	eh/h				1157	1154	593	2773		92		189			
Volume-to-Capa	acity Ra	atio (X)			0.233	0.233	0.119	0.490		0.024		0.225			
Back of Queue	(Q), ft.	/In (95 th percentile))		57.1	57	7.6	57.8		1.4		26			
Back of Queue	(Q), ve	eh/ln (95 th percent	ile)		2.3	2.3	0.3	2.3		0.1		1.0			
Queue Storage	Ratio (RQ) (95 th percent	tile)		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00			
Uniform Delay	(d 1), s	/veh			5.9	5.9	4.8	2.9		29.6		26.3			
Incremental De	lay (d 2), s/veh			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1		0.0		0.2			
Initial Queue De	elay (d	з), s/veh				0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0			
Control Delay (d), s/ve	eh		5.9	5.9	4.8	2.9		29.7		26.5				
Level of Service	. ,	· ·			Α	Α	Α	Α		С					
Approach Delay	y, s/veh	` '		5.9		Α	3.0		Α	26.7		С	0.0		
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS		4.3				.3				A					
Multimedal De	Multimodal Results						WB			NB			SB		
	odal Results ian LOS Score / LOS		1.86	EB	В	0.62		Α	2.31	_	В	2.13		В	
					3			-		2.31			2.13		D
Bicycle LOS Sc	ore / LC	Jo				Α	1.67		В			F			

	HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report											
General Information		Site Information										
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Paseo and Kimmick									
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA									
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Paseo del Norte									
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Kimmick									
Time Analyzed	2024NB_AM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92									
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25									
Project Description	La Cuentista (w/out Cliffs, 1-stage)											



Approach		Easth	ound			Westl	oound			North	bound			South	bound		
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	T	R	
Priority	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	0	1		0	0	0	
Configuration			Т	TR		L	Т			L		R					
Volume (veh/h)			1285	1	0	17	385			4		61					
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)					2	2				2		2					
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)										()						
Right Turn Channelized										N	О						
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided	ided											
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)						4.1				7.5		6.9					
Critical Headway (sec)						4.14				6.84		6.94					
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.2				3.5		3.3					
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.22				3.52		3.32					
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of S	ervice														
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						18				4		66					
Capacity, c (veh/h)						485				87		382					
v/c Ratio						0.04				0.05		0.17					
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.1				0.2		0.6					
Control Delay (s/veh)						12.7				48.5		16.4					
Level of Service (LOS)						В				E		С					
Approach Delay (s/veh)						0.5			18.4								
Approach LOS									С								

	HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report											
General Information		Site Information										
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Paseo and Kimmick									
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA									
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Paseo del Norte									
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Kimmick									
Time Analyzed	2024NB_PM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92									
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25									
Project Description	La Cuentista (w/out Cliffs, 1-stage)											



Approach		Easth	ound			Westl	oound			North	oound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	0	1		0	0	0
	0	0		_	0			0			0	_		0	U	0
Configuration	_		T	TR		L	T			L		R				
Volume (veh/h)			491	4	0	65	1251			2		39				
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)					2	2				2		2				
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)										()					
Right Turn Channelized									No							
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided	ided										
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						4.1				7.5		6.9				
Critical Headway (sec)						4.14				6.84		6.94				
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.2				3.5		3.3				
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.22				3.52		3.32				
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)	T					71				2		42				
Capacity, c (veh/h)						1026				131		729				
v/c Ratio						0.07				0.02		0.06				
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.2				0.1		0.2				
Control Delay (s/veh)						8.8				33.0		10.2				
Level of Service (LOS)						А				D		В				
Approach Delay (s/veh)						0.4			11.4							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								В								

PEAK HOUR VOLUME SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS

Satisfies Warrant 3A

NO

Scenario: 2024 No Build

(w/out Cliff's, 1-stage)

Intersection: Paseo and Kimmick


Type: 2 Lane

Major Street (Orientation): Paseo del Norte (E/W)

Minor Street (Orientation): Kimmick (N/S)

	ionioo mananco,	
Peak Hour Delay (Criteria 4 Hours)	Intersection Voume > 650	Minor Approach > 100
0.18 Hours in AM NO	YES	NO
0.07 Hours in PM NO	YES	NO

	Minor S	Street Approach	Volume	Major S	Street Approach	Volume	Satisfies Warrant 3B
Time	NB	SB	High Vol	EB	WB	EB + WB	Sausiles Warrant 3D
AM Peak	35	0	35	1,286	402	1,688	NO
PM Peak	22	0	22	495	1,316	1,811	NO



Note: 150 VPH applies as the lower threshold for minor street approach with 2 or more lanes & 100 VPH as the threshold for a minor street approach with one lane

	HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report											
General Information		Site Information										
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Paseo and Kimmick									
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA									
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Paseo del Norte									
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Kimmick									
Time Analyzed	2024NB_AM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92									
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25									
Project Description	La Cuentista (w/out Cliffs, 2-stage)											



Approach	T	Easth	ound			Westl	oound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	0	1		0	0	0
	0	0		_	0			U			0			0	U	0
Configuration	_		T	TR		L	T			L		R				
Volume (veh/h)			1285	1	0	17	385			4		61				
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)					2	2				2		2				
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)										(0					
Right Turn Channelized						No					lo	0				
Median Type Storage				Left	Only								1			
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						4.1				7.5		6.9				
Critical Headway (sec)						4.14				6.84		6.94				
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.2				3.5		3.3				
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.22				3.52		3.32				
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	of So	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)	Т					18				4		66				
Capacity, c (veh/h)						485				164		382				
v/c Ratio						0.04				0.03		0.17				
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.1				0.1		0.6				
Control Delay (s/veh)						12.7				27.6		16.4				
Level of Service (LOS)						В				D		С				
Approach Delay (s/veh)						0.5			17.1							
	_								С							

	HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report											
General Information		Site Information										
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Paseo and Kimmick									
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA									
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Paseo del Norte									
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Kimmick									
Time Analyzed	2024NB_PM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92									
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25									
Project Description	La Cuentista (w/out Cliffs, 2-stage)											



Approach	T	Easth	ound			Westl	oound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	0	1		0	0	0
	0	0		_	0			0			0			0	0	0
Configuration			T	TR		L	T			L		R				
Volume (veh/h)			491	4	0	65	1251			2		39				
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)					2	2				2		2				_
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)									0							
Right Turn Channelized									No							
Median Type Storage				Left	t Only								1			
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						4.1				7.5		6.9				
Critical Headway (sec)						4.14				6.84		6.94				
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.2				3.5		3.3				
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.22				3.52		3.32				
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)	T					71				2		42				
Capacity, c (veh/h)						1026				257		729				
v/c Ratio						0.07				0.01		0.06				
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.2				0.0		0.2				
Control Delay (s/veh)						8.8				19.1		10.2				
Level of Service (LOS)						А				С		В				
Approach Delay (s/veh)						0.4			10.7							
								В								


PEAK HOUR VOLUME SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS

Satisfies Warrant 3A

9

				Time (AM Peak
2024 No Build	(w/out Cliff's, 2-stage)	Paseo and Kimmick	2 Lane	Paseo del Norte (E/W	Kimmick (N/S)
Scenario:		Intersection:	Type:	Major Street (Orientation): Paseo del Norte (E/W)	Minor Street (Orientation): Kimmick (N/S)

	_	_		_		_	_	
Minor Approach > 100	ON	ON		Caticfice Warrant 3D	Satisties Wallallt JD	ON	ON	
Intersection Voume > 650	YES	YES		Volume	EB + WB	1,688	1,811	
				Major Street Approach Volume	WB	402	1,316	
Peak Hour Delay (Criteria 4 Hours)				Major S	83	1,286	495	
	ON	ON		Volume	Nigh Vol	35	22	
	0.16 Hours in AM	0.06 Hours in PM		Minor Street Approach Volume	SB	0	0	
	0.16			Minor S	8N	32	22	
					Time	AM Peak	PM Peak	



Note: 150 VPH applies as the lower threshold for minor street approach with 2 or more lanes & 100 VPH as the threshold for a minor street approach with one lane


HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Inforn					ı	Intersection Information					4 사하	1 12 12			
Agency BHI						_	Duration, h 0.250								
		MG		Analysis Date		e Jun 1			Area Typ	· ·		Other			* <u>.</u> ∆
Jurisdiction		COA			Time Period		PHF			0.92			w∳i		
Urban Street La Cuentista		Analysis Year 2024					1> 7:	00			~ ←				
Intersection Paseo & Kimmick						1 Paseo	aseo and Kimmick w cliffs.xus					κ,	a F		
Project Description 2024NB_w/Cliff's_AM				1 110 14	THE INCHINE ASCU AND INTRINSING WINDS.XUS							4 1 4	<u>ነ</u> ተ ተ		
Troject Becomp	1011	202 11 18_W/OIII 0_/													
Demand Information					EB		Т	WB	;		NB			SE	3
Approach Movement				L	Т	R	L	T	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Demand(v), veh/h					128	5 1	198	387		30		149			
Signal Information					1										
Cycle, s	86.6	Reference Phase	2		6			7					₹ ,		3 4
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End	Green	7.1	51.5	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Uncoordinated	Yes	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow		4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			7		52
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	,	5	6		7 8
Timer Results				EBI	-	EBT	WB	L	WBT	NBL	-	NBT	SBL	-	SBT
Assigned Phase				_	_	2	1		6	_		8	_	-	
Case Number				-	-	8.3	1.0		4.0	_	-	9.0	_	-	
Phase Duration, s				_	_	57.5	13.1	_	70.6	_	_	16.0	_	-	
Change Period, (Y+R c), s				-	-	6.0	6.0	_	6.0	_	_	6.0	_	-	
Max Allow Hea		<u>, </u>		⊢	-	3.0	3.1	_	3.0	_	_	3.3	_	-	
Queue Clearan				_	-	47.4	6.7	_	4.9	_	_	9.8	_	-	
Green Extension Time (g e), s				_	+	4.1	0.4		4.5	_		0.4	_	\rightarrow	
Phase Call Probability				_	-	1.00	0.99	_	1.00	_	_	0.99	_	-	
Max Out Proba	bility			_	_	0.09	0.00)	0.00	_		0.00	_	-	
Movement Group Results				EB			WB			NB		SB			
Approach Movement			L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	
Assigned Movement				2	12	1	6		3		18				
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h				699	699	215	421		33		162				
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln				1900	1899	1810	1809		1810		1610				
Queue Service Time (g s), s				45.4	20.4	4.7	2.9		1.4		7.8				
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g c), s				45.4	20.4	4.7	2.9		1.4		7.8				
Green Ratio (g/C)				0.59	0.59	0.70	0.75		0.12		0.20				
Capacity (c), veh/h				1130	1130	258	2697		210		318				
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)					0.619	0.619	0.834	0.156		0.155		0.509			
Back of Queue (Q), ft/In (95 th percentile)					297.3	297.3	122.8	32.5		27.5		133.1			
Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (95 th percentile)					11.9	11.9	4.9	1.3		1.1		5.3			
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile)					0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00			
Uniform Delay (d 1), s/veh				11.3	11.3	23.7	3.2		34.5		31.0				
Incremental Delay (d 2), s/veh				0.2	0.2	2.7	0.0		0.1		0.5				
Initial Queue Delay (d 3), s/veh				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0				
Control Delay (d), s/veh				11.5	11.5	26.4	3.2		34.6		31.5				
Level of Service (LOS)					В	В	С	Α		С		С			
Approach Dela	Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS				11.5 B 11.0)	B 32.0			С	C 0.0		
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS				13.1						В					
N. 10. 11.0							,								
Multimodal Results				EB			WB			NB	_	-	SB		
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS			1.88	_	В	0.64		Α	2.31		В	2.14		В	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS			1.64	1	В	1.01		Α			F				

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary


General Inform	nation							l l	ntersec	tion Info	ormatio	on	لړ	4 사사	, Ja l <u>u</u>
Agency		вні						1	Duration	, h	0.250	1			
Analyst		MG		Analys	sis Date	e Jun 1	6, 2021		Area Typ		Other		4		<u>~</u>
Jurisdiction		COA		Time F					PHF		0.92		→	w∱E	— }-
Urban Street		La Cuentista		Analys		r 2024			Analysis	Period	1> 7:0	00	<u>-</u> ₹		~ ~ ~
Intersection		Paseo & Kimmick		File Na			1 Paseo		•	v cliffs.xı				5 8	r r
Project Descrip	tion	2024NB_w/Cliff's_F	PM	1		1							- F	4 1 4 7	7 7 7
J															
Demand Inform	nation				EB			WB	1		NB			SB	
Approach Move	ment			L	Т	R	L	T	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Demand (v), v	eh/h				492	4	276	125	1	133		361			
				ıı.	1										
Signal Informa			r		- 4	_	<u>_</u>					_			
Cycle, s	89.6	Reference Phase	2		K	7₹°	5	7					₹ 2	3	4
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End	Green	10.0	40.0	21.6	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Uncoordinated	Yes	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	4.0	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			7		V
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		5	6	7	8
Timer Results				EBI	_	EBT	WB	L	WBT	NBL		NBT	SBL		SBT
Assigned Phase						2	1		6			8			
Case Number	-					8.3	1.0		4.0			9.0			
Phase Duration	. S					46.0	16.0		62.0		_	27.6		\neg	
Change Period,		c). s				6.0	6.0	_	6.0			6.0			
Max Allow Head		·				3.0	3.1		3.0		_	3.3		\neg	
	Queue Clearance Time (g s), s					14.9	9.5	_	22.3			20.7			
Green Extensio						5.5	0.5	_	5.5		_	0.9		\neg	
Phase Call Prol		(90),0				1.00	1.00		1.00			1.00			
Max Out Probal						0.00	0.00	_	0.00		_	0.04		\pm	
Movement Gro	un Pos	vulto			EB			WB			NB			SB	
Approach Move		buits		L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Move				-	2	12	1	6	<u> </u>	3		18			+ '`
Adjusted Flow F) veh/h			270	269	300	1360		145		392			_
		ow Rate (s), veh/h/l	ln		1900	1894	1810	1809		1810		1610			+
Queue Service		, ,			12.9	8.2	7.5	20.3		5.9		18.7			_
Cycle Queue C					12.9	8.2	7.5	20.3		5.9		18.7			+
Green Ratio (g		5 mile (g v), 5			0.45	0.45	0.58	0.62		0.24		0.35			
Capacity (c), v					848	845	548	2261		437		568			+
Volume-to-Capa		ntio (X)			0.318	_	0.547	0.602		0.331		0.690			_
		/In (95 th percentile))		152	151.6	120.3	277.9	_	112.6		280.8			
		eh/ln (95 th percent			6.1	6.1	4.8	11.1		4.5		11.2			
	• • •	RQ) (95 th percent			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00			
Uniform Delay (16.0	16.0	11.3	10.1		28.0		24.8			
					0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1		0.2		1.2			
	ncremental Delay(d 2), s/veh nitial Queue Delay(d 3), s/veh			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0				
	Control Delay (d), s/veh				16.1	16.1	11.7	10.2		28.2		26.0			
Level of Service (LOS)				В	В	В	В		C C		C C				
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS		16.1		В	10.5		В	26.6		С	0.0		_		
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS					1.7						<u> </u>				
	Multimodal Results			EB			WB			NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS				1.90)	В	0.67	7	Α	2.32		В	2.15	j	В
Bicycle LOS Sc	Bicycle LOS Score / LOS			0.93	3	Α	1.86	3	В			F			

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report											
General Information		Site Information									
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Paseo and Kimmick								
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA								
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Paseo del Norte								
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Kimmick								
Time Analyzed	2024NB_AM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92								
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25								
Project Description La Cuentista (w/Cliff's, 1-stage)											



Vehicle Volumes and Adj	ustme	nts															
Approach		Eastb	oound			Westl	oound			North	bound			South	bound		
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	0	1		0	0	0	
Configuration			Т	TR		L	Т			L		R					
Volume (veh/h)			1285	1	0	198	387			30		149					
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)					2	2				2		2					
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)										()						
Right Turn Channelized										No							
Median Type Storage	Und				vided												
Critical and Follow-up He	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)						4.1				7.5		6.9					
Critical Headway (sec)						4.14				6.84		6.94					
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.2				3.5		3.3					
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.22				3.52		3.32					
Delay, Queue Length, and	l Leve	l of S	ervice														
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)	Т					215				33		162					
Capacity, c (veh/h)						485				27		382					
v/c Ratio						0.44				1.19		0.42					
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						2.2				3.8		2.1					
Control Delay (s/veh)						18.2				450.1		21.2					
Level of Service (LOS)						С				F		С					
Approach Delay (s/veh)						- 6	.2		93.1								
Approach LOS								F									

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report											
General Information		Site Information									
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Paseo and Kimmick								
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA								
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Paseo del Norte								
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Kimmick								
Time Analyzed	2024NB_PM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92								
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25								
Project Description La Cuentista (w/Cliff's, 1-stage)											



Vehicle Volumes and Adj	ustme	nts															
Approach		Eastb	ound			Westl	bound			North	bound		Southbound				
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	0	1		0	0	0	
Configuration			Т	TR		L	Т			L		R					
Volume (veh/h)			492	4	0	276	1251			133		361					
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)					2	2				2		2					
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)										()						
Right Turn Channelized										No							
Median Type Storage		Undi															
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)						4.1				7.5		6.9					
Critical Headway (sec)						4.14				6.84		6.94					
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.2				3.5		3.3					
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.22				3.52		3.32					
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of S	ervice														
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						300				145		392					
Capacity, c (veh/h)						1025				49		728					
v/c Ratio						0.29				2.95		0.54					
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)					Ì	1.2				15.5		3.3					
Control Delay (s/veh)						10.0				1055.5		15.6					
Level of Service (LOS)					Ì	Α			Ì	F		С					
Approach Delay (s/veh)						1	.8		295.5								
Approach LOS										ı	=						

