CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

Planning Department Alan Varela – Director



Mayor Timothy M. Keller

May 28, 2024

Suresh Parvatoja, P.E. SR|RAMA, LLC 8612 Qual Creek Ct NE Albuquerque, NM 87113

Re: Hope Christian High School Neighborhood Impact Analysis Engineer's Stamp 5-15-24 (D18D009)

Dear Mr. Parvatoja,

The subject Neighborhood Impact Analysis received 5-16-24, has been reviewed and is approved by the City of Albuquerque Planning Development Transportation Section with the following infrastructure improvements to be constructed:

 Revise the striping of the northbound two-way left-turn lane on Louisiana Blvd approaching the proposed Hope Christian School south driveway to a left-turn lane. Adjust the southbound left-turn lane striping at the access to the FAA facility on the east side of Louisiana Blvd, across from the proposed Hope Christian School access.

Albuquerque

NM 87103

2. Convert the two-existing crosswalk on Palomas Ave. to Raised Crosswalks/Speed tables to improve pedestrian safety.

3. Properly sign and mark school zones on Palomas Ave,. per MUTCD standards., in coordination with the City.

4. In areas of Palomas Ave. where there are raised medians and curb extensions; on-street parking is prohibited. Use pavement marking words (No Parking) or crosshatching in the no parking zone in addition to the painted curbs and parking restriction signs.

www.cabq.gov

If you have any questions, please contact me at (505) 924-3986, or ccherne@cabq.gov

Sincerely,

Curtis A Cherne

Curtis Cherne, P.E. Senior Engineer, Planning Dept. Development Review Services

HOPE Christian High School

Neighborhood Impact Analysis

HT# D18D009
Received
5-16-24

Final Report May 15, 2024



Prepared for:

HOPE Christian High School 6800 Palomas Avenue NE Albuquerque, NM 87109





Suresh Parvatoja, P.E., MBA SRIRAMA, LLC 8612 Quail Creek CT NE Albuquerque, NM 87113



Table of Contents

1. Executive Summary	1
1.1 Study Area	1
1.2 Key Findings	1
1.3 Mitigation Measures	2
1.4 Recommendations	2
2. Introduction & Project Description	
2.1 Study Purpose	3
2.2 Proposed Project	3
2.2.1 Project Description	3
2.2.2 Completion Timeframe	4
2.3 Study Procedures	4
2.3.1 Study Area	4
2.3.2 Study Scenarios	5
2.3.3 Study Analysis Periods	5
2.3.4 Study Methodologies	6
3. Existing Conditions	
3.1 General Area Characteristics	7
3.1.1 Project Location & Surrounding Land Uses	7
3.1.1 Project Location & Surrounding Land Uses	
	7
3.1.2 Existing Zoning	7
3.1.2 Existing Zoning 3.2 Area Street Network	
3.1.2 Existing Zoning3.2 Area Street Network3.2.1 Regional Access	
 3.1.2 Existing Zoning 3.2 Area Street Network 3.2.1 Regional Access	
 3.1.2 Existing Zoning 3.2 Area Street Network 3.2.1 Regional Access 3.2.2 Local Access 3.3 Acceptable LOS Standards 	7 8 8 8 8 9 9
 3.1.2 Existing Zoning 3.2 Area Street Network 3.2.1 Regional Access 3.2.2 Local Access 3.3 Acceptable LOS Standards 3.4 Existing Traffic Volumes 	7 8 8 8 8 9 9 9
 3.1.2 Existing Zoning 3.2 Area Street Network 3.2.1 Regional Access 3.2.2 Local Access 3.3 Acceptable LOS Standards 3.4 Existing Traffic Volumes 3.5 Existing Intersection Operations 	7 8 8 8 9 9 9 10 11
 3.1.2 Existing Zoning 3.2 Area Street Network 3.2.1 Regional Access 3.2.2 Local Access 3.3 Acceptable LOS Standards 3.4 Existing Traffic Volumes 3.5 Existing Intersection Operations 3.6 Existing Transit Service 	7 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 10 11 11
 3.1.2 Existing Zoning 3.2 Area Street Network 3.2.1 Regional Access 3.2.2 Local Access 3.3 Acceptable LOS Standards 3.4 Existing Traffic Volumes 3.5 Existing Intersection Operations 3.6 Existing Transit Service 3.7 Bicycle & Pedestrian Considerations 	7 8 8 8 9 9 9 10 11 11 11
 3.1.2 Existing Zoning 3.2 Area Street Network 3.2.1 Regional Access 3.2.2 Local Access 3.3 Acceptable LOS Standards 3.4 Existing Traffic Volumes 3.5 Existing Intersection Operations 3.6 Existing Transit Service. 3.7 Bicycle & Pedestrian Considerations 3.7.1 Bicycle Facilities and Operations 	7 8 8 8 9 9 9 10 11 11 11 11 11
 3.1.2 Existing Zoning	7 8 8 9 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 12 13
 3.1.2 Existing Zoning 3.2 Area Street Network 3.2.1 Regional Access 3.2.2 Local Access 3.3 Acceptable LOS Standards 3.4 Existing Traffic Volumes 3.5 Existing Intersection Operations 3.6 Existing Transit Service. 3.7 Bicycle & Pedestrian Considerations 3.7.1 Bicycle Facilities and Operations 3.7.2 Pedestrian Facilities 	7 8 8 9 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 12 13
 3.1.2 Existing Zoning	7 8 8 9 9 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11

5.1 Buildout Year	16
5.2 Background Traffic Growth	16
5.3 Other Planned & Approved Developments	16
5.4 Programmed Roadway Improvements	16
6. Transportation Analysis	17
6.1 Buildout Year Baseline Conditions	17
6.2 Buildout Year plus Project Conditions	17
6.2.1 Project Related Network Changes	17
6.2.2 Study Intersection Operations	
6.3 Transportation System Impacts	
6.3.1 Intersection Impacts	
6.3.2 Transit Impacts	
6.3.3 Bicycle Impacts	20
6.3.4 Pedestrian Impacts	20
6.3.5 Automobile-Pedestrian Conflict Points	20
6.3.6 Other Potential Impacts	20
7. Site Access Requirements	22
7.1 On-Site Roadway Improvements	22
7.2 Off-Site Roadway Improvements	22
8. Summary of Findings	23
9. Recommendations and Mitigation Measures	24
9.1 Mitigation Measures	24
9.2 Recommendations	24

Appendices

- Appendix A Project Site and Circulation Plans
- Appendix B Existing Traffic Counts
- Appendix C Intersection Level of Service Calculation Sheets

1. Executive Summary

This report discusses the results of neighborhood impact analysis that was conducted for the proposed redevelopment at the HOPE High School campus at 6800 Palomas Avenue Northeast (NE) in Albuquerque, New Mexico. The proposed project entails the following:

- Construction of approximately 111,000 square feet (sf), with 88,000 sf of new construction aimed at replacing or renovating all existing campus structures.
- Construction of a new central student commons and event space, 22 modern core-learning classrooms, 10 specialized classrooms dedicated to the arts and sciences, updated administrative facilities, an improved visitor experience, a high-tech library/media center, a 450-seat theater, and a new collegiate-sized gym.
- A five-phase construction, with each phase scheduled to be completed in successive summers, starting from Phase 1 in Summer 2024 and completing Phase 5 by Summer 2028.
- An increase in school population (from 372 to 420 students and from 44 to 50 school employee/staff) for the school year 2024-25 once Phase 1 is completed during Summer 2024.
- A redesigned on-site parking and pick-up/drop-off layout.
- Relocation of the school's driveway located along Louisiana Boulevard NE south by 110 feet to provide left-in, right-in, and right-out access.

1.1 Study Area

The study area included four intersections (two signalized and two one-way stop-controlled) located in the vicinity of the project site. The study area was evaluated during the weekday AM peak hour (the highest hour of traffic between 6:30 and 8:30 AM) and the weekday school PM peak hour (the highest hour of traffic between 3 and 5 PM). The project-related impacts were evaluated under the following three scenarios:

- Existing (2024) Conditions
- Buildout Year (2024) Baseline Conditions
- Buildout Year (2024) plus Project Conditions

Even though the proposed project will be fully completed in 2028, Phase 1 will be completed in Summer 2024, after which school population and school-related traffic would increase for the school year 2024-25. As such, for purposes of this study, 2024 was considered as the buildout year.

1.2 Key Findings

- 1. The proposed project would cause less-than-significant impacts to intersection, bicycle, and pedestrian operations.
- 2. The proposed project would cause no impacts to transit operations.
- 3. The proposed project would cause positive beneficial impacts to traffic congestion and circulation on Palomas Avenue NE.

- 4. The proposed project would reduce dependency on on-street parking spaces by providing off-street parking spaces more than the parking requirements.
- 5. The proposed project would cause less-than-significant impacts to automobile-pedestrian conflict points.
- 6. The proposed project would cause either positive beneficial impacts or no impacts to pedestrian activities along the crosswalk between the Middle and High Schools.
- 7. The proposed project would reduce queue spillbacks on and enhance traffic circulation along Palomas Avenue NE due to redesigned and improved pick-up and drop-off operations.

1.3 Mitigation Measures

The proposed project is not expected to result in significant transportation impacts. Therefore, no mitigation measures are proposed.

1.4 Recommendations

Palomas Avenue NE has four schools located on it and during the morning and evening peak hours, these school-related traffic compete for the limited on-street resources. Therefore, Palomas Avenue NE has multiple interconnected parking, traffic, and safety issues. Instead of developing individualized solutions, it is strongly recommended to perform a detailed assessment of the whole corridor and develop comprehensive solution(s) to improve multimodal safety and circulation along Palomas Avenue NE.

2. Introduction & Project Description

2.1 Study Purpose

HOPE Christian School is embarking on a transformative journey to expand and rejuvenate its high school campus at 6800 Palomas Avenue Northeast (NE) in Albuquerque, New Mexico, which has been its home for over 30 years. The purpose of this neighborhood impact assessment (NIA) is to determine transportation impacts associated with the proposed improvements at the HOPE Christian High School on the surrounding roadway network and to recommend any mitigation measures that may be necessary to support the redevelopment. The following transportation operations were evaluated in this study:

- Traffic conditions
- Transit conditions
- Pedestrian conditions
- Bicycle conditions

2.2 Proposed Project

2.2.1 Project Description

The proposed project will unfold in five consecutive phases over five years, covering approximately 111,000 square feet (sf), with 88,000 sf of new construction aimed at replacing or renovating all existing campus structures. This transformative project will introduce a range of enhancements, including a new central student commons and event space, 22 modern core-learning classrooms, 10 specialized classrooms dedicated to the arts and sciences, updated administrative facilities, an improved visitor experience, a high-tech library/media center, a 450-seat theater, and a new collegiate-sized gym. The total project cost is projected at between \$23 and \$27 million.

Construction Phases: The proposed project will be completed in the following five phases:

- Phase I: 55,825 sf comprising 22 Classrooms, cafeteria/auditorium, resource center, administration wing, amphitheater, courtyard, and outdoor deck/eating area
- Phase II: 19,454-square-foot Science/Art Building with 10 classrooms for music, art, drama, and science
- Phase III: 18,067-square-foot 1,200-seat gymnasium
- Phase IV: 16,427-square-foot remodeling of old gym (5 new locker rooms, storage area, weight room, coaches' offices, and referees changing room)
- Phase V: 7,600-square-foot Performing Arts Center with a 450-seat auditorium with stage, wings, sound/lighting, and ticket booth

Site plan of the proposed project is included in **Appendix A**.

Changes to School Population: The current high school student enrolment is 372 students. This number has been consistent over the last five years, with only minor fluctuations (378 in 2022, 365 in 2021, 359 in 2020, 379 in 2019). The maximum student enrolment as part of the proposed project will be capped at 420 students. Also, the proposed project is expected to increase the employee/staff count at the high school

campus from 44 to 50.

On-Site Parking Redesign: The proposed project will also provide improved on-site parking and traffic circulation and an additional queue length to aid pick-up and drop-off operations. A redesigned parking layout will provide a total of 190 spaces. This includes 160 standard parking spaces, 12 compact spaces, 8 ADA parking spaces, 30 bicycle spaces, and 4 motorcycle spaces. Reconfigured parking layout includes new pavement, walkways, curb and gutter, refuge area, storm drains, and rerouted utilities. Concrete curbing will separate vehicle areas from pedestrian areas.

Traffic and Access Improvements: The school's driveway located along Louisiana Boulevard NE will be shifted south by 110 feet to provide left-in, right-in, and right-out access. The existing driveway along Louisiana Boulevard NE will be closed, and a new driveway will be built to facilitate access to the reconfigured parking layout. The relocated driveway will be 26 feet wide, transitioning into a 24-foot drive lane on-site with angled parking as illustrated in the site plan.

2.2.2 Completion Timeframe

The project's phased approach has been strategically sequenced to maintain a safe and secure campus throughout construction while minimizing disruption to school operations. Construction is scheduled to begin on June 1, 2024, and the goal is to complete one phase every year in succession during summer times. All construction will be completed by 2028. During the Summer 2024, seven high school classrooms will be temporarily relocated to the elementary portables. Parking and traffic flow will also be affected in the short term while Phase I of the project is being staged and built.

As part of the Phase 1, construction of 22 classrooms, redesigned on-site parking, and traffic and access improvements discussed in Section 2.2.1: Project Description will be completed. As such, the increase in school population (from 372 to 420 students and from 44 to 50 school employee/staff) is anticipated to occur for the school year 2024-25 once Phase 1 is completed during Summer 2024.

2.3 Study Procedures

2.3.1 Study Area

The following intersections located in the vicinity of the project site were evaluated as part of this transportation study:

- 1. Paseo Del Norte Boulevard NE/Louisiana Boulevard NE (signalized)
- 2. San Pedro Drive NE/Palomas Avenue NE (signalized)
- 3. Louisiana Boulevard NE/Palomas Avenue NE (one-way stop-controlled)
- 4. Louisiana Boulevard NE/Hope Christian High School Driveway (one-way stop-controlled)

The school's driveway along Palomas Avenue NE is a one-way driveway serving only right-in inbound traffic. As such, the intersection of Palomas Avenue NE and Hope Christian High School Driveway is not included as a study intersection, since it is an uncontrolled intersection with no conflicting movements.

The study area of the project is shown in **Figure 2-1**.




Figure 2-1 Project Study Area

Source: OpenStreetMap

2.3.2 Study Scenarios

For the analysis of the proposed project, the following three traffic scenarios were examined:

- Existing Conditions representing 2024 conditions.
- **Buildout Year Baseline Conditions** representing project completion/buildout year (2024) conditions plus any planned neighboring developments.
- **Buildout Year plus Project Conditions** representing project completion/buildout year (2024) plus project conditions.

Even though the proposed project will be fully completed in 2028, the portion of the project affecting school's population (Phase 1) will be completed in Summer 2024. As such, for assessment of impacts, project buildout year is identified as 2024, since increases in school population and school-related traffic are anticipated to occur for the school year 2024-25 upon completion of Phase 1.

2.3.3 Study Analysis Periods

The normal school hours for the Hope Christian High School's campus are from 8:15 AM to 3:35 PM. The school's AM peak period (around 7:45 to 8:15 AM) overlaps with the background traffic's AM peak period (typically 6:30 to 8:30 AM); however, the school's PM peak period (around 3:30 to 4 PM) barely overlaps with the background traffic's PM peak period (typically 4 to 6 PM). But since maximum number of trips to and from the project site would occur during the school's peak period, to identify peak project-related transportation impacts, the study analysis periods were selected to be the weekday AM peak hour (the highest hour of traffic between 6:30 and 8:30 AM) and the weekday school PM peak hour (the highest hour of traffic between 3 and 5 PM).

2.3.4 Study Methodologies

This transportation study was conducted based on the guidelines provided in the City of Albuquerque's Scope of NIA and the Development Process Manual (DPM), January 2019.

Intersection Capacity Analysis – The operating characteristics of intersections are described by the concept of level of service (LOS), which is a qualitative description of the performance of an intersection based on the average delay per vehicle. Intersection LOS values range from LOS A, which indicates free flow or excellent conditions with short delays, to LOS F, which indicates congested or overloaded conditions with extremely long delays. Per the City of Albuquerque's Scope of NIA, the study intersections were evaluated using the methodology identified in the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM), Sixth Edition. This methodology calculates LOS value based on the average vehicle delay (in seconds) at an intersection. For signalized and all-way stop-controlled intersections, the LOS value is based on the combined weighted average delay of the whole intersection. For one-way and two-way stop-controlled intersections, LOS value is calculated for each controlled movement, as opposed to the intersection as a whole. LOS definitions for signalized and unsignalized intersections are provided in **Table 2-1**.

		Average Control Delay	(seconds per vehicle)
LOS	Description of Operations	Unsignalized Intersection	Signalized Intersection
А	No Delay for stop-controlled approaches	≤ 10.0	≤ 10.0
В	Operations with minor delays	10.1 - 15.0	10.1 – 20.0
С	Operations with moderate delays	15.1 – 25.0	20.1 – 35.0
D	Operations with some delays	25.1 - 35.0	35.1 – 55.0
E	Operations with high delays, and long queues	35.1 – 50.0	55.1 – 80.0
F	Operations with extreme congestion, very high delays, and long queues unacceptable to most drivers	≥ 50.1	≥ 80.1

Table 2-	1 Intersection	LOS Criteria
----------	----------------	--------------

Source: Highway Capacity Manual, Transportation Research Board, Sixth Edition.

Per guidelines in the City of Albuquerque's Scope of NIA, intersection analysis was conducted using the Highway Capacity Software (HCS) 2024.

3. Existing Conditions

3.1 General Area Characteristics

3.1.1 Project Location & Surrounding Land Uses

The project site is in North Albuquerque and is roughly bounded by Palomas Avenue NE to the north, South Domingo Baca Arroyo to the south, Louisiana Boulevard NE to the east, and Edmond G. Ross Elementary School to the west. Regionally, the project site is located about 0.8 miles southeast of the Interstate 25 (I-25)/Paseo Del Norte Boulevard NE junction. Key land uses adjacent to the project site include schools (Hope Christian Elementary School, Edmond G. Ross Elementary School, and Hope Christian Middle School), government offices (National Weather Service Albuquerque Center Weather Service Unit and Federal Aviation Administration's Albuquerque Air Route Traffic Control Center), and residential developments. The project location is shown in **Figure 3-1**, while the project site surroundings are exhibited in **Figure 2-2**.




Figure 3-1 Project Location

Source: OpenStreetMap

3.1.2 Existing Zoning

Existing Integrated Development Ordinance (IDO) Zoning Districts of the project site and for adjacent lands are as follows:

- Project Site: Mixed-Use Low Intensity (MX-L)
- North of Project Site: Mixed-Use Medium Intensity (MX-M) and Planned Development (PD)
- South of Project Site: Residential Multi-Family Low Density (R-ML) and MX-L

- East of Project Site: MX-L
- West of Project Site: None

3.2 Area Street Network

This subsection describes the roadway system in the vicinity of the project site. Access points to the project site from these roadways are also included.

3.2.1 Regional Access

I-25 and Paseo Del Norte Boulevard NE provide regional freeway access to the study area.

I-25 is a primarily north-south freeway providing regional access to the project site from Wildflower Area and other areas in the north and Del Norte, Academy Acres North, Montgomery Heights, and other areas in the south. It has three to four travel lanes in each direction in the vicinity of the project site. Access to the project site is available primarily through the Paseo Del Norte Boulevard NE interchange located about 0.8 miles northwest of the project site. I-25 is classified as an Interstate in the Mid-Region Council of Governments' (MRCOG) Long Range Roadway System (LRRS)¹ and as a Commuter Road in the City of Albuquerque's DPM.

Paseo Del Norte Boulevard NE or State Route (SR) 423 is a primarily east-west arterial providing regional access to the project site from areas west of I-25 and east of Countrywood Area. It has three travel lanes in each direction in the vicinity of the project site. Access to the project site is available primarily through its intersections with Louisiana Boulevard NE and San Pedro Drive NE, located approximately 0.2 miles to the north and 0.5 miles to the northeast of the project site, respectively. Paseo Del Norte Boulevard NE is classified as a Regional Principal Arterial in the MRCOG's LRRS and as a Commuter Road in the Albuquerque/Bernalillo County (ABC) Comprehensive Plan, March 2017.

3.2.2 Local Access

Louisiana Boulevard NE is primarily a two- to four-lane, north-south roadway between Elena Drive NE in the Nor Este area and Burlison Drive NE in the Bear Canyon area. Typically, it has a two-way left-turning lane in the center, bicycle lanes on either side, and posted speed limits of 35 to 40 miles per hour (mph). Within the study area, Louisiana Boulevard NE has sidewalks on either side, does not allow on-street parking, and has a posted speed limit of 35 mph. Along with Palomas Avenue NE, Louisiana Boulevard NE provides direct access to the project site. Louisiana Boulevard NE is classified as a Major Collector in the MRCOG's LRRS and as a Major Road the ABC Comprehensive Plan. In the MRCOG's Long Range Bikeway System (LRBS)², Louisiana Boulevard NE is classified as a Bike Lane south of Alameda Boulevard NE and as a Proposed Bike Lane north of Alameda Boulevard NE.

San Pedro Drive NE is a predominantly two-lane, north-south roadway connecting Wildflower Area, Pleasant View, Jade Park, and San Antonio Condo areas. Within the study area, San Pedro Drive NE has sidewalks and bicycle lanes on either side, does not allow on-street parking, and has a posted speed limit of 35 mph. San Pedro Drive NE is classified as a Major Collector in the MRCOG's LRRS and as a Major Road the

¹ Webpage: <u>MRMPO Long Range Roadway System (LRRS) (arcgis.com)</u>

² Webpage: <u>MRMPO Long Range Bikeway System (arcgis.com)</u>

ABC Comprehensive Plan. In the MRCOG's Long Range Bikeway System (LRBS), San Pedro Drive NE is classified as a Bike Lane south of Holly Avenue NE and as a Proposed Bike Lane north of Holly Avenue NE.

Palomas Avenue NE is a two-lane, east-west neighborhood roadway between San Pedro Drive NE and Louisiana Boulevard NE. It has sidewalks on either side and a two-way left-turning lane in the center, allows on-street parking, and has posted speed limits of 15 mph during school crossing hours and 35 mph during remaining times. In the MRCOG's LRRS Palomas Avenue NE is classified as a Minor Collector.

3.3 Acceptable LOS Standards

Per the City of Albuquerque's DPM, the acceptable LOS standards for roadways within the study area are summarized in **Table 3-1**. LOS C-D is the acceptable standard for Louisiana Boulevard NE and San Pedro Drive NE and LOS D for Paseo Del Norte Boulevard NE.

