

Entitlement and Engineering Solutions, Inc

August 7, 2015

Rudy Rael, P.E. Senior Engineer Planning Department Development & Review Services Division 600 2nd St. NW, Suite 201 Albuquerque, NM 87102

Dear Mr. Rael:

This letter has been written to accompany the Grading and Drainage Area Plan for the proposed Advance Auto Parts (AAP) at the northwest corner of San Mateo Boulevard and Claremont Avenue in Albuquerque. This letter has been revised to reflect changes to the drainage plan made since our previous submittal on June 23, 2015. The proposed AAP site consists of lots 8, 9, 10 of Block 2 of the Bel-air Subdivision. The site is currently vacant. A replat will be completed by others to consolidate the lots into a single lot. This process will also provide the new address for the AAP.

No existing drainage studies are known for the proposed site and regional detention does not exist. Existing gradients on-site fall to the west away from San Mateo Boulevard and North away from Claremont Avenue with a low point at the northwest corner of Lot 8. No drainage infrastructure exists on the site. The Geotechnical Report indicates the soils are sand with varying amounts of clay, silt, and gravel and clay with varying amounts of silt, sand, and gravel. There are two existing shallow inlets on the northwest corner of San Mateo and Claremont; one located on each street (see enclosed plan). These inlets are part of a public storm sewer in Claremont Avenue that drains to the west.

The proposed site will tie into the gutter in Claremont Avenue near the proposed curb cut for the southern access to the AAP. The connection to the gutter will be made via sidewalk culvert per COA standard drawing 2236. The stormwater will then drain west to the existing inlets at the intersection of Claremont Avenue NE and Truman Street NE via the existing curb and gutter. The outfall location was changed to provide more attenuation on-site (greater than first flush volume) and disconnect the proposed system from the inlet located in the FEMA Zone AO floodplain.

Stormwater from Basin A (parking lot north and west of the AAP store) will drain via a curb, gutter and concrete pan to a proposed Type C (COA 2205) inlet located along the western boundary of the site. Stormwater from Basin B (AAP roof) will discharge to the parking lot and will drain via surface flow to the curb, gutter, and inlet mentioned in Basin A above. The exception is that the southernmost roof drain/downspout will be piped directly to the retention pond as grades for the parking lot in this area are such that discharge onto the parking lot in this area would sheet flow directly into Claremont Avenue and bypass the proposed retention pond. The Type C inlet then drains to the proposed retention pond via a 12" reinforced concrete pipe.



Entitlement and Engineering Solutions, Inc

The proposed retention pond has been sized to retain the 'First Flush' volume of 542 cubic feet per the City Guidelines (0.34 inches of rain on impervious areas). Supporting calculations are enclosed. The pond as graded currently provides 850 cubic feet of storage. The design has been changed since the previous submittal to retain the entirety of the First Flush from the impervious areas (including roof drainage) and has also allowed for an extra storage volume (300 cubic feet) to maximize on-site retention and to minimize discharge via the outfall to Claremont Avenue.

Water from the retention pond drains via a 12" concrete channel (6" deep) and 12" sidewalk culvert to the curb and gutter on the northern side of Claremont Avenue. The First Flush storage capacity is provided below the elevation of the outfall through the proposed retaining wall. Additional storage has been provided to minimize discharge through the outfall to Claremont Avenue and to maximize retention. After the water discharges through the sidewalk culvert it will flow to the west (away from San Mateo and the FEMA Zone AO floodplain) to existing inlets at the intersection of Claremont Avenue and Truman Street.

Drainage from the offsite basins (OS1, OS2, OS3, and OS4) will drain off site consistent with existing drainage patterns. Runoff from these basins is minimal. Basins OS2 and OS4 are made up entirely of landscaped areas and OS2 will be depressed to retain rainwater in this area.

The curbs, gutters, pans and swales have been sized to accommodate both the 10-year and 100-year peak flows without any overtopping. The finished floor elevation (FFE) of the new building has been set at 5215.50.

The Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Panel 35001C0352H panel 352 of 825 has indicated that San Matteo Boulevard and Claremont Avenue are within the Zone AO floodplain. The FIRM has indicated that the depth of flow is 1 foot deep. FEMA was unable to provide a flood elevation for the Zone AO floodplain given it is sheet flow and described by depth. The highest surveyed adjacent elevation for the proposed building is 5213.50. Based on the 1 foot flow depth, the floodplain would be 5214.50 adjacent to the site. The FFE has been set at 5215.5, which is 1 foot higher than the floodplain as required by the City of Albuquerque City (Ordinance 14-5-1-1).