2024 No Build

(w/Cliff's, 1-stage)

Paseo and Kimmick Intersection:

Type: 2 Lane


Scenario:

Major Street (Orientation): Paseo del Norte (E/W)

Minor Street (Orientation): Kimmick (N/S)


Sa	tisfies Warrant 3A	YES
Peak Hour Delay (Criteria 4 Hours)	Intersection Voume > 650	Minor Approach > 100
2.70 Hours in AM YES	YES	YES
25.73 Hours in PM YES	YES	YES

	Minor S	Street Approach	Volume	Major S	Street Approach	Volume	Satisfies Warrant 3B
Time	NB	SB	High Vol	EB	WB	EB + WB	Satisties Warrant 3D
AM Peak	105	0	105	1,286	585	1,871	YES
PM Peak	314	0	314	496	1,527	2,023	YES




Note: 150 VPH applies as the lower threshold for minor street approach with 2 or more lanes & 100 VPH as the threshold for a minor street approach with one lane

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report											
General Information		Site Information									
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Paseo and Kimmick								
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA								
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Paseo del Norte								
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Kimmick								
Time Analyzed	2024NB_AM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92								
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25								
Project Description La Cuentista (w/Cliffs, 2-stage)											



Vehicle Volumes and Ad	justme	nts															
Approach		Easth	ound			Westl	oound			Northbound			Southbound				
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	0	1		0	0	0	
Configuration			Т	TR		L	Т			L		R					
Volume (veh/h)			1285	1	0	198	387			30		149					
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)					2	2				2		2					
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)										()						
Right Turn Channelized										٨	О						
Median Type Storage		Left											1				
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)						4.1				7.5		6.9					
Critical Headway (sec)						4.14				6.84		6.94					
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.2				3.5		3.3					
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.22				3.52		3.32					
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of S	ervice														
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)	\top					215				33		162					
Capacity, c (veh/h)						485				115		382					
v/c Ratio						0.44				0.28		0.42					
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						2.2				1.1		2.1					
Control Delay (s/veh)						18.2				48.1		21.2					
Level of Service (LOS)					Ì	С				Е		С					
Approach Delay (s/veh)						6	.2		25.7								
Approach LOS									D								

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report											
General Information		Site Information									
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Paseo and Kimmick								
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA								
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Paseo del Norte								
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Kimmick								
Time Analyzed	2024NB_PM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92								
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25								
Project Description La Cuentista (w/Cliffs, 2-stage)											



Vehicle Volumes and Adj	ustme	nts														
Approach		Eastb	ound			Westl	oound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	0	1		0	0	0
Configuration			Т	TR		L	Т			L		R				
Volume (veh/h)			492	4	0	276	1251			133		361				
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)					2	2				2		2				
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)										()					
Right Turn Channelized										N	О		1			
Median Type Storage		Left C											1			
Critical and Follow-up He	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						4.1				7.5		6.9				
Critical Headway (sec)						4.14				6.84		6.94				
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.2				3.5		3.3				
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.22				3.52		3.32				
Delay, Queue Length, and	Leve	l of S	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						300				145		392				
Capacity, c (veh/h)						1025				127		728				
v/c Ratio						0.29				1.14		0.54				
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						1.2				8.5		3.3				
Control Delay (s/veh)						10.0				188.6		15.6				
Level of Service (LOS)						Α				F		С				
Approach Delay (s/veh)						1	.8		62.1							
Approach LOS									F							

2024 No Build

(w/Cliff's, 2-stage)

Paseo and Kimmick Intersection:

Type: 2 Lane


Scenario:

Major Street (Orientation): Paseo del Norte (E/W)

Minor Street (Orientation): Kimmick (N/S)


Sat	isfies Warrant 3A	YES
Peak Hour Delay (Criteria 4 Hours)	Intersection Voume > 650	Minor Approach > 100
2.70 Hours in AM NO	YES	YES
25.73 Hours in PM YES	YES	YES

	Minor S	Satisfies Warrant 3B					
Time	NB	SB	High Vol	EB	WB	EB + WB	Satisties Waltalit 3D
AM Peak	105	0	105	1,286	585	1,871	YES
PM Peak	314	0	314	496	1,527	2,023	YES




Note: 150 VPH applies as the lower threshold for minor street approach with 2 or more lanes & 100 VPH as the threshold for a minor street approach with one lane

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report											
General Information		Site Information									
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Unser and Rosa Parks								
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA								
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Rosa Parks								
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Unser Blvd								
Time Analyzed	2024NB_AM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92								
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25								
Project Description	La Cuentista (1-stage)										



Vehicle Volumes and Adju	ıstme	nts															
Approach		Eastk	ound			Westl	bound			North	bound			South	bound		
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6	
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	
Configuration							LR					TR		L	Т		
Volume (veh/h)						37		12			574	37		12	861		
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						2		2						2			
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)							0										
Right Turn Channelized																	
Median Type Storage Ur				Undi	vided												
Critical and Follow-up He	adwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2						4.1			
Critical Headway (sec)						6.42		6.22						4.12			
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2			
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.52		3.32						2.22			
Delay, Queue Length, and	Leve	l of S	ervice														
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)							53							13			
Capacity, c (veh/h)							140							925			
v/c Ratio							0.38							0.01			
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)							1.6							0.0			
Control Delay (s/veh)							45.7							8.9			
Level of Service (LOS)							E							Α			
Approach Delay (s/veh)						4:	5.7						0.1				
Approach LOS							E										

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report											
General Information		Site Information									
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Unser and Rosa Parks								
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA								
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Rosa Parks								
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Unser Blvd								
Time Analyzed	2024NB_PM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92								
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25								
Project Description	La Cuentista (1-stage)										



Vehicle Volumes and Ad	Justine																
Approach		Eastb	ound			Westl	bound			North	bound		Southbound				
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6	
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	
Configuration							LR					TR		L	Т		
Volume (veh/h)						20		7			788	20		7	548		
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						2		2						2			
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)							0										
Right Turn Channelized																	
Median Type Storage Undivid					vided												
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2						4.1			
Critical Headway (sec)						6.42		6.22						4.12			
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2			
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.52		3.32						2.22			
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of S	ervice														
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)							29							8			
Capacity, c (veh/h)							163							769			
v/c Ratio							0.18							0.01			
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)							0.6							0.0			
Control Delay (s/veh)							31.9							9.7			
Level of Service (LOS)							D							А			
Approach Delay (s/veh)						3	31.9							0.1			
Approach LOS					Ì	ļ	D										

2024 No Bui**l**d

(1 STAGE GAP)

Intersection: Unser and Rosa Parks

Type: 1 Lane/2 Lane
Major Street (Orientation): Unser (N/S)
Minor Street (Orientation): Rosa Parks (E/W)


Scenario:

	Outionico HumanicoA	110
Peak Hour Delay (Criteria 4 Hours)	Intersection Voume > 650	Minor Approach > 100
0.62 Hours in AM NO	YES	NO
0.24 Hours in PM NO	YES	NO


Satisfies Warrant 3A

NO

	Minor S	Street Approach	Volume	Major S	Street Approach	Volume	Satisfies Warrant 3B		
Time	EB	WB	High Vol	NB	SB	NB + SB	Satisfies Warrant 3D		
AM Peak	0	49	49	611	873	1,484	NO		
PM Peak	0	27	27	808	555	1,363	NO		




HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report											
General Information		Site Information									
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Unser and Rosa Parks								
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA								
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Rosa Parks								
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Unser Blvd								
Time Analyzed	2024NB_AM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92								
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25								
Project Description	La Cuentista (2-stage)										



Vehicle Volumes and Ad	, usuile								_							
Approach		Eastb	ound			Westl	oound			North	bound		Southbound			
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0
Configuration							LR					TR		L	Т	
Volume (veh/h)						37		12			574	37		12	861	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						2		2						2		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)							0									
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage Left				Only				1								
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2						4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)						6.42		6.22						4.12		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.52		3.32						2.22		
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of S	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)							53							13		
Capacity, c (veh/h)							279							925		
v/c Ratio							0.19							0.01		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)							0.7							0.0		
Control Delay (s/veh)							20.9							8.9		
Level of Service (LOS)							С							А		
Approach Delay (s/veh)						20.9							0.1			
Approach LOS							C									

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report											
General Information		Site Information									
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Unser and Rosa Parks								
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA								
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Rosa Parks								
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Unser Blvd								
Time Analyzed	2024NB_PM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92								
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25								
Project Description	La Cuentista (1-stage)										



