CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

Planning Department Alan Varela, Director



Mayor Timothy M. Keller

May 1, 2023

Lauren A. Nuffer, P.E. Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. 1100 W Town and Country Rd., Suite 700 Orange, CA 92868

RE: Starbucks - Wyoming Blvd. NE Grading Plan and Drainage Report Engineer's Stamp Date: 03/31/23 Hydrology File: H20D003E

Dear Ms. Nuffer:

PO Box 1293

Based upon the information provided in your submittal received 03/31/2023, the Grading Plan and Drainage Report are approved for Building Permit and Grading Permit. Please attach a copy of this approved plan in the construction sets for Building Permit processing along with a copy of this letter.

PRIOR TO CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY:

- Albuquerque 1. Engineer's Certification, per the DPM Part 6-14 (F): *Engineer's Certification Checklist For Non-Subdivision* is required.
- NM 87103
 Please provide the executed paper Drainage Covenant (latest revision) printed on one-side only with Exhibit A and a check for \$25.00 made out to "Bernalillo County" for the detention pond per Article 6-15(C) of the DPM to Hydrology for review at Plaza de Sol.
- www.cabq.gov As a reminder, if the project total area of disturbance (including the staging area and any work within the adjacent Right-of-Way) is 1 acre or more, then an Erosion and Sediment Control (ESC) Plan and Owner's certified Notice of Intent (NOI) is required to be submitted to the Stormwater Quality Engineer (Dough Hughes, PE, jhughes@cabq.gov, 924-3420) 14 days prior to any earth disturbance.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 924-3995 or <u>rbrissette@cabq.gov</u>.

Sincerely,

Renée C. Brissette

Renée C. Brissette, P.E. CFM Senior Engineer, Hydrology Planning Department



City of Albuquerque

Planning Department Development & Building Services Division DRAINAGE AND TRANSPORTATION INFORMATION SHEET (REV 10/2018)

Project Title:	_Building Permi	t #: Hydrology File #:
DRB#:	EPC#:	Work Order#:
Legal Description:		
City Address:		
Applicant:		Contact:
Address:		
Phone#:	Fax#:	E-mail:
Other Contact:		Contact:
Address:		
Phone#:	Fax#:	E-mail:
TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT: PLAT (# 0	of lots) RES	SIDENCE DRB SITE ADMIN SITE
IS THIS A RESUBMITTAL? Yes	No	
DEPARTMENT:TRAFFIC/TRANSPORT	TATION	HYDROLOGY/DRAINAGE
Check all that Apply:		TYPE OF APPROVAL/ACCEPTANCE SOUGHT:
TYPE OF SUBMITTAL: ENGINEER/ARCHITECT CERTIFICATION PAD CERTIFICATION CONCEPTUAL G & D PLAN GRADING PLAN ORAINAGE MASTER PLAN DRAINAGE REPORT FLOODPLAIN DEVELOPMENT PERMIT / ELEVATION CERTIFICATE CLOMR/LOMR TRAFFIC CIRCULATION LAYOUT (TCL TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY (TIS) OTHER (SPECIFY) PRE-DESIGN MEETING?	N APPLIC .) —	CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY PRELIMINARY PLAT APPROVAL SITE PLAN FOR SUB'D APPROVAL SITE PLAN FOR BLDG. PERMIT APPROVAL FINAL PLAT APPROVAL SIA/ RELEASE OF FINANCIAL GUARANTEE FOUNDATION PERMIT APPROVAL GRADING PERMIT APPROVAL SO-19 APPROVAL PAVING PERMIT APPROVAL GRADING/ PAD CERTIFICATION WORK ORDER APPROVAL CLOMR/LOMR FLOODPLAIN DEVELOPMENT PERMIT OTHER (SPECIFY)
DATE SUBMITTED:	By:	
COA STAFF:	ELECTRONIC SUI	BMITTAL RECEIVED:

FEE PAID:

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A lease site lying and situate within parcel five-A (5-A) of the plat of parcels 1-A, 1-B, and 4-A & 5-A of Wyoming Mall, Albuquerque, Bernalillo County, New Mexico, being a replat of parcels one (1), four (4) and five (5) of the Wyoming Mall comprising a portion of block "A", Snow Heights addition to the city of Albuquerque, Bernalillo County, New Mexico, as same is shown and designated on the replat thereof, filed in the office of the County Clerk of Bernalillo County, New Mexico, on April 2, 2007, in Plat Book 2007C, Page 80, as Document No. 2007049612.

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT

FOR

Starbucks Wyoming Blvd

Lease Site of Parcel 5-A

Wyoming Mall

Albuquerque, NM 87112

April 26, 2023



KHA PROJECT #: 090100000



Expect More. Experience Better.

©Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc., 2023

(This sheet was intentionally left blank)

Disclosure Statement:

This document, together with the concepts and designs presented herein, as an instrument of service, is intended only for the specific purpose and client for which it was prepared. Reuse of and improper reliance on this document without written authorization and adaptation by Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. shall be without liability to Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
INTRODUCTION
PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF STUDY2
PROJECT REQUIREMENTS2
PROJECT DESCRIPTION
LOCATION
BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS
PLANNING HISTORY
DRAINAGE HISTORY AND RELATED DOCUMENTS
EXISTING CONDITIONS
SITE INVESTIGATION
DEVELOPED CONDITIONS
ONSITE
OFFSITE
CALCULATIONS
TABLE 1 - EXISTING VS FOST DEVELOFICIENT FEAR DISCHARGE
CONCLUSION
TABLE 1 – EXISTING VS POST DEVELOPMENT PEAK DISCHARGE 4 CONCLUSION 5 REFERENCES 5 APPENDIX A: MAPS 6 VICINITY MAP 6 HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUP MAP 7 PRE-DEVELOPMENT THRESHOLD DISCHARGE AREAS MAP 8 POST-DEVELOPMENT THRESHOLD DISCHARGE AREAS MAP 9 APPENDIX B: CALCULATIONS 10
TABLE T - EXISTING VS POST DEVELOPMENT PEAK DISCHARGE 4 CONCLUSION 5 REFERENCES 5 APPENDIX A: MAPS 6 VICINITY MAP 6 HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUP MAP 7 PRE-DEVELOPMENT THRESHOLD DISCHARGE AREAS MAP 8 POST-DEVELOPMENT THRESHOLD DISCHARGE AREAS MAP 9 APPENDIX B: CALCULATIONS 10 EXISTING AND PROPOSED PEAK DISCHARGE 10
TABLE 1 - EXISTING VS POST DEVELOPMENT PEAK DISCHARGE 4 CONCLUSION 5 REFERENCES 5 APPENDIX A: MAPS 6 VICINITY MAP 6 HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUP MAP 7 PRE-DEVELOPMENT THRESHOLD DISCHARGE AREAS MAP 8 POST-DEVELOPMENT THRESHOLD DISCHARGE AREAS MAP 9 APPENDIX B: CALCULATIONS 10 EXISTING AND PROPOSED PEAK DISCHARGE 10 THRESHOLD DISCHARGE AREA CALCULATIONS 11
TABLE 1 – EXISTING VS POST DEVELOPMENT PEAK DISCHARGE 4 CONCLUSION 5 REFERENCES 5 APPENDIX A: MAPS 6 VICINITY MAP 6 HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUP MAP 7 PRE-DEVELOPMENT THRESHOLD DISCHARGE AREAS MAP 8 POST-DEVELOPMENT THRESHOLD DISCHARGE AREAS MAP 9 APPENDIX B: CALCULATIONS 10 EXISTING AND PROPOSED PEAK DISCHARGE 10 THRESHOLD DISCHARGE AREA CALCULATIONS 11 STORMWATER QUALITY VOLUME CALCULATIONS 12
TABLE 1 - EXISTING VS POST DEVELOPMENT PEAK DISCHARGE 4 CONCLUSION 5 REFERENCES 5 APPENDIX A: MAPS 6 VICINITY MAP 6 HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUP MAP 7 PRE-DEVELOPMENT THRESHOLD DISCHARGE AREAS MAP 8 POST-DEVELOPMENT THRESHOLD DISCHARGE AREAS MAP 9 APPENDIX B: CALCULATIONS 10 EXISTING AND PROPOSED PEAK DISCHARGE 10 THRESHOLD DISCHARGE AREA CALCULATIONS 11 STORMWATER QUALITY VOLUME CALCULATIONS 12 APPENDIX C: RELEVANT DOCUMENTS 13
TABLE 1 – EXISTING VS POST DEVELOPMENT PEAK DISCHARGE 4 CONCLUSION 5 REFERENCES 5 APPENDIX A: MAPS 6 VICINITY MAP 6 HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUP MAP 7 PRE-DEVELOPMENT THRESHOLD DISCHARGE AREAS MAP 8 POST-DEVELOPMENT THRESHOLD DISCHARGE AREAS MAP 9 APPENDIX B: CALCULATIONS 10 EXISTING AND PROPOSED PEAK DISCHARGE 10 THRESHOLD DISCHARGE AREA CALCULATIONS 11 STORMWATER QUALITY VOLUME CALCULATIONS 12 APPENDIX C: RELEVANT DOCUMENTS 13 AS-BUILT STORMWATER PLAN 13

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The project is located in the City of Albuquerque at the northeast corner of the intersection of Wyoming Blvd NE and Northeastern Blvd NE. Current zoning is Mixed Use, Medium Density (MX-M), which aligns with what is proposed for the project. Historically, the Site was developed as a Furr's Buffet and Fresh Restaurant with parking that was recently demolished. In the interim condition, the Site is a mass graded lot with two catch basins along the southern property line. Current zoning is Mixed Use, Medium Density (MX-M), which aligns with what is proposed for the project. Proposed improvements consist of the construction of a new 2,500 SF building with drive-thru, parking lot, and associated improvements. The project will not cause an increase in impervious cover when compared to the historical developed conditions and will thus generate no additional stormwater runoff. After project completion, drainage patterns, point of discharge, and stormwater storage volume will match historical conditions.

INTRODUCTION

PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF STUDY

The purpose of this Final Drainage Report (FDR) is to provide the hydrologic and hydraulic calculations and to document and finalize the drainage design methodology in support of the proposed Starbucks Restaurant ("the Site"). The Site is located within the jurisdictional limits of City of Albuquerque ("the City").

PROJECT REQUIREMENTS

The Site was designed in accordance with the City of Albuquerque's Development Process Manual ("DPM") Chapter 6 (*Drainage, Flood Control, and Erosion Control*), Part 6-2(A) (*Procedure for 40 Acre and Smaller Basins*), and Part 6-12 (*Stormwater Quality and Low-Impact Development*). These sections state that the principal design storm is the 100-year event, and that stormwater quality must be provided for redevelopment of existing sites.