Please contact me at 303-572-7997 if there are additional concerns regarding the drainage conveyance for the new site.

Sincerely

Jeff Colson, PE



	TABLE 1: BASIN SUMMARY RUNOFF TABLE									
	DESIGN	CONTRIBUTING	IMPERVIOUS	BASIN	10-YEAR PEA					
BASIN	POINT	AREA (AC)	AREA (AC)**	IMPERVIOUSNESS	FLOW (CFS)					
A		0.31	0.28	91.3%	0.91					
В	1	0.16	0.16	100.0%	0.50					
OS1*		0.03	0.02	74.9%	0.07					
OS2*		0.01	0.00	0.0%	0.01					
OS3		0.03	0.03	89.9%	0.10					
OS4*		0.04	0.00	0.0%	0.04					





Basin Qpeak Analysis per DPM Section 22.2

Design Package:	Advance Auto Parts	
Location:	Albuquerque, NM 2813 San Mateo NE	

Total Area Tract B-1 = 25291 sf 0.58 ac

	Sub-Basin Data			Imperv	vious Area		Zone 2 Land	Treatment A			Zone 2 Lar	nd Treament I	3		Zone 2	2 Land Treament	C		Zone 2 Lan	d Treament D		Composite
Basin ID	Description	Total Area (sf)	Total Area (ac)	Area (ac)	Basin IMP	Qp A _(10-YR) Table A-9	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	(Qp * Area (ac)) = Qp A (cfs)	Qp B (_{10-YR)} Table A-9	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	(Qp * Area (ac)) = Qp B (cfs)	Qp C _(10-YR) Table A-9	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	(Qp * Area (ac)) = Qp C (cfs)	Qp D _(10-YR) Table A-9	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	(Qp * Area (ac)) = Qp D (cfs)	Basin Qpeak 10-Yr Total (cfs)
roposed C	onditions																					
A	Parking Lot North and West of Building	13394	0.307	0.28	91.3%	0.38	0	0	0.00	0.95	1163	0.027	0.03	1.71	0	0.000	0.00	3.14	12231	0.281	0.88	0.91
в	Roof	6912	0.159	0.16	100.0%	0.38	0	0	0.00	0.95	0	0.000	0.00	1.71	0	0.000	0.00	3.14	6912	0.159	0.50	0.50
OS4	Landscape Buffer South and East of Building	1883	0.043	0.00	0.0%	0.38	0	0	0.00	0.95	1883	0.043	0.04	1.71	0	0.000	0.00	3.14	0	0.000	0.00	0.04
OS1	Driveway Cut to San Mateo	1163	0.027	0.02	74.9%	0.38	0	0	0.00	0.95	313	0.007	0.01	1.71	0	0.000	0.00	3.14	850	0.020	0.06	0.07
OS2	NW Corner of Site	486	0.011	0.00	0.0%	0.38	0	0	0.00	0.95	486	0.011	0.01	1.71	0	0.000	0.00	3.14	0	0.000	0.00	0.01
OS3	Driveway Cut To Claremont	1453	0.033	0.03	89.9%	0.38	0	0	0.00	0.95	50	0.001	0.00	1.71	0	0.000	0.00	3.14	1403	0.032	0.10	0.10
		Tract B-1	Total Impe	rvious Area	= 84.6%	Trac	ct B-1 Land Treat	ment (A) Total =	0.00%	Tract	B-1 Land Treatr	nent (B) Total =	15.40%	Tract	B-1 Land T	reatment (C) Total :	0.00%	Tract B	3-1 Land Treat	ment (D) Total =	84.60%	

 Computed:
 JDC
 Date:
 6/18/2015

 Checked:
 Date:

Basin A	Total 10-Yr Qpeak =	0.91	cfs	
Basin B	Total 10-Yr Qpeak =	0.50	cfs	
Basin B+C	Total 10-Yr Qpeak at Design Point 1 =	1.41	cfs	