Vehicle Volumes and Ad	Justine								_									
Approach		Eastb	ound			Westl	oound			North	bound		Southbound					
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R		
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0		
Configuration							LR					TR		L	Т			
Volume (veh/h)						20		7			788	20		7	548			
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						2		2						2				
Proportion Time Blocked																		
Percent Grade (%)						(0											
Right Turn Channelized																		
Median Type Storage Left				Only				1										
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys																
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2						4.1				
Critical Headway (sec)						6.42		6.22						4.12				
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2				
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.52		3.32						2.22				
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of S	ervice															
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)							29							8				
Capacity, c (veh/h)							290							769				
v/c Ratio							0.10							0.01				
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)							0.3							0.0				
Control Delay (s/veh)							18.8							9.7				
Level of Service (LOS)							С							А				
Approach Delay (s/veh)						18.8							0.1					
Approach LOS						(C											

2024 No Build

(2 STAGE GAP)


Unser and Rosa Parks Intersection:

Type: 1 Lane/2 Lane Major Street (Orientation): Unser (N/S) Minor Street (Orientation): Rosa Parks (E/W)

Scenario:

Sat	isfies Warrant 3A	NO
Peak Hour Delay (Criteria 4 Hours)	Intersection Voume > 650	Minor Approach > 100
0.28 Hours in AM NO	YES	NO
0.14 Hours in PM NO	YES	NO

	Minor S	Street Approach	Vo l ume	Major S	Street Approach	Volume	Satisfies Warrant 3B
Time	EB	WB	High Vol	NB	SB	NB + SB	Salisties Warrant 3D
AM Peak	0	49	49	611	873	1,484	NO
PM Peak	0	27	27	808	555	1,363	NO



APPENDIX E 2024 BUILD INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Inform	nation							l	Intersection Information					작 가 하 ↓	يا مل
Agency		ВНІ							Duration	, h	0.250)			
Analyst		MG		Analys	sis Date	Jun 1	1, 2021	1	Area Typ	e	Other	•	4		<u>~</u>
Jurisdiction		COA		Time F					PHF		0.92		→	w∱E	<u>~</u>
Urban Street		La Cuentista		Analys	sis Yea	r 2024			\nalysis	Period	1> 7:0	00	- - ₹		¥ T
Intersection		Paseo & Kimmick		File N			Paseo a		mick w-					5 7	F .
Project Descrip	tion	2024B_w/out Cliff's	AM						-				ካ	4 1 4 Y	7 4
		. –													
Demand Inform	nation				EB			WB			NB			SB	
Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R	L	T	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Demand (v), v	eh/h				1285	2	36	385		8		119			
	4.					-	<u></u>	1				10		8	
Signal Informa					6	∃ €						_			
Cycle, s	81.0	Reference Phase	2	-	2	, R "	5	7					> 2	3	4
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End	Green		51.6	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Uncoordinated	Yes	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow		4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					Y
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		5	6	7	8
Timer Results				EBI	_	EBT	WB	L	WBT	NBI		NBT	SBL		SBT
Assigned Phase					\neg	2	1	\neg	6		\neg	8		\top	
Case Number						8.3	1.0		4.0			9.0			
Phase Duration	., S				\neg	57.6	9.5	_	67.1		\neg	14.0		\top	
Change Period,	<u> </u>	c), s				6.0	6.0	_	6.0			6.0		\rightarrow	
Max Allow Head		·				3.0	3.1	_	3.0			3.4		\top	
Queue Clearan		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				47.5	2.5	-	4.6			8.1		\rightarrow	
Green Extensio					\neg	4.1			4.4		\top	0.3		\top	
Phase Call Prol		(3 - 71 -				1.00	0.59		1.00			0.96		\rightarrow	
Max Out Proba						0.09	0.00	-	0.00			0.00		土	
Movement Gro	un Ros	eulte			EB			WB			NB			SB	
Approach Move		Juli3			T	R	L	T	R		T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Move				-	2	12	1	6		3	<u> </u>	18	_	·	
Adjusted Flow F		·) veh/h			700	699	39	418		9		129			
	•	ow Rate (s), veh/h/l	n		1900	1899	1810	1809		1810		1610			
Queue Service		, ,			45.5	17.2	0.5	2.6		0.4		6.1			
Cycle Queue C		= :			45.5	17.2	0.5	2.6		0.4		6.1			
Green Ratio (g		(3 /),			0.64	0.64	0.70	0.75		0.10		0.14			$\overline{}$
Capacity (c), v					1209	1208	197	2727		178		228			
Volume-to-Capa		atio (X)			0.579	_	0.199	0.153		0.049		0.567			
		/In (95 th percentile))		239.4	_	20.2	26.1		6.8		104.7			
		eh/ln (95 th percent			9.6	9.6	0.8	1.0		0.3		4.2			
		RQ) (95 th percent			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00			
Uniform Delay ((d 1), s	/veh			8.5	8.5	19.1	2.8		33.1		32.5			
Incremental De					0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0		0.0		0.8			
Initial Queue De	elay (d	з), s/veh			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0			
Control Delay (d), s/ve	eh			8.7	8.7	19.2	2.8		33.2		33.3			
Level of Service	e (LOS)				Α	Α	В	Α		С		С			
Approach Delay	proach Delay, s/veh / LOS			8.7		Α	4.2		Α	33.3		С	0.0		
	ntersection Delay, s/veh / LOS				9	.3						A			
84.J4:	Autimorale Depute						145			A 100			0.5		
	ultimodal Results edestrian LOS Score / LOS		4.00	EB	D	0.0	WB	^	0.04	NB	_	0.44	SB	D	
				1.86	-	В	0.64	-	A	2.31	_	В	2.14	_	В
Ricycle LOS Sc	cle LOS Score / LOS				7	В	0.87		Α			F			

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary


General Inforn	nation							l l	ntersec	tion Info	ormatio	on	1	4 사사	
Agency		ВНІ						_	Duration		0.250				
Analyst		MG		Analys	sis Date	e Jun 1	1. 2021		\rea Typ		Othe				<u>~</u> &
Jurisdiction		COA		Time F			.,		PHF		0.92			N W∳E	₩
Urban Street		La Cuentista			sis Yea	r 2024		_	\nalysis	Period	1> 7:	00			√ ← %
Intersection		Paseo & Kimmick		File Na			Paseo a			out cliffs				K 2	
Project Descrip	tion	2024B_w/out Cliff's	PM	1 110 11	arrio	D1 101	40000	ind rain	innok W	out onno	.xuo			1 ↑ 4+ 1	<u>ግ ቱ ጉ</u>
Troject Besch	1011	202 1B_W/Out Oill 0													
Demand Inform	nation				EB		Т	WB			NB			SB	
Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Demand (v), v	eh/h				491	9	131	125	1	5		78			
Signal Informa	tion	N.			- 2										
Cycle, s	68.5	Reference Phase	2		V	. Fig. 2	5	7					₹ ,	2	4
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End	Green	5.6	40.0	4.9	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Uncoordinated	Yes	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow		4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			7		V
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		5	6	7	8
Timer Results				EBI		EBT	WB	L	WBT	NBL	-	NBT	SBL	-	SBT
Assigned Phas	e			_	_	2	1	_	6	_	_	8	_	-	
Case Number				_	-	8.3	1.0	_	4.0	_	-	9.0	_	-	
Phase Duration		`		_		46.0	11.6		57.6	_		10.9	_	-	
	riod, (Y+R ∘), s				_	6.0	6.0		6.0	_	-	6.0	_	-	
Max Allow Hea				_	_	3.0	3.1	_	3.0	_	_	3.4	_	-	
Queue Clearan				_	_	15.1			12.2 5.5	_	_	5.2	_	-	
	Green Extension Time (g_{e}), s					5.5				_		0.2	_	\rightarrow	
Phase Call Pro				_	1.00		0.93	_	1.00	_	_	0.82	_	-	
Max Out Proba	bility					0.00	0.00)	0.00	_	_	0.00	_	_	
Movement Gro	oup Res	sults			EB			WB			NB			SB	
Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Assigned Move	ment				2	12	1	6		3		18			
Adjusted Flow	Rate (v), veh/h			272	271	142	1360		5		85			
Adjusted Satura	ation Flo	ow Rate (s), veh/h/l	ln		1900	1888	1810	1809		1810		1610			
Queue Service	Time (g	g s), s			13.1	4.8	1.8	10.2		0.2		3.2			
Cycle Queue C	learanc	e Time ($g c$), s			13.1	4.8	1.8	10.2		0.2		3.2			
Green Ratio (g	1/C)				0.58	0.58	0.69	0.75		0.07		0.15			
Capacity (c), v	/eh/h				1109	1102	598	2724		130		247			
Volume-to-Cap	acity Ra	atio(X)			0.246	0.246	0.238	0.499		0.042		0.343			
Back of Queue	(Q), ft	/In (95 th percentile))		69.7	69.4	19.1	79.1		3.7		53.1			
Back of Queue	(Q), ve	eh/ln (95 th percent	ile)		2.8	2.8	0.8	3.2		0.1		2.1			
Queue Storage	Ratio (RQ) (95 th percen	tile)		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00			
Uniform Delay	(d 1), s	/veh			6.9	6.9	5.4	3.3		29.6		25.9			
Incremental De	lay (d 2), s/veh			0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1		0.0		0.3			
Initial Queue D	elay (d	з), s/veh			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0			
Control Delay (d), s/ve	eh		7.0	7.0	5.5	3.4		29.7		26.2				
Level of Service	vice (LOS)				Α	Α	Α	Α		С		С			
Approach Dela	proach Delay, s/veh / LOS			7.0		Α	3.6		Α	26.4		С	0.0		
Intersection De	tersection Delay, s/veh / LOS			5.4									A		
B. 142							,						-		
	ultimodal Results			EB		-	WB	•		NB			SB		
Pedestrian LOS				1.87 0.94	-	B A	0.63		A	2.31		В	2.14		В
Bicycle LOS So	core / LC	78					1.73	3	В			F			