Roadway	Functional Roadway Classification	Activity Center Type ¹	Acceptable LOS Standard ³
Paseo Del Norte Boulevard NE	Commuter Road ¹ / Regional Principal Arterial ²	Outside Activity Center	D
Louisiana Boulevard NE	Major Road ¹ / Major Collector ²	Outside Activity Center	C-D
San Pedro Drive NE	Major Road ¹ / Major Collector ²	Outside Activity Center	C-D

Table 3-1 Acceptable LOS Standards

Notes:

¹Per Albuquerque/Bernalillo County Comprehensive Plan, March 2017

²Per MRCOG's Long Range Roadway System

³Per City of Albuquerque's Development Process Manual, January 2019

3.4 Existing Traffic Volumes

As mentioned in Section 2.3.3, the study area was evaluated during the weekday AM peak hour (the highest hour of traffic between 6:30 and 8:30 AM) and the weekday school PM peak hour (the highest hour of traffic between 3 and 5 PM). Traffic counts were collected at the study intersections on a typical weekday on April 2, 2024. Additionally, traffic counts were collected at the intersection of Palomas Avenue NE and Hope Christian High School Driveway. As mentioned earlier, this intersection has no control and no conflicts, hence was not selected as a study intersection. The count data is included in **Appendix B**. The study intersections' turning movement volumes during the weekday AM and weekday school PM peak hours, along with their geometric configurations, are exhibited in **Figure 3-2**.

Currently, the intersection of Louisiana Boulevard NE and Hope Christian High School Driveway is a Tintersection. About 100 feet south of this intersection, the Federal Office Driveway is located on the opposite side of the school driveway. Traffic volumes for the Federal Office Driveway are shown for informational purposes only; traffic analysis under Existing Conditions was conducted for the Louisiana Boulevard NE/Hope Christian High School Driveway study intersection only and did not include Federal Office Driveway, since it forms a separate intersection with Louisiana Boulevard NE. As part of the project, the school's driveway will be relocated about 110 feet south, thereby aligning it with the Federal Office Driveway. As such, under project buildout conditions, the combined intersection of Louisiana Boulevard NE/Hope Christian High School Driveway/Federal Office Driveway was evaluated.




Figure 3-2 Existing Intersection Volumes

3.5 Existing Intersection Operations

Table 3-2 summarizes the existing operations at each study intersection during the AM and school PM peak hours. Under Existing Conditions, of the four study intersections, three operate under acceptable conditions during both the AM and school PM peak hours. The San Pedro Drive NE/Palomas Avenue NE intersection operates under unacceptable conditions – LOS D under both the AM and school PM peak hours.

Appendix C contains the analysis output sheets documenting the intersection level of service calculations.

	to to see at the se	Traffic	Acceptable	AM Pea	ak Hour	School PM	Peak Hour
#	Intersection	Control	LOS Standard	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS
1	Paseo Del Norte Boulevard NE/ Louisiana Boulevard NE	Signal	D	30.0	С	36.4	D
2	San Pedro Drive NE/ Palomas Avenue NE	Signal	C-D	50.6	D	47.9	D
3	Louisiana Boulevard NE/ Palomas Avenue NE	OWSC	C-D	19.9 (EB)	С	19.5 (EB)	С
4	Louisiana Boulevard NE/ School Driveway	OWSC	C-D	16.0 (EB)	С	16.8 (EB)	С

Table 3-2 Existing Intersection Operations

Notes:

OWSC – One-Way Stop Control

EB – Eastbound approach

Delay is presented in seconds per vehicle.

At OWSC, delay is presented for the stop-controlled approach.

Bold represents intersection operating under unacceptable conditions.

3.6 Existing Transit Service

The project site is not directly served by public transit and the study area has no public transit services. The nearest bus stop is located about 0.4 miles south of the project site at the junction of Louisiana Boulevard NE and Pino Avenue NE. This bus stop is served by Route 34 – San Pedro Commuter of the ABQ Ride (City of Albuquerque's Transit Department). Route 34 is a Commuter Route operating during weekday peak hours only (between about 6 and 9 AM in the morning and about 4 and 6 PM in the evening) and connecting North Albuquerque with Uptown and International District. Being a Commuter Route, Route 34 is not expected to provide an opportunity for staff and students to access the project site using it. Therefore, trip reductions attributed to transit ridership were not included in the analysis as it is expected to be low to none.

3.7 Bicycle & Pedestrian Considerations

3.7.1 Bicycle Facilities and Operations

The study area and its vicinity have many bicycle facilities, as shown in **Figure 3-3**. Along San Pedro Drive NE and Louisiana Boulevard NE, bike lanes are available on either side of road. South of the project site, a paved shared trail is available along Domingo Baca Trail and a bike route is available along San Francisco Road NE. North of the project site, wide shoulders are available along Paseo Del Norte Boulevard NE that can be used as a shared bicycle facility.

Given the available bicycle network, current access to the project site by bicycle is good. Bicycles can access the project site via Louisiana Boulevard NE. The topography of the study area is flat, making it easy to reach the site via bike. However, field observations indicate that bicycle activity at the site is low, with no more than 10 to 15 bicycles per hour observed during any of the peak hours recorded. Even bike activity to the High School is very low. This is likely due to the nature and travel patterns of the neighboring government office land use as well as the low density of the nearby vicinity.




Figure 3-3 Neighboring Bicycle Facilities

Source: MRCOG Long Range Bikeway System

3.7.2 Pedestrian Facilities

Within the study area and around the project site, five-to-six-foot-wide sidewalks are available along most roadways; descriptions of sidewalk availability are also included in the area street network description in Section 3.2. Sidewalks are typically provided along both sides of the street.

The study area has four schools, so moderate pedestrian activity was observed within the study area during the school drop-off and pick-up hours. During other hours, low pedestrian activity was observed; this is because the project site is predominantly surrounded by schools, government offices, and low-density residential developments, with attractions few and farther apart, resulting in walking being a less likely and attractive travel option for many people.

4. Proposed Site Traffic Characteristics

4.1 Site Access Locations

The proposed traffic circulation plan within and to/from the project site is included in **Appendix A**. As part of the proposed project, the planned access to/from the project site is as follows:

- Inbound Access
 - o Using the existing right-in access from eastbound Palomas Avenue NE, and
 - Using a new right-in access from southbound Louisiana Avenue NE and left-in access from northbound Louisiana Avenue NE.
- Outbound Access
 - Using the relocated right-out access onto southbound Louisiana Avenue NE.

The proposed project would modify access to/from the school site as follows:

- Site Access Modification 1: It will eliminate outbound left-turns from school driveway onto northbound Louisiana Boulevard NE.
- Site Access Modification 2: It will provide a new inbound access for vehicles turning left from northbound Louisiana Boulevard NE and turning right from southbound Louisiana Boulevard NE onto the school driveway.

4.2 Trip Generation

Trip generation of the proposed project was developed using site-specific approach based on changes in school population (school enrolment plus staff size) under Existing and Project Completion Year Conditions as follows:

- 1. Total number of school-related existing inbound and outbound trips during the morning and evening peak hours were obtained from existing traffic counts,
- 2. The growth factor of increase in student population from Existing (372 students and 44 staff) to Project Completion Year (420 students and 50 staff) Conditions was calculated, and
- 3. The growth factor was applied to existing school-related trips to estimate inbound and outbound trips to and from the school under Project Completion Year Conditions.

Project trip generation calculations are shown in **Table 4-1**. Overall, the proposed project would result in an increase of 34 inbound and 28 outbound trips during the morning peak hour and 18 inbound and 28 outbound trips during the evening peak hour.

	Sc	hool Populatio	on	Morning Pe	ak Hour Trips	Evening Pea	k Hour Trips
Scenario	School Enrolment	Staff	Total	Inbound	Outbound	Inbound	Outbound
Existing ¹	372	44	416	261	215	134	210
Project Completion Year ²	420	50	470	295	243	152	238
Increase from Existing	48	6	54	34	28	18	28

Table 4.1 Project Trip Generation

Notes:

¹From existing traffic counts.

¹Proportionally increased from existing counts based on the ratio of school population increase.

4.3 Trip Distribution & Assignment

Traffic is expected to be recirculated around the project site due to planned site access modifications as follows:

- Inbound Traffic
 - A portion of the school-related traffic along northbound San Pedro Avenue NE would shift to northbound Louisiana Boulevard NE via local streets to access the new inbound school driveway along Louisiana Boulevard NE.
 - A portion of the school-related traffic along southbound San Pedro Avenue NE would shift to southbound Louisiana Boulevard NE via eastbound Paseo Del Norte Boulevard NE to access the new inbound school driveway along Louisiana Boulevard NE.
- Outbound Traffic
 - All the eastbound left-turning traffic from the school driveway onto northbound Louisiana Boulevard NE will be forced to make right turns.
 - Due to tight room available to make legal U-turns along Louisiana Boulevard NE, only a small portion of the above traffic will make U-turns to access Paseo Del Norte Boulevard, while most of the above traffic would access Paseo Del Norte Boulevard via Ranchitos Road NE and San Pedro Avenue NE.

Using the site access modifications detailed in Section 4.1: Site Access and Circulation and the circulation of traffic prevalent in the vicinity of the project site, the project trip distribution and assignment was developed as illustrated in **Figures 4-1**. Due to the Site Access Modification 1, the proposed project would increase the net outbound right-turning traffic from the school driveway onto southbound Louisiana Boulevard NE by more than 160 vehicles during the morning and evening peak hours. However, due to the Site Access Modification 2, the proposed project would distribute some of the inbound traffic to the new inbound access along Louisiana Boulevard NE and reduce the net inbound traffic from eastbound Palomas Avenue NE onto the school driveway.




Figure 4-1 Project Trip Distribution and Assignment

5. Future Traffic Conditions

5.1 Buildout Year

As discussed in Section 2.3.2: Study Scenarios, even though the proposed project will be fully completed in 2028, the portion of project affecting school's population (Phase 1) will be completed in Summer 2024. Others phases of construction are not expected to influence the school's population. As such, for the purposes of this NIA, the project buildout year is identified as 2024, since increases in school population and school-related traffic are anticipated to occur for the school year 2024-25 upon completion of Phase 1.

5.2 Background Traffic Growth

Since existing counts were collected in April 2024 and the project buildout year is identified as 2024, traffic volumes under Existing and Buildout Year Baseline Conditions will remain the same. As such, no background traffic growth is required.

5.3 Other Planned & Approved Developments

No planned and approved developments in the project's vicinity were identified between now and the project buildout period (Fall 2024).

5.4 Programmed Roadway Improvements

No programmed roadway developments in the project's vicinity were identified between now and the project buildout period (Fall 2024).

6. Transportation Analysis

6.1 Buildout Year Baseline Conditions

As mentioned earlier, traffic volumes under Existing and Buildout Year Baseline Conditions would remain the same, since they both represent 2024 conditions. As such, the study intersection operations would also remain the same under both Existing and Buildout Year Baseline Conditions, i.e., except the San Pedro Drive NE/Palomas Avenue NE intersection, all study intersections operate under acceptable conditions.

6.2 Buildout Year plus Project Conditions

The additional vehicle trips that would be associated with the proposed project were distributed to the study area (shown in **Figure 4-1**) and added to intersection volumes under Buildout Year Baseline Conditions to identify turning movement volumes under Buildout Year plus Project Conditions. The resulting traffic volumes under Buildout Year plus Project Conditions are shown in **Figure 6-1**.




Figure 6-1 Intersection Volumes – Buildout Year plus Project Conditions

6.2.1 Project Related Network Changes

As mentioned in Section 2.2.1: Project Description, the school's driveway located along Louisiana Boulevard NE will be shifted south by 110 feet. This relocated school driveway along Louisiana Boulevard NE is expected to align and be directly opposite to the driveway connecting with Federal Government offices located on the eastside of Louisiana Boulevard NE. As such, the study intersection of Louisiana Boulevard

NE and School Driveway will be expanded to include the Federal Driveway as part of the intersection under Buildout Year plus Project Conditions.

6.2.2 Study Intersection Operations

The results of the intersection operational analysis are summarized in **Table 6-1**.

Similar to Buildout Year Baseline Conditions, under Buildout Year plus Project Conditions, all of the study intersections would operate at an acceptable LOS value during both the AM and school PM peak hours, except the San Pedro Drive NE/Palomas Avenue NE intersection, which would operate at LOS D. In fact, average delay values at the signalized study intersections (Paseo Del Norte Boulevard NE/Louisiana Boulevard NE and San Pedro Drive NE/Palomas Avenue NE) would slightly improve due to the recirculation of traffic associated with the planned site access improvements, as discussed and exhibited in Section 4.3: Trip Distribution & Assignment.

Appendix C contains the analysis output sheets documenting the intersection level of service calculations.

6.3 Transportation System Impacts

6.3.1 Intersection Impacts

Compared to Buildout Year Baseline Conditions, under Buildout Year plus Project Conditions -

- All the study intersections and their worst-operating movements would continue to operate at the same or better LOS value during both the AM and school PM peak hours,
- The three intersections of Paseo Del Norte Boulevard NE/Louisiana Boulevard NE, Louisiana Boulevard NE/Palomas Avenue NE, and Louisiana Boulevard NE/School Driveway/Federal Office Driveway would continue to operate at an acceptable LOS value during both the AM and school PM peak hours, and
- The San Pedro Drive NE/Palomas Avenue NE intersection would continue to operate an unacceptable LOS (LOS D) during both the AM and school PM peak hours. However, its average delay value would reduce by 5.4 and 4.2 seconds per vehicle during the AM and school PM peak hours, respectively.

Therefore, the proposed project would cause **less-than-significant impacts** to the study intersections under Buildout Year plus Project Conditions. As such, no mitigation measures are recommended to improve intersection operations.

6.3.2 Transit Impacts

As discussed in Section 3.6: Existing Transit Service, the project site is not directly served by public transit and the study area has no public transit services. The nearest transit service is the Commuter Route, Route 34, which is not expected to provide an opportunity for staff and students to access the project site using it. As such, the proposed project would case **no impacts** to nearby transit service and facilities under Buildout Year plus Project Conditions. Hence, no mitigation measures are recommended to improve transit operations.

									Table	e 6-1 Sumn	nary of li	ntersection Op	erations	;									
						Existing Cond	ditions				Buildou	t Year Baseline	Condit	ions			Buildou	t Year plus Pro	ject Con	ditions		Change in Average Delay	
#	Intersection	Traffic Control	Acceptable LOS	Avera Valu	0	Worst-Op	perating	Moveme	ent	Average	Value	Worst-Op	erating	Moveme	ent	Average	e Value	Worst-C	Operating	g Moveme	nt	(Buildout Baseline vs.	Impact?
		Control	Standard	Delay	LOS	Movement	V/C Ratio	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS	Movement	V/C Ratio	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS	Movement	V/C Ratio	Delay	LOS	Baseline vs. Buildout plus Project)	
AN	l Peak Hour																						
1	Paseo Del Norte Boulevard NE/ Louisiana Boulevard NE	Signal	D	30.0	С	SBL	1.04	150.3	F	30.0	С	SBL	1.04	150.3	F	28.7	С	SBL	1.04	150.3	F	-1.3	No
2	San Pedro Drive NE/ Palomas Avenue NE	Signal	C-D	50.6	D	WBR	0.92	88.4	F	50.6	D	WBR	0.92	88.4	F	45.2	D	WBR	0.92	88.4	F	-5.4	No
3	Louisiana Boulevard NE/ Palomas Avenue NE	OWSC	C-D	19.9 (EB)	С	EBL	0.28	31.7	D	19.9 (EB)	С	EBL	0.28	31.7	D	22.3 (EB)	С	EBL	0.31	36.5	E	+2.2	No
4a	Louisiana Boulevard NE/ School Driveway	owsc	C-D	16.0 (EB)	С	EBL	0.41	19.2	С	16.0 (EB)	С	EBL	0.41	19.2	С	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4b	Louisiana Boulevard NE/ School Driveway/ Federal Office Driveway ¹	TWSC	C-D	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14.4 (WB)	В	WBL	0.03	43.2	E	-1.6	No
Sch	ool PM Peak Hour																					· · · ·	
1	Paseo Del Norte Boulevard NE/ Louisiana Boulevard NE	Signal	D	36.4	D	SBL	0.96	109.1	F	36.4	D	SBL	0.96	109.1	F	35.0	D	SBL	0.96	109.1	F	-1.4	No
2	San Pedro Drive NE/ Palomas Avenue NE	Signal	C-D	47.9	D	SBL	0.95	104.2	F	47.9	D	SBL	0.95	104.2	F	43.7	D	SBL	0.89	87.1	F	-4.2	No
3	Louisiana Boulevard NE/ Palomas Avenue NE	owsc	C-D	19.5 (EB)	С	EBL	0.39	24.0	С	19.5 (EB)	С	EBL	0.39	24.0	С	19.7 (EB)	С	EBL	0.40	24.3	С	+0.2	No
4a	Louisiana Boulevard NE/ School Driveway	OWSC	C-D	16.8 (EB)	С	EBL	0.39	20.2	С	16.8 (EB)	С	EBL	0.39	20.2	С	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4b	Louisiana Boulevard NE/ School Driveway/ Federal Office Driveway ¹	TWSC	C-D	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19.1 (WB)	С	WBL	0.14	51.0	F	+2.3	No

Notes:

1. Under Buildout Year plus Project Conditions when the school driveway will be relocated south by about 110 feet and would align with the Federal Driveway.

V/C Ratio – Volume-to-Capacity Ratio

OWSC – One-Way Stop Control, TWSC – Two-Way Stop Control

EB – Eastbound approach, WB – Westbound approach, NB – Northbound Approach, SB – Southbound Approach

L – Left-turning movement, T – Through movement, R – Right-turning movement

Delay is presented in seconds per vehicle.

At OWSC and TWSC, average delay is presented for the worst-operating approach.

Bold represents intersection operating under unacceptable conditions.

Hope Christian High School NIA

6.3.3 Bicycle Impacts

As mentioned earlier, currently, bicycle activity within the study area is low. Similar to Existing Conditions, the proposed project is expected to generate low number (less than 10) of additional bicycle trips. Also, the proposed project would not make any changes to the bike lanes located along Louisiana Boulevard NE. Therefore, the proposed project would case **less than significant impacts** to nearby bicycle circulation and facilities under Buildout Year plus Project Conditions. Hence, no mitigation measures are recommended to improve bicycle operations.

6.3.4 Pedestrian Impacts

As mentioned earlier, the study area has moderate pedestrian activity during the school drop-off and pickup hours and low pedestrian activity during other hours. The proposed project is expected to generate low number (less than 10) of additional pedestrian trips. Also, the proposed project would not make any changes to neighboring pedestrian facilities located along Louisiana Boulevard NE and Palomas Avenue NE. Therefore, the proposed project would case **less than significant impacts** to nearby pedestrian circulation and facilities under Buildout Year plus Project Conditions. Hence, no mitigation measures are recommended to improve pedestrian operations.

6.3.5 Automobile-Pedestrian Conflict Points

The proposed project would create two new automobile-pedestrian conflict points by providing a new second entrance along Louisiana Boulevard NE and eliminate one existing automobile-pedestrian conflict point by prohibiting outbound left turns from the exit along Louisiana Boulevard NE. However, with sidewalks located along Louisiana Boulevard NE and proper signage and striping provided at the school driveway, these two new conflict points can be easily managed and are expected to result in **less-than-significant** impacts. Hence, no mitigation measures are recommended to improve automobile-pedestrian conflict points.

6.3.6 Other Potential Impacts

Traffic Congestion along Palomas Avenue NE: By providing site access improvements (creating a new second entrance along Louisiana Boulevard NE and eliminating outbound left-turns from exit along Louisiana Boulevard NE), the proposed project would recirculate traffic between Louisiana Boulevard NE, Palomas Avenue NE, San Pedro Drive NE, and Rancheros Road NE. This recirculation would reduce traffic on Palomas Avenue NE, thereby alleviating congestion along that road during school pick-up and drop-off periods. Therefore, the proposed project would cause **positive beneficial impacts** to traffic congestion and circulation on Palomas Avenue NE.

Pedestrian Activities along the Crosswalk between HOPE Middle and High Schools: Students use the crosswalk located across Palomas Avenue NE connecting HOPE Middle and High Schools throughout the day to access gymnasium facilities in the High School. As mentioned earlier, the proposed project would reduce traffic on Palomas Avenue NE during school pick-up and drop-off periods. Hence, to pedestrian activities along the crosswalk between the Middle and High Schools, the proposed project would cause **positive beneficial impacts during the AM and school PM peak hours** and cause **no impacts during other hours**.

Pick-Up and Drop-Off Operations: The proposed project would streamline and improve on-site pick-up and drop-off areas by eliminating the overlap of on-site parking and pick-up/drop-off activities and providing additional queueing areas for pick-up/drop-off activities. This improvement would reduce queue spillbacks on and enhance traffic circulation along Palomas Avenue NE during the AM and school PM peak periods.

On-Street Parking: Parking operations and impacts are outside of the scope of this NIA. However, these details are provided for informational purposes only.

As mentioned earlier, on-site parking layout will be redesigned and enhanced as part of the proposed project. Per guidelines provided in Section 5.5: Parking and Loading of the Integrated Development Ordinance (IDO), July 2023, the proposed project would require at total of 148 parking spaces, with 8 Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) parking spaces, 30 bicycle parking spaces, and 4 motorcycle parking spaces. The proposed project would provide parking spaces exceeding the requirements. It will provide a total of 190 parking spaces, with 12 compact spaces, 8 ADA parking spaces, 30 bicycle parking spaces, and 4 motorcycle parking spaces. Therefore, the proposed project would reduce dependency on on-street parking facilities.

7. Site Access Requirements

The site access and circulation plan of the proposed project is included in **Appendix A.** For the proposed development at the HOPE Christian High School, both on-site and off-site access requirements are designed to ensure safe, efficient, and compliant traffic flow.

7.1 On-Site Roadway Improvements

- 1. **Traffic Circulation and Management:** The site plan includes a reconfigured parking layout to improve traffic flow within the campus. Key elements include:
 - i. <u>Driveway and Access Lanes</u>: New drive pads and fire access lanes are designed to accommodate emergency and service vehicles, with surfaces capable of supporting up to 75,000 lbs.
 - ii. <u>Pedestrian Pathways:</u> A 6-foot-wide concrete walkway will serve as the main pedestrian circulation path, ensuring safe and accessible routes throughout the campus.
 - iii. <u>Parking:</u> The redesigned layout provides 190 total parking spaces, including standard, compact, ADA, motorcycle, and bicycle spaces to meet the diverse needs of users.
- 2. **Emergency and Service Access:** Emergency vehicle access is specifically planned in coordination with the Albuquerque Fire Marshal's Office. Fire lanes and hydrant placements adhere to local fire safety regulations to ensure quick response capabilities.
- 3. **Signage and Markings:** Appropriate signage and pavement markings are incorporated to guide traffic flow and ensure compliance with ADA requirements. This includes directional arrows and parking space markings.

