Note: Lots A-1, A-2, & A3, Block D Glerro Heights Addition are subject to flooding from the 100-year storm. Minimum finished floor elevations for structures on each lot shall be established as:

Lot A1 - 5096.0' Lot A2 - 5096.5' Lot A3 - 5097.0'

Prior to building permit release, a drainage plan shall be submitted and approved. Development of cash lot shall be consistent with drainage quidelines outlined in the approved drainage report dited 11/9/82 which is on file with the City Evgineer's Office.

C.A. (PAT) COCNCE & ASSOC. ENVIRONMENTAL WATER RESOURCES, & SANITARY CONSULTING ENGINEERS

12324 PINERIDGE, N.E. ALBUQUERQUE, N.M. 87112 PHONE (505) 296-1089

DRAINAGE AND GRADING REQUIREMENT FOR LCTS A-1, A-2 AND A-3, BLOCK D, GLENRIO HEIGHTS ADDITION

In assessing the best available information on the existing drainage conditions at Coors and Hanover, N.W., regarding the 100 year maximum volume flood, the the following assumptions, methods and conclusions are presented.

The drainage model is the existing Coors Road system which drains to the north from the north side of Las Volcanes Rd. to Interstate 40. The drainage system includes 28 inlets: 4 double "C", 23 single "C" and 1 single "D". This section of Coors has three ponding areas in its length. These are reaches in which the slope direction changes. The last 10 inlets feed into a 48" RCP with a slope of 0.15% North. This empties into an open channel and thence flows into the Rio Grande river. The carrying capacity of this storm sewer is 56 cfs, which means that each inlet is capable of delivering an average of 2 cfs in a 100 year maximum volume storm.

The best estimate of the storm runoil was made from the preliminary FSFM numbers 350002 0021 and 0027. The volume was estimated by planimeter and average end area method. Only two contours (509h foot and 5096 foot) are within this area. The average low point within the 509h foot area was taken as 5093, which is the average of the low points within this area taken from the latest city topographic maps. Using this method, a total runoff of 96 acre-feet is ponded below the 5096 foot level. The 509h foot contour contains 15.3 acre-feet.

If one assumes that the drainage system carries its full capacity of 56 cfs or 28 acre-feet during the six hour storm, there is still a deficit of 68 acre-feet. This means that the area will still pond to the 5094.3 level on Hanover to the east of Coors and go east on Hanover.

To add confidence to the fact that the Coors-Hanover basin will fill, one could assume that the drainage area is too high by 50%; this would give an inflow of 48 acre-feet, and an outflow of 28 acre-feet, or a deficit of 20 acre-feet. Since the 509h contour only contains 15.3 acre-feet, again the basin would flood to the 509h.3 contour and drain eastward by way of Hanover.

It is therefore concluded that the best estimates to date are that the area of Coors and Hanover, N.W. during a 100 year maximum volume storm will fill to the 5094.3 foot level, which is the existing high point for containment on Hanover, N.W. east of the intersection of Coors and Hanover, N.W.

As a result of this analysis, it is recommended that lots 4-16 and 25-28, Block D, Glenrio Heights Addition at the southeast corner of Coors and Hanover, N.W. between Hanover and Brayton Road, N.W., have the conceptual drainage plan subject to the following conditions. The buildings should be located so that the finish floor is a minimum of 5096 ft. elevation and the total site after development should contain the same volume below the 5094.3 foot contour as it now contains in the natural state. In addition, the total developed runoff volume for this site must be contained below the 5094.3 level.



City of Albuquerque

P.O. BOX 1293 ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103

J11 - D17

September 21, 1982

Mr. Pat Coonce C. A. Coonce & Associates 12324 Pineridge N.E. Albuquerque, N.M 87112

RE: CONCEPTUAL GRADING & DRAINAGE PLAN FOR GLENRIO HEIGHTS LOTS 4-16 & 25-28, BLOCK D

Dear Pat:

I have reviewed the referenced drainage plan and forward the following comments:

a. Statement: "Discussion with Denney and Gross and the State Highway Department indicates that the Coors system was designed for the 10 year developed max. Q. storm."

Comment: What watershed was used in the analysis? Did the enalysis just investigate flows generated in Coors Blvd. or did it incorporate runoff generated by contributing streets and developments?

b. Statement: "The above referenced inlets drain into a 48 inch RCP storm sewer with a slope of 0.15%."

