DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Federal Emergency Management Agency

RIVERINE STRUCTURES FORM (FORM 3)

PAPERWORK BURDEN DISCLOSURE NOTICE

Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 3.5 hours per response. The burden estimate includes the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the needed data, and completing, reviewing, and submitting the form. You are not required to respond to this collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding the accuracy of the burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing this burden to: Information Collections Management, Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street, SW, Washington, DC 20472, Paperwork Reduction Project (1660-0016). Submission of the form is required to obtain or retain benefits under the National Flood Insurance Program. **Please do not send**

Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street, SW, Washington, DC 20472, Paperwork Reduction Project (1660-0016). Submission of the form is required to obtain or retain benefits under the National Flood Insurance Program. Please do not send your completed survey to the above address. **PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT** AUTHORITY: The National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, Public Law 90-448, as amended by the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, Public PRINCIPAL PURPOSE(S): This information is being collected for the purpose of determining an applicant's eligibility to request changes to National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM). ROUTINE USE(S): The information on this form may be disclosed as generally permitted under 5 U.S.C § 552a(b) of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended. This includes using this information as necessary and authorized by the routine uses published in DHS/FEMA/NFIP/LOMA-1 National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP); Letter of Map Amendment (LOMA) February 15, 2006, 71 FR 7990. DISCLOSURE: The disclosure of information on this form is voluntary; however, failure to provide the information requested may delay or prevent FEMA from processing a determination regarding a requested change to a (NFIP) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM). Flooding Source: **Note:** Fill out one form for each flooding source studied A. GENERAL Complete the appropriate section(s) for each Structure listed below: Channelization: complete Section B Bridge/Culvert: complete Section C Dam: complete Section D Levee/Floodwall: complete Section E Sediment Transport: complete Section F (if required) **Description Of Modeled Structure** 1. Name of Structure: Type (check one): Channelization Bridge/Culvert Levee/Floodwall Dam Location of Structure: Downstream Limit/Cross Section: Upstream Limit/Cross Section: 2. Name of Structure: Type (check one): Channelization Bridge/Culvert Levee/Floodwall Dam Location of Structure: Downstream Limit/Cross Section: Upstream Limit/Cross Section: 3. Name of Structure: Type (check one): Channelization Bridge/Culvert Levee/Floodwall ☐ Dam Location of Structure: Downstream Limit/Cross Section: Upstream Limit/Cross Section: NOTE: FOR MORE STRUCTURES, ATTACH ADDITIONAL PAGES AS NEEDED.

OMB Control Number: 1660-0016

Expiration: 1/31/2024

	B. CHANNELIZATION							
Flooding Source:								
Name of Structure:								
1.	Hydraulic Considerations							
	The channel was designated to carry (cfs) and/or the year flood							
	·							
	The design elevation in the channel is based on (check one): Subcritical flow Supercritical flow Energy grade line							
	If there is the potential for a hydraulic jump at the following locations, check all that apply and attach an explanation of how the hydraulic jump is controlled without affecting the stability of the channel.							
	☐ Inlet to channel ☐ Outlet to channel ☐ At Drop	Structures At Transitions						
	Other locations (specify):							
2.	Channel Design Plans							
	Attach the plans of the channelization certified by a registere	ed professional engineer, as described in the instructions.						
3.	Accessory Structures							
	The channelization includes (check one):							
		pp structures Superelevated sections Energy dissipater						
		asin/detention basin [Attach Section D (Dam/Basin)]						
	Other (Describe):							
4.	Sediment Transport Considerations							
	Are the hydraulics of the channel affected by sediment trans	sport? Yes No						
	If yes, then fill out Section F (Sediment Transport) of Form 3. If No, then attach your explanation for why sediment transport was not considered.							
		GE/CULVERT						
Floodin	g Source:							
Name o	of Structure:							
1.	This revision reflects (check one):							
	Bridge/Culvert not modeled in the FIS							
	Modified Bridge/Culvert previously modeled in the FIS							
	Revised analysis of Bridge/Culvert previously modeled in	n the FIS						
2.	Hydraulic model used to analyze the structure (e.g., HEC-2	with special bridge routine, WSPRO, HY8):						
	If different than hydraulic analysis for the flooding source, ju analyze the structures. Attach justification.	stify why the hydraulic analysis used for the flooding source could not						
3.	Attach plans of the structures certified by a registered professional engineer. The plan detail and information should include the following (check the information that has been provided):							
	Dimensions (height, width, span, radius, length)	Distance between Cross Sections						
	Shape (culverts only)	Erosion Protection						
	Material	Low Chord Elevations - Upstream and Downstream						
	Beveling and Rounding	Top of Road Elevations - Upstream and Downstream						
	Wink Wall Angle	Structure Invert Elevations - Upstream and Downstream						
	Skew Angle	Stream Invert Elevations - Upstream and Downstream Cross-Section Locations						
4.	Sediment Transport Considerations	CIOSS-SECTION LOCATIONS						
	Are the hydraulics of the channel affected by sediment trans	sport? Yes No						
	If yes, then fill out Section F (Sediment Transport) of Form 3. If No, then attach your explanation for why							
	sediment transport was not considered.							