7.2 Off-Site Roadway Improvements

- 1. **Improvements to Adjacent Roadways:** Modifications to existing infrastructure, such as curbs, gutters, and medians on Palomas Avenue NE and Louisiana Boulevard NE, are designed to enhance vehicular and pedestrian access to the site. Specific adjustments include:
 - i. Revise the striping of the northbound two-way left-turn lane on Louisiana Blvd approaching the proposed Hope Christian School south driveway to a left-turn lane. Adjust the southbound left-turn lane striping at the access to the FAA facility on the east side of Louisiana Blvd, across from the proposed Hope Christian School access.
 - ii. Convert the two existing crosswalks on Palomas Ave. to raised crosswalks/speed tables to improve pedestrian safety.
 - iii. Properly sign and mark school zones on Palomas Dr. per MUTCD standards, in coordination with the City.
- 2. Access Control: Modifications to driveways and closures of certain access points aim to streamline traffic entering and exiting the site, ensuring smoother traffic flow and enhanced safety for both vehicles and pedestrians.

- 3. Utility Adjustments: Relocation and adjustment of utilities, including water and sewage lines, are necessary to accommodate the new site layout and ensure uninterrupted service delivery. This ensures that the infrastructure supports both current needs and future developments.
- 4. **Compliance with Local Regulations:** All off-site work will comply with City standards, which include regulations related to traffic management, public right-of-way utilization, and utility modifications. Additional measures include:
 - In areas of Palomas Ave. where there are raised medians and curb extensions, on-street parking will be prohibited to clear the way for safer and unimpeded traffic movement. Consider using pavement marking words ("No Parking") or crosshatching in the no-parking zones, in addition to painted curbs and parking restriction signs.
 - Enhance parking enforcement in areas where there is frequent illegal on-street parking to reduce violations and improve traffic flow.

8. Summary of Findings

As summary of the neighborhood impacts associated with the proposed project is as follows:

- 1. The proposed project would cause less-than-significant impacts to intersection, bicycle, and pedestrian operations.
- 2. The proposed project would cause no impacts to transit operations.
- 3. The proposed project would cause positive beneficial impacts to traffic congestion and circulation on Palomas Avenue NE.
- 4. The proposed project would reduce dependency on on-street parking spaces by providing off-street parking spaces more than the parking requirements.
- 5. The proposed project would cause less-than-significant impacts to automobile-pedestrian conflict points.
- 6. The proposed project would cause either positive beneficial impacts or no impacts to pedestrian activities along the crosswalk between the Middle and High Schools.
- 7. The proposed project would reduce queue spillbacks on and enhance traffic circulation along Palomas Avenue NE due to redesigned and improved pick-up and drop-off operations.

9. Recommendations and Mitigation Measures

9.1 Mitigation Measures

Since the proposed project is not expected to result in significant impacts to the neighboring transportation network, no mitigation measures are proposed.

9.2 Recommendations

During site reconnaissance, a few traffic, parking, and safety issues along Palomas Avenue NE were observed and/or gathered from discussions with the HOPE High and Middle School's staff. These issues are summarized below. Resolving these issues is outside the scope of this study; however, as a courtesy, the following recommendations are provided for each issue.

Issue 1: Tight room to accommodate parked and traveling vehicles side by side on Palomas Avenue NE. When vehicles related to elementary schools (Edmond G. Ross Elementary School and HOPE Christian Elementary School) park on street during peak hours, vehicles on travel way hit the median curb, as shown in **Figure 9-1**.



Figure 9-1 Tire Marks on Median Curb Indicating Frequent Hitting of Tires

Potential Solution 1: Conduct travel way width analysis to identify any bottleneck areas on Palomas Avenue NE with narrower travel way widths. Provide on-street parking striping to ensure vehicles park closer to the curb and avoid encroaching on travel way.

Issue 2: At the unsignalized intersection of Louisiana Boulevard NE and Palomas Avenue NE, free movements of southbound right turn and northbound left turn conflict with each other. It appears that the vehicles yield to one another, but this conflicting behavior is a concern.

Potential Solution 2: Conduct a detailed safety study to understand any collisions and their patterns at the intersection. Also, conduct a near-miss collision analysis to comprehend the number and types of near-miss collisions at the intersection.

Issue 3: At the crosswalk connecting HOPE Christian Middle and High Schools, a dedicated flagger is available to assist students crossing all day between the schools, as shown in **Figure 9-2**. No near-miss incidents have been reported yet, but pedestrian safety is a huge concern with cars speeding on Palomas Avenue NE, especially during the morning and evening peak hours when vehicular activity is high, as shown in **Figure 9-3**.



Figure 9-2 Dedicated Flagger at the Crosswalk Connecting Middle and High Schools

Potential Solution 3: Install pedestrian beacon and/or a raised crosswalk to increase visibility of the crosswalk and improve pedestrian safety. Also, deploy radar speed signs on Palomas Avenue NE, informing drivers of their travel speeds versus the posted speed limit.



Figure 9-3 Busy Crosswalk during AM and PM Peak Hours

Issue 4: High school students park their cars on Palomas Ave NE, limiting the number of parking spaces available for pick-up and drop-off activities.


Potential Solution 4: Convert free on-street parking on Palomas Avenue NE to metered and/or one-hour time-limit parking. Also, install signage recommending on-street parking for pick-up and drop-off activities only from 7 to 9 AM and from 2:30 to 4:30 PM.

Palomas Avenue NE has four schools located on it – HOPE High School, HOPE Middle School, HOPE Elementary School, and Edmond G. Ross Elementary School. It is a busy corridor during the peak hours, with multiple schools' traffic competing for pick-up and drop-off activities. The issues identified above are in areas closer to the HOPE High School. There could be other interconnected safety, traffic, and parking issues on other parts of Palomas Avenue NE. Instead of providing piecemeal solutions to each of these issues, it is strongly recommended to perform a detailed assessment of the whole half-mile corridor and develop comprehensive solution(s) to improve multimodal safety and circulation on Palomas Avenue NE.

Appendix


Appendix A

Project Site and Circulation Plans






maarc.com




GENERAL CODE INFORMATION (PER 2018 IFC APPENDIX B, TABLE B105.1(2)): \bigcirc^{\leq}_{*} 300 FEET (PER 2018 IFC APPENDIX C, TABLE C102.1) 300 FEET (PER 2018 IFC APPENDIX B, TABLE B102.1)


8" SUBGRADE PREPARATION COMPACTED TO 95% MAX MARSHALL DENSITY AND 6" AGGREGATE BASE COURSE **ASPHALT** PAVEMENT SECTION SCALE: 1"=1'-0"












C103



ROMA ARCHITECTURE 912 Roma Avenue NW Albuquerque, NM 87102 505.764.8306 romaarc.com





		REVISION SCHEDULE
#	Date	Description
1	01/25/23	PERMIT REVIEW REVISIONS
3	03/05/24	ASI No. 01 - MISC. REVISIONS
2	03/18/24	TRAFFIC REVIEW REVISIONS
	•	

6800 PALOMAS AVE. NE, ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87109



GENERAL NOTES

PROVIDE POWER AND DATA TO IRRIGATION AND BACKFLOW PREVENTION DEVICES. COORDINATE

2. EXISTING TREES DESIGNATED TO REMAIN ARE TO BE PROTECTED AND IRRIGATED BY CONTRACTOR THROUGHOUT CONSTRUCTION. COORDINATE WITH LANDSCAPE CONTRACTOR.

> PROVIDE KNOX LOCK ON TRAFFIC GATES SHOWN ON 'FIRE 1' PLAN AND AS DIRECTED BY THE FIRE

SPOT ELEVATIONS SHOWN ON THIS SHEET ARE FOR REFERENCE AND ARE APPROXIMATE. SEE CIVIL GRADING DRAWINGS FOR ACTUAL ELEVATIONS AND NOTIFY ARCHITECT SHOULD THERE BE DISCREPANCIES.

SEE CIVIL PAVING PLANS FOR CURB AND GUTTER TYPES, GEOMETRY, AND LAYOUT.