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report										
General Information		Site Information								
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Paseo and Kimmick							
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA							
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Paseo del Norte							
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Kimmick							
Time Analyzed	2024B_AM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92							
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description La Cuentista (w/out Cliff's, 1-stage)										



Approach		Easth	ound			Westl	oound			North	bound		Southbound			
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	T	R
Priority	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	0	1		0	0	0
Configuration			Т	TR		L	Т			L		R				
Volume (veh/h)			1285	2	0	36	385			8		119				
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)					2	2				2		2				
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)									0							
Right Turn Channelized										N	О					
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided	ded										
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						4.1				7.5		6.9				
Critical Headway (sec)						4.14				6.84		6.94				
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.2				3.5		3.3				
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.22				3.52		3.32				
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of S	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						39				9		129				
Capacity, c (veh/h)						484				78		382				
v/c Ratio						0.08				0.11		0.34				
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.3				0.4		1.5				
Control Delay (s/veh)						13.1				56.8		19.2				
Level of Service (LOS)					В			F C								
Approach Delay (s/veh)						1.1		21.5								
Approach LOS									С							

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report										
General Information		Site Information								
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Paseo and Kimmick							
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA							
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Paseo del Norte							
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Kimmick							
Time Analyzed	2024B_PM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92							
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description La Cuentista (w/out Cliff's, 1-stage)										



Vehicle Volumes and Ad	justme	nts														
Approach		Eastk	ound			Westl	oound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	0	1		0	0	0
Configuration			Т	TR		L	Т			L		R				
Volume (veh/h)			491	9	0	131	1251			5		78				
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)					2	2				2		2				
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)									0							
Right Turn Channelized										٨	lo					
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided	ded										
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						4.1				7.5		6.9				
Critical Headway (sec)						4.14				6.84		6.94				
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.2				3.5		3.3				
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.22				3.52		3.32				
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of S	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)	\top					142				5		85				
Capacity, c (veh/h)						1022				97		726				
v/c Ratio						0.14				0.06		0.12				
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)					Ì	0.5				0.2		0.4				
Control Delay (s/veh)						9.1				44.5		10.6				
Level of Service (LOS)						Α				E		В				
Approach Delay (s/veh)						0.9			12.7							
Approach LOS									В							

Satisfies Warrant 3A

NO

Scenario: 2024 Build

(w/out Cliff's, 1-Stage)

Intersection: Paseo and Kimmick


Type: 2 Lane

Major Street (Orientation): Paseo del Norte (E/W)


Minor Street (Orientation): Kimmick (N/S)

Peak Hour Delay (Criteria 4 Hours)	Intersection Voume > 650	Minor Approach > 100
0.41 Hours in AM NO	YES	NO
0.16 Hours in PM NO	YES	NO

	Minor S	Street Approach	Volume	Major S	Street Approach	Volume	Satisfies Warrant 3B
Time	NB	SB	High Vol	EB	WB	EB + WB	Satisties Warrant 3D
AM Peak	68	0	68	1,288	421	1,709	NO
PM Peak	44	0	44	500	1,382	1,882	NO



HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report										
General Information		Site Information								
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Paseo and Kimmick							
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA							
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Paseo del Norte							
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Kimmick							
Time Analyzed	2024B_AM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92							
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description La Cuentista (w/out Cliff's, 2-stage)										



Vehicle Volumes and Ad	justme	nts														
Approach		Eastb	ound			Westl	oound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	0	1		0	0	0
Configuration			Т	TR		L	Т			L		R				
Volume (veh/h)			1285	2	0	36	385			8		119				
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)					2	2				2		2				
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)									0							
Right Turn Channelized										Ν	О					
Median Type Storage				Left	Only	Only							1			
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						4.1				7.5		6.9				
Critical Headway (sec)						4.14				6.84		6.94				
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.2				3.5		3.3				
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.22				3.52		3.32				
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of S	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)	\top					39				9		129				
Capacity, c (veh/h)						484				160		382				
v/c Ratio						0.08				0.05		0.34				
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.3				0.2		1.5				
Control Delay (s/veh)						13.1				28.8		19.2				
Level of Service (LOS)					В			D C								
Approach Delay (s/veh)						1.1			19.8							
Approach LOS									С							

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report										
General Information		Site Information								
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Paseo and Kimmick							
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA							
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Paseo del Norte							
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Kimmick							
Time Analyzed	2024B_PM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92							
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25							
Project Description La Cuentista (w/out Cliff's, 2-stage)										



Approach		Eastb	oound			Westl	bound			North	bound			South	Southbound		
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	13	
Number of Lanes	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	0	1		0	0	(
Configuration			Т	TR		L	Т			L		R					
Volume (veh/h)			491	9	0	131	1251			5		78					
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)					2	2				2		2					
Proportion Time Blocked																П	
Percent Grade (%)						-				()						
Right Turn Channelized										٨	lo						
Median Type Storage				Left	Only								1				
Critical and Follow-up H	leadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)						4.1				7.5		6.9					
Critical Headway (sec)						4.14				6.84		6.94				Г	
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.2				3.5		3.3				Г	
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.22				3.52		3.32				Π	
Delay, Queue Length, ar	nd Leve	l of S	ervice														
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						142				5		85				Г	
Capacity, c (veh/h)						1022				209		726					
v/c Ratio						0.14				0.03		0.12				Г	
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						0.5				0.1		0.4				Г	
Control Delay (s/veh)						9.1				22.7		10.6					
Level of Service (LOS)						Α				С		В					
Approach Delay (s/veh)						0	.9		11.3								
Approach LOS											В						

Scenario: 2024 Build

(w/out Cliffs, 2-Stage)

Intersection: Paseo and Kimmick


Type: 2 Lane

Major Street (Orientation): Paseo del Norte (E/W)

Minor Street (Orientation): Kimmick (N/S)

Sa	tisfies Warrant 3A	NO
Peak Hour Delay (Criteria 4 Hours)	Intersection Voume > 800	Minor Approach > 100
0.37 Hours in AM NO	YES	NO
0.14 Hours in PM NO	YES	NO

	Minor S	Street Approach	Volume	Major S	Street Approach	Satisfies Warrant 3B	
Time	NB	SB	High Vol	EB	WB	EB + WB	Satisties Warrant 3D
AM Peak	68	0	68	1,288	421	1,709	NO
PM Peak	44	0	44	500	1,382	1,882	NO



HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary

General Inform	nation						Intersection Information						لر	4 가하 1	يا مال
Agency		вні							Duration	, h	0.250)			
Analyst		MG		Analys	sis Date	e Jun 1	1, 2021		Area Typ		Other				<u>~</u> &
Jurisdiction		COA		Time F		1	·		PHF		0.92		→	w∱E	- \$
Urban Street		La Cuentista		Analys	sis Yea	r 2024	Analysis Period 1> 7:00							¥ F	
Intersection		Paseo & Kimmick		File Na			Paseo a		•	cliffs.xus				5 ፖ	×-
Project Descrip	tion	2024B_w/Cliff's_Al	/I											4 1 4 7	7 + 7
Demand Inform	nation				EB			WB			NB			SB	
Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Demand (<i>v</i>), v	emand (v), veh/h				1285	5 2	217	387		34		207			
0: 11.6	4.			1	1	_	_			_	i i	Tit.	9 7		
Signal Informa		D. C Dl		-	5	=						_			
Cycle, s	92.1	Reference Phase	2		2	, R '	51	7					> 2	3	4
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End	Green		51.6	13.8	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Uncoordinated	Yes	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	-	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					Y
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		5	6	7	8
Timer Results				EBI		EBT	WBI	L	WBT	NBL		NBT	SBL		SBT
Assigned Phase						2	1	\neg	6		\neg	8		\neg	
Case Number						8.3	1.0		4.0			9.0			
Phase Duration	., S					57.6	14.8	_	72.4		\neg	19.8		\neg	
Change Period,	<u> </u>	c), s				6.0	6.0	_	6.0			6.0		\neg	
Max Allow Head		•				3.0	3.1	$\overline{}$	3.0			3.3		\neg	
Queue Clearan			47.5	8.4	\rightarrow	5.4			13.3		\rightarrow				
Green Extensio		\neg	4.1	0.4	_	4.5		\top	0.5		\neg				
	Phase Call Probability					1.00	1.00	_	1.00			1.00		\rightarrow	
Max Out Proba						0.09	0.00	_	0.00			0.00			
Movement Gro	un Res	eulte			EB			WB			NB			SB	
Approach Move		74110		L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Move					2	12	1	6		3		18			+
Adjusted Flow F), veh/h			700	699	236	421		37		225			$\overline{}$
	•	ow Rate (s), veh/h/l	ln		1900	1899	1810	1809		1810		1610			
Queue Service		, ,			45.5	23.7	6.4	3.4		1.6		11.3			$\overline{}$
Cycle Queue C		- :			45.5	23.7	6.4	3.4		1.6		11.3			
Green Ratio (g		(3),			0.56	0.56	0.68	0.72		0.15		0.24			
Capacity (c), v					1063	1063	277	2605		271		394			
Volume-to-Capa		ntio (X)			0.658	_	0.853	0.161		0.136		0.570			
		/In (95 th percentile))		352.8		225.6	43.6		32		192			
		eh/ln (95 th percent			14.1	14.1	9.0	1.7		1.3		7.7			
		RQ) (95 th percent			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00			
	Uniform Delay (d 1), s/veh				14.1	14.1	25.7	4.1		34.0		30.5			
Incremental Delay (d 2), s/veh					0.4	0.4	2.9	0.0		0.1		0.5			
	Il Queue Delay (d 3), s/veh				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0			
	ontrol Delay (d), s/veh				14.5	14.5	28.6	4.1		34.1		31.0			
Level of Service (LOS)				В	В	С	Α		С		С				
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS			14.5	5	В	12.9		В	31.5		С	0.0			
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS					16	3.0						В			
A4 10: 1 1 =								14.50		ND			0.0		
Multimodal Re		// 00		4.00	EB		0.00	WB	Δ	0.00	NB		0.45	SB	D
Pedestrian LOS				1.89 1.64		В	0.65	_	A	2.32		В	2.15		В
Bicycle LOS Sc	sicycle LOS Score / LOS				1	В	1.03	5	Α			F			