	D. DAM/BASIN							
Flood	ing Source:							
Name	of Structure:							
1.	This request is for (check one): Existing Dam/Basin New Dam/Basin Modification of existing Dam/Basin							
2.	The Dam/Basin was designed by (check one):							
	Local Government Agency Name of the Agency or Organization:							
3.	The Dam was permitted as (check one): Federal Dam State Dam							
	Provide the permit or identification number (ID) for the dam and the appropriate permitting agency or organization							
	Permit or ID number Permitting Agency or Organization							
	a. Local Government Dam Private Dam							
	Provided related drawings, specification and supporting design information.							
4.	Does the project involve revised hydrology?							
	If Yes, complete the Riverine Hydrology & Hydraulics Form (Form 2).							
	Was the dam/basin designed using critical duration storm? (must account for the maximum volume of runoff)							
	Yes, provide supporting documentation with your completed Form 2.							
	No, provide a written explanation and justification for not using the critical duration storm.							
5.	Does the submittal include debris/sediment yield analysis? Yes No							
	If Yes, then fill out Section F (Sediment Transport). If No, then attach your explanation for why debris/sediment analysis was not considered?							
6.	Does the Base Flood Elevation behind the dam/basin or downstream of the dam/basin change?							
	If Yes, complete the Riverine Hydrology & Hydraulics Form (Form 2) and complete the table below.							
	Stillwater Elevation Behind the Dam/Basin							
	FREQUENCY (% annual chance) FIS REVISED							
	10-year (10%)							
	50-year (2%)							
	100-year (1%)							
	500-year (0.2%)							
	Normal Pool Elevation							
7.	Please attach a copy of the formal Operation and Maintenance Plan							
	E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL							
1.	System Elements							
	a. This Levee/Floodwall analysis is based on (check one): Upgrading of A newly Reanalysis of constructed levee/floodwall system A newly Reanalysis of constructed levee/floodwall system system System							
	b. Levee elements and locations are (check one):							
	Earthen embankment, dike, berm, etc Stationed to							
	Structured floodwall Stationed to							
	Other (describe): Stationed to							