100-year																						
	Sub-Basin Data			Imperv	vious Area		Zone 2 Land	Treatment A			Zone 2 Lar	nd Treament	В		Zone	2 Land Treament	c		Zone 2 Lan	d Treament D		Composite
Basin ID	Description	Total Area (sf)	Total Area (ac	Area (ac)	Basin IMP	Qp A (100-YR) Table A-9	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	(Qp * Area (ac)) = Qp A (cfs)	Qp B (100-YR) Table A-9	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	(Qp * Area (ac)) = Qp B (cfs)	Qp C (100-YR) Table A-9	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	(Qp * Area (ac)) = Qp C (cfs)	Qp D _(100-YR) Table A-9	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	(Qp * Area (ac)) = Qp D (cfs)	Basin Qpeal 100-Yr Total (cfs)
Proposed Con	ditions																					
A	Parking Lot North and West of Building	13394	0.307	0.28	91.3%	1.56	0	0	0.00	2.28	1163	0.027	0.06	3.14	0	0.000	0.00	4.70	12231	0.281	1.32	1.38
В	Roof	6912	0.159	0.16	100.0%	1.56	0	0	0.00	2.28	0	0.000	0.00	3.14	0	0.000	0.00	4.70	6912	0.159	0.75	0.75
OS4	Landscape Buffer South and East of Building	1883	0.043	0.00	0.0%	1.56	0	0	0.00	2.28	1883	0.043	0.10	3.14	0	0.000	0.00	4.70	0	0.000	0.00	0.10
OS1	Driveway Cut to San Mateo	1163	0.027	0.02	74.9%	1.56	0	0	0.00	2.28	313	0.007	0.02	3.14	0	0.000	0.00	4.70	850	0.020	0.09	0.11
OS2	NW Corner of Site	486	0.011	0.00	0.0%	1.56	0	0	0.00	2.28	486	0.011	0.03	3.14	0	0.000	0.00	4.70	0	0.000	0.00	0.03
OS3	Driveway Cut To Claremont	1453	0.033	0.03	89.9%	1.56	0	0	0.00	2.28	50	0.001	0.00	3.14	0	0.000	0.00	4.70	1403	0.032	0.15	0.15
		Tract B-1	Total Impe	ervious Area	= 84.6%	Trac	t B-1 Land Treat	ment (A) Total =	0.00%	Tract	B-1 Land Treatr	ment (B) Total :	= 15.40%	Tract	B-1 Land T	reatment (C) Total :	= 0.00%	Tract	B-1 Land Treat	ment (D) Total =	84.60%	

90th Percentile Storm Event Tre	90th Percentile Storm Event Treatment for Water Quality					
90th Percentile Runoff Depth =	0.44 inches					
Initial Impervious Abstraction (Table A-6) =	0.10 inches					
Required Treatment Depth (D) =	0.34 inches					
Impervious Area - Basin A	0.28					
Impervious Area - Basin B	0.16 acres					
Basin A Required Retainment for Treatment (D x Imp Area)/12 in/ft=	0.01 acre-ft	347 cf				
Basin B Required Retainment for Treatment (D x Imp Area)/12 in/ft=	0.00	196 cf				
Total Required Retainment for Treatment=	0.01	542 cf				

Basin A	Total 10-Yr Qpeak =	1.38	cfs	
Basin B	Total 10-Yr Qpeak =	0.75	cfs	
Basin B+C	Total 10-Yr Qpeak at Design Point 1 =	2.13	cfs	

Required North Pond Detention Volume Expansion Calculations

Project:	Advance Auto Parts							
Address:	Albuquerque,	NM 2813	3 San Mateo NE					
Tract B-1:	25291	ft^2	0.58	acres				

Based on Drainage Design Criteria for City of Albuquerque Section 22.2, D.P.M., Vol 2

Exc	Excess Precipitation E (Inches) 100 Yr, 6 hr Storm DPM Sect. 22.2 Table A-8								
Zone	Α	В	С	D					
1	0.44	0.67	0.99	1.97					
2	0.53	0.78	1.13	2.12					
3	0.66	0.92	1.29	2.36					
4	0.80	1.08	1.46	2.64					

TABLE A	A-9. PEAK	DISCHARGI	E (CFS/ACI	RE)		
		100 - YR.				
Zone	Trea	atment	[2-YR., 10-	-YR.]		
	Α	В	С	D		
	1.29	2.03	2.87	4.37		
1	[0.00, 0.24]	[0.03, 0.76]	[0.47, 1.49]	[1.69, 2.89]		
_	1.56	2.28	3.14	4.7		
2	[U.UU, 0.281	[0.08, 0.95]	[0.60, 1.71]	[1.86, 2.14]		
	1.87	2.6	3.45	5.02		
3	[U.UU,	[0.21,1.19]	[U. /8,	[2.04, 2.20]		
	2.2	2.92	3.73	5.25		
4	[0.05,	10 20 1 451	[1.00,	[2.17,		
	0.87]	[0.38, 1.45]	2.26]	3.57]		