HCS7 Signalized Intersection Results Summary


General Inform	nation							ı	ntersec	ormatio	لړ	석가하↑	يا دار		
Agency		вні						1	Duration	, h	0.250	1			
Analyst		MG		Analys	sis Dat	e Jun 1	1, 2021		Area Typ		Other		4		<u>~</u>
Jurisdiction		COA		Time F					PHF		0.92		→	w∱E	- 4
Urban Street		La Cuentista		Analys	sis Yea	r 2024		1	\nalysis	Period	1> 7:0	00	- - 3		¥ ∵
Intersection		Paseo & Kimmick		File Na			Paseo a			cliffs.xus				5 7	<u></u>
Project Descrip	tion	2024B_w/Cliff's_PN	/I										<u> </u>	4 1 4 4	747
Demand Inform	nation				EB			WB			NB			SB	
Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R	L	T	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Demand (v), v	emand (v), veh/h				492	9	342	125°	1	136		400			
Signal Informa	tion				1	. 1	. 1		1	<u> </u>			3.0	2	
Cycle, s	95.4	Reference Phase	2	1	1 5	= . :						/ 			
Offset, s	95.4	Reference Point	End	·	1	7 R	5	7					2	3	4
Uncoordinated	Yes	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Green		40.0	24.3	0.0	0.0	0.0			_	-	
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap E/V	On	Yellow Red	2.0	4.0 2.0	4.0 2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		_	Y	7	Y
Force Mode	rixeu	Simult. Gap 19/5	On	Reu	2.0	2.0	2.0	10.0	10.0	0.0		8	6	4	•
Timer Results				EBI	-	EBT	WB	L	WBT	NBL	.	NBT	SBL	-	SBT
Assigned Phase	е					2	1		6			8			
Case Number						8.3	1.0		4.0			9.0			
Phase Duration	ı, s					46.0	19.1		65.1			30.3		$\neg \vdash$	
Change Period	Change Period, (Y+R c), s						6.0		6.0			6.0			
Max Allow Head			3.0	3.1		3.0			3.3						
Queue Clearan			15.1	12.4	1	23.9			23.5						
Green Extension			5.5	0.7		5.5			0.9						
Phase Call Pro	Phase Call Probability					1.00	1.00)	1.00			1.00			
Max Out Proba	bility					0.00	0.00)	0.00			0.21		工	
Movement Gro	oup Res	sults			EB			WB			NB			SB	
Approach Move				L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Assigned Move					2	12	1	6		3		18			
Adjusted Flow I), veh/h			273	272	372	1360		148		435			
	•	ow Rate (s), veh/h/l	n		1900	1888	1810	1809		1810		1610			
Queue Service	Time (q s), s			13.1	9.3	10.4	21.9		6.3		21.5			1
Cycle Queue C	learanc	e Time ($g \circ$), s			13.1	9.3	10.4	21.9		6.3		21.5			
Green Ratio (g					0.42	0.42	0.58	0.62		0.25		0.39			
Capacity (c), v					796	791	571	2241		461		632			
Volume-to-Cap	acity Ra	atio (X)			0.343	0.343	0.651	0.607		0.320		0.688			
Back of Queue	(Q), ft.	/In (95 th percentile))		177.4	176.4	172.5	304.4		121.5		316.8			
		eh/ln (95 th percent			7.1	7.1	6.9	12.2		4.9		12.7			
Queue Storage	Ratio (RQ) (95 th percent	tile)		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00			
Uniform Delay	Uniform Delay (d 1), s/veh				18.8	18.8	12.8	11.1		28.9		24.1			
Incremental De	Incremental Delay (d 2), s/veh				0.1	0.1	0.5	0.1		0.1		1.6			
Initial Queue De	e Delay (d 3), s/veh				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0			
Control Delay (ntrol Delay (d), s/veh				18.9	18.9	13.3	11.2		29.0		25.8			
Level of Service (LOS)				В	В	В	В		С		С				
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS			18.9	9	В	11.6	3	В	26.6		С	0.0			
Intersection De	Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS					16	3.1						В		
D. 14: 1 -) A (T)			NID			65	
Multimodal Re		// 00		4.0	EB		0.00	WB	Δ	0.00	NB	_	0.45	SB	Б
Pedestrian LOS				1.9 ²	-	В	0.68	-	A	2.32		В	2.15		В
Ricycle LOS Sc	icycle LOS Score / LOS				1	Α	1.92	<u> </u>	В			F			

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report											
General Information		Site Information									
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Paseo and Kimmick								
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA								
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Paseo del Norte								
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Kimmick								
Time Analyzed	2024B_AM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92								
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25								
Project Description	La Cuentista (w/Cliff's, 1-stage)										



Vehicle Volumes and Adj	ustme	nts														
Approach		Easth	oound			Westl	oound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	0	1		0	0	0
Configuration			Т	TR		L	Т			L		R				
Volume (veh/h)			1285	2	0	217	387			34		207				
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)					2	2				2		2				
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)									0							
Right Turn Channelized									No							
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided											
Critical and Follow-up Headways																
Base Critical Headway (sec)						4.1				7.5		6.9				
Critical Headway (sec)						4.14				6.84		6.94				
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.2				3.5		3.3				
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.22				3.52		3.32				
Delay, Queue Length, and	d Leve	l of S	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)	Π					236				37		225				
Capacity, c (veh/h)						484				24		382				
v/c Ratio						0.49				1.57		0.59				
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						2.6				4.7		3.6				
Control Delay (s/veh)						19.3				636.6		27.1				
Level of Service (LOS)					С				F		D					
Approach Delay (s/veh)						6	.9		113.1							
Approach LOS																

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report											
General Information		Site Information									
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Paseo and Kimmick								
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA								
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Paseo del Norte								
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Kimmick								
Time Analyzed	2024B_PM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92								
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25								
Project Description	La Cuentista (w/Cliff's, 1-stage)										



Approach	T	Easth	ound			Westl	oound			Northl	oound			South	bound		
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	T R		
Priority	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	0	1		0	0	0	
	0	0		-	U			0		-	0			U	0	0	
Configuration	-		T	TR		L	T			L		R					
Volume (veh/h)			492	9	0	342	1251			136		400					
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)					2	2				2		2					
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)									0								
Right Turn Channelized		No															
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided												
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)						4.1				7.5		6.9					
Critical Headway (sec)						4.14				6.84		6.94					
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.2				3.5		3.3					
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.22				3.52		3.32					
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of Se	ervice														
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						372				148		435					
Capacity, c (veh/h)						1021				35		725					
v/c Ratio						0.36				4.21		0.60					
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						1.7				17.3		4.0					
Control Delay (s/veh)						10.5				1676.7		17.1					
Level of Service (LOS)						В				F		С					
Approach Delay (s/veh)						2	.3		438.2								
				_				F									

Satisfies Warrant 3A

YES

Scenario:	2024 Bui l d

(w/Cliff's, 1-stage)

Intersection: Paseo and Kimmick


Type: 2 Lane

Major Street (Orientation): Paseo del Norte (E/W)


Minor Street (Orientation): kimmick (N/S)