Has the Has th	No which agency concertified draw lan of the leve profile of the levation (BFE losure location	Showall system been /? wings containing the ee embankment an levee/floodwall sys	eet piling Other (describ certified by a Federal agency to de following information (indicated d floodwall structures.	e): o provide protection fr	om the ba	oncrete masonry block se flood?		
Yes, by Attach 1. P 2. A E cl 3. A	No which agency concertified draw lan of the leve profile of the levation (BFE losure location	dwall system been /? wings containing the embankment an levee/floodwall sys	certified by a Federal agency to be following information (indicated displayment).	o provide protection fr		se flood?		
Yes, by Attach 1. P 2. A E cl 3. A	No which agency concertified draw lan of the leve profile of the levation (BFE losure location	/? wings containing the ee embankment an levee/floodwall sys	e following information (indicated)			se flood?		
Attach 1. P 2. A E cl 3. A E	n certified dra lan of the leve profile of the levation (BFE losure location	wings containing the ee embankment an levee/floodwall sys	d floodwall structures.	te drawing sheet numl				
1. P 2. A E cl 3. A E	lan of the leve profile of the levation (BFE losure location	ee embankment an levee/floodwall sys	d floodwall structures.	te drawing sheet numl				
1. P 2. A E cl 3. A E	lan of the leve profile of the levation (BFE losure location	ee embankment an levee/floodwall sys	d floodwall structures.	· ·	pers):			
cl 3. A E	levation (BFE losure location			Sheet Numbers	•			
		Elevation (BFE), levee and/or wall crest and founda closure locations for the total levee system.						
O.		i), levee and/or wal ns for the total leve	l crest and foundation, and e system.	Sheet Numbers				
4. A	layout detail	for the embankme	nt protection measures.	Sheet Numbers				
fe	eatures, found	lation treatment, Fl		Sheet Numbers				
reeboar	<u>rd</u>							
The m	ninimum freeb	oard provided abo	ve the BFE is:					
<u>Riverine</u>								
feet or	more at the	downstream end ar		Ye	es No			
feet or	more at the u	upstream end		Ye	es No			
feet wi	ithin 100 feet	upstream of all stru		Y6	es No			
<u>astal</u>								
lwater s) feet ab ease no	surge elevation ove the 1%-attention tender the 1%-attention tender to the surger of the surger tender tender the surger tender tender the surger tender ten	n or maximum way annual-chance stilly lly exceptions are r	re runup (whichever is greater) vater surge elevation nade to the minimum freeboard	d requirement. If an e	Ye Xception is	es No es No s		
If No is answered to any of the above, please attach an explanation. b. Is there an indication from historical records that ice-iamming can affect the BFE? Yes No								
		on from historical re	ecords that ice-jamming can aff	ect the BFE?	Ye	es 🔛 No		
losures	1							
Open	ings through t	he levee system (d	heck one):	sts Does not	exist			
pening	exists, list all	closures:						
annel S	Station	Left or Right Ba	nk Opening Type			Type of Closure Device		
				Opening in	VEIL			
						_		
			d reference)					
			urta data abtainad during fiold	and laboratory investi	actions on	ed wood in the decian		
	reeboar The n verine of feet or of feet or of feet we astal of foot allowater so of feet or of f	features, found structures, and reeboard The minimum freeboard The	features, foundation treatment, Flostructures, and pump stations. reeboard The minimum freeboard provided above treeter or more at the downstream end are feet or more at the upstream end of feet within 100 feet upstream of all structures astal. If foot above the height of the one percent water surge elevation or maximum waver feet above the 1%-annual-chance still verse note, occasionally exceptions are requested, attach documentation addressing loss answered to any of the above, please is there an indication from historical residusures. Openings through the levee system (compening exists, list all closures: annel Station Left or Right Bactable on an added sheet as needed and extended and geologic data on to the required detailed analysis report for the following system features should be a support of the features of the support of the suppo	features, foundation treatment, Floodwall structure, closure structures, and pump stations. reeboard The minimum freeboard provided above the BFE is:	features, foundation treatment, Floodwall structure, closure structures, and pump stations. The minimum freeboard provided above the BFE is: Verine If eet or more at the downstream end and throughout If eet or more at the upstream end If eet within 100 feet upstream of all structures and/or constrictions astal If oot above the height of the one percent wave associated with the 1%-annual-chance lawater surge elevation or maximum wave runup (whichever is greater). If eet above the 1%-annual-chance stillwater surge elevation Passe note, occasionally exceptions are made to the minimum freeboard requirement. If an equested, attach documentation addressing Paragraph 65.10(b)(1)(ii) of the NFIP Regulations Is there an indication from historical records that ice-jamming can affect the BFE? losures	features, foundation treatment, Floodwall structure, closure structures, and pump stations. reeboard The minimum freeboard provided above the BFE is: retrine If eet or more at the downstream end and throughout		

	E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL (CONTINUED)									
4.	<u> </u>									
	a.	The maximum levee slope land side is:								
	b.	The maximum levee slope flood side is:								
	C.	The range of velocities along the levee during the base flood is: (min) to (max)								
	d.	Embankment material is protected by (describe what kind):								
	e.		ign Parameters	(check one)	: Ue	locity	Tractive	Stress		
	Attach referrences									
				Пом		C		Stone Di	nran	
	Reac	:h	Sideslope	Flow Depth	Velocity	Curve or Straight	Stone Riprap D ₁₀₀ D ₅₀ Thickness			Depth of Toedown
Sta		to								
		to								
		to								
	•		heet as neede			l				
(=/::-						′ ☐ Yes ☐	□ No			
	g.	Describe th	e analysis used	i ioi otilei kii	ids of protecti	on usea (mola	de copies	or the desig	gii ailaiysis <i>)</i> .	
Attach	enginee	ring analysis	to support cons	struction plar	ıs.					
5.	<u>Embar</u>	kment and Fo	oundation Stab	lity						
	a.	Identify loca	ations and desc	ribe the basi	s for selection	of critical loca	ation for an	alysis:		
	a. Identify locations and describe the basis for selection of critical location for analysis:									
		Overs	all height: S	ТΔ·	, height	ft				
		<u> </u>	ng foundation s		, neignt					
			ng loundation s	on strength.						
	Strength ϕ = psf									
	Slope: SS =(h) to(v)									
		(Re	peat as needed	d on an adde	d sheet for ac	Iditional locatio	ons)			
	b.	Specify the	embankment s	tability analy	sis methodolo	gy used (e.g.,	circular ar	c, sliding b	lock, infinite slop	oe, etc.):
	C.	Summary o	of stability analy	sis results:						

