

October 27, 1981

Mr. Fred Aguirre, P.E. Municipil Code Enforcement Division Hydrology Section 123 Central Ave. NW Albuquerqus, New Mexico 87102

RE: Sanchez House, Inc./C.O. for Office Building at Menaul and Chama NE.

Dear Fred:

On fuesday, the 27th of October, I inspected the above referenced site to determine the degree of compliance with the approved grading plan. Although I did not check actual elevations of the building's finished floor and surrounding improvements, comparative elevations seemed in order. I noted some changes which do not fallow the grading/drainage plan but do follow the approved site plan. In particular, the G/D plan calls for a 6" header curb along the Menaul side, with a 3' wide open space between the asphalt and the sidewalk. The site plan shows no curb and the asphalt is laid right up to the walk, which is the way it was constructed. Additionally, the site pian shows a different landscaped configuration at the SW corner of the site than that shown on the G/D plan, but it was constructed per the site plan. Finally, because the asphalt was laid up to the sidewalk and these grades were matched, a small portion of the ponding area slopes for discharge to Menaul, rather than per the G/D plan concept of the entire parking area to Chama St.

Although these changes are insignificant, I feel they should be noted for record. The rest of the site complies with the approved plan and the portion of alley immediately behind the building has been installed per plans.

Respectfully,

Clas Cumo

Christopher L. Weiss, P.E. President

CLW/vw

(505) 281-1



October 27, 1981

Mr. Fred Aguirre, P.E. Municipil Code Enforcement Division Hydrology Section 123 Central Ave. NW Albuquerqus. New Mexico 87102

RE: Sanchez Homes, Inc./C.O. for Office Building at Menaul and Chama NE.

## Dear Fred:

On Tuesday, the 27th of October, I inspected the above referenced site to determine the degree of compliance with the approved grading plan. Although I did not check actual elevations of the building's finished floor and surrounding improvements, comparative elevations seemed in order. I noted some charges which do not follow the grading/drainage plan but do follow the approved site plan. In particular, the G/D plan calls for a 6" header curb along the Menaul side, with a 3' wide open space between the asphalt and the sidewalk. The site plan shows no curb and the asphalt is laid right up to the walk, which is the way it was constructed. Additionally, the site plan shows a different land-scaped configuration at the SW corner of the site than that shown on the G/D plan, but it was constructed per the site plan. Finally, because the asphalt was laid up to the sidewalk and these grades were matched, a small portion of the ponding area slopes for discharge to Menaul, rather than per the G/D plan concept of the erctire parking area to Chama St.

Although these changes are insignificant, I feel they should be noted for record. The rest of the site complies with the epproved plan and the portion of alley immediately behind the building has been installed per plans.

Respectfully,

Clus Cuna Christopher L. Weiss, P.E.

President

CLW/vw