Peak Hour Delay (Criteria 4 Hours)	Intersection Voume > 800	Minor Approach > 100
7.57 Hours in AM YES	YES	YES
40.90 Hours in PM YES	YES	YES

	Minor S	Street Approach	Volume	Major S	Street Approach	Satisfies Warrant 3B	
Time	NB	SB	High Vol	EB	WB	EB + WB	Satisties Warrant 3D
AM Peak	241	0	241	604	421	1,025	NO
PM Peak	336	0	336	501	1,593	2,094	YES




HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report							
General Information		Site Information					
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Paseo and Kimmick				
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA				
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Paseo del Norte				
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Kimmick				
Time Analyzed	2024B_AM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92				
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25				
Project Description	La Cuentista (w/Cliff's, 2-stage)						



Approach		Eastb	oound			Westbound			Northbound				Southbound			
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	F
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	1.
Number of Lanes	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	0	1		0	0	(
Configuration			Т	TR		L	Т			L		R				
Volume (veh/h)			1285	2	0	217	387			34		207				
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)					2	2				2		2				
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)									()						
Right Turn Channelized										N	О					
Median Type Storage	Left				Only								1			
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						4.1				7.5		6.9				
Critical Headway (sec)						4.14				6.84		6.94				
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.2				3.5		3.3				
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.22				3.52		3.32				
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of S	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						236				37		225				
Capacity, c (veh/h)						484				108		382				
v/c Ratio						0.49				0.34		0.59				Г
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						2.6				1.4		3.6				Г
Control Delay (s/veh)						19.3				54.6		27.1				
Level of Service (LOS)						С				F		D				
Approach Delay (s/veh)						6	.9			30).9					
Approach LOS										[)					

HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report							
General Information		Site Information					
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Paseo and Kimmick				
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA				
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Paseo del Norte				
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Kimmick				
Time Analyzed	2024B_PM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92				
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25				
Project Description	La Cuentista (w/Cliff's, 2-stage)						



Vehicle Volumes and Adju	ıstme	nts														
Approach		Eastb	ound			Westbound				North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0		1	0	1		0	0	0
Configuration			Т	TR		L	Т			L		R				
Volume (veh/h)			492	9	0	342	1251			136		400				
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)					2	2				2		2				
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)										()					
Right Turn Channelized									No							
Median Type Storage				Left	Only								1			
Critical and Follow-up He	adwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						4.1				7.5		6.9				
Critical Headway (sec)						4.14				6.84		6.94				
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.2				3.5		3.3				
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						2.22				3.52		3.32				
Delay, Queue Length, and	Leve	l of S	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)						372				148		435				
Capacity, c (veh/h)						1021				98		725				
v/c Ratio						0.36				1.50		0.60				
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)						1.7				11.2		4.0				
Control Delay (s/veh)						10.5				348.9		17.1				
Level of Service (LOS)						В				F		С				
Approach Delay (s/veh)						2	.3			10	1.3					
Approach LOS										ı	=					

Satisfies Warrant 3A

NO

Scenario: 2024 Build

(w/out Cliff's, 1-Stage)

Intersection: Paseo and Kimmick


Type: 2 Lane

Major Street (Orientation): Paseo del Norte (E/W)

Minor Street (Orientation): Kimmick (N/S)

Peak Hour Delay (Criteria 4 Hours)	Intersection Voume > 650	Minor Approach > 100
0.41 Hours in AM NO	YES	NO
0.16 Hours in PM NO	YES	NO

	Minor S	Street Approach	Volume	Major S	Street Approach	Satisfies Warrant 3B	
Time	NB	SB	High Vol	EB	WB	EB + WB	Satisties Warrant 3D
AM Peak	68	0	68	1,288	421	1,709	NO
PM Peak	44	0	44	500	1,382	1,882	NO



Scenario: 2024 Build

(w/out Cliffs, 2-Stage)

Intersection: Paseo and Kimmick


Type: 2 Lane

Major Street (Orientation): Paseo del Norte (E/W)

Minor Street (Orientation): Kimmick (N/S)

Sa	tisfies Warrant 3A	NO
Peak Hour Delay (Criteria 4 Hours)	Intersection Voume > 800	Minor Approach > 100
0.37 Hours in AM NO	YES	NO
0.14 Hours in PM NO	YES	NO

	Minor S	Street Approach	Volume	Major S	Street Approach	Satisfies Warrant 3B	
Time	NB	SB	High Vol	EB	WB	EB + WB	Satisties Warrant 3D
AM Peak	68	0	68	1,288	421	1,709	NO
PM Peak	44	0	44	500	1,382	1,882	NO



Satisfies Warrant 3A

YES

Scenario:	2024 Bui l d

(w/Cliff's, 1-stage)

Intersection: Paseo and Kimmick


Type: 2 Lane

Major Street (Orientation): Paseo del Norte (E/W)

Minor Street (Orientation): kimmick (N/S)

Peak Hour Delay (Criteria 4 Hours)	Intersection Voume > 800	Minor Approach > 100
7.57 Hours in AM YES	YES	YES
40.90 Hours in PM YES	YES	YES

	Minor S	Street Approach	Volume	Major S	Street Approach	Satisfies Warrant 3B	
Time	NB	SB	High Vol	EB	WB	EB + WB	Satisties Warrant 3D
AM Peak	241	0	241	604	421	1,025	NO
PM Peak	336	0	336	501	1,593	2,094	YES



Satisfies Warrant 3A

YES

Scenario:	2024 Bui l d

(w/Cliff's, 1-stage)

Intersection: Paseo and Kimmick


Type: 2 Lane

Major Street (Orientation): Paseo del Norte (E/W)


Minor Street (Orientation): kimmick (N/S)

		· — ·
Peak Hour Delay (Criteria 4 Hours)	Intersection Voume > 800	Minor Approach > 100
2.07 Hours in AM NO	YES	YES
5.80 Hours in PM YES	YES	YES

	Minor S	Street Approach	Volume	Major S	Street Approach	Volume	Satisfies Warrant 3B
Time	NB	SB	High Vol	EB	WB	EB + WB	Satisties Warrant 3D
AM Peak	241	0	241	604	421	1,025	NO
PM Peak	336	0	336	501	1,593	2,094	YES




	HCS7 Two-Way Stop	o-Control Report							
General Information		Site Information							
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Unser and Rosa Parks						
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA						
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Rosa Parks						
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Unser Blvd						
Time Analyzed	2024B_AM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92						
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25						
Project Description	La Cuentista (1-stage)								



Approach		Easth	ound			Westl	oound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0
Configuration							LR					TR		L	Т	
Volume (veh/h)						91		30			574	55		18	861	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						2		2						2		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)							0									
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage Ur				Undi	vided	ided										
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2						4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)						6.42		6.22						4.12		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.52		3.32						2.22		
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of S	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)							132							20		
Capacity, c (veh/h)							135							909		
v/c Ratio							0.97							0.02		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)							6.8							0.1		
Control Delay (s/veh)							133.5							9.0		
Level of Service (LOS)							F							Α		
Approach Delay (s/veh)				133.5							0.2					
Approach LOS					F											

	HCS7 Two-Way Stop	o-Control Report	
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Unser and Rosa Parks
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Rosa Parks
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Unser Blvd
Time Analyzed	2024B_PM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	La Cuentista (1-stage)		



Approach		Easth	ound			Westl	oound			North	bound		Southbound			
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0
Configuration							LR					TR		L	Т	
Volume (veh/h)						56		18			788	80		26	548	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						2		2						2		
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)						()									
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage Ur				Undi	vided	ded										
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2						4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)						6.42		6.22						4.12		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.52		3.32						2.22		
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of S	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)							80							28		
Capacity, c (veh/h)							142							727		
v/c Ratio							0.57							0.04		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)							2.9							0.1		
Control Delay (s/veh)							59.0							10.2		
Level of Service (LOS)							F							В		
Approach Delay (s/veh)					59.0							0.5				
Approach LOS					F											

Scenario: 2024 Build


(1 STAGE GAP)

Intersection: Unser and Rosa Parks


Type: 1 Lane/2 Lane
Major Street (Orientation): Unser (N/S)
Minor Street (Orientation): Rosa Parks (E/W)

	Satisfies Warrant 3A	YES
Peak Hour Delay (Criteria 4 Hours)	Intersection Voume > 650	Minor Approach > 100
4.49 Hours in AM YES	YES	YES
1.21 Hours in PM NO	YES	NO

	Minor S	Street Approach	Vo l ume	Major S	Street Approach	Volume	Satisfies Warrant 3B
Time	EB	WB	High Vol	NB	SB	NB + SB	Salisties Warrant 3D
AM Peak	0	121	121	629	879	1,508	NO
PM Peak	0	74	74	868	574	1,442	NO




	HCS7 Two-Way Stop	o-Control Report							
General Information		Site Information							
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Unser and Rosa Parks						
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA						
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Rosa Parks						
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Unser Blvd						
Time Analyzed	2024B_AM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92						
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25						
Project Description	La Cuentista (2-stage)								