All proposed stormwater improvements onsite are private and are proposed to tie to an existing public storm system in the Northeastern Blvd NE Right-of-Way and the private drive to the east. The Site was historically developed with a restaurant use and recently demolished. The Site is currently in an interim condition and is a part of a retail development which defines drainage patterns and stormwater detention for the whole development.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

LOCATION

The Site is located northeast of the intersection of Wyoming Blvd NE and Northeastern Blvd NE. Historically, the Site was developed as a Furr's Buffet Fresh Restaurant and located in existing retail development Wyoming Mall. With recent demolition of the Site, it is in an interim condition of a mass graded lot. Refer to **Appendix A** for the Vicinity Map.

BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

PLANNING HISTORY

The Site, in its historical condition, was developed and contained a Furr's Buffet Fresh Restaurant. It is zoned Mixed Use, Medium Density (MX-M). Current zoning and use align with what is proposed for the Site. The City of Albuquerque has provided copies of records for every approved site plan. Based on this information, the Site has historically always been a restaurant use.

DRAINAGE HISTORY AND RELATED DOCUMENTS

The Site and associated retail development historically use a combination of surface and private storm drain conveyance to the southwest corner of the site. No documentation was found by the owner and tenant of the retail development or the City detailing a former drainage analysis or a master drainage plan, so assumptions were used to determine existing conditions and mitigation required to provide equivalent storage capacity. Refer to **Existing Conditions** for more details on the assumptions that were made during analysis.

EXISTING CONDITIONS

SITE INVESTIGATION

In the historical condition, the Site was fully developed, containing a 11,637 SF building with associated parking and landscaping. The majority of the site historically surface flows to the south to two existing inlets on the Site and a minimal amount flows offsite to the northwest. The historical and interim condition runoff is captured in two inlets on the southwest and southeast sides of the Site. The two inlets are connected via underground storm. Runoff from the Site is collected in underground storm that flows south and connects to an existing system in Northeastern Blvd NE. This system then flows west, and connects to the main storm system in Wyoming Blvd NE. The site is located in precipitation zone 3 per the City of Albuquerque's Development Process Manual.

Form of Analysis

Compared to the historical condition, the proposed development reduces the amount of impervious cover to the project area and decreases the peak discharge leaving the site, refer to **Table 1 - Existing vs Post Development Peak Discharge**.

Stormwater quality is accommodated for the amount of impervious cover being proposed. Per the DPM, the required volume is lower for redeveloped sites than entirely new development.

The Rational Method was used to check existing peak stormwater discharge.

Downstream Capacity

Historically, the point of discharge onsite is an existing catch basin and is conveyed through an existing 24" to an existing 78" storm line in Northeaster Blvd NE. The point of discharge will not be changed with the proposed development, and no additional impervious cover is proposed with this project. No adverse effects are anticipated downstream as a result of this development.

DEVELOPED CONDITIONS

ONSITE

Proposed improvements consist of a new 2,500 SF building with drive thru, parking lot, and associated improvements. The proposed site will maintain the historic drainage patterns, utilizing surface conveyances from north to south towards Northeastern Blvd NE. Runoff will be captured in replaced catch basins on the southwest and southeast sides of the site and conveyed through the existing 24" storm line into Northeastern Blvd NE. A catch basin on the north side of the site will capture runoff and connect to the existing catch basin and 30" storm line in the private drive to the east that ultimately connects to the storm lines in Northeaster Blvd NE. See **Appendix A and C** for existing 24" and 30" pipe outfall locations.

OFFSITE

The proposed development includes offsite work to connect to existing infrastructure.

CALCULATIONS

The peak discharge generated by the site was determined in existing and post development conditions using the rational method. The site is located in precipitation zone 3 and a 100-year, 12-minute storm was used to determine the peak discharge from the site see **Table 1 - Existing vs Post Development Peak Discharge** for calculations. The proposed inlets, pipes and bioretention pond onsite have been sized to contain the 100-year, 60-minute storm event based on the Peak Discharge Rate found in the City of Albuquerque's Development Process Manual Chapter 6 (*Drainage, Flood Control, and Erosion Control)*, Part 6-3(A) (*Procedure for 40 Acre and Smaller Basins*).

Existing Peak Discharge								
Land Condition	Land Treatment	Area [A]		Intensity [l]	Coefficient [C]	Peak Discharge [Q]		
		SF	Acre	in/hr	CSF/Acre	CFS		
Pavement/Building (D)	98	37,400	0.86	4.96	0.83	4.00		
Landscape (C)	86	6,981	0.16	4.96	0.14	0.38		
		44,381	1.02			4.38		
	Post	t-Developmer	nt Peak Disc	charge				
Land Condition	Land Treatment	Area [A]		Intensity [I]	Coefficient [C]	Peak Discharge		
						[~]		
		SF	Acre	in/hr	CSF/Acre	CFS		
Pavement/Building (D)	98	SF 24,646	Acre 0.57	in/hr 4.96	CSF/Acre 0.60	CFS 3.13		
Pavement/Building (D) Landscape (C)	98 86	SF 24,646 12,803	Acre 0.57 0.29	in/hr 4.96 4.96	CSF/Acre 0.60 0.31	CFS 3.13 0.51		
Pavement/Building (D) Landscape (C) Infiltration (A)	98 86 77	SF 24,646 12,803 6,932	Acre 0.57 0.29 0.16	in/hr 4.96 4.96 4.96	CSF/Acre 0.60 0.31 0.03	CFS 3.13 0.51 0.59		

TABLE 1 – EXISTING VS POST DEVELOPMENT PEAK DISCHARGE

In addition to matching or lowering peak discharge from the site, stormwater quality is also required. Because this site is a redevelopment and significant impervious cover is present in existing conditions, the calculations for required SWQV are as follows:

$$SWQV (ac - ft) = \frac{A_{impervious} (ac) \times 0.26 (in)}{12 (in/ft)}$$

With the proposed 0.57 acres of impervious cover being proposed, the total SWQV that must be accommodated is 0.01 ac-ft or 534 cubic feet. The proposed bioretention pond along the northern property line has been designed to infiltrate approximately 1,458 cubic feet of stormwater runoff.