DPM Section 22.2 Notes Land Treatment Descriptions

	Land Treatments Table A-4				
А	Soil uncompacted by human activity with 0 to 10 percent slopes. Native grasses, weeds and shrubs in typical densities with minimal disturbance to grading, ground cover and infiltration capacity.				
В	Irrigated lawns, parks and golf courses with 0 to 10 percent slopes. Native grasses, weeds and shrubs, and soil uncompacted by human activity with slopes greater than 10 percent and less than 20 percent.				
С	Soil compacted by human activity. Minimal vegetation. Unpaved parking, roads, trails. Most vacant lots. Gravel or rock on plastic (desert landscaping). Irrigated lawns and parks with slopes greater than 10 percent. Native grasses, weeds and shrubs, and soil uncompacted by human activity with slopes at 20 percent or greater. Native grass, weed and shrub areas with clay or clay loam soils and other soils of very low permeability as classified by SCS Hydrologic Soil Group D.				
D	Impervious areas, pavement and roofs.				
Most watersheds contain a mix of land treatments. To determine proportional treatments, measure respective subareas. In lieu of specific measurement for treatment D, the area percentages in TABLE A-5 may be employed.					

RETENTION POND VOLUME CALCULATIONS

Contour	Surface Area	Average Surface Area	Volume Provided
422	5213		
309	5212	365.5	365.5
206	5211	257.5	257.5
135	5210	170.5	170.5
99	5209.5	117	58.5

Volume Provided	852	FT ³
Volume Required (FIRST FLUSH)	542	FT ³

Additional Volume Provided	310	FT ³
----------------------------	-----	-----------------

100 YEAR - CURB AND GUTTER @0.5%

Project Description		
Solve For	Spread	
Input Data		
Channel Slope	0.00500	ft/ft
Discharge	2.13	ft³/s
Gutter Width	1.00	ft
Gutter Cross Slope	0.13	ft/ft
Road Cross Slope	0.08	ft/ft
Spread	4.30	ft
Roughness Coefficient	0.013	

Cross Section Image



V:1 1

100 YEAR - CURB AND GUTTER @2.0%

Spread	
0.02000	ft/ft
2.13	ft³/s
1.00	ft
0.13	ft/ft
0.08	ft/ft
3.25	ft
0.013	
	Spread 0.02000 2.13 1.00 0.13 0.08 3.25 0.013

Cross Section Image



V:1 📐

INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

Project = Inlet ID =



Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	_
Type of Inlet	Inlet Type =	CDOT/Denver	13 Combination	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from 'Q-Allow')	a _{local} =	2.00	2.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	6.0	6.0	inches
Grate Information		MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate	L _o (G) =	3.00	3.00	feet
Width of a Unit Grate	W _o =	1.73	1.73	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	A _{ratio} =	0.43	0.43	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	C _f (G) =	0.50	0.50	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	C _w (G) =	3.30	3.30	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	C _o (G) =	0.60	0.60	
Curb Opening Information		MINOR	MAJOR	
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	L _o (C) =	3.00	3.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	H _{vert} =	6.50	6.50	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	H _{throat} =	5.25	5.25	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)	Theta =	0.00	0.00	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W _p =	2.20	2.20	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	$C_{f}(C) =$	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	C _w (C) =	3.70	3.70	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	C _o (C) =	0.66	0.66	
		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	Q _a =	2.8	2.8	cfs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms (>Q PEAK)	Q PEAK REQUIRED =	0.5	0.8	cfs

12" RCP @ 1.0% - Q100YR 2.13 CFS

Project Description

Friction Method	Manning Formula
Solve For	Normal Depth

Input Data

Deuchasse Costficient	0.012	
Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.01000	ft/ft
Normal Depth	0.56	ft
Diameter	1.00	ft
Discharge	2.13	ft³/s

Cross Section Image



V:1 1:1

CONC. DRAIN PAN - 100 YEAR FLOW

Project Description		
Friction Method Solve For	Manning Formula Normal Depth	
Input Data		
Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.24000	ft/ft
Normal Depth	0.16	ft
Bottom Width	1.00	ft
Discharge	2.13	ft³/s

Cross Section Image



V:1 📐

SIDEWALK CULVERT - 100 YEAR FLOW

Project Description		
Friction Method Solve For	Manning Formula Normal Depth	
Input Data		
Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.02000	ft/ft
Normal Depth	0.37	ft
Bottom Width	1.00	ft
Discharge	2.13	ft³/s