Comment: What is the capacity of the storm sewer? How does this capacity compare to the total runoff generated in the watershed?

c. Statement: "The currently accepted flood plain maps, FHBM Panel No. 35002-0004B, show this property to be out of the 100 year flood plain. This is due to the storm sewer system which was incorporated into the Coors Blvd. construction."

Comment: The Dec. 1979 maps are no longer the current document for obtaining flood hazard information. This office is permitted to use the maps prepared by Bohannan-Huston for studies of this type. How was it confirmed that the former maps incorporated the storm sewer into the analysis?

MUNICIPAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Richard S. Heller, P.E., City Engineer

ENGINEERING DIVISION

Telephone (505) 766-7467

- AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

Letter to Pat Corre CONCEPTUAL GRADING & DRAINAGE PLAN GLENRIO HEIGHTS LOTS 4-16 & 25-28, BLK D PAGE 2

d. Statement: "The assumptions which were made for this review draft are 1.25 inches of water contributed per unit area of watershed."

Comment: Where was this value obtained? What is the definition of a "unit area of watershed"?

e. Statement: "...the existing FHBM is appropriate and the storm sewer system was designed to handle the developed 10 year maximum Q. storm."

Comment: This statement must be verified quantitatively. Assumptions should also be included.

f. Statement: "Therefore no ponding was recommended for this conceptual grading and drainage plan."

Comment: How was this conclusion reached? The rate at which runoff leaves a site is determined by the capacity of the downstream drainage system. Also, the 100-year storm event is used to analyze the system.

The checklist contained in Chapter 22-Section 7 of the Development Process Manual should be used when preparing a Conceptual Grading and Drainage Plan. Please call if you have any questions concerning the above comments or the DPM checklist.

Very truly yours,

Brian G. Burnett

Civil Engineer/Hydrology

BGB/tsl

ce: Hilda Cruz



City of Albuquerque

P.O. BOX 1293 ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103

J11 - D17

September 21, 1982

Mr. Pat Coonce C. A. Coonce & Associates 12324 Pineridge N.E. Albuquerque, N.M 87112

RE: CONCEPTUAL GRADING & DRAINAGE PLAN FOR GLENRIO HEIGHTS LOTS 4-16 & 25-28, BLOCK D

Dear Pat:

I have reviewed the referenced drainage plan and forward the following comments:

a. Statement: "Discussion with Denney and Gross and the State Highway Department indicates that the Coors system was designed for the 10 year developed max. Q. storm."

Comment: What watershed was used in the analysis? Did the enalysis just investigate flows generated in Coors Blvd. or did it incorporate runoff generated by contributing streets and developments?

b. Statement: "The above referenced inlets drain into a 48 inch RCP storm sewer with a slope of 0.15%."

Comment: What is the capacity of the storm sewer? How does this capacity compare to the total runoff generated in the watershed?

c. Statement: "The currently accepted flood plain maps, FHBM Panel No. 35002-0004B, show this property to be out of the 100 year flood plain. This is due to the storm sewer system which was incorporated into the Coors Blvd. construction."

Comment: The Dec. 1979 maps are no longer the current document for obtaining flood hazard information. This office is permitted to use the maps prepared by Bohannan-Huston for studies of this type. How was it confirmed that the former maps incorporated the storm sewer into the analysis?

MUNICIPAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Letter to Pet Co-ce CONCEPTUAL GRADI. & DRAINAGE PLAN GLENRIO HEIGHTS LOTS 4-16 & 25-28, BLK D

d. Statement: "The assumptions which were made for this review draft are 1.25 inches of water contributed per unit area of watershed."

Comment: Where was this value obtained? What is the definition of a "unit area of watershed"?

e. Statement: "...the existing FHBM is appropriate and the storm sewer system was designed to handle the developed 10 year maximum Q. storm." $\,\cdot\,$

Comment: This statement must be verified quantitatively. Assumptions should also be included.

f. Statement: "Therefore no ponding was recommended for this conceptual grading and drainage plan."

Comment: How was this conclusion reached? The rate at which runoff leaves a site is determined by the capacity of the downstream drainage system. Also, the 100-year storm event is used to analyze the system.