The proposed development will decrease the amount of impervious cover when compared to the existing conditions and will thus decrease the peak discharge generated by the site.

Refer to **Appendix B** for all calculations.

Kimley »Horn

CONCLUSION

The proposed development will maintain the historic drainage patterns, point of discharge, and stormwater storage volume. The proposed development will decrease the amount of impervious cover when compared to the existing conditions and will thus decrease the peak discharge generated by the site. The site will continue to drain south towards Northeastern Blvd NE. Any offsite flows entering the site parking lot via the northwest curb cut will continue to do so. No adverse effects are anticipated downstream as a result of this development.

REFERENCES

1. City of Albuquerque "Development Process Manual" (DPM) dated June 2020.

APPENDIX A: MAPS VICINITY MAP



<u>Vicinity Map</u> NTS DATE OF AERIAL: 03/27/2023





HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUP MAP



USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

MAP LEGEND MAP INFORMATION The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at Area of Interest (AOI) С 1:24.000. Area of Interest (AOI) C/D Soils Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale. D Soil Rating Polygons Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause Not rated or not available А misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil Water Features line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of A/D contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed Streams and Canals В scale. Transportation B/D Rails +++ Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map С measurements. Interstate Highways C/D Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service US Routes \sim Web Soil Survey URL: D Major Roads Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857) Not rated or not available ~ Local Roads Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts Soil Rating Lines Background distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the А -Aerial Photography Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more A/D accurate calculations of distance or area are required. в This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below. B/D Soil Survey Area: Bernalillo County and Parts of Sandoval and С Valencia Counties, New Mexico C/D Survey Area Data: Version 17, Sep 8, 2022 Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales D 1:50.000 or larger. Not rated or not available an ai Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Nov 22, 2020—Jan 1. Soil Rating Points 2021 А The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were A/D compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor В shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident. B/D



Hydrologic Soil Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
EtC	Embudo-Tijeras complex, 0 to 9 percent slopes	В	1.0	100.0%
Totals for Area of Intere	st	1.0	100.0%	

Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

Rating Options

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified Tie-break Rule: Higher

PRE-DEVELOPMENT THRESHOLD DISCHARGE AREAS MAP



GRAPHIC SCALE IN FEET





Know what's **below.** Call before you dig.

POST-DEVELOPMENT THRESHOLD DISCHARGE AREAS MAP



APPENDIX B: CALCULATIONS EXISTING AND PROPOSED PEAK DISCHARGE

		Zone 3			
		100-yr			
duration		Depth	intensity (in/hr)		
5	min	0.584	7.01		
10	min	0.889	5.33		
12	min	-	4.96		
15	min	1.1	4.4		
30	min	1.48	2.96		
60	min	1.84	1.84		
2	hr	2.15	1.08		
3	hr	2.22	0.74		
6	hr	2.43	0.41		
24	hr	2.84	0.12		

Excess Precipitation & Volumetric Runoff

Proposed Conditions Option 1 Drive Isles are paved

Zone 3

	Land Treatment (Table 6.2.13)				
	А	В	C	D	
Site Area	0.67	0.86	1.09	2.58	
A _A =	0				
A _B =	0				
A _C =	0.40				
A _D =	0.62				

Total: 1.02 acres

Weighted Excess Precipitation, E

EQUATION 6.1 Weighted
$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_{A}\mathbf{A}_{A} + \mathbf{E}_{B}\mathbf{A}_{B} + \mathbf{E}_{C}\mathbf{A}_{C} + \mathbf{E}_{D}\mathbf{A}_{D}$$

$$A_{A} + A_{A} + A_{C} + A_{D}$$

E= 100-yr, 6-hr Volume =

2.00	inches
0.17	acre-ft
7,386	cu. ft

Land Treatments

Existing Conditions

Treatment = D

Proposed Conditions

Treatment = D

Percent Imp - Light Industrial 70





The 6-hour excess precipitation. E, by zone and treatment is summarized in <u>TABLE 6.2.13</u>

Zone	Land Treatment				
1	A	В	c	D	
00-YEA	R EXCESS PART	ICIPATION, E (IN))		
Ke ni ki	0.55	0.73	0.95	2.24	
	0,62	0.80	1.03	2.33	
1	0.67	0.86	1.09	2.58	
4	0.76	0.95	1.20	3.34	
-YEAR E	XCESS PARTICI	PATION, E (IN)			
	0.00	0.01	0.13	0.92	
Z	0.00	0.02	0.16	0.98	
3	0.00	0,05	0,19	1.05	
f	0.00	0.28	0.87	1.39	
O-YEAR	EXCESS PARTIC	IPATION, E (IN)			
1	0.11	0.26	0.43	1.43	
8	0.15	0.30	0.48	1.51	
3	0.18	.0.34	0.52	1.64	
1	0.25	0.41	0.59	2:15	

To determine the volume of runoff: 1. Determine the area in each treatment, A_A, A_b, A

Kimley»Horn

Peak Discharge Rate for Small Watersheds - Existing Conditions

Project Name: Starbucks Wyoming Blvd

Project Number: 90100000

Per : DPM Chapter 6, Section 6-2(A)(5)

The peak discharge rate is given in TABLE 6.2.14 for small watersheds, less than or equal to 40 acres, where the time of concentration is assumed to be 12 minutes.