Cross Section Image





TABLE 1: BASIN SUMMARY RUNOFF TABLE					
	DESIGN	CONTRIBUTING	IMPERVIOUS	BASIN	10-YEAR PEA
BASIN	POINT	AREA (AC)	AREA (AC)**	IMPERVIOUSNESS	FLOW (CFS)
A		0.31	0.28	91.3%	0.91
В	1	0.16	0.16	100.0%	0.50
OS1*		0.03	0.02	74.9%	0.07
OS2*		0.01	0.00	0.0%	0.01
OS3		0.03	0.03	89.9%	0.10
OS4*		0.04	0.00	0.0%	0.04







City of Albuquerque

Planning Department

Development & Building Services Division

DRAINAGE AND TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION SHEET

(REV 02/2013)

Project Title:	Building Permit #:	City Drainage #:
DRB#: EPC#:		Work Order#:
Legal Description:		
City Address:		
Engineering Firm:		Contact:
Address:		
Phone#: Fax#:		E-mail:
Owner:		Contact:
Address:		
Phone#: Fax#:		E-mail:
Architect:		Contact:
Address:		
Phone#: Fax#:		E-mail:
Surveyor:		Contact:
Address:		
Phone#: Fax#:		E-mail:
Contractor:		Contact:
Address:		
Phone#: Fax#:		E-mail:
TYPE OF SUBMITTAL:	CHECK TYPE OF APPROV A	AL/ACCEPTANCE SOUGHT:
DRAINAGE REPORT	SIA/FINANCIAL GUARANT	TEE RELEASE
DRAINAGE PLAN 1st SUBMITTAL	PRELIMINARY PLAT APPR	ROVAL
DRAINAGE PLAN RESUBMITTAL	S. DEV. PLAN FOR SUB'D A	APPROVAL
CONCEPTUAL G & D PLAN	S. DEV. FOR BLDG. PERMI	T APPROVAL
GRADING PLAN	SECTOR PLAN APPROVAL	
EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN (ESC)	FINAL PLAT APPROVAL	
ENGINEER'S CERT (HYDROLOGY)	CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPA	NCY (PERM)
CLOMR/LOMR	CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPA	NCY (TCL TEMP)
TRAFFIC CIRCULATION LAYOUT (TCL)	FOUNDATION PERMIT AP	PROVAL
ENGINEER'S CERT (TCL)	BUILDING PERMIT APPRO	VAL
ENGINEER'S CERT (DRB SITE PLAN)	GRADING PERMIT APPRO	VAL SO-19 APPROVAL
ENGINEER'S CERT (ESC)	PAVING PERMIT APPROVA	AL ESC PERMIT APPROVAL
SO-19	WORK ORDER APPROVAL	ESC CERT. ACCEPTANCE
OTHER (SPECIFY)	GRADING CERTIFICATION	OTHER (SPECIFY)
WAS A PRE-DESIGN CONFERENCE ATTENDED:	Yes No Co	py Provided
DATE SUBMITTED:	By:	

Requests for approvals of Site Development Plans and/or Subdivision Plats shall be accompanied by a drainage submittal. The particular nature, location, and scope to the proposed development defines the degree of drainage detail. One or more of the following levels of submittal may be required based on the following

1. Conceptual Grading and Drainage Plan: Required for approval of Site Development Plans greater than five (5) acres and Sector Plans

2. Drainage Plans: Required for building permits, grading permits, paving permits and site plans less than five (5) acres

3. Drainage Report: Required for subdivision containing more than ten (10) lots or constituting five (5) acres or more

4. Erosion and Sediment Control Plan: Required for any new development and redevelopment site with 1-acre or more of land disturbing area, including project less than 1-acre than are part of a larger common plan of development

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE



August 18, 2015

Jeff Colson, P.E. Entitlement and Engineering Solutions,Inc. 518 17th St. Suite 1575 Denver, CO 80203

RE: Advanced Auto Parts San Mateo/Claremont Grading and Drainage Plan Engineers Stamp Date 8/7/15 (H17D111)

Dear Mr. Colson,

Based upon the information provided in your submittal received 8/8/15, this plan is approved for Building Permit and Paving Permit.

Please attach a copy of this approved plan dated 8/7/15 to the construction sets in the permitting process prior to sign-off by Hydrology.

Prior to Certificate of Occupancy release, Engineer Certification per the DPM checklist will be required and acceptance of a LOMR-F from FEMA.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 924-3999 or Rudy Rael at 924-3977.

Albuquerque

PO Box 1293

New Mexico 87103

www.cabq.gov

Sincerely,

Shahab Biazar, P.E. City Engineer, Albuquerque Planning Department

RR/SB C: File