The checklist contained in Chapter 22-Section 7 of the Development Process Manual should be used when preparing a Conceptual Grading and Drainage Plan.

Please call if you have any questions concerning the above comments or the DPM checklist.

Very truly yours

Brian G. Burnett

Civil Engineer/Hydrology

BGB/tsl

cc: Hilda Cruz

Dian	TYPE OF SUBMITTAL Conceptual
PROJECT TITLE Grading and Drainage Plan ZONE ATLAS PAGE NO. J-11-Z CITY ADDRESS	Coors and Hanover, N.W.
a FILL AUDICES	0001
- 1 A Tet D Glenrio n	ergiros itematica
a and Assoc.	CONTINUE
ADDRESS 12324 Pineridge, N.E., 87112	PHONE 296-1089
	GUITING I
OWNER Arthur Dow	PHONE 293-4833
ADDRESS 1001 2nd, S.W.	CONTACT '
ARCHITECT None	PHONE
SUBVEYOR Oliver Trujillo	CONTACT Oliver Trujillo
Avalon Pl., N.W.	PHONE None
	CONTACT
CONTRACTOR None	PHONE
ADDRESS	
DATE SUBMITTED September 14, 1982	
3Y C.A. Coonce	

11/05/82

DRAINAGE AND GRADING REQUIREMENT FOR LOTS A-1, A-2 AND A-3, BLOCK D, GLENRIO HEIGHTS ADDITION

In assessing the best available information on the existing drainage conditions at Coors and Hanover, N.W., regarding the 100 year maximum volume flood, the the following assumptions, methods and conclusions are presented.

The drainage model is the existing Coors Road system which drains to the north from the north side of Las Volcanes Rd. to Interstate 40. The drainage system includes 28 inlets: 4 double "C", 23 single "C" and 1 single "D". This section of Coors has three ponding areas in its length. These are reaches in which the slope direction changes. The last 10 inlets feed into a 48 RCP with a slope of 0.15% North. This empties into an open channel and thence flows into the Rio Grande river. The carrying capacity of this storm sewer is 56 cfs, which means that each inlet is capable of delivering an average of 2 cfs in a 100 year maximum volume storm.

The best estimate of the storm runoff was made from the preliminary FBFM numbers 350002 0021 and 0027. The volume was estimated by planimeter and average end area method. Only two contours (5094 foot and 5096 foot) are within this area. The average low point within the 5094 foot area was taken as 5093, which is the average of the low points within this area taken from the latest city topographic maps. Using this method, a total runoff of 96 acre-feet is ponded below the 5096 foot level. The 5094 foot contour contains 15.3 acre-feet.

If one assumes that the drainage system carries its full capacity of 56 cfs or 28 acre-feet during the six hour storm, there is still a deficit of 68 acre-fest. This means that the area will still pond to the 5094.3 level on Hanover to the east of Coors and go east on Hanover.

To add confidence to the fact that the Coors-Hanover basin will fill, one could assume that the drainage area is too high by 50%; this would give an inflow of 48 acre-feet, and an outflow of 28 acre-feet, or a deficit of 20 acre-feet. Since the 5094 contour only contains 15.3 acre-feet, again the basin would flood to the 5094.3 contour and drain eastward by way of Hanover.

It is therefore concluded that the best estimates to date are that the area of Coors and Hanover, N.W. during a 100 year maximum volume storm will fill to the 5094.3 foot level, which is the existing high point for containment on Hanover, N.W. east of the intersection of Coors and Hanover, N.W.

As a result of this analysis, it is recommended that lots 4-16 and 25-28, Block D, Glenrio Heights Addition at the southeast corner of Coors and Hanover, N.W. between Hanover and Brayton Road, N.W., have the conceptual drainage plan subject to the following conditions. The buildings should be located so that the finish floor is a minimum of 796 ft. elevation and the total site after development should contain the same volume below the 5094.3 foot contour as it now contains in the natural state. In addition, the total developed runoff volume for this site must be contained below the 5094.3 level.

PHONE (505) 296-1089

CONCEPTUAL GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN FOR LOT A, BLOCK D OF GLENRIO HEIGHTS ADDITION

This conceptual Grading and Drainage Plan is submitted for lots A-1, A-2 and A-3 of Block D of the Glenrio Heights Addition in Albuquerque, New Mexico as shown on a summary plat dated August 2, 1982. The total area is 2.5604 acres.