E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL (CONTINUED)										
5. Embarkment and Foundation Stability (continued)										
Case		Critical Safety Factor								
I	End of construction					1.3				
II	Sudden drawdown					1.0				
III	Critical flood stage					1.4				
IV :	ge				1.4					
VI					1.0					
(Reference: USACE	VI Earthquake (Case I) 1.0 (Reference: USACE EM-1110-2-1913 Table 6-1)									
	d. Was a seepage analysis for the embankment performed?									
f. Were g. Were h. The d	f. Were uplift pressures at the embankment landside toe checked? Yes No g. Were seepage exit gradients checked for piping potential? Yes No									
6. <u>Floodwall and</u>	Foundation Stability									
a. Descr	ibe analysis submittal based	d on Code (check	one): UB	C (1988) 🔲 Ot	her (specify):					
b. Stabil	ity analysis submitted provic	les for:	Overturning []	Sliding If not	t, explain:					
c. Loadii	ng included in the analyses	were:	ateral earth @ P _A =	= psf;	P _p =	_ psf				
	Surcharge-Slope @	,	surface	psf						
	Wind @ P _w =	psf								
	Seepage (Uplift);	Earthqu	uake @ P _{eq} =	%g						
1%-annua	al-chance significant wave h	eight:	ft.							
1%-annua	al-chance significant wave p	eriod:	sec.							
	nary of Stability Analysis Re ize for each range in site lay			n limitation for each	n respective reach.					
	Criteria (Sta	То	Sta	То				
Loading Condition	Overturn	Sliding	Overturn	Sliding	Overturn	Sliding				
Dead & Wind	1.5	1.5								
Dead & Soil	1.5	1.5								
Dead, Soil, Flood, & Imp	pact 1.5	1.5								
Dead, Soil, & Seismic	1.3	1.3								
(Ref: FEMA 114 Sept 1986; USACE EM 1110-2-2502) Note: (Extend table on an added sheet as needed and reference)										

		E. LEVE	EE/FLOODWALL (CONTINUED)					
	e. Foundation bearing strength for each soil type:							
		Bearing Pressure	Sustained Load (psf)	Short Term Load (psf)				
Compu	ted desi	gn maximum						
Maximu	ım allow	rable						
	f.	Foundation scour protection is,	is not provided. If provided, attach exp	lanation and supporting documentation:				
		Attach engineering analysis to support co	onstruction plans.					
7.	Settler	<u>ment</u>						
	a.	Has anticipated potential settlement been construction elevations to maintain the es	determined and incorporated into the spe stablished freeboard margin?	cified				
	b.	The computed settlement range is	ft. to ft.					
	C.	Settlement of the levee crest is determine	ed to be primarily from :	consolidation				
		Embankment compression	Other (Describe):					
	d.	Differential settlement of floodwalls] has	in the structural design and construction				
		Attach engineering analysis to support of	construction plans.					
8.	<u>Interio</u>	r Drainage						
	a.	Specify size of each interior watershed:						
		Drainage to pressure conduit:	acres					
	Drainage to product derivative acres							
	b.	Relationship Established:						
		Ponding elevation vs. storage	Yes N	0				
		Ponding elevation vs. gravity flow	Yes N	0				
		Differential head vs. gravity flow	☐ Yes ☐ N	0				
	C.	The river flow duration curve is enclosed:	☐ Yes ☐ N	0				
	d.	Specify the discharge capacity of the hear	d pressure conduit: cfs	3				
	e.	Which flooding conditions were analyzed?	?					
		Gravity flow (Interior Watershed)	☐ Yes ☐ N	0				
		Common storm (River Watershed)	Yes N	0				
		Historical ponding probability	Yes N	0				
		Coastal wave overtopping	☐ Yes ☐ N	0				
		If No for any of the above, attach explai	nation.					
	f.		ed on joint probability of interior and exterion the established level of flood protection.	r flooding and the capacities				
	g.	The rate of seepage through the levee sy	stem for the base flood is :	cfs				
	h.	The length of levee system used to drive	this seepage rate in item g:	ft.				