Zone	Land Treatment				
	A	В	c	D	
100-YEA	R PEAK DISCH	ARGE (CSF/ACRE)			
1	1.54	2.16	2.87	4.12	
2	1.71	2.36	3.05	434	
3	1.84	2.49	3.17	4.49	
4	2.09	2.73	3.41	4.78	
2-YEAR P	EAK DISCHAR	GE (CSF/ACRE)			
1	0.00	0.02	0.50	1.56	
2	0.00	0.08	0.61	1,66	
3	0.00	0.15	0.71	1.73	
4	0.00	0.28	0.87	1.88	
10-YEAR	PEAK DISCHA	RGE (CSF/ACRE)			
1	0.30	0.81	1.46	2.57	
2	0.41	0.95	1.59	2.71	
3	0.51	1.07	1.69	2.81	
4	0.70	1.28	7.89	3.04	

To determine the peak rate of discharge,

1. Determine the area in each treatment, A, A, A, A, A,

2. Multiply the peak rate for each treatment by the respective areas and sum to compute the total Q.

EQUATION 6.6 Total $Q_p = Q_{ps}A_a + Q_{pg}A_b + Q_{pc}A_c + Q_{pp}A_b$

Existing Project Site Q_P

Basin EX

Assumptions: t_c is 12 minutes

100-yr Peak Discharge (CFS/ACRE)

Site is in Zone 3Per Table 6.2.14

Zone	nd Treatment				
	A B C D				
3	1.84	2.49	3.17	4.49	

q 6.6:

Existing Storm_South (DA 1)			Bypass (DA 2	2)		
	Q _{PA} =	1.84	cfs/ac	Q _{PA} =	1.84	cfs/ac
	A _A =	0.00	acres	A _A =	0	acres
	Q _{PB} =	2.49	cfs/ac	Q _{PB} =	2.49	cfs/ac
	A _B =	0.00	acres	A _B =	0	acres
	Q _{PC} =	3.17	cfs/ac	Q _{PC} =	3.17	cfs/ac
	A _C =	0.03	acres	A _C =	0.12	acres
	Q _{PD} =	4.49	cfs/ac	Q _{PD} =	4.49	cfs/ac
	A _D =	0.87	acres	A _D =	0.00	acres
	Total Q _p =	4.00	_cfs	Total $Q_p = $	0.38	_cfs
	Total Q _p =	4.38	cfs			

Input Cells

Kimley **»Horn**

Peak Discharge Rate for Small Watersheds - Proposed Conditions

Project Name: Starbucks Wyoming Blvd Project Number: 90100000

Per : DPM Chapter 6, Section 6-2(A)(5)

The peak discharge rate is given in <u>TABLE 8.2.14</u> for small watersheds, less than on equal to 40 acres, where the time of concentration is assumed to be 12 minutes.

Zone		Land Tre	atment	
	A	В	c	D
100-YEA	R PEAK DISCH	ARGE (CSF/ACRE)		
1	1.54	2.16	2.87	4.12
2	1.71	2.36	3.05	434
3	1.84	2.49	3.17	4.49
4	2.09	2.73	3.41	4.78
2-YEAR F	PEAK DISCHAR	GE (CSF/ACRE)		
1	0.00	0.02	0.50	1.56
2	0.00	0.08	0.61	1.66
3	0.00	0.15	0.71	1.73
4	0.00	0.28	0.87	1,88
10-YEAR	PEAK DISCHAI	RGE (CSF/ACRE)		
1	0.30	0.81	1.46	2.57
2	0.41	0.95	1.59	2.71
3	0.51	1.07	1.69	2.81
4	0.70	1.28	7.89	3.04

 $\textit{EOUATION 6.6} \ \ \textbf{Total} \ \textbf{Q}_{p} = \ \textbf{Q}_{ps}\textbf{A}_{a} + \textbf{Q}_{ps}\textbf{A}_{s} + \textbf{Q}_{ps}\textbf{A}_{c} + \textbf{Q}_{ps}\textbf{A}_{c}$

Proposed Project Site Q_P

Assumptions: t_c is 12 minutes

100-yr Peak Discharge (CFS/ACRE)

Site is in Zone 3

Per Table 6	5.2.14			
		Land T	reatment	
Zone	A	В	С	D
3	1.84	2.49	3.17	4.49

Eq 6.6:	Proposed Storm_South (DA 1)				Bypass (DA	2)	Inf	iltration (D	A 3)	Infi	ltration (DA 3)
	Q _{PA} =	1.84	cfs/ac	Q _{PA} =	1.84	cfs/ac	Q _{PA} =	1.84	cfs/ac	Q _{PA} =	1.84	cfs/ac
	A _A =	0	acres	A _A =	0	acres	A _A =	0	acres	A _A =	0	acres
	Q _{PB} =	2.49	cfs/ac	Q _{PB} =	2.49	cfs/ac	Q _{PB} =	2.49	cfs/ac	Q _{PB} =	2.49	cfs/ac
	A _B =	0	acres	A _B =	0	acres	A _B =	0	acres	A _B =	0	acres
	Q _{PC} =	3.17	cfs/ac	Q _{PC} =	3.17	cfs/ac	Q _{PC} =	3.17	cfs/ac	Q _{PC} =	3.17	cfs/ac
	A _c =	0.13	acres	A _C =	0.16	acres	A _C =	0.06	acres	A _C =	0.03	acres
	Q _{PD} =	4.49	cfs/ac	Q _{PD} =	4.49	cfs/ac	Q _{PD} =	4.49	cfs/ac	Q _{PD} =	4.49	cfs/ac
	A _D =	0.57	acres	A _D =	0.00	acres	A _D =	0.03	acres	A _D =	0.03	acres
	Total Q _p =	2.97	_cfs	Total Q _p =	0.51	_cfs	Total Q _p =	0.35	_cfs	Total Q _p =	0.24	cfs