The building site locations and dimensions are preliminary and are based on what prospective purchasers intend to build. At present, only options to buy exist and no detailed designs have been accomplished.

The site is bounded on all street sides by curb, gutter and paved streets. It is proposed to change the eyelid at 59th Street and Giomi Place to a single 75 ft. R curve at the property line.

The attached drawings are sheets 1 and 2 of 2. Sheet 1 is the conceptual Grading and Drainage Plan, and sheet 2 consists of the surveyors elevations.

The review draft of the FHPM, a study under progress by Bohannon Huston, Inc, shows this property within the 100 year flood plain. The assumptions which were made for this review draft are 1.25 inches of water contributed per unit area of the watershed, using this volume to compute the elevation needed to contain the total amount of water. No allowance was made for the existing storm drainage system, which contains at least 10 inlets within this area shown as a flood plain. There is no doubt that the results are approximately correct, based upon the assumptions made. However, if one follows this assumption to its logical conclusion, there would be no justification any storm sewer system throughout the city in so far as changing the flood hasard boundary is concerned.

Based upon the above discussion, it is concluded that the existing FHEM is appropriate and the storm sewer system was designed to handle the developed 10 year max. Q. storm. Therefore no ponding was recommended for this conceptual Grading and Drainage Plan. There is no offsite flow across the property and none is proposed.

Due to the small lot size and the recommended grades, no urusual erosion problems exist and no special control measures are recommended other than normal construction practises of watering for dust control and for maximum compaction with minimum compactive effort

NE ATLAS PAGE NO. <u>J-11-Z</u> CITY ADDRES EGAL DESCRIPTION Block A Lot D, Glenrio	Heights Address
and Accord	CONTACT C.A. COST
	FIIUNE E/S
ADDRESS 1232L Pineridge, N.E., Office	CONTACT HITTON OF THE
ADDRESS 1001 2nd, S.W.	PHONE 293-4833
	CONTACT *
ARCHITECT None	PHONE
SURVEYOR Oliver Truillo	CONTACT Oliver Truillo
ADDRESS 221 Avalon Pl., N.W.	PHONE None
	CONTACT
CONTRACTOR None	PHONE
ADDRESS	

PHONE (503) 296-1089

DRAINAGE AND GRADING REQUIREMENT FOR LOTS A-1, A-2 AND A-3, BLOCK D, GLENRIO HEIGHTS ADDITION

In assessing the best available information on the existing drainage conditions at Coors and Hanover, N.W., regarding the 100 year maximum volume flood, the the following assumptions, methods and conclusions are presented.

The drainage model is the existing Coors Road system which drains to the north from the north side of Las Volcanes Rd. to Interstate 40. The drainage system includes 28 inlets: 4 double "C", 23 single "C" and 1 single "D". This section of Coors has three ponding areas in its length. These are reaches in which the slope direction changes. The last 10 inlets feed into a 48" RCP with a slope of 0.15% North. This empties into an open channel and thence flows into the Rio Grande river. The carrying capacity of this storm sewer is 56 c17, which means that each inlet is capable of delivering an average of 2 cfs in a 100 year maximum volume storm.

The best estimate of the storm runoff was made from the preliminary FBFM numbers 350002 0021 and 0027. The volume was estimated by planimeter and average end area method. Only two contours (509h foot and 5096 foot) are within this area. The average low point within the 509h foot area was taken as 5093, which is the average of the low points within this area taken from the latest city is the average of the low points within this area taken from the latest city topographic maps. Using this method, a total runoff of 96 acre-feet is ponded topographic maps. Using this method, a total runoff of 96 acre-feet. below the 5096 foot level. The 509h foot contour contains 15.3 acre-feet.

If one assumes that the drainage system carries its full capacity of 56 cfs or 28 acre-feet during the six hour storm, there is still a deficit of 68 acre-feet. This means that the area will still pond to the 5094.3 level on Hanover to the east of Coors and go east on Hanover.

To add confidence to the fact that the Coors-Hanover basin will fill, one could assume that the drainage area is too high by 50%; this would give an inflow of 18 acre-feet, and an outflow of 28 acre-feet, or a deficit of 20 acre-feet. Since the 5094 contour only contains 15.3 acre-feet, again the basin would flood to the 5094.3 contour and drain eastward by way of Hanover.