SEE SITE SIGNAGE SHEET FOR ALL TRAFFIC SIGNS AND TRAFFIC STRIPING

SEE LANDSCAPE FOR PLANTING, IRRIGATION, AND

KEYNOTE LEGEND

ISTING CONCRETE PAVING TO REMAIN ISTING TREE TO REMAIN, PROTECT DURING
ISTING TREE TO REMAIN, PROTECT DURING
ISTING CONCRETE CURB & GUTTER TO REMAIN
ISTING CHAINLINK FENCE TO REMAIN
ISTING POWER POLE TO REMAIN
ISTING LIGHT POLE TO BE REMAIN
W ADA CURB RAMP PER THE CITY OF
BUQUERQUE STANDARDS - DETAIL B PARALLEL RB RAMP
ISTING OVERHEAD WIRE TO REMAIN
ISTING FIRE HYDRANT TO REMAIN - SEE CIVIL
CONCRETE SLAB W/ BROOM FINISH - SEE CIVIL
ST-IN-PLACE CONCRETE SEATING
W CONCRETE HEADER CURB - SEE CIVIL
W CONCRETE CURB AND GUTTER - SEE CIVIL
ECAST CONCRETE WHEELSTOP
ST-IN-PLACE CONCRETE WATER FEATURE -
E ELECTRICAL FOR POWER TO PUMP AND
UMBING DRAWINGS FOR WATER SUPPLY
W CONCRETE FLUSH CURB & GUTTER - SEE /IL
ST-IN-PLACE CONCRETE STEPS
W CONCRETE ROLL CURB & GUTTER - SEE
/IL
W CONCRETE VALLEY GUTTER - SEE CIVIL
RKING LOT BUFFER WALL - 3' - 0" HIGH
NIMUM, 8" INTEGRALLY COLORED CMU - FINISH COLOR TO MATCH EXISTING CMU WALLS @
PE CHRISTIAN MIDDLE SCHOOL. SEE
RUCTURAL FOR FOUNDATION/REINFORCING.
TAINING WALL / PARKING LOT SCREEN WALL - 6
'HIGH MINIMUM, 8" INTEGRALLY COLORED CMU
INISH & COLOR TO MATCH EXISTING CMU ALLS @ HOPE CHRISTIAN MIDDLE SCHOOL. SEE
RUCTURAL FOR FOUNDATION/REINFORCING.
NDSCAPE RETAINING WALL AT EXISTING TREE
LL - SEE CIVIL & LANDSCAPE. PROTECT
ΙΟΤΙΝΟ ΤΡΕΕ ΝΗΡΙΝΟ ΟΟΝΟΤΡΗΟΤΙΟΝ
ISTING TREE DURING CONSTRUCTION.
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS 'ER 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS.
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS ER 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS. TAL PIPE VEHICLE ACCESS GATE. 26' MIN.
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS ER 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS. TAL PIPE VEHICLE ACCESS GATE. 26' MIN. EAR VEHICLE ACCESS WIDTH. SEE DETAIL.
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS ER 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS. TAL PIPE VEHICLE ACCESS GATE. 26' MIN. EAR VEHICLE ACCESS WIDTH. SEE DETAIL. W LOCATION OF RELOCATED EXISTING
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS ER 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS. TAL PIPE VEHICLE ACCESS GATE. 26' MIN. EAR VEHICLE ACCESS WIDTH. SEE DETAIL.
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS 'ER 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS. TAL PIPE VEHICLE ACCESS GATE. 26' MIN. EAR VEHICLE ACCESS WIDTH. SEE DETAIL. W LOCATION OF RELOCATED EXISTING AGPOLE. SEE SITE DETAILS FOR BASE
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS ER 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS. TAL PIPE VEHICLE ACCESS GATE. 26' MIN. EAR VEHICLE ACCESS WIDTH. SEE DETAIL. W LOCATION OF RELOCATED EXISTING AGPOLE. SEE SITE DETAILS FOR BASE OTING. CYCLE RACK - "HOOP" BY DERO OR APPROVED UAL. SEE AS.201 FOR DETAILS. MIN. SPACING 4'
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS ER 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS. TAL PIPE VEHICLE ACCESS GATE. 26' MIN. EAR VEHICLE ACCESS WIDTH. SEE DETAIL. W LOCATION OF RELOCATED EXISTING AGPOLE. SEE SITE DETAILS FOR BASE OTING. CYCLE RACK - "HOOP" BY DERO OR APPROVED UAL. SEE AS.201 FOR DETAILS. MIN. SPACING 4' C., SEE DETAIL 15/AS.201
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS ER 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS. TAL PIPE VEHICLE ACCESS GATE. 26' MIN. EAR VEHICLE ACCESS WIDTH. SEE DETAIL. W LOCATION OF RELOCATED EXISTING AGPOLE. SEE SITE DETAILS FOR BASE OTING. CYCLE RACK - "HOOP" BY DERO OR APPROVED UAL. SEE AS.201 FOR DETAILS. MIN. SPACING 4' C., SEE DETAIL 15/AS.201 W FIRE HYDRANT - SEE CIVIL
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS 'ER 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS. TAL PIPE VEHICLE ACCESS GATE. 26' MIN. EAR VEHICLE ACCESS WIDTH. SEE DETAIL. W LOCATION OF RELOCATED EXISTING AGPOLE. SEE SITE DETAILS FOR BASE OTING. CYCLE RACK - "HOOP" BY DERO OR APPROVED UAL. SEE AS.201 FOR DETAILS. MIN. SPACING 4' C., SEE DETAIL 15/AS.201 W FIRE HYDRANT - SEE CIVIL ST INDICATOR VALVE (PIV) - SEE CIVIL
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS ER 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS. TAL PIPE VEHICLE ACCESS GATE. 26' MIN. EAR VEHICLE ACCESS WIDTH. SEE DETAIL. W LOCATION OF RELOCATED EXISTING AGPOLE. SEE SITE DETAILS FOR BASE OTING. CYCLE RACK - "HOOP" BY DERO OR APPROVED UAL. SEE AS.201 FOR DETAILS. MIN. SPACING 4' C., SEE DETAIL 15/AS.201 W FIRE HYDRANT - SEE CIVIL ST INDICATOR VALVE (PIV) - SEE CIVIL RE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION (FDC) - SEE CIVIL
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS ER 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS. TAL PIPE VEHICLE ACCESS GATE. 26' MIN. EAR VEHICLE ACCESS WIDTH. SEE DETAIL. W LOCATION OF RELOCATED EXISTING AGPOLE. SEE SITE DETAILS FOR BASE OTING. CYCLE RACK - "HOOP" BY DERO OR APPROVED UAL. SEE AS.201 FOR DETAILS. MIN. SPACING 4' C., SEE DETAIL 15/AS.201 W FIRE HYDRANT - SEE CIVIL ST INDICATOR VALVE (PIV) - SEE CIVIL RE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION (FDC) - SEE CIVIL ATER METER - SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS ER 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS. TAL PIPE VEHICLE ACCESS GATE. 26' MIN. EAR VEHICLE ACCESS WIDTH. SEE DETAIL. W LOCATION OF RELOCATED EXISTING AGPOLE. SEE SITE DETAILS FOR BASE OTING. CYCLE RACK - "HOOP" BY DERO OR APPROVED UAL. SEE AS.201 FOR DETAILS. MIN. SPACING 4' C., SEE DETAIL 15/AS.201 W FIRE HYDRANT - SEE CIVIL ST INDICATOR VALVE (PIV) - SEE CIVIL RE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION (FDC) - SEE CIVIL
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS ER 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS. TAL PIPE VEHICLE ACCESS GATE. 26' MIN. EAR VEHICLE ACCESS WIDTH. SEE DETAIL. W LOCATION OF RELOCATED EXISTING AGPOLE. SEE SITE DETAILS FOR BASE OTING. CYCLE RACK - "HOOP" BY DERO OR APPROVED UAL. SEE AS.201 FOR DETAILS. MIN. SPACING 4' C., SEE DETAIL 15/AS.201 W FIRE HYDRANT - SEE CIVIL ST INDICATOR VALVE (PIV) - SEE CIVIL RE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION (FDC) - SEE CIVIL NTER METER - SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING CKFLOW PREVENTER IN INSULATED
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS ER 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS. TAL PIPE VEHICLE ACCESS GATE. 26' MIN. EAR VEHICLE ACCESS WIDTH. SEE DETAIL. W LOCATION OF RELOCATED EXISTING AGPOLE. SEE SITE DETAILS FOR BASE OTING. CYCLE RACK - "HOOP" BY DERO OR APPROVED UAL. SEE AS.201 FOR DETAILS. MIN. SPACING 4' C., SEE DETAIL 15/AS.201 W FIRE HYDRANT - SEE CIVIL ST INDICATOR VALVE (PIV) - SEE CIVIL RE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION (FDC) - SEE CIVIL ATER METER - SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING CKFLOW PREVENTER IN INSULATED CLOSURE. SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING. W ASPHALT PAVING - SEE CIVIL DIA. GROUT-FILLED STEEL BOLLARD - PAINTED
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS ER 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS. TAL PIPE VEHICLE ACCESS GATE. 26' MIN. EAR VEHICLE ACCESS WIDTH. SEE DETAIL. W LOCATION OF RELOCATED EXISTING AGPOLE. SEE SITE DETAILS FOR BASE OTING. CYCLE RACK - "HOOP" BY DERO OR APPROVED UAL. SEE AS.201 FOR DETAILS. MIN. SPACING 4' C., SEE DETAIL 15/AS.201 W FIRE HYDRANT - SEE CIVIL ST INDICATOR VALVE (PIV) - SEE CIVIL RE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION (FDC) - SEE CIVIL ATER METER - SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING CKFLOW PREVENTER IN INSULATED CLOSURE. SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING. W ASPHALT PAVING - SEE CIVIL DIA. GROUT-FILLED STEEL BOLLARD - PAINTED FETY YELLOW
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS ER 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS. TAL PIPE VEHICLE ACCESS GATE. 26' MIN. EAR VEHICLE ACCESS WIDTH. SEE DETAIL. W LOCATION OF RELOCATED EXISTING AGPOLE. SEE SITE DETAILS FOR BASE OTING. CYCLE RACK - "HOOP" BY DERO OR APPROVED UAL. SEE AS.201 FOR DETAILS. MIN. SPACING 4' C., SEE DETAIL 15/AS.201 W FIRE HYDRANT - SEE CIVIL ST INDICATOR VALVE (PIV) - SEE CIVIL RE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION (FDC) - SEE CIVIL ATER METER - SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING CKFLOW PREVENTER IN INSULATED CLOSURE. SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING. W ASPHALT PAVING - SEE CIVIL DIA. GROUT-FILLED STEEL BOLLARD - PAINTED FETY YELLOW W ADA PARKING SIGN
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS ER 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS. TAL PIPE VEHICLE ACCESS GATE. 26' MIN. EAR VEHICLE ACCESS WIDTH. SEE DETAIL. W LOCATION OF RELOCATED EXISTING AGPOLE. SEE SITE DETAILS FOR BASE OTING. CYCLE RACK - "HOOP" BY DERO OR APPROVED UAL. SEE AS.201 FOR DETAILS. MIN. SPACING 4' C., SEE DETAIL 15/AS.201 W FIRE HYDRANT - SEE CIVIL ST INDICATOR VALVE (PIV) - SEE CIVIL RE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION (FDC) - SEE CIVIL RE METER - SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING CKFLOW PREVENTER IN INSULATED CLOSURE. SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING. W ASPHALT PAVING - SEE CIVIL DIA. GROUT-FILLED STEEL BOLLARD - PAINTED FETY YELLOW W ADA PARKING SIGN W 6'-0" HIGH CHAINLINK FENCE
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS ER 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS. TAL PIPE VEHICLE ACCESS GATE. 26' MIN. EAR VEHICLE ACCESS WIDTH. SEE DETAIL. W LOCATION OF RELOCATED EXISTING AGPOLE. SEE SITE DETAILS FOR BASE OTING. CYCLE RACK - "HOOP" BY DERO OR APPROVED UAL. SEE AS.201 FOR DETAILS. MIN. SPACING 4' C., SEE DETAIL 15/AS.201 W FIRE HYDRANT - SEE CIVIL ST INDICATOR VALVE (PIV) - SEE CIVIL RE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION (FDC) - SEE CIVIL ATER METER - SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING CKFLOW PREVENTER IN INSULATED CLOSURE. SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING. W ASPHALT PAVING - SEE CIVIL DIA. GROUT-FILLED STEEL BOLLARD - PAINTED FETY YELLOW W ADA PARKING SIGN W 6'-0" HIGH CHAINLINK FENCE W 6'-0" HIGH CHAINLINK FENCE GATE
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS ER 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS. TAL PIPE VEHICLE ACCESS GATE. 26' MIN. EAR VEHICLE ACCESS WIDTH. SEE DETAIL. W LOCATION OF RELOCATED EXISTING AGPOLE. SEE SITE DETAILS FOR BASE OTING. CYCLE RACK - "HOOP" BY DERO OR APPROVED UAL. SEE AS.201 FOR DETAILS. MIN. SPACING 4' C., SEE DETAIL 15/AS.201 W FIRE HYDRANT - SEE CIVIL ST INDICATOR VALVE (PIV) - SEE CIVIL RE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION (FDC) - SEE CIVIL ATER METER - SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING CKFLOW PREVENTER IN INSULATED CLOSURE. SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING. W ASPHALT PAVING - SEE CIVIL DIA. GROUT-FILLED STEEL BOLLARD - PAINTED FETY YELLOW W ADA PARKING SIGN W 6'-0" HIGH CHAINLINK FENCE GATE INTED TRAFFIC STRIPING
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS ER 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS. TAL PIPE VEHICLE ACCESS GATE. 26' MIN. EAR VEHICLE ACCESS WIDTH. SEE DETAIL. W LOCATION OF RELOCATED EXISTING AGPOLE. SEE SITE DETAILS FOR BASE OTING. CYCLE RACK - "HOOP" BY DERO OR APPROVED UAL. SEE AS.201 FOR DETAILS. MIN. SPACING 4' C., SEE DETAIL 15/AS.201 W FIRE HYDRANT - SEE CIVIL ST INDICATOR VALVE (PIV) - SEE CIVIL RE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION (FDC) - SEE CIVIL ATER METER - SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING CKFLOW PREVENTER IN INSULATED CLOSURE. SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING. W ASPHALT PAVING - SEE CIVIL DIA. GROUT-FILLED STEEL BOLLARD - PAINTED FETY YELLOW W ADA PARKING SIGN W 6'-0" HIGH CHAINLINK FENCE GATE INTED TRAFFIC STRIPING W LANDSCAPED AREA. SEE CIVIL AND
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS ER 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS. TAL PIPE VEHICLE ACCESS GATE. 26' MIN. EAR VEHICLE ACCESS WIDTH. SEE DETAIL. W LOCATION OF RELOCATED EXISTING AGPOLE. SEE SITE DETAILS FOR BASE OTING. CYCLE RACK - "HOOP" BY DERO OR APPROVED UAL. SEE AS.201 FOR DETAILS. MIN. SPACING 4' C., SEE DETAIL 15/AS.201 W FIRE HYDRANT - SEE CIVIL ST INDICATOR VALVE (PIV) - SEE CIVIL RE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION (FDC) - SEE CIVIL ATER METER - SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING CKFLOW PREVENTER IN INSULATED CLOSURE. SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING. W ASPHALT PAVING - SEE CIVIL DIA. GROUT-FILLED STEEL BOLLARD - PAINTED FETY YELLOW W ADA PARKING SIGN W 6'-0" HIGH CHAINLINK FENCE GATE INTED TRAFFIC STRIPING
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS ER 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS. TAL PIPE VEHICLE ACCESS GATE. 26' MIN. EAR VEHICLE ACCESS WIDTH. SEE DETAIL. W LOCATION OF RELOCATED EXISTING AGPOLE. SEE SITE DETAILS FOR BASE OTING. CYCLE RACK - "HOOP" BY DERO OR APPROVED UAL. SEE AS.201 FOR DETAILS. MIN. SPACING 4' C., SEE DETAIL 15/AS.201 W FIRE HYDRANT - SEE CIVIL ST INDICATOR VALVE (PIV) - SEE CIVIL RE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION (FDC) - SEE CIVIL ATER METER - SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING CKFLOW PREVENTER IN INSULATED CLOSURE. SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING. W ASPHALT PAVING - SEE CIVIL DIA. GROUT-FILLED STEEL BOLLARD - PAINTED FETY YELLOW W ADA PARKING SIGN W 6'-0" HIGH CHAINLINK FENCE GATE INTED TRAFFIC STRIPING W LANDSCAPED AREA. SEE CIVIL AND NDSCAPE. W DETENTION POND - SEE CIVIL TEND EXISTING GRASS AREA. NEW EXTENTS
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS ER 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS. TAL PIPE VEHICLE ACCESS GATE. 26' MIN. EAR VEHICLE ACCESS WIDTH. SEE DETAIL. W LOCATION OF RELOCATED EXISTING AGPOLE. SEE SITE DETAILS FOR BASE OTING. CYCLE RACK - "HOOP" BY DERO OR APPROVED UAL. SEE AS.201 FOR DETAILS. MIN. SPACING 4' C., SEE DETAIL 15/AS.201 W FIRE HYDRANT - SEE CIVIL ST INDICATOR VALVE (PIV) - SEE CIVIL RE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION (FDC) - SEE CIVIL RE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION (FDC) - SEE CIVIL ATER METER - SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING CKFLOW PREVENTER IN INSULATED CLOSURE. SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING. W ASPHALT PAVING - SEE CIVIL DIA. GROUT-FILLED STEEL BOLLARD - PAINTED FETY YELLOW W ADA PARKING SIGN W 6'-0" HIGH CHAINLINK FENCE W 6'-0" HIGH CHAINLINK FENCE GATE INTED TRAFFIC STRIPING W LANDSCAPED AREA. SEE CIVIL AND NDSCAPE. W DETENTION POND - SEE CIVIL TEND EXISTING GRASS AREA. NEW EXTENTS BE REPLANTED WITH GRASS TO MATCH
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS ER 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS. TAL PIPE VEHICLE ACCESS GATE. 26' MIN. EAR VEHICLE ACCESS WIDTH. SEE DETAIL. W LOCATION OF RELOCATED EXISTING AGPOLE. SEE SITE DETAILS FOR BASE OTING. CYCLE RACK - "HOOP" BY DERO OR APPROVED UAL. SEE AS.201 FOR DETAILS. MIN. SPACING 4' C., SEE DETAIL 15/AS.201 W FIRE HYDRANT - SEE CIVIL ST INDICATOR VALVE (PIV) - SEE CIVIL RE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION (FDC) - SEE CIVIL RE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION (FDC) - SEE CIVIL ATER METER - SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING CKFLOW PREVENTER IN INSULATED CLOSURE. SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING. W ASPHALT PAVING - SEE CIVIL DIA. GROUT-FILLED STEEL BOLLARD - PAINTED FETY YELLOW W ADA PARKING SIGN W 6'-0" HIGH CHAINLINK FENCE GATE INTED TRAFFIC STRIPING W LANDSCAPED AREA. SEE CIVIL AND NDSCAPE. W DETENTION POND - SEE CIVIL TEND EXISTING GRASS AREA. NEW EXTENTS BE REPLANTED WITH GRASS TO MATCH ISTING - SEE LANDSCAPE. SEE CIVIL FOR
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS FER 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS. TAL PIPE VEHICLE ACCESS GATE. 26' MIN. EAR VEHICLE ACCESS WIDTH. SEE DETAIL. W LOCATION OF RELOCATED EXISTING AGPOLE. SEE SITE DETAILS FOR BASE OTING. CYCLE RACK - "HOOP" BY DERO OR APPROVED UAL. SEE AS.201 FOR DETAILS. MIN. SPACING 4' C., SEE DETAIL 15/AS.201 W FIRE HYDRANT - SEE CIVIL ST INDICATOR VALVE (PIV) - SEE CIVIL ST INDICATOR VALVE (PIV) - SEE CIVIL RE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION (FDC) - SEE CIVIL ATER METER - SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING CKFLOW PREVENTER IN INSULATED CLOSURE. SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING. W ASPHALT PAVING - SEE CIVIL DIA. GROUT-FILLED STEEL BOLLARD - PAINTED FETY YELLOW W ADA PARKING SIGN W 6'-0" HIGH CHAINLINK FENCE GATE INTED TRAFFIC STRIPING W LANDSCAPED AREA. SEE CIVIL AND NDSCAPE. W DETENTION POND - SEE CIVIL TEND EXISTING GRASS AREA. NEW EXTENTS BE REPLANTED WITH GRASS TO MATCH ISTING - SEE LANDSCAPE. SEE CIVIL FOR GRADING.
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS ER 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS. TAL PIPE VEHICLE ACCESS GATE. 26' MIN. EAR VEHICLE ACCESS WIDTH. SEE DETAIL. W LOCATION OF RELOCATED EXISTING AGPOLE. SEE SITE DETAILS FOR BASE OTING. CYCLE RACK - "HOOP" BY DERO OR APPROVED UAL. SEE AS.201 FOR DETAILS. MIN. SPACING 4' C., SEE DETAIL 15/AS.201 W FIRE HYDRANT - SEE CIVIL ST INDICATOR VALVE (PIV) - SEE CIVIL RE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION (FDC) - SEE CIVIL RE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION (FDC) - SEE CIVIL ATER METER - SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING CKFLOW PREVENTER IN INSULATED CLOSURE. SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING. W ASPHALT PAVING - SEE CIVIL DIA. GROUT-FILLED STEEL BOLLARD - PAINTED FETY YELLOW W ADA PARKING SIGN W 6'-0" HIGH CHAINLINK FENCE GATE INTED TRAFFIC STRIPING W LANDSCAPED AREA. SEE CIVIL AND NDSCAPE. W DETENTION POND - SEE CIVIL TEND EXISTING GRASS AREA. NEW EXTENTS BE REPLANTED WITH GRASS TO MATCH ISTING - SEE LANDSCAPE. SEE CIVIL FOR
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS FR 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS. TAL PIPE VEHICLE ACCESS GATE. 26' MIN. EAR VEHICLE ACCESS WIDTH. SEE DETAIL. W LOCATION OF RELOCATED EXISTING AGPOLE. SEE SITE DETAILS FOR BASE OTING. CYCLE RACK - "HOOP" BY DERO OR APPROVED UAL. SEE AS.201 FOR DETAILS. MIN. SPACING 4' C., SEE DETAIL 15/AS.201 W FIRE HYDRANT - SEE CIVIL ST INDICATOR VALVE (PIV) - SEE CIVIL RE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION (FDC) - SEE CIVIL TER METER - SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING CKFLOW PREVENTER IN INSULATED CLOSURE. SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING. W ASPHALT PAVING - SEE CIVIL DIA. GROUT-FILLED STEEL BOLLARD - PAINTED FETY YELLOW W ADA PARKING SIGN W 6'-0" HIGH CHAINLINK FENCE W 6'-0" HIGH CHAINLINK FENCE GATE INTED TRAFFIC STRIPING W LANDSCAPED AREA. SEE CIVIL AND NDSCAPE. W DETENTION POND - SEE CIVIL TEND EXISTING GRASS AREA. NEW EXTENTS BE REPLANTED WITH GRASS TO MATCH ISTING - SEE LANDSCAPE. SEE CIVIL FOR GRADING. OVIDE NEW SURFACE-APPLIED ADA
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS 'ER 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS. TAL PIPE VEHICLE ACCESS GATE. 26' MIN. EAR VEHICLE ACCESS WIDTH. SEE DETAIL. W LOCATION OF RELOCATED EXISTING AGPOLE. SEE SITE DETAILS FOR BASE OTING. CYCLE RACK - "HOOP" BY DERO OR APPROVED UAL. SEE AS.201 FOR DETAILS. MIN. SPACING 4' C., SEE DETAIL 15/AS.201 W FIRE HYDRANT - SEE CIVIL ST INDICATOR VALVE (PIV) - SEE CIVIL RE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION (FDC) - SEE CIVIL ATER METER - SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING CKFLOW PREVENTER IN INSULATED CLOSURE. SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING. W ASPHALT PAVING - SEE CIVIL DIA. GROUT-FILLED STEEL BOLLARD - PAINTED FETY YELLOW W ADA PARKING SIGN W 6'-0" HIGH CHAINLINK FENCE GATE INTED TRAFFIC STRIPING W LANDSCAPED AREA. SEE CIVIL AND NDSCAPE. W DETENTION POND - SEE CIVIL TEND EXISTING GRASS AREA. NEW EXTENTS BE REPLANTED WITH GRASS TO MATCH ISTING - SEE LANDSCAPE. SEE CIVIL FOR GRADING. OVIDE NEW SURFACE-APPLIED ADA TECTABLE WARNING STRIP AT EXISTING CURB MP RIGATION POINT OF CONNECTION - SEE
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS 'ER 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS. ITAL PIPE VEHICLE ACCESS GATE. 26' MIN. EAR VEHICLE ACCESS WIDTH. SEE DETAIL. W LOCATION OF RELOCATED EXISTING AGPOLE. SEE SITE DETAILS FOR BASE OTING. CYCLE RACK - "HOOP" BY DERO OR APPROVED UAL. SEE AS.201 FOR DETAILS. MIN. SPACING 4' C., SEE DETAIL 15/AS.201 W FIRE HYDRANT - SEE CIVIL ST INDICATOR VALVE (PIV) - SEE CIVIL RE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION (FDC) - SEE CIVIL ATER METER - SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING CKFLOW PREVENTER IN INSULATED CLOSURE. SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING. W ASPHALT PAVING - SEE CIVIL DIA. GROUT-FILLED STEEL BOLLARD - PAINTED FETY YELLOW W ADA PARKING SIGN W 6'-0" HIGH CHAINLINK FENCE GATE INTED TRAFFIC STRIPING W LANDSCAPED AREA. SEE CIVIL AND NDSCAPE. W DETENTION POND - SEE CIVIL TEND EXISTING GRASS AREA. NEW EXTENTS BE REPLANTED WITH GRASS TO MATCH ISTING - SEE LANDSCAPE. SEE CIVIL FOR GRADING. OVIDE NEW SURFACE-APPLIED ADA TECTABLE WARNING STRIP AT EXISTING CURB MP RIGATION POINT OF CONNECTION - SEE NDSCAPE
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS ER 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS. ITAL PIPE VEHICLE ACCESS GATE. 26' MIN. EAR VEHICLE ACCESS WIDTH. SEE DETAIL. W LOCATION OF RELOCATED EXISTING AGPOLE. SEE SITE DETAILS FOR BASE OTING. CYCLE RACK - "HOOP" BY DERO OR APPROVED UAL. SEE AS.201 FOR DETAILS. MIN. SPACING 4' C., SEE DETAIL 15/AS.201 W FIRE HYDRANT - SEE CIVIL ST INDICATOR VALVE (PIV) - SEE CIVIL RE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION (FDC) - SEE CIVIL ATER METER - SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING CKFLOW PREVENTER IN INSULATED CLOSURE. SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING. W ASPHALT PAVING - SEE CIVIL DIA. GROUT-FILLED STEEL BOLLARD - PAINTED FETY YELLOW W ADA PARKING SIGN W 6'-0" HIGH CHAINLINK FENCE W 6'-0" HIGH CHAINLINK FENCE GATE INTED TRAFFIC STRIPING W LANDSCAPED AREA. SEE CIVIL AND NDSCAPE. W DETENTION POND - SEE CIVIL TEND EXISTING GRASS AREA. NEW EXTENTS BE REPLANTED WITH GRASS TO MATCH ISTING - SEE LANDSCAPE. SEE CIVIL FOR GRADING. OVIDE NEW SURFACE-APPLIED ADA TECTABLE WARNING STRIP AT EXISTING CURB MP RIGATION POINT OF CONNECTION - SEE NDSCAPE. ED BUMP, TYPICAL. SEE CIVIL.
NDRAISING DONOR BRICK AREA. BRICK PAVERS 'ER 2" BEDDING SAND ON COMPACTED SOIL. ICKS WILL REPLACED WITH ENGRAVED DONOR ICKS. ITAL PIPE VEHICLE ACCESS GATE. 26' MIN. EAR VEHICLE ACCESS WIDTH. SEE DETAIL. W LOCATION OF RELOCATED EXISTING AGPOLE. SEE SITE DETAILS FOR BASE OTING. CYCLE RACK - "HOOP" BY DERO OR APPROVED UAL. SEE AS.201 FOR DETAILS. MIN. SPACING 4' C., SEE DETAIL 15/AS.201 W FIRE HYDRANT - SEE CIVIL ST INDICATOR VALVE (PIV) - SEE CIVIL RE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION (FDC) - SEE CIVIL ATER METER - SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING CKFLOW PREVENTER IN INSULATED CLOSURE. SEE CIVIL AND PLUMBING. W ASPHALT PAVING - SEE CIVIL DIA. GROUT-FILLED STEEL BOLLARD - PAINTED FETY YELLOW W ADA PARKING SIGN W 6'-0" HIGH CHAINLINK FENCE GATE INTED TRAFFIC STRIPING W LANDSCAPED AREA. SEE CIVIL AND NDSCAPE. W DETENTION POND - SEE CIVIL TEND EXISTING GRASS AREA. NEW EXTENTS BE REPLANTED WITH GRASS TO MATCH ISTING - SEE LANDSCAPE. SEE CIVIL FOR GRADING. OVIDE NEW SURFACE-APPLIED ADA TECTABLE WARNING STRIP AT EXISTING CURB MP RIGATION POINT OF CONNECTION - SEE NDSCAPE

NEW CATCH BASIN - SEE CIVIL AND LANDSCAPE PAINTED LETTERING - "RIGHT TURN ONLY" TRAFFIC SIGN "RIGHT TURN ONY" - SEE AS.103 FOR DETAIL


AS.101

Appendix B Existing Traffic Counts





Location: 1 LOUISIANA BLVD & PASEO DEL NORTE BLVD AM Date: Tuesday, April 2, 2024 Peak Hour: 07:30 AM - 08:30 AM Peak 15-Minutes: 07:45 AM - 08:00 AM

Peak Hour - Motorized Vehicles



Peak Hour - Bicycles






Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.


		PASEO	DELN	IORTE	BLVD	PASEO			LVD	LC	UISIAN		D	LC	UISIAN		D						
	Interval		Eastb	ound			Westb	ound			Northb	ound			Southt	bound			Rolling	Ped	estriar	n Crossir	ngs
S	Start Time	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru F	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Hour	West	East	South I	North
(6:30 AM	0	7	147	17	0	2	217	8	0	9	7	6	0	14	8	11	453	2,540	0	0	0	0
(6:45 AM	0	11	189	16	0	5	306	12	0	7	9	2	0	16	14	21	608	3,129	0	0	0	0
ī	7:00 AM	0	19	212	14	0	16	249	23	0	13	15	9	0	19	13	22	624	3,758	0	1	0	0
-	7:15 AM	0	19	276	25	0	18	377	26	0	23	16	14	0	21	17	23	855	4,233	0	0	0	0
7	7:30 AM	0	26	295	37	1	45	411	31	0	43	29	27	0	30	38	29	1,042	4,386	0	0	0	0
	7:45 AM	1	31	401	73	0	44	443	31	0	39	39	21	0	43	36	35	1,237		0	1	0	0
8	8:00 AM	0	29	318	45	1	72	452	35	0	22	17	18	0	32	36	22	1,099		0	0	0	0
8	8:15 AM	0	33	334	59	0	37	375	32	0	12	26	8	0	24	43	25	1,008		2	0	0	2
Cou	int Total	1	175	2,172	286	2	239	2,830	198	0	168	158	105	0	199	205	188	6,926		2	2	0	2
Pea	ak Hour	1	119	1,348	214	2	198	1,681	129	0	116	111	74	0	129) 153	3 11 <i>1</i>	4,38	6	2	1	0	2




Location: 2 SAN PEDRO DR & PALOMAS AVE AM Date: Tuesday, April 2, 2024 Peak Hour: 07:30 AM - 08:30 AM Peak 15-Minutes: 07:45 AM - 08:00 AM


Peak Hour - Motorized Vehicles





Peak Hour - Bicycles






Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.


Interval	P	ALOM/ Eastb	AS AVE ound			LOMA Westb	S AVE ound		S/	AN PEC Northb		2	S	AN PEI Southi	DRO DI	R		Rolling	Ped	lestriar	n Crossir	nas
Start Time	U-Turn	Left		Right	U-Turn		Thru F	Right	U-Turn	Left		Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Hour	West		South	0
6:30 AM	0	7	0	3	0	1	0	4	0	6	28	0	0	14	44	5	112	538	0	0	0	0
6:45 AM	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	7	23	5	0	14	48	6	110	696	0	0	0	0
7:00 AM	0	7	0	8	0	1	0	4	0	2	32	5	0	24	45	6	134	962	0	0	0	0
7:15 AM	0	11	0	4	0	5	0	13	0	4	34	23	0	54	30	4	182	1,187	0	0	0	0
7:30 AM	0	9	0	5	0	6	0	12	0	4	30	37	0	110	47	10	270	1,342	0	1	0	0
7:45 AM	0	9	3	9	0	26	2	96	0	4	48	42	0	80	39	18	376		1	0	0	0
8:00 AM	0	24	3	7	0	33	2	90	0	14	34	26	0	57	54	15	359		1	1	1	5
8:15 AM	0	13	2	14	0	22	6	98	0	9	48	9	0	54	43	19	337		0	0	1	0
Count Total	0	83	8	51	0	94	10	320	0	50	277	147	0	407	350	83	1,880		2	2	2	5
Peak Hour	0	55	8	35	0	87	10	296	0	31	160) 114	. 0	302	1 183	3 62	2 1,34	2	2	2	2	5





Location: 3 LOUISIANA BLVD & PALOMAS AVE AM Date: Tuesday, April 2, 2024 Peak Hour: 07:30 AM - 08:30 AM Peak 15-Minutes: 07:45 AM - 08:00 AM

Peak Hour - Motorized Vehicles










Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.


	Interval		ALOM/ Eastb	AS AVE ound	=		LOMA			LC	UISIAN Northb		D	LC	UISIAN South		D	F	Rolling	Ped	lestriar	n Crossir	ngs
	Start Time	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru Ri	ght	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Hour	West	East	South	North
	6:30 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	20	0	1	0	23	2	48	342	0	0	0	0
	6:45 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	18	0	0	0	26	9	57	563	0	0	0	0
	7:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	37	0	0	0	24	19	87	832	0	1	0	0
	7:15 AM	1	7	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	20	55	0	0	0	24	37	150	1,010	0	1	0	0
	7:30 AM	0	7	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	48	83	0	0	0	41	76	269	1,073	0	0	0	0
	7:45 AM	0	19	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	51	84	0	2	0	58	96	326		0	0	0	0
	8:00 AM	0	13	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	40	43	0	0	0	37	112	265		0	0	0	0
	8:15 AM	0	3	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	22	38	0	0	0	50	93	213		0	0	0	0
Сс	ount Total	1	49	0	63	0	0	0	0	0	194	378	0	3	0	283	444	1,415		0	2	0	0
F	Peak Hour	0	42	0	57	0	0	0	0	0	161	248	C	2	() 186	377	1,07	3	0	0	0	0




Location: 4 HOPE CHRISTIAN HS DWY & PALOMAS AVE AM Date: Tuesday, April 2, 2024 Peak Hour: 07:30 AM - 08:30 AM Peak 15-Minutes: 07:45 AM - 08:00 AM


Peak Hour - Motorized Vehicles





Peak Hour - Bicycles






Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.