			E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL (C	ONTINUED)		
8.	Interio	r Drainage (continued)				
	i.	Will pumping plants be used for	· ·	Yes	No	
		If Yes, include the number of p	pumping plants:	For each pumping	plant, list:	
			Plant #1			Plant #2
The nun	nber of p	oumps				
The por	nding sto	prage capacity				
The ma	ximum p	oumping rate				
The ma	ximum p	oumping head				
The pur	mping st	arting elevation				
The pur	mping st	opping elevation				
		e facility protected?				
		warning plan?				
How mu	uch time	is available between warning				
and floo		on be automatic?	Yes	No		
	•	e electric; are there backup power		No		
Include for all in	a copy nterior w	SACE EM-1110-2-3101, 3102, 31 of supporting documentation of datersheds that result in flooding.		ap showing the flo	oded area and	maximum ponding elevations
9.		Design Criteria The following items have been	addragged as stated.			
	a.	The following items have been Liquefaction is	is not a problem			
		Hydrocompaction is	is not a problem			
		Heave differential movement	<u> </u>	II 🗌 is 🗎 is	s not a problem	1
	b.	For each of these problems, sta	ate the basic facts and correct	ve action taken:		
		Attach supporting documentat	tion			
	C.	If the levee/floodwall is new or of the structure? Yes	enlarged, will the structure adv	ersely impact floo	d levels and/or	flow velocities floodside
	d.	Sediment Transport Considerate	tions:			
		Was sediment transport consid	dered?	Yes	No	
		If Yes, then fill out Section F (S	Sediment Transport). If No, th	en attach your exp	olanation for wh	ny sediment transport was
10.	<u>Opera</u>	tional Plan and Criteria				
	a.	Are the planned/installed works			-	Yes No
	b.	Does the operation plan incorporation plan incorporation Paragraph 65.10(c)(1) of the Ni		ure devices as rec	quired in	Yes No
	C.	Does the operation plan incorporate Paragraph 65.10(c)(2) of the Ni		rior drainage as re	quired in	Yes No
		If the answer is No to any of the	ne above, please attach suppo	rting documentation	on.	

E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL (CONTINUED) 11. Maintenance Plan Please attach a copy of the fomal maintenance plan for the levee/floodwall 12. Operational and Maintenance Plan Please attach a copy of the formal Operations and Maintenance Plan for the levee/floodwall. **CERTIFICATION OF THE LEVEE DOCUMENTATION** This certification is to be signed and sealed by a licensed registered professional engineer authorized by law to certify elevation information data, hydrologic and hydraulic analysis, and any other supporting information as per NFIP regulations paragraph 65.10(e) and as described in the MT-2 Forms Instructions. All documents submitted in support of this request are correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that any false statement may be punishable by fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1001. Certifier's Name: License No.: Expiration Date: Telephone No.: Fax No.: Company Name: Signature: ____ Date: ____ E-mail Address: ____ CERTIFICATION OF THE LEVEE DOCUMENTATION Name of Structure: If there is any indication from historical records that sediment transport (including scour and deposition) can affect the Base Flood Elevation (BFE); and/or based on the stream morphology, vegetative cover, development of the watershed and bank conditions, there is a potential for debris and sediment transport (including scour and deposition) to affect the BFEs, then provide the following information along with the supporting documentation: Sediment load associated with the base flood discharge: Volume acres-feet Volume acres-feet Debris load associated with the base flood discharge: Sediment transport rate (percent concentration by volume) Method used to estimate sediment transport: Most sediment transport formulas are intended for a range of hydraulic conditions and sediment sizes; attach a detailed explanation for using the selected method. Method used to estimate scour and/or deposition: Method used to revise hydraulic or hydrologic analysis (model) to account for sediment transport: Please note that bulked flows are used to evaluate the performance of a structure during the base flood; however, FEMA does not map BFEs based on bulked flows. If a sediment analysis has not been performed, an explanation as to why sediment transport (including scour and deposition) will not affect the BFEs or structures must be provided.