It is therefore concluded that the best estimates to date are that the area of Coors and Hanover, N.W. during a 100 year maximum volume storm will fill to the 5094.3 foot level, which is the existing high point for containment on Hanover, N.W. east of the intersection of Coors and Hanover, N.W.

As a result of this analysis, it is recommended that lots 4-16 and 25-28, Block D, Glenrio Heights Addition at the southeast corner of Coors and Hanover, N.W. between Hanover and Brayton Road, N.W., have the conceptual drainage plan subject to the following conditions. The buildings should be located so that the finish floor is a minimum of 5096 ft. elevation and the total site after development should contain the same volume below the 5094.3 foot contour as it now contains in the natural state. In addition, the total developed runoff volume for this site must be contained below the 5094.3 level.

PHONE (505) 296-1089

12324 PINERIDGE, N.E. ALBUQUERQUE, N.M. 87112

CONCEPTUAL GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN FOR LOT A, BLOCK D OF GLENRIO HEIGHTS ADDITION

This conceptual Grading and Drainage Plan is submitted for lots A-1, A-2 and A-3 of Block D of the Glenrio Heights Addition in Albuquerque, New Mexico as shown on a summary plat dated August 2, 1982. The total area is 2.5604 acres.

The building site locations and dimensions are preliminary and are based on what prospective purchasers intend to build. At present, only options to buy exist and no detailed designs have been accomplished.

The site is bounded on all street sides by curb, gutter and paved streets. It is proposed to change the eyelid at 59th Street and Giomi Place to a single 75 ft. R curve at the property line.

The attached drawings are sheets 1 and 2 of 2. Sheet 1 is the conceptual Grading and Drainage Plan, and sheet 2 consists of the surveyors elevations.

The review draft of the FHEM, a study under progress by Bohannon Huston, Inc, shows this property within the 100 year flood plain. The assumptions which were made for this review draft are 1.25 inches of water contributed per unit area of the watershed, using this volume to compute the elevation needed to contain the total amount of water. No allowance was made for the existing storm drainage system, which contains at least 10 inlets within this area shown as a flood plain. There is no doubt that the results are approximately correct, based upon the assumptions made. However, if one follows this assumption to its logical conclusion, there would be no justification any storm sewer system throughout the city in so far as changing the flood hazard boundary is concerned.

Based upon the above discussion, it is concluded that the existing FHEM is appropriate and the storm sewer system was designed to handle the developed 10 year max. Q. storm. Therefore no ponding was recommended for this conceptual Grading and Drainage Plan. There is no offsite flow across the property and none is proposed.

Due to the small lot size and the recommended grades, no unusual erosion problems exist and no special control measures are recommended other than normal construction practises of watering for dust control and for maximum compaction with minimum compactive effort

Non	TYPE OF SUBMITTAL Conceptual
ROJECT TITLE Grading and Drainage Plan CONE ATLAS PAGE NO. J-11-Z-0 CITY ADDRESS	N W.
CITY ADDRESS	COOLS die War
LEGAL DESCRIPTION Block A Lot D, Glenrio H	eights Addition
LEGAL DESCRIPTION BLOCK & DOUBLE	CONTACT C.A. Coonce
ENGINEERING FIRM C.A. Coonce and Assoc.	CONTROL STATE
ADDRESS 12324 Pineridge, N.E., 87112	PHONE 296-1089
	CONTACT Hilda Cruz
OWNER Arthur Dow	PHONE 293-4833
******* 1001 2nd, S.W.	
	CONTACT
ARCHITECT None	PHONE
ADCRESS	
SURVEYOR Oliver Trujillo	CONTACT Oliver Trujillo
ADDRESS 221 Avalon Pl., N.W.	PHONE None
ADDRESS ZET ROSE	CONTACT
CONTRACTOR None	
ADDRESS	PHONE
ADURESS	
DATE SUBMITTED September 14, 1982	
BY C.A. Coonce Co.Com.	
3Y C.A. Coonce	
	RECEIVED

SEP 1 4 1982

ENGINEERING

C.A. (PAT) COONCE & ASSOC.