	P	ALOM/	AS AVE		PA	LOMA	S AVE	ł	HOPE (CHRIST	IAN H	S DWY	HOPE	CHRIS	tian h	S DWY						
Interval		Eastb	ound			Westb	ound			Northb	ound			South	bound			Rolling	Ped	lestriar	n Crossii	ngs
Start Time	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru Rigl	ht l	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Hour	West	East	South	North
 6:30 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	161	0	0	0	0
6:45 AM	0	0	0	3	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	406	1	1	1	0
7:00 AM	0	0	0	14	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	645	0	4	0	0
7:15 AM	0	0	14	55	0	0	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	113	844	0	44	11	2
7:30 AM	0	0	21	119	0	0	108	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	248	869	0	101	27	9
7:45 AM	0	0	36	81	0	0	135	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	252		0	80	41	9
8:00 AM	0	0	33	55	0	0	143	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	231		0	20	26	9
8:15 AM	0	0	9	6	0	0	123	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	138		0	4	4	6
Count Total	0	0	113	333	0	0	584	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	C) (1,030		1	254	110	35
Peak Hour	0	0	99	261	0	0	509	0	0	0	() (0 0		0	0	0 86	9	0	205	98	33




Location: 5 LOUISIANA BLVD & HOPE CHRISTIAN HS DWY AM Date: Tuesday, April 2, 2024 Peak Hour: 07:15 AM - 08:15 AM Peak 15-Minutes: 07:30 AM - 07:45 AM


Peak Hour - Motorized Vehicles





Peak Hour - Bicycles






Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

	HOPE C	HRIST	IAN H	S DWY	HOPE C	HRIST	IAN HS D	WY	LC	UISIAN	IA BLV	D	LC	UISIA	NA BLV	D						
Interval		Eastb	ound			Westb	ound			Northb	ound			South	bound			Rolling	Ped	lestriar	n Crossir	ngs
Start Time	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru R	ight	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Hour	West	East	South I	North
6:30 AM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	20	7	0	9	9	0	48	299	0	0	0	0
6:45 AM	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	21	2	0	11	20	0	58	490	0	0	0	0
7:00 AM	0	7	0	1	0	2	0	2	0	0	41	2	0	2	20	0	77	655	0	1	0	0
7:15 AM	0	33	0	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	35	3	0	5	27	0	116	740	0	0	0	0
7:30 AM	0	61	0	36	0	2	0	4	0	0	75	11	0	4	46	0	239	727	1	0	0	0
7:45 AM	0	37	0	19	0	0	0	7	0	0	81	1	1	9	68	0	223		1	0	0	0
8:00 AM	0	6	0	11	0	0	0	4	0	0	79	4	1	5	52	0	162		0	0	0	0
8:15 AM	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	50	0	1	3	46	0	103		0	0	0	0
Count Total	0	145	0	80	0	7	0	22	0	1	402	30	3	48	288	C	1,026		2	1	0	0
Peak Hour	0	137	0	78	0	2	0	16	0	0	270	19	2	23	3 193	3	0 74	0	2	0	0	0




Location: 1 LOUISIANA BLVD & PASEO DEL NORTE BLVD PM Date: Tuesday, April 2, 2024 Peak Hour: 04:45 PM - 05:45 PM Peak 15-Minutes: 04:45 PM - 05:00 PM


Peak Hour - Motorized Vehicles



Peak Hour - Bicycles

Peak Hour - Pedestrians






Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.


		PASEO	DEL	VORTE	BLVD	PASEO	DEL N	ORTE B	LVD	LO	UISIAN	A BLVD		LO	UISIAN	IA BLV	D						
	Interval		Eastb	ound			Westb	ound			Northbo	ound			Southb	ound			Rolling	Ped	estrian	Crossir	igs
	Start Time	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru F	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru I	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Hour	West	East	South I	North
	2:30 PM	1	37	297	33	0	30	311	48	0	25	40	33	0	57	38	52	1,002	4,462	0	0	0	0
	2:45 PM	4	45	373	48	1	21	358	32	0	25	37	28	0	46	49	56	1,123	4,641	0	1	0	0
	3:00 PM	0	49	399	49	1	37	381	33	0	32	33	23	0	50	39	40	1,166	4,661	0	1	0	0
	3:15 PM	0	38	341	40	1	36	359	38	0	54	46	45	2	67	58	46	1,171	4,685	0	2	0	1
	3:30 PM	3	55	409	27	1	14	377	36	0	54	48	46	0	45	31	35	1,181	4,681	0	0	0	0
	3:45 PM	3	41	352	19	1	20	395	38	0	40	61	34	2	53	36	48	1,143	4,618	0	0	0	0
	4:00 PM	1	57	417	24	0	18	395	34	0	32	45	45	0	47	38	37	1,190	4,743	0	2	1	2
	4:15 PM	1	39	412	22	0	18	406	45	0	22	36	32	0	48	47	39	1,167	4,769	1	0	1	0
	4:30 PM	1	42	411	25	0	20	333	36	0	16	49	23	0	74	48	40	1,118	4,866	0	2	0	0
	4:45 PM	0	42	477	17	0	18	424	61	0	14	29	30	0	58	45	53	1,268	4,916	0	1	0	0
	5:00 PM	2	36	473	14	1	15	373	33	0	9	57	30	0	73	57	43	1,216	4,740	0	0	0	0
	5:15 PM	2	47	530	21	0	17	372	36	0	9	47	27	0	66	57	33	1,264	4,508	0	0	0	0
	5:30 PM	3	31	422	20	2	18	395	27	0	9	42	31	1	66	47	54	1,168	4,179	0	0	0	0
	5:45 PM	1	27	376	15	1	28	381	32	0	14	44	27	0	66	40	40	1,092		1	0	0	1
	6:00 PM	0	28	314	7	1	13	384	44	0	19	32	27	0	46	28	41	984		0	0	0	1
	6:15 PM	1	39	307	13	0	12	317	32	0	13	28	18	0	68	46	41	935		0	0	0	0
	Count Total	23	653	6,310	394	10	335	5,961	605	0	387	674	499	5	930	704	698	18,188		2	9	2	5
_	Peak Hour	7	156	1,902	72	3	68	1,564	157	0	41	175	118	1	263	206	5 183	3 4,9 ⁻	16	0	1	0	0



Location: 2 SAN PEDRO DR & PALOMAS AVE PM Date: Tuesday, April 2, 2024 Peak Hour: 02:45 PM - 03:45 PM Peak 15-Minutes: 03:15 PM - 03:30 PM


Peak Hour - Motorized Vehicles





Peak Hour - Bicycles

Peak Hour - Pedestrians




Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.


		P	ALOMA	AS AVE		PA	LOMA	S AVE		SA	N PED	RO DR		S	AN PE	DRO DI	R						
	Interval		Eastb	ound			Westb	ound			Northb	ound			South	bound			Rolling	Pec	lestriar	n Crossir	ngs
	Start Time	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru F	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Hour	West	East	South	North
	2:30 PM	0	58	5	48	0	5	2	20	0	19	55	10	1	32	58	44	357	1,762	0	0	0	0
	2:45 PM	0	54	3	33	0	6	6	80	0	18	49	21	0	58	58	49	435	1,873	0	0	0	0
	3:00 PM	0	42	6	46	0	18	6	110	0	16	55	19	0	54	53	50	475	1,814	0	0	0	1
	3:15 PM	0	55	5	35	0	22	6	91	0	24	66	18	0	53	66	54	495	1,706	0	0	0	1
	3:30 PM	0	51	6	41	0	10	4	100	0	16	67	21	1	33	79	39	468	1,584	0	0	0	0
	3:45 PM	0	49	2	46	0	8	7	53	0	16	56	11	0	31	55	42	376	1,490	0	0	0	0
	4:00 PM	0	52	4	27	0	7	3	34	0	21	53	7	0	26	81	52	367	1,451	0	0	0	0
	4:15 PM	0	61	8	39	0	8	5	34	0	27	63	4	0	17	56	51	373	1,403	0	0	0	0
	4:30 PM	0	58	3	49	0	5	4	35	0	19	72	10	1	15	69	34	374	1,358	2	0	1	0
	4:45 PM	0	68	4	39	0	3	5	15	0	15	56	4	0	14	67	47	337	1,300	1	0	0	0
	5:00 PM	0	46	8	36	0	4	0	15	0	16	61	3	2	12	76	40	319	1,297	0	0	0	2
	5:15 PM	0	47	4	37	0	1	1	17	0	27	54	3	0	20	71	46	328	1,288	0	0	0	0
	5:30 PM	0	53	1	43	0	2	2	14	0	20	45	7	0	23	57	49	316	1,211	0	0	0	1
	5:45 PM	0	46	3	33	0	7	1	25	0	16	60	6	1	25	60	51	334		1	0	1	0
	6:00 PM	0	55	4	41	0	3	3	22	0	20	51	4	0	17	45	45	310		1	0	0	0
	6:15 PM	0	47	2	30	0	2	2	7	0	15	32	3	0	14	50	47	251		0	0	0	0
(Count Total	0	842	68	623	0	111	57	672	0	305	895	151	6	444	1,001	740	5,915		5	0	2	5
	Peak Hour	0	202	20	155	0	56	22	381	0	74	237	79	1	198	3 256	6 192	2 1,87	'3	0	0	0	2




Location: 3 LOUISIANA BLVD & PALOMAS AVE PM Date: Tuesday, April 2, 2024 Peak Hour: 03:00 PM - 04:00 PM Peak 15-Minutes: 03:15 PM - 03:30 PM

Peak Hour - Motorized Vehicles





Peak Hour - Pedestrians




Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.


	P	ALOMA	AS AVE		PA	LOMA	S AVE		LO	UISIAN	A BLVE)	LO	UISIA	NA BLV	D						
Interval		Eastbo	ound			Westb	ound			Northb	ound			South	bound		F	Rolling	Ped	lestriar	Crossir	igs
Start Time	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru R	light	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Hour	West	East	South I	North
2:30 PM	0	6	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	8	90	0	0	0	65	38	212	981	0	0	0	0
2:45 PM	0	12	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	14	78	0	1	0	57	59	226	1,021	0	1	0	0
3:00 PM	0	14	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	20	73	0	0	0	64	59	236	1,041	0	0	0	1
3:15 PM	0	16	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	17	129	0	0	0	66	70	307	1,028	0	2	0	0
3:30 PM	0	37	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	15	113	0	1	0	54	17	252	921	0	1	0	0
3:45 PM	2	35	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	11	102	0	0	0	54	21	246	861	0	2	0	0
4:00 PM	1	29	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	6	88	0	0	0	63	18	223	787	1	0	0	0
4:15 PM	0	19	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	6	75	0	0	0	70	16	200	752	0	3	0	0
4:30 PM	0	8	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	5	73	0	3	0	85	5	192	742	0	3	0	0
4:45 PM	0	7	0	6	0	0	0	0	1	5	72	0	0	0	77	4	172	738	0	2	0	0
5:00 PM	1	9	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	81	0	0	0	80	6	188	750	0	0	0	0
5:15 PM	0	13	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	75	0	0	0	85	10	190	710	0	0	0	0
5:30 PM	1	10	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	14	69	0	0	0	73	12	188	661	0	0	0	0
5:45 PM	0	17	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	9	66	0	0	0	63	20	184		0	1	0	0
6:00 PM	0	15	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	4	67	0	0	0	43	5	148		1	2	0	0
6:15 PM	0	6	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	4	53	0	0	0	62	8	141		0	2	0	0
 Count Total	5	253	0	163	0	0	0	0	1	145	1,304	0	5	0	1,061	368	3,305		2	19	0	1
 Peak Hour	2	102	0	51	0	0	0	0	0	63	417	0	1	() 238	3 167	1,04	1	0	5	0	1





Location: 4 HOPE CHRISTIAN HS DWY & PALOMAS AVE PM Date: Tuesday, April 2, 2024 Peak Hour: 02:45 PM - 03:45 PM Peak 15-Minutes: 03:15 PM - 03:30 PM

Peak Hour - Motorized Vehicles










Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

	P	ALOM/	AS AVE	-	PA	LOMA	S AVE		HOPE C	HRIST	IAN HS	5 DWY	HOPE (CHRIS	TIAN H	S DWY						
Interval		Eastb	ound			Westb	ound			Northb	ound			South	bound			Rolling	Pec	lestriar	n Crossi	ngs
 Start Time	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru Ri	ght	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Hour	West	East	South	North
2:30 PM	0	0	11	5	0	0	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	64	463	0	15	29	0
2:45 PM	0	0	18	18	0	0	69	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	105	557	0	4	10	1
3:00 PM	0	0	19	33	0	0	78	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	130	549	0	43	48	25
3:15 PM	0	0	26	46	0	0	92	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	164	552	. 0	92	51	81
3:30 PM	0	0	58	37	0	0	63	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	158	444	. 0	55	90	10
3:45 PM	0	0	51	2	0	0	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	97	321	0	0	9	2
4:00 PM	0	0	49	4	0	0	80	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	133	247	0	2	2	1
4:15 PM	1	0	32	1	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	56	150	0	5	2	0
4:30 PM	0	0	21	1	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35	122	0	0	2	0
4:45 PM	0	0	13	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	138	0	0	0	0
5:00 PM	0	0	19	6	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	179	0	1	7	0
5:15 PM	0	0	15	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	182	0	0	0	0
5:30 PM	0	0	20	4	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	51	181	0	1	3	0
5:45 PM	0	0	27	2	0	0	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	64		0	0	0	7
6:00 PM	0	0	28	2	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39		0	0	0	0
6:15 PM	0	0	14	1	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27		0	0	4	0
 Count Total	1	0	421	162	0	0	626	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	C	1,210		0	218	257	127
Peak Hour	0	0	121	134	0	0	302	0	0	0	0	0	0	()	0	0 55	57	0	194	199	117





Location: 5 LOUISIANA BLVD & HOPE CHRISTIAN HS DWY PM Date: Tuesday, April 2, 2024 Peak Hour: 03:15 PM - 04:15 PM Peak 15-Minutes: 03:15 PM - 03:30 PM

Peak Hour - Motorized Vehicles



Peak Hour - Bicycles





Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

		HOPE C	HRIST	IAN H	S DWY	HOPE C	HRISTI	AN HS [DWY	LO	UISIAN	A BLVI	D	LC	UISIA	NA BLV	'D						
	Interval		Eastb	ound			Westb	ound			Northb	ound			South	bound		I	Rolling	Ped	lestrian	Crossir	ıgs
	Start Time	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru F	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Hour	West	East	South I	North
	2:30 PM	0	13	0	1	0	8	0	27	0	0	61	2	0	8	65	0	185	760	0	0	0	0
	2:45 PM	0	5	0	0	0	2	0	15	0	1	71	2	0	10	50	2	158	818	2	0	0	0
	3:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	15	0	1	76	2	0	5	63	2	169	850	1	0	0	0
	3:15 PM	0	70	0	26	0	3	0	10	0	0	69	0	1	2	67	0	248	869	9	1	0	1
	3:30 PM	0	49	0	39	0	1	0	8	0	0	71	1	1	6	67	0	243	794	0	0	0	0
	3:45 PM	0	12	0	11	0	5	0	12	0	0	84	2	0	2	62	0	190	727	0	2	0	0
	4:00 PM	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	12	0	0	80	1	0	0	91	0	188	703	1	0	0	0
	4:15 PM	0	2	0	0	0	3	0	8	0	0	74	1	0	0	85	0	173	683	0	0	0	0
	4:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4	0	0	76	0	0	2	92	0	176	689	0	2	0	0
	4:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	5	0	0	71	0	1	1	86	0	166	682	1	1	0	0
	5:00 PM	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	4	0	0	74	1	0	3	82	0	168	661	0	0	0	0
	5:15 PM	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	81	1	0	2	89	0	179	620	0	0	0	0
	5:30 PM	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	3	0	0	79	1	0	1	81	0	169	570	0	0	0	0
	5:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	72	1	1	4	66	0	145		0	0	0	0
	6:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	65	0	0	0	58	0	127		1	0	0	0
	6:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	61	1	0	2	61	0	129		0	1	0	0
(Count Total	0	158	0	81	0	38	0	132	0	2	1,165	16	4	48	1,165	4	2,813		15	7	0	1
	Peak Hour	0	133	0	77	0	10	0	42	0	0	304	4	2	1() 28	7	0 86	9	10	3	0	1

Appendix C

Intersection Level of Service Calculation Sheets

		HCS	Sigr	alize	a inte	ersect	ion R	esu	Its Sur	nmary	/				
General Inform	nation								Intersed	tion Inf	ormati	20		4 각 수 수	له لړ
	ation	1									1		- Ú	1111	
Agency					·	1/05/0			Duration		0.250				2 ×
Analyst		SRIRAMA LLC				e 4/25/2			Area Ty	be	Other	-			← <mark>4</mark>
Jurisdiction			<u> </u>	Time F		_	eak Per		PHF		0.89			W + E B	↓ ↓ ↓
Urban Street		Paseo Del Norte Bl	vd			r Existii			Analysis		1> 7:0	00			
Intersection		Louisiana Blvd		File Na	ame	Existi	ng AM -	Pase	o and Lo	uisiana.	kus			<u> 1 † † r</u>	
Project Descrip	tion	AM Peak Hour											ň	≠ ↑ † † †	<u>* (*</u>
Demand Inform	nation				EB			W	′B		NB			SB	
Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R	L		R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Demand (v), v				120	1348	3 214	200	16	81 129	116	111	74	129	153	111
Signal Informa					2	5			52					l	
Cycle, s	140.0	Reference Phase	2		F "				s	<u>17</u>			$\mathbf{\nabla}$		N
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End	Green	7.6	3.8	86.6	11	.0 11.0) 0.0	_		M Z	3	4
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow		0.0	5.0	3.0		0.0				5	4
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	1.0	0.0	1.5	1.() 1.5	0.0		5	6	7	8
Timer Results				EBL	-	EBT	WB	L	WBT	NB		NBT	SBI	-	SBT
Assigned Phase	e			5		2	1	_	6	7		4	3		8
Case Number				2.0		3.0	2.0		3.0	2.0		3.0	2.0		3.0
Phase Duration				11.6		93.1	15.4		96.9	15.0		16.5	15.0		16.5
Change Period				4.0		6.5	4.0		6.5	4.0		5.5	4.0		5.5
Max Allow Head		,		2.9		0.0	2.9		0.0	3.1		3.2	3.1		3.2
Queue Clearan		, = ,		7.4			10.9			12.2		8.2	13.0		10.0
Green Extensio		(ge),s		0.3		0.0	0.5		0.0	0.0		1.0	0.0		1.0
Phase Call Pro	bability			0.99)		1.00			0.99	<u>)</u>	1.00	1.00)	1.00
Max Out Proba	bility			0.00)		0.00)		1.00)	0.00	1.00)	0.00
Movement Gro	un Res	aults			EB			WE	3		NB			SB	
Approach Move	-			L	T	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	T	R
Assigned Move				5	2	12	1	6	16	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow F) veh/h		135	1515		225	188		130	125	67	145	172	85
		ow Rate (s), veh/h/l	n	1730	1698	1553	1730	169	_	1781	1781	1465	1781	1781	1465
Queue Service				5.4	22.6	7.2	8.9	29.2		10.2	4.7	6.2	11.0	6.5	8.0
Cycle Queue C		- ,		5.4	22.6	7.2	8.9	29.		10.2	4.7	6.2	11.0	6.5	8.0
Green Ratio (g		c fillic (g ;), 3		0.05	0.62	0.62	0.08	0.6	_	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08
Capacity (c), v	•			187	3152	961	281	329		140	280	115	140	280	115
Volume-to-Capa		tio (X)		0.720	0.481	0.192	0.799	0.57		0.931	0.446		1.036	0.614	0.742
	-	t/In (95 th percentile		107	318	109	178	386		279	0.440 97	3	335	136	141
	<u>, ,</u>	eh/In (95 th percenti		4.2	12.5	4.3	7.0	15.2		11.0	3.8	0.1	13.2	5.4	5.5
	(·)	RQ) (95 th percent	,	0.31	0.00	0.24	0.51	0.0		1.40	0.00	0.01	1.68	0.00	0.80
Uniform Delay		,, ,		65.2	14.5	11.6	63.2	14.0	_	64.1	61.6	62.3	64.5	62.4	63.1
Incremental De	· ,			1.9	0.5	0.4	2.0	0.7		54.9	0.4	1.8	85.8	02.4	3.5
Initial Queue De				0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (• •	•		67.1	15.0	12.0	65.2	14.		119.0	62.0	64.1	150.3	63.3	66.6
Level of Service				67.1 E	15.0 B	12.0 B	65.2 E	14. B		F	62.0 E	64.1 E	150.5	63.3 E	E
Approach Delay				 18.5		В	 19.5		B	г 85.		F	г 95.3		F
				18.5	,			J	D	00.					Г
Intersection De	iay, s/ve	en / LUS				3(0.0						С		
Multimodal Re	sults				EB			WE	3		NB			SB	
Pedestrian LOS		/ LOS		2.42		В	2.45	1	B	2.9	1	С	2.94	10	С
Bicycle LOS Sc				1.50		A	1.7		B	0.7	_	A	0.82	_	A
,00 00						-			-				5.02		

Copyright © 2024 University of Florida, All Rights Reserved.