Input Cells

TOTAL 3.13 cfs

Kimley »Horn

Project Name: Starbucks Wyoming Blvd Project Number: 90100000

Hydrograph for Small Watershed

Per : DPM, Chapter 6, Section 6-2(A)(7)



Hydrograph for Small Watersheds

2.00 inches

0.62 acres

t_p= _____ hrs

Determine Required Pond Volume:

	Allowable Site Discharge (Q _A) =	4.38	cfs	
	Proposide Site Discharge (Q _P + Q _{bypass})	3.63	cfs	1
	Detention Requilred:	0	ac-ft	
	Required Water Quality Pond Volume:	0.03	ac-ft	
	Solve for:		Infiltrating Po	nd Volume
	Q _{infiltration1} =	0.35	cfs	
	0.5*T _p *Q _{infiltration} =	139	cu. ft.	
Area 1:				
	(0.25*A _D /A _T)*Q _{infiltration} =	190	cu. ft.	
Area 2:				
	$0.5^{*}(t_{h} - (t_{h} + (0.25 \times A_{D}/A_{T}))^{*}Q_{infiltration} =$	527	cu. ft.	
Area 3:				
	Total Required Water Quality Pond Volume =	0.02	ac-ft	
				-
	Q _{influence} =	0.24	cfs	
	0.5*T.*O	96	cu ft	
	p	50	curre	
	(0 25*A /A)*O =	121	cu ft	
	(0.23 AB/AP/ Cambitration -	151	cu. 11.	
	0.5*/+ - (+ + (0.25 × 0. (0.))*0 -	262	cu ft	
	0.5 (t _b - (t _p + (0.25 K A _D /A _T)) Qinfiltration =	303	cu. IL	
	Total Required Water Quality Pond Volume =	0.01	ac-ft	_



THRESHOLD DISCHARGE AREA CALCULATIONS

Kimley »	Horn R	RUNOFF (ST COEFFICI	ANDARD F ENTS - IM	FORM SF-1 PERVIOUS	CALCU	JLATIC	N			
PROJECT NAME: PROJECT NUMBER: CALCULATED BY: CHECKED BY: SOIL :	Starbucks Wyoming I 90100000 NW LW/LN	Blvd							DATE:	4/25/2023	
JOIL.	LAND USE: 2-YEAR COEFF. 5-YEAR COEFF. 10-YEAR COEFF. 100-YEAR COEFF. IMPERVIOUS %	TYPE A <u>AREA</u> 0.00 0.51 1.84 7%	TYPE B <u>AREA</u> 0.15 0.00 1.07 2.49 7%	TYPE C <u>AREA</u> 0.71 0.00 1.69 3.17 45%	TYPE D <u>AREA</u> 1.73 0.00 2.81 4.49 90%						
DESIGN BASIN	DESIGN POINT	TYPE A <u>AREA</u> (AC)	TYPE B <u>AREA</u> (AC)	TYPE C <u>AREA</u> (AC)	TYPE D <u>AREA</u> (AC)	TOTAL AREA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(10)	C(100)	Imp %
On-Site Basins											
DA1	1	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.25	0.34	1.45	0.00	2.51	4.13	78%
DA2	2	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.06	1.20	0.00	2.23	3.80	67%
DA3	3	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.17	0.18	1.68	0.00	2.75	4.42	88%
DA4	4	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.03	0.10	1.05	0.00	2.06	3.61	60%
DA5	5	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.09	0.12	1.46	0.00	2.51	4.14	78%
DA6	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.06	1.73	0.00	2.81	4.49	90%
		0.00	0.00	0.23	0.63	0.86	1.46	0.00	2.51	4.14	78%
BASIN SUBTOTAL		0%	0%	27%	73%	100%					

Kimley **»Horn**

STANDARD FORM SF-2 Time of Concentration

PROJEC	T NAME:	Starbucks Wyoming B	lvd												DATE:	4/25/2023
PROJECT N	UMBER:	90100000														
CALCULA	TED BY:	NW														
CHEC	KED BY:	LW/LN														
SUB-BA	ASIN]	NITIAL			TRA	AVEL TIM	Ε				Te CHEC	СK		FINAL
DAT	CA		Т	TME (T _i)				(T_t)				(UF	BANIZED	BASINS)		Тс
DESIGN	AREA	C5	LENGTH	SLOPE	Ti	LENGTH	SLOPE	C _v	VEL	T _t	COMP.	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	Tc	
BASIN	Ac		Ft	%	Min.	Ft.	%		fps	Min.	tc	LENGTH	SLOPE	IMP.	Min.	Min.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	
On-Site Basin	S															
DA1	0.339		179	1.5%	23.6	10	0.5%	17.3	1.2	0.1	23.7	189	1.4%	78%	14.1	14.1
DA2	0.063		52	1.0%	14.5	52	0.5%	14.8	1.0	0.8	15.4	104	0.8%	67%	15.8	15.4
DA3	0.182		115	2.0%	17.2	127	0.5%	19.5	1.4	1.5	18.7	242	1.2%	88%	12.8	12.8
DA4	0.096		83	1.5%	16.1	83	0.5%	13.3	0.9	1.5	17.5	166	1.0%	60%	17.4	17.4
DA5	0.121		82	1.5%	16.0	5	0.5%	17.3	1.2	0.1	16.0	87	1.4%	78%	13.4	13.4
DA6	0.057		45	1.0%	13.5	37	0.5%	20.0	1.4	0.4	14.0	82	0.8%	90%	11.4	11.4
$t_i = \frac{0.39}{1000}$	$\frac{5(1.1-C_{a})}{S_{a}^{0.33}}$	$\sqrt{L_i}$		$t_i = \frac{1}{60}$	L_i $K\sqrt{S_i}$	$\frac{1}{60V_i} = \frac{L_i}{60V_i}$		t. = (26 –	$17i) + \frac{1}{60}$	$\frac{L_i}{(14i+9)}$	$\sqrt{S_i}$					