Vehicle Volumes and Ad	Justine																
Approach		Eastb	ound			Westl	oound			North	bound		Southbound				
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6	
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	
Configuration							LR					TR		L	Т		
Volume (veh/h)						91		30			574	55		18	861		
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						2		2						2			
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)						0											
Right Turn Channelized																	
Median Type Storage L					Only	Only 1											
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2						4.1			
Critical Headway (sec)						6.42		6.22						4.12			
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2			
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.52		3.32						2.22			
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of S	ervice	,													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)							132							20			
Capacity, c (veh/h)							274							909			
v/c Ratio							0.48							0.02			
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)							2.4							0.1			
Control Delay (s/veh)							29.7							9.0			
Level of Service (LOS)							D							А			
Approach Delay (s/veh)						29	9.7						0	.2			
Approach LOS		D															

	HCS7 Two-Way Stop	o-Control Report							
General Information		Site Information							
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Unser and Rosa Parks						
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA						
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Rosa Parks						
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Unser Blvd						
Time Analyzed	2024B_PM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92						
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25						
Project Description	La Cuentista (2-stage)								



Vehicle Volumes and Ad	Justine																
Approach		Eastb	ound			Westl	oound		Northbound				Southbound				
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6	
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	
Configuration							LR					TR		L	Т		
Volume (veh/h)						56		18			788	80		26	548		
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)						2		2						2			
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%)						()										
Right Turn Channelized																	
Median Type Storage L					Only								1				
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys															
Base Critical Headway (sec)						7.1		6.2						4.1			
Critical Headway (sec)						6.42		6.22						4.12			
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.5		3.3						2.2			
Follow-Up Headway (sec)						3.52		3.32						2.22			
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of S	ervice	,													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)							80							28			
Capacity, c (veh/h)							271							727			
v/c Ratio							0.30							0.04			
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)							1.2							0.1			
Control Delay (s/veh)							23.8							10.2			
Level of Service (LOS)							С							В			
Approach Delay (s/veh)						23	3.8							0	.5		
Approach LOS					С												

2024 Build Scenario:


(2 STAGE GAP)

Unser and Rosa Parks Intersection:


Type: 1 Lane/2 Lane Major Street (Orientation): Unser (N/S) Minor Street (Orientation): Rosa Parks (E/W)

Sa	tisfies Warrant 3A	NO
Peak Hour Delay (Criteria 4 Hours)	Intersection Voume > 650	Minor Approach > 100
1.00 Hours in AM NO	YES	YES
0.49 Hours in PM NO	YES	NO

	Minor S	Street Approach	Volume	Major S	Street Approach	Satisfies Warrant 3B		
Time	EB	WB	High Vol	NB	SB	NB + SB	Salisiles Wallalli 3D	
AM Peak	0	121	121	629	879	1,508	NO	
PM Peak	0	74	74	868	574	1,442	NO	




HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report													
General Information		Site Information											
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Rosa Parks and Azucena										
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA										
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Rosa Parks										
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Azucena										
Time Analyzed	2024B_AM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92										
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25										
Project Description	La Cuentista												



Vehicle Volumes and Adju	ıstme	nts														
Approach		Eastb	ound			Westbound				North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	1	0		0	1	0
Configuration			LTR				LTR				LR				LR	
Volume (veh/h)		8	26	2		2	61	7		7		6		21		23
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)		2				2				2		2		2		2
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)										()			(0	
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage		- Undivided														
Critical and Follow-up He	adwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1				4.1				7.1		6.2		7.1		6.2
Critical Headway (sec)		4.12				4.12				7.12		6.22		7.12		6.22
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2				2.2				3.5		3.3		3.5		3.3
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.22				2.22				3.52		3.32		3.52		3.32
Delay, Queue Length, and	Leve	l of Se	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		9				2					14				48	
Capacity, c (veh/h)		1526				1582					906				913	
v/c Ratio		0.01				0.00					0.02				0.05	
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		0.0				0.0					0.0				0.2	
Control Delay (s/veh)		7.4				7.3					9.0				9.2	
Level of Service (LOS)		А				А					Α				Α	
Approach Delay (s/veh)		1	.7			0	.2		9.0				9.2			
Approach LOS										,	4			,	4	

Generated: 5/7/2021 7:54:36 AM


	HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report													
General Information		Site Information												
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Rosa Parks and Azucena											
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA											
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Rosa Parks											
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Azucena											
Time Analyzed	2024B_PM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92											
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25											
Project Description	La Cuentista													



Vehicle Volumes and Adju	ıstme	nts																	
Approach		Eastb	ound			Westl	oound			North	bound			South	bound				
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R			
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12			
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	1	0		0	1	0			
Configuration			LTR				LTR				LR				LR				
Volume (veh/h)		26	58	6		5	39	23		4		4		14		16			
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)		2				2				2		2		2		2			
Proportion Time Blocked																			
Percent Grade (%)										()			()				
Right Turn Channelized																			
Median Type Storage		Undivided																	
Critical and Follow-up He	adwa	ys																	
Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1				4.1				7.1		6.2		7.1		6.2			
Critical Headway (sec)		4.12				4.12				7.12		6.22		7.12		6.22			
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2				2.2				3.5		3.3		3.5		3.3			
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.22				2.22				3.52		3.32		3.52		3.32			
Delay, Queue Length, and	Leve	l of Se	ervice																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		28				5					9				33				
Capacity, c (veh/h)		1534				1531					847				872				
v/c Ratio		0.02				0.00					0.01				0.04				
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		0.1				0.0					0.0				0.1				
Control Delay (s/veh)		7.4				7.4					9.3				9.3				
Level of Service (LOS)		А				А					Α				А				
Approach Delay (s/veh)		2	.2			0	.6		9.3				9.3						
Approach LOS											4		А						

Generated: 5/7/2021 7:56:18 AM


	HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report													
General Information		Site Information												
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Rosa Parks and Redroot											
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA											
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Rosa Parks											
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Redroot											
Time Analyzed	2024B_AM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92											
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25											
Project Description	La Cuentista													



Vehicle Volumes and Adju	ıstme	nts																
Approach		Eastb	ound			Westl	oound			North	bound			South	bound			
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R		
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12		
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	1	0		0	1	0		
Configuration			LTR				LTR				LR				LR			
Volume (veh/h)		16	27	4		4	9	14		13		13		42		48		
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)		2				2				2		2		2		2		
Proportion Time Blocked																		
Percent Grade (%)										()			(0			
Right Turn Channelized																		
Median Type Storage		Undivided																
Critical and Follow-up He	adwa	ys																
Base Critical Headway (sec)		4.1				4.1				7.1		6.2		7.1		6.2		
Critical Headway (sec)		4.12				4.12				7.12		6.22		7.12		6.22		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2				2.2				3.5		3.3		3.5		3.3		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.22				2.22				3.52		3.32		3.52		3.32		
Delay, Queue Length, and	l Leve	l of S	ervice															
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		17				4					28				98			
Capacity, c (veh/h)		1589				1578					909				957			
v/c Ratio		0.01				0.00					0.03				0.10			
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		0.0				0.0					0.1				0.3			
Control Delay (s/veh)		7.3				7.3					9.1				9.2			
Level of Service (LOS)		А				А					А				А			
Approach Delay (s/veh)		2	.5			1	.1		9.1				9.2					
Approach LOS										,	4			,	4			

Generated: 5/7/2021 7:58:57 AM

	HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report													
General Information		Site Information												
Analyst	MG	Intersection	Rosa Parks and Redroot											
Agency/Co.	вні	Jurisdiction	COA											
Date Performed	5/5/2021	East/West Street	Rosa Parks											
Analysis Year	2024	North/South Street	Redroot											
Time Analyzed	2024B_PM PEAK	Peak Hour Factor	0.92											
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25											
Project Description	La Cuentista													



Vehicle Volumes and Ad	justme	nts														
Approach	Τ	Eastb	oound			Westbound				North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	1	0		0	1	0
Configuration			LTR				LTR				LR				LR	
Volume (veh/h)		53	17	14		13	28	47		8		7		28		32
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)		2				2				2		2		2		2
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)										()				0	
Right Turn Channelized																
Median Type Storage		Undivided														
Critical and Follow-up H	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)	Т	4.1				4.1				7.1		6.2		7.1		6.2
Critical Headway (sec)		4.12				4.12				7.12		6.22		7.12		6.22
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.2				2.2				3.5		3.3		3.5		3.3
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		2.22				2.22				3.52		3.32		3.52		3.32
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Leve	l of S	ervice	,												
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)	T	58				14					16				65	
Capacity, c (veh/h)		1516				1578					799				833	
v/c Ratio		0.04				0.01					0.02				0.08	
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		0.1				0.0					0.1				0.3	
Control Delay (s/veh)		7.5				7.3					9.6				9.7	
Level of Service (LOS)		А				А					Α				Α	
Approach Delay (s/veh)		4	.8			1	.1			9	.6		9.7			
Approach LOS											4				A	

Generated: 5/7/2021 8:00:46 AM