HCS™ Streets Version 2024

		пса	s sigr	anze	a m	erse	CU		esui	s Sun	imary					_
General Inform	nation									Intersec	tion Inf	ormatic	20		al 77ada ↑	la la
	lation									Duration		0.250			7111	
Agency				Analyz		ta 4/05	- /0	004			-	Other				R.
Analyst		SRIRAMA LLC				te 4/25	_			Area Typ	e				N	
Jurisdiction				Time F				esk Hou		PHF	<u> </u>	0.89				¥
Urban Street		San Pedro Dr		Analys				ng (2024		Analysis		1> 7:(5
Intersection		Palomas Ave		File Na	ame	2 Ex	kisi	ting AM	- San	Pedro a	nd Palo	mas.xus	5	_	<u> </u>	
Project Descrip	tion	AM Peak Hour													414Y	
Demand Inform	nation				EE	3			WE	3		NB			SB	
Approach Move	ement			L	Т	F	2	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Demand (v), v				55	8	3	5	87	10	296	31	160	114	301	183	62
																1
Signal Informa	tion				Ţ		2	11		5						
Cycle, s	140.0	Reference Phase	2		2			1	,₩	è				P	-	-
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End	Green	35	21.	1	72.7	24.9	9 0.0	0.0		1	2	3	Y 4
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow		3.0		4.0	3.5	0.0	0.0					\rightarrow
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	0.5	0.5		1.0	2.0	0.0	0.0		5	6	7	8
									75			8				
Timer Results				EBI		EBT		WB	L	WBT	NB		NBT	SBI	-	SBT
Assigned Phase	е					4				8	5		2	1		6
Case Number						6.0				5.0	2.0		4.0	2.0		3.0
Phase Duration	i, s					30.4				30.4	7.0		77.7	31.9) ·	102.6
Change Period	, (Y+ R (c), S				5.5				5.5	3.5		5.0	3.5		5.0
Max Allow Head	dway(<i>N</i>	ИАН), s				3.3				3.3	3.1		0.0	3.1		0.0
Queue Clearan	ce Time	e (g s), s				8.1				24.6	4.7			28.1		
Green Extensio	n Time	(ge),s				1.0				0.3	0.0		0.0	0.3		0.0
Phase Call Pro	bability					1.00				1.00	0.74	1		1.00)	
Max Out Proba	bility					0.00				1.00	0.00)		0.51		
Movement Gro	un Res	aults			EB		٦		WB			NB			SB	
Approach Move	-			L	Т	R	۲	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Assigned Move				7	4	14		3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Adjusted Flow F) veh/h		62	43	+	٦	98	11	249	35	155	142	338	206	49
		ow Rate (<i>s</i>), veh/h/l	n	1380	1583	2	-	1344	1870		1781	1870	1578	1781	1781	1585
Queue Service				5.4	3.2		۲	9.3	0.7	22.6	2.7	6.1	6.6	26.1	2.6	1.4
Cycle Queue C		- ,		6.1	3.2	-	-	12.5	0.7	22.6	2.7	6.1	6.6	26.1	2.6	1.4
Green Ratio (g		c mile (<i>g c</i>), 3		0.18	0.18		۲	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.03	0.52	0.52	0.20	0.70	0.70
Capacity (c), v				290	281			259	332	270	45	971	819	362	2483	1105
Volume-to-Capa		tio (X)		0.213			-	0.377	0.034		0.777	0.160	0.173	0.935	0.083	0.045
	-	/In(95 th percentile)	86	58	-		145	15	417	62	125	114	519	44	21
	<u>, ,</u>	eh/In (95 th percentie		3.4	2.3	-	۲	5.7	0.6	16.4	2.5	4.9	4.5	20.4	1.7	0.8
		RQ) (95 th percent		0.58	0.00	_	-	1.16	0.00	3.34	0.50	0.00	0.00	2.21	0.00	0.0
Uniform Delay		,,	uic)	50.2	48.7	_	-	53.9	47.6	56.6	67.8	17.6	17.8	54.9	6.8	6.6
-	. ,				<u> </u>				<u> </u>			<u> </u>			<u> </u>	
Incremental De				0.1	0.1		-	0.3	0.0	31.7	10.2	0.4	0.5	25.9	0.1	0.1
Initial Queue De	- 1	· ·		0.0	0.0	_		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (50.3	48.8)	-	54.3	47.7	88.4	78.0	18.0	18.2	80.7	6.9	6.7
Level of Service	· /	/1.02		D				D	D	<u> </u>	E	B	В	F	A	A
Approach Delay	-			49.7		D	50	77.8		E	24.4	+	С	49.0		D
Intersection De	iay, s/ve	en / LUS 					50	0.0						D		
Multimodal Re	sulte				EB				WB			NB			SB	
Pedestrian LOS		/1.05		2.33		В	-	2.49		В	2.21		В	1.88	1	В
Bicycle LOS Sc				0.66		A	┥	1.08		A	0.76		A	0.98		A
210,010 200 00		-		0.00	-			1.00	-		0.10			0.00		

		ŀ	ICS 1	wo-	Way	Stop	-Cor	ntrol	Repo	ort						
General Information							Site	Inforr	natio	n						
Analyst	SRIRA	MA LLC					Inters	ection			Louisi	ana Blvc	l/Paloma	as Ave		
Agency/Co.							Jurisd	liction			Albuc	uerque				
Date Performed	4/24/	2024					East/\	Nest Stre	eet		Palon	as Ave				
Analysis Year	2024						North	/South S	Street		Louisi	ana Blvc	ł			
Time Analyzed	Existir	ng AM P	eak				Peak	Hour Fac	ctor		0.82					
Intersection Orientation	North	-South					Analy	sis Time	Period (hrs)	1.00					
Project Description HOPE Christian HS NIA																
Project Description HOPE Christian HS NIA Lanes																
				J 4 1 4 4 4 4 4		ጉ ተ 1 ተ ተ ጥ Street: Nor	th-South	14 + 74 + 1								
Vehicle Volumes and Adju	istme	nts														
Approach		Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		1	0	1		0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	0

Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		1	0	1		0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	0
Configuration		L		R						L	Т				Т	TR
Volume (veh/h)		42		57					0	161	248				186	377
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)		3		3					3	3						
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)		()													
Right Turn Channelized		N	lo													
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided											
Critical and Follow-up He	adwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)		7.5		6.9						4.1						
Critical Headway (sec)		6.86		6.96						4.16						
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		3.5		3.3						2.2						
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		3.53		3.33						2.23						
Delay, Queue Length, and	l Leve	l of Se	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		51		70						196						
Capacity, c (veh/h)		186		650						896						
v/c Ratio		0.28		0.11						0.22						
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		1.1		0.4						0.8						
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (ft)		28.2		10.2						20.5						
Control Delay (s/veh)		31.7		11.2						10.1	0.7					
Level of Service (LOS)		D		В						В	A					

19.9

С

Approach Delay (s/veh)

Approach LOS

4.4

А

		ŀ	ICS -	Гwo-	Way	Stop	-Cor	ntrol	Repo	ort						
General Information							Site	Inforr	natio	n						
Analyst	SRIRA	AMA LLC					Inters	ection			Louis	iana Blvo	d/Schoo	l Dwy		
Agency/Co.							Jurisc	liction				querque		,		
Date Performed	4/24/	/2024					East/	West Stre	eet			ol Dwy				
Analysis Year	2024						North	n/South S	Street			iana Blvo	b			
Time Analyzed	Existi	ng AM P	eak				Peak	Hour Fac	ctor		0.77					
Intersection Orientation		n-South					Analy	sis Time	Period ((hrs)	1.00					
Project Description	HOPE	E Christia	in HS NI	A												
Lanes																
				744744 7		↑ ↑ • Street: Nor	↑ Դ ۲ th-South	744747								
Vehicle Volumes and Adju	ustme	nts														
Approach		Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		1	0	1		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration		L		R							Т				Т	
Volume (veh/h)		137		78							286				216	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)		3		3												
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)			0													
Right Turn Channelized		Ν	lo													
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided											
Critical and Follow-up He	adwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)		7.1		6.2												
Critical Headway (sec)		6.43		6.23												
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		3.5		3.3												
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		3.53		3.33												
Delay, Queue Length, and	l Leve	l of S	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		178		101												
Capacity, c (veh/h)		431		756												
v/c Ratio		0.41		0.13												
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		2.1		0.5												
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (ft)		53.8		12.8												
Control Delay (s/veh)		19.2		10.5												
	-															<u> </u>

С

16.0 C В

Level of Service (LOS)

Approach LOS

Approach Delay (s/veh)

Intersection Information Duration. In Distant Part of the part of th			HCS	s Sigr	ialize	a int	ersect	ION R	esu	Its 51	um	mary	,				_
Agency Duration, h 0 280 Analysi SFRIGAMALC Analysis Data (425/2024) Area Type Other Unsanction Pase Del Norte BIVA Analysis Pate (425/2024) Analysis Pate (724)	Gonoral Inform	nation								Intors	enct	ion Inf	ormatic	20		4 ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	ել
Aralysit SRIRAMA LLC Analysis Mark Kers Type Other Other Other Jurisdiction Time Period FM Pesk Period PHF 0.93 Immediate Stating (2024) Analysis Period 157.00 Unitan Struet Passo Del Norte Blvd File Name 1 Existing (2024) Analysis Period 157.00 Immediate Stating (2024) Analysis Period 157.00 15		ation											V			7117	
Jurisdiction Time Period PM Peak Period PM Peak Period 1> 700 1> 700 Intersaction Louisiana Bivd File Name I Existing (2024) Analysis Period 1> 700 Project Description PM Peak Hour I Existing VID Peak I Existing					Analys		- <u>105/</u>	0004					_		1		<- [€] .
Urban Passo Da Norte Blvd Analysis Year Existing (2024) Analysis Period 15 7:00 Intersection Louisiana Bivd File Name 1 Existing PM - Paseo and Louisiana.xu Image: Constraint of the passo Da Norte Blvd File Name 1 Existing PM - Paseo and Louisiana.xu Demand (nformation PM Peak Hour L T R L T<	-		SRIKAMALLU		1						туре	e					
Intersection publiana Bivd File Name 1 Existing PM - Paseo and Louisiana.xus N N N Demand Information EB WB N </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>Deses Del Nexte Di</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-:- 1</td> <td>D a star al</td> <td></td> <td>20</td> <td></td> <td>"T^E</td> <td></td>			Deses Del Nexte Di								-:- 1	D a star al		20		"T ^E	
Project Description PM Peak Hour EB VB NB R L T R				va										00			<u>د</u>
Demand Information EB WB NB SB Approach Movement L T R L T					File Na	ame	1 Exis	sting PM	- Pa	seo an	d Lo	ouisiana	a.xus			<u> 1 </u>	
Approach Movement L T R	Project Descrip	tion	PM Peak Hour												n In	A Lets A.	č C
Demand (v), veh/h 198 1519 110 91 1526 146 180 200 170 216 163 166 Signal Information Cycle, s 140.0 Reference Paint End Offset, s 0 Reference Paint 0n Offset, s 0.0 Reference Paint End Viel/w 30.0 5.0 3.0 0.0 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.1 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 1.0 1.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 1.0	Demand Inform	nation				EB			W	'B			NB			SB	_
Expand information Cycle, s 140.0 Reference Phase 2 Offset, s 0 Reference Point End Uncoordinated Sale 1 0 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 1	Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R	L			R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Cycle, s 140.0 Reference Phase 2 - - - - </td <td>Demand (v), v</td> <td>eh/h</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>198</td> <td>1519</td> <td>9 110</td> <td>91</td> <td>15</td> <td>26 1</td> <td>46</td> <td>180</td> <td>200</td> <td>170</td> <td>216</td> <td>163</td> <td>166</td>	Demand (v), v	eh/h			198	1519	9 110	91	15	26 1	46	180	200	170	216	163	166
Cycle, s 140.0 Reference Phase 2 - - - - </td <td></td>																	
Offset, s 0 Reference Point End Wicound and Simult. Gap EW On No Green 5.7 0.7 73.7 16.3 1.7 17.9 1.7					_	La .			4	5 6	117	20				ιI	† 1
$ \begin{array}{ $						Γ.	R	3		s E			m K	1		3	4
Uncoordinated No Simult. Gap E/M On Yellow 3.0 5.0 3.0 0.0 4.0 1.0 1.5 1.0 0.0 1.5 1.0 <th1.0< <="" td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Green</td><td>5.7</td><td>0.7</td><td></td><td>16</td><td>.3 1</td><td>.7</td><td>17.9</td><td>)</td><td></td><td><u>-</u> <u>K</u></td><td></td><td></td></th1.0<>					Green	5.7	0.7		16	.3 1	.7	17.9)		<u>-</u> <u>K</u>		
Timer Results EBL EBT WBL WBT NBL NBT SBL SBT Case Number 2.0 3.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.														↗ `		י <u>ר</u>	4
Assigned Phase 5 2 1 6 7 4 3 8 Case Number 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 2.3 2.5 3.0 2.0 2.5 2.5 Change Period, (Y+R \circ), s 4.0 6.5 4.0 6.5 4.0 6.5 4.0 5.5 4.0 5.5 Max Allow Headway (MAH), s 2.9 0.0 2.9 0.0 3.1 3.2 3.1 3.2 Green Extension Time (g \circ), s 0.4 0.0 0.2 0.0 1.00 <th< td=""><td>Force Mode</td><td>Fixed</td><td>Simult. Gap N/S</td><td>On</td><td>Red</td><td>1.0</td><td>1.0</td><td>1.5</td><td>1.0</td><td>) 0</td><td>0.0</td><td>1.5</td><td></td><td>5</td><td>6</td><td>7</td><td>8</td></th<>	Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.0) 0	0.0	1.5		5	6	7	8
Assigned Phase 5 2 1 6 7 4 3 8 Case Number 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 2.3 2.5 3.0 2.0 2.5 2.5 Change Period, (Y+R \circ), s 4.0 6.5 4.0 6.5 4.0 6.5 4.0 5.5 4.0 5.5 Max Allow Headway (MAH), s 2.9 0.0 2.9 0.0 3.1 3.2 3.1 3.2 Green Extension Time (g \circ), s 0.4 0.0 0.2 0.0 1.00 <th< td=""><td>Timer Deculto</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>ГРІ</td><td></td><td>ГРТ</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td>NDI</td><td></td><td>NDT</td><td>CDI</td><td></td><td>CDT</td></th<>	Timer Deculto				ГРІ		ГРТ				-	NDI		NDT	CDI		CDT
Case Number 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 3.0 Phase Duration, s 11.4 84.9 9.7 80.2 20.3 22.4 22.0 25.2 Change Period, (Y+Rc), s 4.0 6.5 4.0 6.5 4.0 5.5 4.0 5.5 Max Allow Headway (MAH), s 2.9 0.0 2.9 0.0 3.1 3.2 3.1 3.2 Queue Clearance Time (g *), s 10.0 5.7 IE 16.2 16.5 19.2 13.7 Green Extension Time (g *), s 0.4 0.0 0.2 0.0 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 1.00																-	
Phase Duration, s14.484.99.780.220.323.422.025.2Change Period, (YHR c), s4.06.54.06.54.05.54.05.5Max Allow Headway (MAH), s2.90.02.90.03.13.23.13.2Queue Clearance Time (g e), s0.40.00.20.00.11.519.213.7Green Extension Time (g e), s0.40.00.20.00.11.50.01.5Phase Call Probability1.00 $$ 0.97 $$ 1.001.001.001.00Max Out Probability0.00 $$ 0.97 $$ 1.001.001.000.00MovementLTRLTRLTR818Approach MovementLTRLTRLTR818Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h202155061931557118184204159220166134Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), seh/h/ln17301698155117301698155117311781178117811781150311.7Green Ratio (g'c)0.070.560.560.040.530.530.120.130.130.140.14Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h25725.537.225.514.27.414.517.25.	-	3			· · · ·	\rightarrow			\rightarrow		_					_	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $																	
Max Allow Headway (<i>MAH</i>), s 2.9 0.0 2.9 0.0 3.1 3.2 3.1 3.2 Queue Clearance Time ($g \circ$), s 10.0 5.7 16.2 16.5 19.2 13.7 Green Extension Time ($g \circ$), s 0.4 0.0 0.2 0.0 0.1 1.5 0.0 1.5 Phase Call Probability 0.00 0.0 0.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 <th< td=""><td></td><td colspan="5"></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>											_						
Queue Clearance Time ($g \circ$), s 10.0 . 5.7 IB.2 18.2 19.2 13.7 Green Extension Time ($g \circ$), s 0.4 0.0 0.2 0.0 0.1 1.5 0.0 1.5 Phase Call Probability 1.00 0.00 0.00 1.01 1.01 1.01 1.01 1.01 1.01 1.01 1.01	-										-						
Green Extension Time ($g \circ$), s 0.4 0.0 0.2 0.0 0.1 1.5 0.0 1.5 Phase Call Probability 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Max Out Probability 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Movement Group Results E T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R R L T R R L T R R L T R </td <td></td> <td>- 1</td> <td>·</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.0</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>)</td> <td></td>		- 1	·				0.0			0.0	-		,)	
Phase Call Probability 1.00 1.01 1.01 1.01			1 = 7				0.0			0.0			-				
Max Out Probability 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 Movement Group Results Image: Constraint of the percentile of			(<i>g</i> e), s				0.0			0.0	-						
Movement Group Results L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R L T R A Movement S 2 12 1 6 16 7 4 14 3 8 18 Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h 202 1550 61 93 1557 118 184 204 145 17.2 5.9 11.7 Gueue Service Time (g s), s 8.0 27.0 2.5 3.7 29.2 5.5 14.2 7.4 14.5 17.2 5.9 11.7 Green Ratio (g/C) 0.07 0.56 0.56 0.04 0.53 0.53 0.12 0.41 0.826 0.962 0.322		-							_								
Approach MovementLTRLTRLTRLTRLTRLTRRLTRRLTRAssigned MovementAssigned Movement5212161674143818Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h202155061931557118184204159220166134Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/n1730169815511730169815511781 <td>Max Out Proba</td> <td>onity</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.00</td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td>0.00</td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1.00</td> <td>)</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>1.00</td> <td>)</td> <td>0.00</td>	Max Out Proba	onity			0.00	,		0.00	,			1.00)	0.00	1.00)	0.00
Assigned Movement 5 2 12 1 6 16 7 4 14 3 8 18 Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h 202 1550 61 93 1557 118 184 204 159 220 166 134 Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln 1730 1698 1551 1730 1698 1551 178	Movement Gro	oup Res	sults	_		EB	_		WE	3			NB			SB	
Adjusted Flow Rate (v), veh/h202155061931557118184204159220166134Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln17301698155117301698155117811	Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R	L	Т	R	:	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln173016981551173016981551178117811503178117811509Queue Service Time (g s), s8.027.02.53.729.25.514.27.414.517.25.911.7Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g c), s8.027.02.53.729.25.514.27.414.517.25.911.7Green Ratio (g/C)0.070.560.560.040.530.530.120.130.130.130.140.14Capacity (c), veh/h25728518681412680816207457193229501212Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)0.7860.5440.0710.6590.5810.1450.8870.4470.8260.9620.3320.630Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (95 th percentile)160384407441888322153243412121203Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (95 th percentile)63.719.514.166.22.617.061.056.459.560.754.256.7Intromental Delay (d 2), s/veh63.719.514.166.22.617.061.056.459.560.754.256.7Intromental Delay (d 3), s/veh65.720.314.368.22.617.489.056.762.9109.154.457.9	Assigned Move	ment			5	2	12	1	6	16	6	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Saturation Flow Rate (s), veh/h/ln173016981551173016981551178117811503178117811509Queue Service Time (g s), s8.027.02.53.729.25.514.27.414.517.25.911.7Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g c), s8.027.02.53.729.25.514.27.414.517.25.911.7Green Ratio (g/C)0.070.560.560.040.530.530.120.130.130.130.140.14Capacity (c), veh/h25728518681412680816207457193229501212Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X)0.7860.5440.0710.6590.5810.1450.8870.4470.8260.9620.3320.630Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (95 th percentile)160384407441888322153243412121203Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (95 th percentile)63.719.514.166.22.617.061.056.459.560.754.256.7Intromental Delay (d 2), s/veh63.719.514.166.22.617.061.056.459.560.754.256.7Intromental Delay (d 3), s/veh65.720.314.368.22.617.489.056.762.9109.154.457.9	Adjusted Flow F	Rate (v), veh/h		202	1550	61	93	155	7 11	8	184	204	159	220	166	134
Queue Service Time (g s), s 8.0 27.0 2.5 3.7 29.2 5.5 14.2 7.4 14.5 17.2 5.9 11.7 Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g c), s 8.0 27.0 2.5 3.7 29.2 5.5 14.2 7.4 14.5 17.2 5.9 11.7 Green Ratio (g/C) 0.07 0.56 0.56 0.04 0.53 0.53 0.12 0.13 0.13 0.14 0.14 0.14 Capacity (c), veh/h 257 285 868 141 2680 816 207 457 193 229 5.0 121 Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X) 0.786 0.544 0.07 0.558 0.145 0.887 0.447 0.826 0.962 0.322 0.630 Back of Queue (Q), th/ln (95 th percentile) 160 384 40 74 418 88 322 15.3 243 412 121 203 Back of Queue (Q), th/ln (95 th percentile) 6.3 15.1 1.6 2.9 16.4 3.5 12.7 6.0 9.6 16.2	Adjusted Satura	ation Flo	w Rate (<i>s</i>), veh/h/l	n	1730			1730	169	8 155	51	1781	1781	1503	1781	1781	1509
Cycle Queue Clearance Time (g c), s 8.0 27.0 2.5 3.7 29.2 5.5 14.2 7.4 14.5 17.2 5.9 11.7 Green Ratio (g/C) 0.07 0.56 0.56 0.04 0.53 0.53 0.12 0.13 0.13 0.14 0.14 0.14 Capacity (c), veh/h 257 2851 868 141 2680 816 207 457 193 229 5.01 212 Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X) 0.766 0.544 0.071 0.659 0.581 0.145 0.887 0.447 0.826 0.962 0.322 0.630 Back of Queue (Q), th/ln (95 th percentile) 160 384 40 74 418 88 322 153 243 412 121 203 Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (95 th percentile) 0.46 0.00 0.99 0.21 0.0 1.61 0.0 9.60 16.2 4.8 8.00 Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile) 0.46 0.00 0.09 0.21 0.00 0.0 0.00 0.00 0					8.0	27.0	2.5	3.7	29.3	2 5.	5	14.2	7.4	14.5	17.2	5.9	11.7
Green Ratio (g/C) 0.07 0.56 0.56 0.04 0.53 0.53 0.12 0.13 0.13 0.14 0.14 Capacity (c), veh/h 257 2851 868 141 2680 816 207 457 193 229 501 212 Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X) 0.786 0.544 0.071 0.659 0.581 0.145 0.887 0.447 0.826 0.962 0.332 0.630 Back of Queue (Q), th/ln (95 th percentile) 160 384 400 74 418 888 322 15.3 243 412 121 203 Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (95 th percentile) 6.3 15.1 1.6 2.9 16.4 3.5 12.7 6.0 9.6 16.2 4.8 800 Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile) 0.46 0.0 0.90 0.21 0.0 0.20 1.61 0.00 0.88 2.06 0.90 1.61 Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh 63.7 19.5 14.1 66.2 2.6 17.0 61.0 0.0 0.0			- ,								_						
Capacity (c), veh/h 257 2851 868 141 2680 816 207 457 193 229 501 212 Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X) 0.786 0.544 0.071 0.659 0.581 0.145 0.887 0.447 0.826 0.962 0.332 0.630 Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (95 th percentile) 160 384 40 74 418 88 322 153 243 412 121 203 Back of Queue (Q), th/ln (95 th percentile) 6.3 15.1 1.6 2.9 16.4 3.5 12.7 6.0 9.6 16.2 4.8 8.0 Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile) 0.46 0.00 0.09 0.21 0.00 0.20 1.61 0.00 0.88 2.06 0.00 1.16 Uniform Delay (d 1), s/veh 63.7 19.5 14.1 66.2 22.6 17.0 61.0 56.4 59.5 60.7 54.2 56.7 Incremental Delay (d 2), s/veh 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0<									<u> </u>		_						
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (X) 0.786 0.544 0.071 0.659 0.581 0.145 0.887 0.447 0.826 0.962 0.332 0.630 Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (95 th percentile) 160 384 40 74 418 88 322 153 243 412 121 203 Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (95 th percentile) 6.3 15.1 1.6 2.9 16.4 3.5 12.7 6.0 9.6 16.2 4.8 8.0 Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile) 0.46 0.00 0.09 0.21 0.00 0.20 1.61 0.00 0.88 2.06 0.0 1.16 Uniform Delay (d 1), s/veh 63.7 19.5 14.1 66.2 22.6 17.0 61.0 56.4 59.5 60.7 54.2 56.7 Incremental Delay (d 2), s/veh 2.00 0.7 0.2 2.0 0.9 0.4 28.0 0.3 3.4 48.5 0.1 1.2 Initial Queue Delay (d 3), s/veh 65.7 2.9 10.1 54.4 57.9 Level						<u> </u>				_							
Back of Queue (Q), ft/ln (95 th percentile)160384407441888322153243412121203Back of Queue (Q), veh/ln (95 th percentile)6.3 15.1 1.6 2.9 16.4 3.5 12.7 6.0 9.6 16.2 4.8 8.0 Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile) 0.46 0.0 0.09 0.21 0.00 0.20 1.61 0.00 0.88 2.06 0.0 1.16 Uniform Delay (d_1), s/veh 63.7 19.5 14.1 66.2 22.6 17.0 61.0 56.4 59.5 60.7 54.2 56.7 Incremental Delay (d_2), s/veh 2.0 0.7 0.2 2.0 0.9 0.4 28.0 0.3 3.4 48.5 0.1 1.2 Initial Queue Delay (d_3), s/veh 0.0			itio(X)			<u> </u>			<u> </u>		_						
Back of Queue (Q), veh/n (95 th percentile)6.315.11.62.916.43.512.76.09.616.24.88.0Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile)0.460.000.090.210.000.201.610.000.882.060.001.16Uniform Delay (d 1), s/veh63.719.514.166.222.617.061.056.459.560.754.256.7Incremental Delay (d 2), s/veh2.00.70.22.00.90.428.00.33.448.50.11.2Initial Queue Delay (d 3), s/veh0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0Control Delay (d), s/veh65.720.314.368.223.617.489.056.762.9109.154.457.9Level of Service (LOS)ECBECBFEFDEApproach Delay, s/veh / LOS25.1C25.5C69.3E78.5EMultimodal ResultsPedestrian LOS Score / LOS2.43B2.47B2.91C2.94C		-	· · /)			_				_						
Queue Storage Ratio (RQ) (95 th percentile)0.460.00.090.210.00.201.610.00.882.060.01.16Uniform Delay (d1), s/veh63.719.514.166.222.617.061.056.459.560.7 54.2 56.7Incremental Delay (d2), s/veh2.00.70.22.00.90.428.00.33.448.50.11.2Initial Queue Delay (d3), s/veh0.00.		. ,	, .			<u> </u>	_			_	_						
Uniform Delay (d 1), s/veh63.719.514.166.222.617.061.0 56.4 59.560.7 54.2 56.7Incremental Delay (d 2), s/veh2.0 0.7 0.2 2.0 0.9 0.4 28.0 0.3 3.4 48.5 0.1 1.2 Initial Queue Delay (d 3), s/veh 0.0		· ,		,		<u> </u>					_					-	
Incremental Delay (d 2), s/veh2.0 0.7 0.2 2.0 0.9 0.4 28.0 0.3 3.4 48.5 0.1 1.2 Initial Queue Delay (d 3), s/veh 0.0 <td></td> <td></td> <td>,, ,</td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			,, ,	,						_	_						
Initial Queue Delay (d 3), s/veh0.0						<u> </u>					_						
Control Delay (d), s/veh 65.7 20.3 14.3 68.2 23.6 17.4 89.0 56.7 62.9 109.1 54.4 57.9 Level of Service (LOS)ECBECBFEEFDEApproach Delay, s/veh / LOS 25.1 C 25.5 C 69.3 E 78.5 E 78.5 EIntersection Delay, s/veh / LOS 25.1 C 25.4 S 56.7 69.3 E 78.5 EMultimodal Results 22.4 B 2.47 B 2.91 C 2.94 C		2 1	,			<u> </u>				_	_						
Level of Service (LOS)ECBECBFEEFDEApproach Delay, s/veh / LOS 25.1 C 25.5 C 69.3 E 78.5 EIntersection Delay, s/veh / LOS 36.4 36.4 78.5 78.5 78.5 78.5 78.5 78.5 Multimodal Results 78.5 78.5 78.5 78.5 78.5 78.5 78.5 78.5 Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS 2.43 B 2.47 B 2.91 C 2.94 C				<u> </u>	_		<u> </u>		_					r			
Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS 25.1 C 25.5 C 69.3 E 78.5 E Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS 36.4								_	_								
Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS 36.4 D Multimodal Results EB WB NB SB Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS 2.43 B 2.47 B 2.91 C 2.94 C													78,5				
Multimodal Results EB WB NB SB Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS 2.43 B 2.47 B 2.91 C 2.94 C								-									
Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS 2.43 B 2.47 B 2.91 C 2.94 C																	
	Multimodal Re								WE	3			NB			SB	
Bicycle LOS Score / LOS 1.48 A 1.46 A 0.94 A 0.92 A	Pedestrian LOS						В	2.47	7	В		2.91		С	2.94		С
	Bicycle LOS Sc	ore / LC	DS		1.48	3	А	1.46	3	А		0.94		А	0.92	2	А