Kimley **»Horn**

STANDARD FORM SF-3 STORM DRAINAGE DESIGN - RATIONAL METHOD 100 YEAR EVENT

PROJECT NAME: Starbucks Wyoming Blvd PROJECT NUMBER: 90100000 CALCULATED BY: NW

2

3

4

5

6

DA2

DA3

DA4

DA5

DA6

0.06

0.18

0.10

0.12

0.06

P₁ (1-Hour Rainfall) = **1.84**

DATE: 4/25/2023

CHE	CKED BY:	LW/LN																			
					DIRE	CT RUI	NOFF]	FOTAL	RUNC)FF	STR	EET		PIPE		TRAV	VEL T	IME
STORM LINE		DESIGN POINT	DESIGN BASIN	AREA (AC)	RUNOFF COEFF	tc (min)	C*A(ac)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	tc(max)	S(C*A) (ac)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	SLOPE (%)	STREET FLOW(cfs	DESIGN FLOW(cfs)	SLOPE (%)	PIPE SIZE (in)	LENGTH (ft)	VELOCIT Y	tt
(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	(2
On-Site Basins																					
		1	DA1	0.34	4.13	14.09	1.40	4.30	6.03												

0.99

3.61

1.35

2.21

1.21

0.24 4.13

0.26 4.72

4.49

3.89

4.41

0.80

0.35

0.50

3.80

4.42

3.61

4.14

4.49

15.37

12.81

17.38

13.36

11.42

3	
ME	REMARKS
tt (min)	
(21)	(22)

Kimley »H	orn		
PROJECT NAME: PROJECT NUMBER: CALCULATED BY: CHECKED BY:	Starbucks Wyor 90100000 NW LW/LN	ming Blvd	
	RATIONAL	CALCULATIONS S	SUMMARY
	TRIBUTARY	TRIBUTARY AREA	PEAK FLOWS (CFS)
DESIGN FOINT	BASINS	(AC)	Q100
On-Site Basins			
1	DA1	0.34	6.03
2	DA2	0.06	0.99
3	DA3	0.18	3.61
4	DA4	0.10	1.35
5	DA5	0.12	2.21
6	DA6	0.06	1.21
TOTAL		0.86	15.40

STORMWATER QUALITY VOLUME CALCULATIONS

Kimley »Horn Storm Water Quality Volume (SWQC)

Project Name:Starbucks Wyoming BlvdProject Number:90100000

Per Drainage, Flood Control, and Erosion Control Manual, Chapter 6, Section 6-11

SWQV:

Redevelopment

SWQV: (Impervious area x 0.26)/12

Impervious Area = 0.5657943 acres

Kimley »Horn

Retention Pond Drain Time

Project Name:Starbucks Wyoming BlvdProject Number:90100000

	Nor	th Retention Pond Drai	in Time		Drain Time Check
Pond	Volume	Percolation Rate*	Pond Bottom	Drain Time	Drain Time Check
Folia	cf	inches/hr	Sq. Ft.	hr	96 Hour Max
A	855	1.25	378	22	Meets Required Drain Time

Pond Volume

0.020 ac-ft

input cells

*Percolation rate per Table 6.2.12 of the 2020 DPM

	Sou	th Retention Pond Dra	in Time		Drain Time Check
Pond	Volume	Percolation Rate*	Pond Bottom	Drain Time	Drain Time Check
Foliu	cf	inches/hr	Sq. Ft.	hr	96 Hour Max
А	603	1.25	103	57	Meets Required Drain Time

Pond Volume

0.014 ac-ft

input cells

*Percolation rate per Table 6.2.12 of the 2020 DPM

APPENDIX C: RELEVANT DOCUMENTS AS-BUILT STORMWATER PLAN



CAUTION: EXISTING UTILITIES ARE NOT SHOWN. IT SHALL BE THE SOLE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO CONDUCT ALL NECESSARY FIELD INVESTIGATIONS PRIOR TO ANY EXCAVATION TO DETERMINE THE ACTUAL LOCATION OF UTILITIES & OTHER IMPROVEMENTS.

	LEGEND	-
	EXISTING CURB	-
	FUTURE IMPROVEMENTS	
	EXISTING BUILDING	
	PROPOSED EXPANSION	
6	PROPOSED CURB	
Ľ	BOUNDARY LINE	
	GRADE CHANGE	
	× 5257.73 PROPOSED GRADE ELEV	ATION
	× 5257.18 EXISTING GRADE ELEVAT	ON
NGINEER'S SEAL	ALBUQUERQUE WAL-MART	DRAWN BY BDG
	NM: 5491-00	DATE
HAY BOAR	GRADING AND	9/08/05
	DRAINAGE PLAN - B	2292GRE-2-15-05X
019101 /3	Wa.	SHEET #
HUFEESIDAN	TIERRA WEST, LLC	6

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87113 (505)858-3100

JOB #

220092

RONALD R. BOHANNAN P.E. #7868

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. Final Drainage Report

GRADING PLAN

LEGEND

1.00%

SW

PROPOSED PROPERTY LINE **EXISTING CONTOUR** - 5382.50 **GRADE BREAK** HIGH POINT TOP OF PAVEMENT TOP OF SIDEWALK TOP OF GRATE

METHODOLOGY:

EXISTING CONDITIONS:

PROPOSED CONDITIONS:

GENERATED BY THE SITE.

CONCLUSIONS:

WEIGHTED E CALCULATIONS (EXISTING CONDITION)													
												0-Year	
			Treatme	ent A	Treatment B		Treatn	nent C	Treatn	nent D	Weighted E	Volume	Flow
Basin	Area (SF)	Area (AC)	Acres	%	Acres	%	Acres	%	Acres	%	(in)	(ac-ft)	(cfs)
DA 1	38,768	0.89	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.03	3%	0.86	97%	2.53	0.19	3.96
DA 2	5,663	0.13	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.13	100%	0	0%	1.09	0.01	0.41
	WEIGHTED E CALCULATIONS (DEVELOPED CONDITION)												
100- Year													
			Treatme	ent A	Treatment B		Treatn	nent C	Treatn	nent D	Weighted E	Volume	Flow
Basin	Area (SF)	Area (AC)	Acres	%	Acres	%	Acres	%	Acres	%	(in)	(ac-ft)	(cfs)

xcess l	Precipitatio	on E (in)	Peak Di	ischarge (cl	s/acre)
ne 3	100-Year	10-Year	Zone 3	100-Year	10-Year
	0.67	0.18	Qa	1.84	0.51
	0.86	0.34	Qb	2.49	1.07
	1.09	0.52	Qc	3.17	1.69
	2 50	1.64	04	1 10	2 91

SWQ VOL	
Impervious Area (sf)	27,018
SWQ VOL Required (CF)*	945
SWQ VOL Provided (CF)	1,100
*0.26 in per impervious SF	

0.00

0%

FLOODPLAIN NOTE

LEGEND

1.009

-

	PROPOSED PROPE
	ADJACENT PROPER
<	EXISTING CONTOUR
<	PROPOSED CONTO
6	PROPOSED FLOW A
82.50	PROPOSED SPOT E
	EXISTING STORM IN
	PROPOSED STORM
	PROPOSED STORM
00	PROPOSED STORM
	PROPOSED STORM
	GRADE BREAK
	HIGH POINT
	TOP OF PAVEMENT
	FACE OF CURB (AT
	FLOW LINE
	TOP OF SIDEWALK
	MATCH EXISTING EI
	TOP OF GRATE

METHODOLOGY:

EXISTING CONDITIONS:

PROPOSED CONDITIONS:

GENERATED BY THE SITE.

CONCLUSIONS:

tity of Albuquerque lanning Department opment Review Services OLOGY SECTION
PPROVED
05/01/23
enée (Brisselte
H20D003E
OF THESE PLANS/REPORT SHALL NOT BE OTO PERMIT VIOLATIONS OF ANY CITY R STATE LAW, AND SHALL NOT PREVENT OF ALBUQUERQUE FROM REQUIRING V, OR ERROR OR DIMENSIONS IN PLANS, R CONSTRUCTIONS, SUCH APPROVED PLANS HANGED, MODIFIED OR ALTERED WITHOUT AUTHORIZATION.

DISCHARC	3E 10
WEIGHTED E CALCULATIONS (EXISTING CONDITION)	

											10)0-Year	
			Treatme	ent A	Treatr	nent B	Treatn	nent C	Treatn	nent D	Weighted E	Volume	Flow
Basin	Area (SF)	Area (AC)	Acres	%	Acres	%	Acres	%	Acres	%	(in)	(ac-ft)	(cfs)
DA 1	38,768	0.89	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.03	3%	0.86	97%	2.53	0.19	3.96
DA 2	5,663	0.13	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.13	100%	0	0%	1.09	0.01	0.41
WEIGHTED E CALCULATIONS (DEVELOPED CONDITION)													
											10		

			Treatme	ent A	Treatr	nent B	Treatr	nent C	Treatn	nent D	Weighted E	Volume	Flow
Basin	Area (SF)	Area (AC)	Acres	%	Acres	%	Acres	%	Acres	%	(in)	(ac-ft)	(cfs)
DA 1	0	0.75	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.16	21%	0.59	79%	2.26	0.14	3.16
DA 2	0	0.18	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.18	100%	0.00	0%	1.09	0.02	0.57
DA 3	0	0.11	0.02	18%	0.00	0%	0.06	55%	0.03	27%	1.42	0.01	0.36

itation E (in)			Peak Discharge (cfs/acre)						
/ear	10-Year		Zone 3	100-Year	10-Year				
0.67	0.18		Qa	1.84	0.51				
0.86	0.34		Qb	2.49	1.07				
1.09	0.52		Qc	3.17	1.69				
2.58	1.64		Qd	4.49	2.81				

SWQ VOL	
mpervious Area (sf)	27,018
SWQ VOL Required (CF)*	945
SWQ VOL Provided (CF)	1,100
*0.26 in per impervious SF	

FLOODPLAIN NOTE