		пса	s sigi	Ialize	a mu	ersect		esun	is Sull	imary	,				
General Inforn	nation								Intersec	tion Inf	ormatio	n		┙┛┚╍╄╸┾╶	له لړ
Agency	lation								Duration		0.250			7117	
Analyst		SRIRAMA LLC		Analys	eie Da	te 4/25/2	2024		Area Typ		Other				۲. ۲.
Jurisdiction				Time F			eak Hou		PHF		0.85		- → +	w‡e	 ↓ ↓ ↓
Urban Street		San Pedro Dr		Analys			ng (2024		Analysis	Period	1> 7:0	10			
Intersection		Palomas Ave		File N				·	Pedro a						r and a second
Project Descrip	tion	PM Peak Hour			ame			i - San	Feulo a		mas.xu	5		्रो * च तक क	1× (*
Project Descrip	uon	FIVI FEAK HOUI													
Demand Inform	nation				EB	;		WE	3		NB			SB	
Approach Move	ement			L	T	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	T	R
Demand (v), v	eh/h			202	20	155	56	22	381	74	237	79	199	256	192
Oinn al Informa	4!														
Signal Informa				-		1216	1		£⊟		ļ		t a		
Cycle, s	140.0	Reference Phase	2		5		1	۳R.	E.			1	2	3	
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End	Green		7.3	70.0	36.		0.0					<u> </u>
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow		3.0	4.0	3.5	0.0	0.0	_ `	\ 4			V
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	0.5	0.5	1.0	2.0	0.0	0.0		5	6	7	8
Timer Results				EB	L	EBT	WB	L	WBT	NB	_	NBT	SBI	_	SBT
Assigned Phas	e					4			8	5		2	1		6
Case Number						6.0			5.0	2.0		4.0	2.0		3.0
Phase Duration	i, s					42.2			42.2	12.0)	75.0	22.8	3	85.8
		c), S				5.5			5.5	3.5		5.0	3.5		5.0
-	Change Period,(Y+R c), s //ax Allow Headway(MAH), s					3.4			3.4	3.1		0.0	3.1		0.0
Queue Clearan	2 1	·				25.4			35.7	8.8			20.3	3	
Green Extensio		, _ ,				1.9			1.0	0.1		0.0	0.0		0.0
Phase Call Pro						1.00			1.00	0.97			1.00)	
Max Out Proba						0.03			0.86	0.00)		1.00)	
Movement Gro		ulte			EB			WB			NB			SB	
Approach Move	-	ouito		L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Move				7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Adjusted Flow I), veh/h		238	194	_	66	26	378	87	179	170	234	301	169
		ow Rate (<i>s</i>), veh/h/l	n	1370	1569	_	1180	1870		1781	1870	1712	1781	1781	1585
Queue Service				22.0	14.6		7.0	1.4	33.7	6.8	7.4	7.7	18.3	5.5	7.1
Cycle Queue C				23.4	14.6		21.5	1.4	33.7	6.8	7.4	7.7	18.3	5.5	7.1
Green Ratio (g		, s = 1.1.1 (g =), s		0.26	0.26	_	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.06	0.50	0.50	0.14	0.58	0.58
Capacity (c), v	,			396	411	_	238	490	402	109	935	856	245	2054	914
Volume-to-Cap		tio (X)		0.600		_	0.277	0.053		0.802	0.191	0.198	0.954	0.147	0.185
	-	t/In (95 th percentile	e)	308	244		95	31	566	147	153	144	424	102	121
	. ,	eh/In (95 th percenti	,	12.1	9.6		3.7	1.2	22.3	5.8	6.0	5.7	16.7	4.0	4.8
	, ,	RQ) (95 th percent		2.06	0.00		0.76	0.00	4.53	1.17	0.00	0.00	1.81	0.00	0.81
Uniform Delay		,,	- /	47.4	43.5		52.6	38.6	50.5	64.9	19.3	19.4	59.9	13.7	14.0
Incremental De	. ,			1.3	0.3		0.2	0.0	26.6	5.1	0.5	0.5	44.3	0.2	0.4
Initial Queue De				0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (,		48.7	43.8		52.8	38.7	77.1	70.0	19.8	19.9	104.2	13.8	14.5
Level of Service				D	D		D	D	E	E	B	B	F	B	B
Approach Delay		46.5		D	71.6		E	29.9		C	44.0		D		
Intersection De		10.0			7.9		_	20.0			D		_		
				7							_				
Multimodal Re			EB			WB			NB			SB			
Pedestrian LOS		2.33	3	В	2.52	2	С	2.19)	В	1.91	1	В		
Bicycle LOS So	Sicycle LOS Score / LOS					А	1.26	6	А	0.85	5	А	1.07	7	А

		ŀ	ICS 1	Гwo-	Way	Stop	-Cor	ntrol	Repo	ort						
General Information	_	_	_	_	_	_	Site	Inforr	natio	n	_	_	_	_	_	_
Analyst	SRIRA	AMA LLC	:					section			Louis	iana Blvo	d/Paloma	as Ave		
Agency/Co.	1						Jurisc	diction			Albud	querque				
Date Performed	4/24/	2024					East/	West Stre	eet		Palon	nas Ave				
Analysis Year	2024						North	n/South S	Street		Louis	iana Blvo	ł			
Time Analyzed	Existi	ng PM P	eak				Peak	Hour Fac	ctor		0.85					
Intersection Orientation	North	n-South					Analy	vsis Time	Period (hrs)	1.00					
Project Description	НОРЕ	Christia	n HS NI	Ą												
Lanes																
	Approach Eastbound Westbound Northbound Southbound															
Vehicle Volumes and Adju	ustme	Major Street: North-South stments														
Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1	10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes	1	1	0	1		0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	0
Configuration		L		R						L	Т				Т	TR
Volume (veh/h)		104		51					0	63	417				238	167
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)	<u> </u>	3		3					3	3						
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)			0						<u> </u>							
Right Turn Channelized		N	10		· · · ·											
Median Type Storage	<u> </u>			Undi	vided											
Critical and Follow-up He	eadwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)		7.5		6.9						4.1						
Critical Headway (sec)		6.86		6.96						4.16						
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)		3.5		3.3						2.2						
Follow-Up Headway (sec)	<u> </u>	3.53		3.33						2.23						
Delay, Queue Length, and	d Leve	1	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		122		60						74						
Capacity, c (veh/h)		311		760						1075						
v/c Ratio		0.39		0.08						0.07						
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)	-	1.9		0.3						0.2						
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (ft)		48.6		7.7						5.1	0.1					
Control Delay (s/veh)		24.0		10.1						8.6	0.4					
Level of Service (LOS)	-	C 10	9.5	В						A 1	A .5					
Approach Delay (s/veh)	-															
Approach LOS	4	te Bocor	C			TM T\A/C					A		oporator			

		ŀ	ICS]	Гwo-	Way	Stop	-Cor	ntrol	Repo	ort						
General Information	_	_	_	_	_	_	Site	Infor	natio	n	_	_	_	_	_	_
Analyst	SRIRA	MA LLC						ection		-	Louis	iana Blvo	d/School	l Dwv		
Agency/Co.							<u> </u>	liction				querque	.,	,		
Date Performed	4/24/	2024					East/	West Str	eet			ol Dwy				
Analysis Year	2024							n/South				iana Blvo	ł			
Time Analyzed	Existi	ng PM P	eak				Peak	Hour Fa	ctor		0.88					
Intersection Orientation	North	n-South					Analy	sis Time	Period ((hrs)	1.00					
Project Description	HOPE	E Christia	n HS NI	A												
Lanes																
						↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ Street: No	th-South	4 1 7 4 4 7								
Vehicle Volumes and Adj	ustme				1											
Approach		1	ound	-			bound	-			bound	-			bound	-
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R	U	L	T	R
Priority	<u> </u>	10	11	12		7	8	9	10	1	2	3	40	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		1	0	1		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1 - T	0
Configuration	<u> </u>	L		R				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-	T	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		T	
Volume (veh/h)		133		77					<u> </u>		346	<u> </u>			297	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)	-	3		3					<u> </u>			<u> </u>			<u> </u>	
Proportion Time Blocked																
Percent Grade (%)	-)						<u> </u>							
Right Turn Channelized			lo													
Median Type Storage	<u> </u>			Unai	vided											
Critical and Follow-up Ho	eadwa	-														
Base Critical Headway (sec)	<u> </u>	7.1		6.2												
Critical Headway (sec)		6.43		6.23												
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)	<u> </u>	3.5		3.3												
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		3.53		3.33												
Delay, Queue Length, and	d Leve	l of Se	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)		151		88												
Capacity, c (veh/h)		388		702												
v/c Ratio		0.39		0.12												
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)		1.9		0.4												
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (ft)		48.6		10.2												
Control Delay (s/veh)		20.2		10.9												
Level of Service (LOS)		С		В												
Approach Delay (s/veh)		16	5.8													

Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2024 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.

Approach LOS

С

			o olgi	Ialize		er Sect		esui	is Sun	iiiiai y	,				
General Inform	nation								Intersec	tion Inf	ormatio	n	K	at state t	þa l <u>u</u>
Agency	ation								Duration		0.250			7111	
Analyst		SRIRAMA LLC		Apoly	nie Dat	e 4/25/2	2024		Area Typ		Other		1		 <!--</td-->
Jurisdiction				Time F			eak Peri	ind	PHF		0.89			W E	↓ ↓ ↓
Urban Street		Paseo Del Norte Bl	vd							Dariad	1> 7:0	20			
		1	vu		sis Yea			- 1	Analysis						
Intersection	4:	Louisiana Blvd		File Na	ame	1 Buil	dout Yea	ar plu	s Project	АМ - Ра	seo and	a Loui		<u> 1 † † ŕ</u>	1- 1
Project Descrip	tion	AM Peak Hour												el els. 1.	19
Demand Inform	nation				EB			W	В		NB			SB	
Approach Move	ement			L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Demand (v), v	eh/h			157	1379	250	234	16	51 129	81	76	45	129	177	89
				li.	ļ.								<u> </u>		ĺ.
Signal Informa		v	9 7		2		4 3	<u> </u>	5 216	. 21					
Cycle, s	140.0	Reference Phase	2		F "		−⊨ `	. 6			12 ×		\mathbf{r}	`	N N
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End	Green	94	3.6	86.0	8.8	3 2.2	10.0			M Z	3	4
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow		0.0	5.0	3.0		4.0				N	4
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	1.0	0.0	1.5	1.0	0.0	1.5		5	6	7	8
					_			1						_	
Timer Results				EBI	-	EBT	WB	L	WBT	NB	_	NBT	SBI		SBT
Assigned Phase	e			5		2	1	_	6	7	_	4	3		8
Case Number				2.0 13.4		3.0	2.0	_	3.0	2.0		3.0	2.0		3.0
Phase Duration						92.5	17.0		96.1	12.8		15.5	15.0		17.7
	hange Period, (Y+R c), s					6.5	4.0		6.5	4.0		5.5	4.0		5.5
Max Allow Head	2 1	·		2.9		0.0	2.9		0.0	3.1		3.2	3.1		3.2
Queue Clearan		, = ,		9.0			12.4			9.1		5.2	13.0		9.6
Green Extensio		(ge),s		0.3		0.0	0.5	_	0.0	0.0		0.9	0.0		0.8
Phase Call Pro				1.00			1.00			0.97		1.00	1.00		1.00
Max Out Proba	bility			0.00)		0.00)		1.00)	0.00	1.00)	0.00
Movement Gro	oup Res	ults			EB			WE	}		NB			SB	
Approach Move	-			L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Assigned Move	ment			5	2	12	1	6	16	7	4	14	3	8	18
Adjusted Flow I	Rate (v), veh/h		176	1549	225	263	185	5 111	91	85	35	145	199	61
		w Rate (<i>s</i>), veh/h/l	n	1730	1698	1553	1730	169		1781	1781	1455	1781	1781	1474
Queue Service				7.0	23.6	9.1	10.4	28.8		7.1	3.2	3.2	11.0	7.6	5.5
Cycle Queue C		- ,		7.0	23.6	9.1	10.4	28.8		7.1	3.2	3.2	11.0	7.6	5.5
Green Ratio (g		(3)		0.07	0.61	0.61	0.09	0.64		0.06	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.09
Capacity (c), v				231	3130	954	321	326	_	112	254	104	140	310	128
Volume-to-Cap		tio (X)		0.763			0.820	0.56		0.811	0.336	0.335	1.036	0.642	0.473
· · ·		t/In (95 th percentile	e)	140	332	139	204	383		174	66	55	335	158	95
	. ,	eh/In (95 th percenti		5.5	13.1	5.5	8.0	15.1	_	6.9	2.6	2.2	13.2	6.2	3.8
		RQ) (95 th percent		0.40	0.00	0.31	0.58	0.00		0.87	0.00	0.20	1.68	0.00	0.54
Uniform Delay		,,	,	64.2	15.0	12.2	62.4	14.2		64.8	61.8	61.8	64.5	61.8	60.9
Incremental De	, ,			2.0	0.6	0.6	2.0	0.7		19.9	0.3	0.7	85.8	0.8	1.0
Initial Queue De		,		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	_	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (66.2	15.5	12.7	64.4	15.0		84.7	62.1	62.5	150.3	62.6	61.9
Level of Service		E	13.3 B	B	E	B	A	64.7 F	62.1 E	62.5 E	F	62.0 E	E		
Approach Delay		19.8	<u> </u>	В	20.5		C	71.9		E	93.9		F		
Intersection Dela		19.0			20.0 3.7		U	71.8			93.8 C		1		
				20). [
Multimodal Re			EB			WE	3		NB			SB			
	Pedestrian LOS Score / LOS					В	2.45		B	2.91		С	2.94	1	С
Bicycle LOS Sc				2.42		В	1.71		B	0.66		A	0.82	_	A
,															

		псе	Sigi	Ialize	u mi	ersec		esui	เร อนก	iiiiai y	,				
General Informatio	on								Intersec	tion Inf	ormatio	on	K	┙┥┵╍╷	be l <u>e</u>
Agency									Duration		0.250			7117	
Analyst		RIRAMA LLC		Analys	sis Dat	te 4/25/	2024		Area Typ		Other		 		×.
Jurisdiction				Time F			esk Hou	ır	PHF		0.89			w‡e	 ↓ ↓
Urban Street	9	San Pedro Dr		Analys					Analysis	Period	1> 7:0	0			√ +
Intersection		Palomas Ave		File Na				- 1	s Project						
Project Description		M Peak Hour			ame			ai piu:	s Project.	Alvi - Sa	III Feur			ो । च न क फा	<u>۲</u>
Project Description	A														
Demand Information	on				EB			W	В		NB			SB	
Approach Movemer	nt			L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R
Demand (v), veh/h	า			55	8	35	87	1() 296	31	276	62	234	183	62
Oinn al Information	_									-					
Signal Information	10		•	-	5	- 244	14		E		ι		Ťπ.		
Cycle, s 140		Reference Phase	2		1		1	r ₩	E			1	2	3	-€ ₄
Offset, s 0		Reference Point	End	Green		15.6		24		0.0					<u> </u>
Uncoordinated No		Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow		3.0	4.0	3.5		0.0	_	\mathbf{Y}			V
Force Mode Fix		Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	0.5	0.5	1.0	2.0	0.0	0.0		5	6	7	8
Timer Results				EBI		EBT	WB	1	WBT	NB		NBT	SBI		SBT
Assigned Phase			_		-	4		-	8	5	_	2	1		6
Case Number						6.0			5.0	2.0		4.0	2.0		3.0
Phase Duration, s						30.4			30.4	7.0		83.5	26.2		102.6
Change Period, (Y-		<u> </u>	+	5.5		+	5.5	3.5		5.0	3.5		5.0		
Max Allow Headway				3.3		-	3.3	3.1		0.0	3.1		0.0		
Queue Clearance T		,			+	8.1		+	24.6	4.7		0.0	22.3		0.0
Green Extension Tir		- ,				1.0			0.3	0.0		0.0	0.4		0.0
Phase Call Probabil		5 - 7, -			+	1.00		+	1.00	0.74			1.00		
Max Out Probability	-					0.00			1.00	0.00			0.00		
Movement Group	Poeu	lte			EB			WB	•		NB			SB	
Approach Movemer		11.5		L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R
Assigned Movemen				7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16
Adjusted Flow Rate		veh/h		62	43	+ • •	98	11	249	35	188	180	263	206	49
Adjusted Saturation	· /·		n	1380	1583	2	1344	1870		1781	1870	1744	1781	1781	1585
Queue Service Time				5.4	3.2	/	9.3	0.7		2.7	6.9	7.1	20.3	2.6	1.4
Cycle Queue Cleara		,		6.1	3.2	+	12.5	0.7	22.6	2.7	6.9	7.1	20.3	2.6	1.4
Green Ratio (g/C)		(g •), •		0.18	0.18		0.18	0.18		0.03	0.56	0.56	0.16	0.70	0.70
Capacity (c), veh/h				290	281	_	259	332	_	45	1048	978	288	2483	1105
Volume-to-Capacity		o (X)		0.213		_	0.377	0.03		0.777	0.180	0.184	0.911	0.083	0.045
Back of Queue (Q)		· · /)	86	58		145	15	417	62	139	132	397	44	21
Back of Queue (Q)				3.4	2.3		5.7	0.6	16.4	2.5	5.5	5.3	15.6	1.7	0.8
Queue Storage Rat		· ·	,	0.58	0.00		1.16	0.00		0.50	0.00	0.00	1.69	0.00	0.14
Uniform Delay(d 1				50.2	48.7		53.9	47.6	56.6	67.8	15.0	15.1	57.7	6.8	6.6
Incremental Delay ((d 2),	, s/veh		0.1	0.1		0.3	0.0	31.7	10.2	0.4	0.4	15.8	0.1	0.1
Initial Queue Delay	Initial Queue Delay (d 3), s/veh						0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (d),		50.3	48.8		54.3	47.7	88.4	78.0	15.4	15.5	73.5	6.9	6.7		
Level of Service (LC		D	D		D	D	F	E	В	В	E	A	A		
Approach Delay, s/v		49.7	7	D	77.8	3	E	20.9	9	С	40.7	7	D		
Intersection Delay, s				4	5.2						D				
Multimodal Result		2.33	EB			WB			NB			SB			
	edestrian LOS Score / LOS					B	2.49		В	2.20		В	1.88		В
Disvala LOC Cases	icycle LOS Score / LOS						1.08	3	A	0.82	2	А	0.91		А

		ŀ	HCS -	[wo-]	Way	Stop	-Cor	ntrol	Керс	ort						
General Information							Site	Inforr	natio	n						
Analyst	SRIRA	AMA LLC					Inters	ection			Louis	ana Blv	d/Paloma	as Ave		
Agency/Co.							Jurisc	liction			Albuc	luerque				
Date Performed	4/24/	2024					East/	West Str	eet		Palon	nas Ave				
Analysis Year							North	n/South	Street		Louis	ana Blv	d			
Time Analyzed	Build	out + Pro	oject AN	1			Peak	Hour Fac	ctor		0.82					
Intersection Orientation	North	n-South					Analy	sis Time	Period (hrs)	1.00					
Project Description	HOPE	E Christia	n HS NI	A												
Lanes																
				لم لم		<u>ר</u> ור לי	ì	4								
Vehicle Volumes and Ad	ljustme	nts			۸. Major	う ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ r Street: Nor	1 1 1	F C				_				_
Vehicle Volumes and Ad Approach	ljustme		pound		ח ה Major	イ ヤ Y r Street: Nor	1 1 1	F C		North	bound			South	bound	
	ljustme		pound	R	ר ה Major	イ ヤ Y r Street: Nor	th-South	R	U	North	bound	R	U	South	bound	R
Approach		Eastb	-			オ キャイ r Street: Nor West	th-South		U 1U			R 3	U 4U		1	R
Approach Movement		Eastb L	Т	R		t treet: Nor Street: Nor West	th-South bound	R	-	L	Т			L	Т	
Approach Movement Priority		Eastb L 10	T 11	R 12		Y Y Y r Street: Nor Westl	th-South bound T 8	R 9	10	L 1	T 2	3	4U	L 4	Т 5	6 0
Approach Movement Priority Number of Lanes		Eastb L 10 1	T 11	R 12 1		Y Y Y r Street: Nor Westl	th-South bound T 8	R 9	10	L 1 1	T 2 2	3	4U	L 4	T 5 2	6 0 TR
Approach Movement Priority Number of Lanes Configuration		Eastb L 10 1 L	T 11	R 12 1 R		Y Y Y r Street: Nor Westl	th-South bound T 8	R 9	1U 0	L 1 1 L	T 2 2 T	3	4U	L 4	T 5 2 T	6 0 TR
Approach Movement Priority Number of Lanes Configuration Volume (veh/h)		Eastb L 10 1 L L 42	T 11	R 12 1 R 57		Y Y Y r Street: Nor Westl	th-South bound T 8	R 9	1U 0 0	L 1 1 L 161	T 2 2 T	3	4U	L 4	T 5 2 T	6 0 TR
Approach Movement Priority Number of Lanes Configuration Volume (veh/h) Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)		Eastb L 10 1 L 42 3	T 11	R 12 1 R 57		Y Y Y r Street: Nor Westl	th-South bound T 8	R 9	1U 0 0	L 1 1 L 161	T 2 2 T	3	4U	L 4	T 5 2 T	6 0 TR
Approach Movement Priority Number of Lanes Configuration Volume (veh/h) Percent Heavy Vehicles (%) Proportion Time Blocked		Eastb L 10 1 L 42 3	T 11 0	R 12 1 R 57		Y Y Y r Street: Nor Westl	th-South bound T 8	R 9	1U 0 0	L 1 1 L 161	T 2 2 T	3	4U	L 4	T 5 2 T	6 0 TR
Approach Movement Priority Number of Lanes Configuration Volume (veh/h) Percent Heavy Vehicles (%) Proportion Time Blocked Percent Grade (%)		Eastb L 10 1 L 42 3	T 11 0 	R 12 1 R 57 3		Y Y Y r Street: Nor Westl	th-South bound T 8	R 9	1U 0 0	L 1 1 L 161	T 2 2 T	3	4U	L 4	T 5 2 T	6 0 TR
Approach Movement Priority Number of Lanes Configuration Volume (veh/h) Percent Heavy Vehicles (%) Proportion Time Blocked Percent Grade (%) Right Turn Channelized Median Type Storage		Eastb 10 1 42 3 () () ()	T 11 0 	R 12 1 R 57 3		Y Y Y r Street: Nor Westl	th-South bound T 8	R 9	1U 0 0	L 1 1 L 161	T 2 2 T	3	4U	L 4	T 5 2 T	6 0 TR
Approach Movement Priority Number of Lanes Configuration Volume (veh/h) Percent Heavy Vehicles (%) Proportion Time Blocked Percent Grade (%) Right Turn Channelized Median Type Storage		Eastb 10 1 42 3 () () ()	T 11 0 	R 12 1 R 57 3		r Street: Nor Westl	th-South bound T 8	R 9	1U 0 0	L 1 1 L 161	T 2 2 T	3	4U	L 4	T 5 2 T	6 0 TR
Movement Priority Number of Lanes Configuration Volume (veh/h) Percent Heavy Vehicles (%) Proportion Time Blocked Percent Grade (%) Right Turn Channelized Median Type Storage Critical and Follow-up H		Eastb L 10 1 42 3 (0 N VS	T 11 0 	R 12 1 R 57 3		r Street: Nor Westl	th-South bound T 8	R 9	1U 0 0	L 1 1 161 3	T 2 2 T	3	4U	L 4	T 5 2 T	6

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

Follow-Up Headway (sec)

3.53

3.33

j,	 										
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)	51		70				196				
Capacity, c (veh/h)	165		595				810				
v/c Ratio	0.31		0.12				0.24				
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)	1.3		0.4				1.0				
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (ft)	33.3		10.2				25.6				
Control Delay (s/veh)	36.5		11.8				10.9	0.6			
Level of Service (LOS)	E		В				В	А			
Approach Delay (s/veh)	22	2.3					5	.9			
Approach LOS	C						1	4			

2.23

		ł	HCS 1	ſwo-'	Way	Stop	-Cor	ntrol	Repo	ort						
General Information	SRIRAMA LLC Intersection Louisiana Blvd/School Dwy Co. Jurisdiction Albuquerque iormed 4/24/2024 East/West Street School Dwy														_	
Analyst	SRIRA	AMA LLC									Louisi	iana Blvo	l/School	Dwy		
Agency/Co.			-										.,	,		
Date Performed	4/24/	2024							eet							
Analysis Year												-	1			
Time Analyzed	Builde	out + Pr	oject AN					Hour Fac			0.77					
Intersection Orientation		n-South	-				Analy	sis Time	Period (hrs)	1.00					
Project Description	НОРЕ	E Christia	an HS NI	4			<u> </u>									
Lanes																
	Approach Eastbound Westbound Northbound Southbound															
Vehicle Volumes and Adju	Major Street: North-South															
Approach	Eastbound Westbound Northbound Southbound															
Movement	U	Eastbound Westbound Northbound Southbound														
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes	1	0	0	1		1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0
Configuration				R		L		R		L		TR		L		TR
Volume (veh/h)	1			243		2		16		59	309	19		25	193	94
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)				3		3		3		3				3		
Proportion Time Blocked	1															
Percent Grade (%)	1		0			(0									
Right Turn Channelized	1	١	10			N	lo									
Median Type Storage				Undi	vided											
Critical and Follow-up He	adwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)	1			6.2		7.1		6.2		4.1				4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)				6.23		7.13		6.23		4.13				4.13		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)	1			3.3		3.5		3.3		2.2				2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)				3.33		3.53		3.33		2.23				2.23		
Delay, Queue Length, and	l Leve	l of S	ervice													
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)	1			316		3		21		77				32		
Capacity, c (veh/h)				726		97		636		1180				1128		
v/c Ratio	1			0.43		0.03		0.03		0.06				0.03		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)				2.3		0.1		0.1		0.2				0.1		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (ft)				58.9		2.6		2.6		5.1				2.6		
Control Delay (s/veh)				13.8		43.2		10.8		8.3	0.4	0.4		8.3	0.2	0.2
Level of Service (LOS)	1			В		E		В		A	A	A		A	A	A
Approach Delay (s/veh)	1	1	3.8				1 1.4				.6	I			.8	L
Approach LOS	1		В				B				4				4	
	1									-				-		

Copyright © 2024 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved. HCS[™] TWSC Version 2024 4 Buildout Year plus Project AM - Louisiana and School Dwy.xtw

General Information							Intersec	tion Inf		ad system ↓	la la				
Agency								Duration		0.250			7†† í	and the second se	
Analyst	SRIRAMA LLC		Apolyc	sis Date	4/25/2	0024		Area Typ	-	Other					
-	SRIRAWA LLC		Time F						0.98		\rightarrow	W TE			
Jurisdiction Urban Street	Paseo Del Norte Bl	vd				eak Period PHF out + Project Analysis			Dariad	1> 7:0	20			÷	
	Louisiana Blvd	vu		sis Year			· .	Project l				*			
Intersection	File Na	ame			12 0										
Project Description	PM Peak Hour													P 1	
Demand Information		EB			W	3		NB			SB				
Approach Movement			L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	
Demand (v), veh/h				1551	134	114	150	4 146	147	167	140	216	185	146	
								1					-		
-	Signal Information				1 2		Ľ '	s 215	121				ιI	* -	
Cycle, s 140.0		2		Γ	R	- 📑 -	7			17 4	1		3	4	
Offset, s 0	Reference Point	End	Green	6.8	1.1	75.0	13.	7 0.3	15.1	1		<u> </u>		1	
Uncoordinated No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow	-	3.0	5.0	3.0		4.0		~		\mathbf{N}	4	
Force Mode Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.5		5	6 7			
Timer Results			EBI		EBT	WB	1	WBT	NBI		NBT	SBL		SBT	
Assigned Phase	5	-	2	1		6	7	-	4	3	-	8			
Case Number					3.0			3.0	2.0		3.0	2.0		3.0	
Phase Duration, s)	86.6	2.0 10.8		81.5	17.7		20.6	22.0)	25.0	
Change Period, ($Y+Rc$), s				, 	6.5	4.0		6.5	4.0		5.5	4.0	/	5.5	
Max Allow Headway (<i>MAH</i>), s					0.0		2.9 0		3.1		3.2			3.2	
Queue Clearance Time	2.9 11.5		0.0		6.6		13.6		13.8		,	11.8			
Green Extension Time	0.5		0.0	0.2		0.0	0.1		1.4	19.2 0.0	-	1.4			
Phase Call Probability)	0.0	0.99		0.0	1.00		1.00	1.00)	1.00	
Max Out Probability			0.00			0.00		_	0.24			1.00		0.00	
Movement Group Res	sults			EB			WB	1 -		NB			SB		
Approach Movement				Т	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	T	R	
Assigned Movement			5	2	12	1	6	16	7	4	14	3	8	18	
Adjusted Flow Rate (v	,.		238	1583	86	116	1535		150	170	129	220	189	113	
Adjusted Saturation Fl		ln	1730	1698	1552	1730	1698	_	1781	1781	1492	1781	1781	1508	
Queue Service Time (- /		9.5	27.0	3.5	4.6	28.0		11.6	6.3	11.8	17.2	6.7	9.8	
Cycle Queue Clearance	æ Time (<i>g c</i>), s		9.5	27.0	3.5	4.6	28.0		11.6	6.3	11.8	17.2	6.7	9.8	
Green Ratio (g/C)			0.09	0.57	0.57	0.05	0.54	_	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.13	0.14	0.14	
Capacity (c), veh/h			294	2915	888	167	2728		174	385	161	229	495	210	
Volume-to-Capacity Ra	()		0.808	0.543	0.097	0.696	0.563		0.862	0.443	0.797	0.962	0.381	0.540	
Back of Queue (Q) , f			188	382	55	93	402	86	258	130	206	412	139	172	
Back of Queue (Q), v	· ·	,	7.4	15.0	2.2	3.7	15.8		10.2	5.1	8.1	16.2	5.5	6.8	
Queue Storage Ratio (,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	uie)	0.54	0.00	0.12	0.27	0.00		1.29	0.00	0.75	2.06	0.00	0.98	
Uniform Delay (<i>d</i> 1), s			62.9	18.6	13.6	65.6	21.6		62.2	58.5	60.9	60.7	54.8	56.1	
Incremental Delay (<i>d</i> ₂), s/veh			2.0 0.0	0.7 0.0	0.2	1.9 0.0	0.8 0.0	0.4	18.3	0.3	3.4	48.5 0.0	0.2	0.8	
	tial Queue Delay (d 3), s/veh				13.8	67.5	22.5	0.0	0.0 80.5	0.0 58.8	0.0 64.3	109.1	0.0 55.0	56.9	
Control Delay (d), s/veh			65.0 E	19.3 B	B	67.5 E	22.5 C	B	60.5 F	56.6 E	64.3 E	F	55.0 D	E	
Level of Service (LOS)			24.8		C	25.0	<u> </u>	C	67.6		E	г 78.2	<u> </u>	E	
Approach Delay, shich	Approach Delay, s/veh / LOS			,		25.0	,	0	07.0			70.2 D		<u> </u>	
	Intersection Delay, s/veh / LOS					.0						J			
	eh / LOS														
	eh / LOS			EB			WB			NB			SB		
Intersection Delay, s/ve			2.43		B	2.47	1	В	2.91		С	2.94	-	С	

		пса	s Sigi	Ialize	a mi	ersec		esun	s Sun	imary	,						
General Inform	nation		Intersection In								formation						
Agency	lation								Duration		0.250		7117				
Analyst		SRIRAMA LLC		Analys	eie Da	te 4/25/2	2024		Area Typ		Other		 		۲. ۲.		
Jurisdiction				Time F			eak Hou		PHF		0.85			w‡e	 ↓ ↓ ↓ 		
Urban Street		San Pedro Dr		Analys					Analysis	Period	1> 7:0	20			√ ← *		
Intersection		Palomas Ave		File N				-	Project						r and a second		
					ame			ar pius	FIOJECL	- 101 - 38	II Fear	5 anu	-	्रो * च तक क	1× (*		
Project Description PM Peak Hour																	
Demand Information					EB	}		WE	3		NB		SB				
Approach Move	ement			L	T	R	L	Т	R	L	Т	R	L	T	R		
Demand (v), veh/h			202	20	155	56	22	381	74	350	54	163	256	192			
								5									
Signal Informa		x x			1 2	, 2K	1		£⊒		ļ		t a				
Cycle, s	140.0	Reference Phase	2		5		1	۳R.	E.			1	2	3			
Offset, s	0	Reference Point	End	Green		4.9	72.4	36.		0.0					<u> </u>		
Uncoordinated	No	Simult. Gap E/W	On	Yellow		3.0	4.0	3.5	0.0	0.0	_	$\mathbf{Y} \mid \mathbf{A}$					
Force Mode	Fixed	Simult. Gap N/S	On	Red	0.5	0.5	1.0	2.0	0.0	0.0		5	6	8			
Timer Results				EB	L	EBT	WB	L	WBT	NB	_	NBT	SBI	SBT			
Assigned Phase						4			8	5		2	1		6		
Case Number						6.0			5.0	2.0		4.0	2.0		3.0		
Phase Duration, s						42.2			42.2	12.0		77.4			85.8		
Change Period, (Y+R c), s						5.5			5.5	3.5		5.0			5.0		
Max Allow Headway (<i>MAH</i>), s						3.4			3.4	3.1		0.0	3.1		0.0		
Queue Clearance Time (g_s), s						25.4		35.7		8.8			16.8				
Green Extension Time ($g \in$), s						1.9			1.0	0.1		0.0	0.1		0.0		
Phase Call Probability						1.00			1.00	0.97			1.00)			
Max Out Proba						0.03			0.86	0.00))			
Movement Gro		ulte			EB			WB			NB		SB				
Approach Move	-	ouito		L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R		
Assigned Move				7	4	14	3	8	18	5	2	12	1	6	16		
Adjusted Flow I) veh/h		238	194	_	66	26	378	87	229	223	192	301	169		
		ow Rate (<i>s</i>), veh/h/l	n	1370	1569	_	1180	1870		1781	1870	1798	1781	1781	1585		
Queue Service				22.0	14.6		7.0	1.4	33.7	6.8	9.4	9.6	14.8	5.5	7.1		
Cycle Queue C				23.4	14.6	_	21.5	1.4	33.7	6.8	9.4	9.6	14.8	5.5	7.1		
Green Ratio (g		, s = 1.1.1 (g =), s		0.26	0.26	_	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.06	0.52	0.52	0.12	0.58	0.58		
Capacity (c), v	,			396	411		238	490	402	109	967	929	215	2054	914		
Volume-to-Cap		tio(X)		0.600		_	0.277	0.053		0.802	0.237	0.240	0.891	0.147	0.185		
	-	t/In (95 th percentile	:)	308	244	_	95	31	566	147	194	186	330	102	121		
	. ,	eh/In (95 th percenti		12.1	9.6	_	3.7	1.2	22.3	5.8	7.6	7.5	13.0	4.0	4.8		
	, ,	RQ) (95 th percent	,	2.06	0.00		0.76	0.00	4.53	1.17	0.00	0.00	1.40	0.00	0.81		
Uniform Delay		,,	-,	47.4	43.5	_	52.6	38.6	50.5	64.9	18.6	18.6	60.6	13.7	14.0		
Incremental De	. ,			1.3	0.3		0.2	0.0	26.6	5.1	0.6	0.6	26.5	0.2	0.4		
Initial Queue De				0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Control Delay (· · ·		48.7	43.8	_	52.8	38.7	77.1	70.0	19.2	19.3	87.1	13.8	14.5		
	Level of Service (LOS)		D	D		D	D	E	E	B	B	F	B	B			
Approach Delay	. ,	/LOS		46.5		D	71.6		E	27.4					D		
Intersection De	-			10.0	-		3.7		_				35.2 D		_		
													_				
Multimodal Re	sults				EB			WB			NB			SB			
Pedestrian LOS	S Score	/LOS		2.33	3	В	2.52	2	С	2.19)	В	1.91	i	В		
Bicycle LOS So	ore / LC	DS		1.20)	А	1.26	3	А	0.93	3	А	1.03	3	А		

		ŀ	ICS 1	Гwo-'	Way	Stop	-Cor	ntrol	Repo	ort								
General Information	_	_	_	_	Site Information													
Analyst	SRIRA	MA LLC											d/Paloma	as Ave				
Agency/Co.						Jurisdiction						Louisiana Blvd/Palomas Ave Albuquerque						
Date Performed	4/24/	2024				East/West Street						nas Ave						
Analysis Year								/South S				iana Blvo	d					
Time Analyzed	Buildo	out + Pro	oject PM					Hour Fac			0.85							
Intersection Orientation		-South	<u> </u>						Period (hrs)	1.00							
Project Description	НОРЕ	Christia	n HS NI	4														
Lanes																		
						ጎጎጎ ጎጎ Street: Nor Street: Nor	111	4 4 4 4 4 4										
Vehicle Volumes and Adju	ustme	nts																
Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound		Southbound					
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R		
Priority	1	10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		
Number of Lanes	1	1	0	1		0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	0		
Configuration	1	L		R						L	Т				Т	TR		
Volume (veh/h)	1	104		51					0	63	322				288	167		
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)	1	3		3					3	3								
Proportion Time Blocked	1																	
Percent Grade (%)	1		0															
Right Turn Channelized	1	Ν	lo															
Median Type Storage	1			Undi	vided													
Critical and Follow-up He	eadwa	ys																
Base Critical Headway (sec)	1	7.5		6.9						4.1								
Critical Headway (sec)	1	6.86		6.96						4.16								
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)	1	3.5		3.3						2.2								
Follow-Up Headway (sec)		3.53		3.33						2.23								
Delay, Queue Length, and	d Leve	l of Se	ervice															
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)	1	122		60						74								
Capacity, c (veh/h)	İ	309		727						1022								
v/c Ratio	1	0.40		0.08						0.07								
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)	1	1.9		0.3						0.2								
95% Queue Length, Q₃₅ (ft)		48.6		7.7						5.1								
Control Delay (s/veh)	İ	24.3		10.4						8.8	0.4							
Level of Service (LOS)	1	С		В						Α	А							
Approach Delay (s/veh)	1	19	9.7							. 1	.8							
Approach LOS	1	(С								4							

Copyright © 2024 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved. HCS[™] TWSC Version 2024 3 Buildout Year plus Project PM - Louisiana and Palomas.xtw

			_							_			_	_		_	
		H	ICS 1	Гwo-'	Way	Stop	-Cor	ntrol	Repo	ort							
General Information		Site Information															
Analyst	SRIRA	MA LLC					Inters	ection			Louis	iana Blvo	l/School	Dwy			
Agency/Co.							Jurisc	liction			Albuquerque						
Date Performed	4/24/	2024					East/	West Str	eet		Schoo	ol Dwy					
Analysis Year								n/South	Street		Louis	iana Blvo	ł				
Time Analyzed	Build	out + Pro	oject PM				Peak	Hour Fac	ctor		0.77						
Intersection Orientation	North	n-South					Analy	sis Time	Period (hrs)	1.00						
Project Description	HOPE	HOPE Christian HS NIA															
Lanes																	
Vehicle Volumes and Adju	istme	ntc		J 4 4 4 4 4 4		ካ ት ፋ ቀጥ r Street: Nor		4 4 4 4 4 4									
Approach			ound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound		
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6	
Number of Lanes		0	0	1		1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	
Configuration				R		L		R		L		TR		L		TR	
Volume (veh/h)				238		10		42		30	342	4		12	287	49	
Percent Heavy Vehicles (%)				3		3		3		3				3			
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Percent Grade (%))				0										
Right Turn Channelized		N	lo			Ν	10										
									-							_	

Critical and Follow up Headw

Median Type | Storage

Critical and Follow-up He	adwa	ys														
Base Critical Headway (sec)				6.2		7.1		6.2		4.1				4.1		
Critical Headway (sec)				6.23		7.13		6.23		4.13				4.13		
Base Follow-Up Headway (sec)				3.3		3.5		3.3		2.2				2.2		
Follow-Up Headway (sec)				3.33		3.53		3.33		2.23				2.23		
Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service																
Flow Rate, v (veh/h)				309		13		55		39				16		
Capacity, c (veh/h)				644		91		610		1118				1106		
v/c Ratio				0.48		0.14		0.09		0.03				0.01		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (veh)				2.7		0.5		0.3		0.1				0.0		
95% Queue Length, Q ₉₅ (ft)				69.1		12.8		7.7		2.6				0.0		
Control Delay (s/veh)				15.7		51.0		11.5		8.3	0.2	0.2		8.3	0.1	0.1
Level of Service (LOS)				С		F		В		А	А	А		A	А	A
Approach Delay (s/veh)	15.7				19.1				0	.9		0.4				
Approach LOS	С				С					4		A				

Undivided

Copyright © 2024 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved. HCS[™] TWSC Version 2024 4 Buildout Year plus Project PM - Louisiana and School Dwy.xtw