12324 PINERIDGE, N.E. ALBUQUERQUE, N.M. 87112 PHONE (505) 296-1089

CONCEPTUAL GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN FOR LOT A, BLOCK D OF GLENRIO HEIGHTS ADDITION

This conceptual Grading and Drainage Plan is submitted for lots A-1, A-2 and A-3 of Block D of the Glenrio Heights Addition in Albuquerque, New Mexico as shown on a summary plat dated August 2, 1982. The total area is 2.5604 acres.

The building site locations and dimensions are preliminary and are based on what prospective purchasers intend to build. At present, only options to buy exist and no detailed designs have been accomplished.

The site is bounded on all street sides by curb, gutter and paved streets. It is proposed to change the eyelid at 59th Street and Giomi Place to a single 75 ft. R curve at the property line.

The attached drawings are sheets 1 and 2 of 2. Sheet 1 is the conceptual Grading and Drainage Plan, and sheet 2 consists of the surveyors elevations.

The review draft of the FHBM, a study under progress by Bohannon Huston Inc, snows this property within the 100 year flood plain. The assumptions which were made for this review draft are 1.25 inches of water contributed per unit area of the watershed, using this volume to compute the elevation needed to contain the total amount of water. No allowance was made for the existing storm drainage system, which contains at least 10 inlets within this area shown as a flood plain. There is no doubt that the results are approximately correct, based upon the assumptions made. However, if one follows this assumption to its logical conclusion, there would be no justification any storm sewer system throughout the city in so far as changing the flood hazard boundary is concerned.

Based upon the above discussion, it is concluded that the existing FHEM is appropriate and the storm sewer system was designed to handle the developed 10 year max. Q. storm. Therefore no ponding was recommended for this conceptual Grading and Drainage Plan. There is no offsite flow across the property and none is proposed.

Due to the small lot size and the recommended grades, no unusual erosion problems exist and no special control measures are recommended other than normal construction practises of watering for dust control and for maximum compaction with minimum compactive effort

who have this

PROJECT TITLE Grading and Drainage Plan	TYPE OF SUBMITTAL Conceptual
ZONE ATLAS PAGE NO. J-11-Z CITY ADDRESS	Coors and Hanover, N.W.
ZONE ATLAS PAGE NO. J-11-2 CTTT ADDRESS	
LEGAL DESCRIPTION Block A Lot D, Glenrio H	eights Addition
SMCINEFRING FIRM C.A. Coonce and Assoc.	CONTACT C.A. Coonce
ADDRESS 1232h Pineridge, N.E., 87112	PHONE 296-1089
OWNER Arthur Dow	CONTACT Hilda Cruz
ADDRESS 1001 2nd, S.W. RECEIVED	PHONE 293-4833
	CONTACT '
ARCHITECT None SEP 1 4 1982	PHONE
ADURESS	CONTACT Oliver Trujillo
SURVEYOR Oliver Trulling ENGINEERING	
ADDRESS 221 Avalon Pl., N.W.	PHONE None
CONTRACTOR None	CONTACT
CONTRACTOR NODE	PHONE
ADCRESS	
DATE SUBMITTED September 14, 1982	
3Y C.A. Coonce Cacomi	

PHONE (505) 296-1089

CONCEPTUAL GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN FOR LOT A, BLOCK D OF GLENRIO HEIGHTS ADDITION

This conceptual Grading and Drainage Plan is submitted for lots A-1, A-2 and A-3 of Block D of the Glenrio Heights Addition in Albuquerque, New Mexico as shown on a summary plat dated August 2, 1982. The total area is 2.5604 acres.

The building site locations and dimensions are preliminary and are based on what prospective purchasers intend to build. At present, only options to buy exist and no detailed designs have been accomplished.

The site is bounded on all street sides by curb, gutter and paved streets. It is proposed to change the eyelid at 59th Street and Giomi Place to a single 75 ft. R curve at the property line.

The attached drawings are sheets 1 and 2 of 2. Sheet 1 is the conceptual Grading and Drainage Plan, and sheet 2 consists of the surveyors elevations.

The review draft of the FHBM, a study under progress by Bohannon Huston, Inc, shows this property within the 100 year flood plain. The assumptions which were made for this review draft are 1.25 inches of water contributed per unit area of the watershed, using this volume to compute the elevation needed to contain the total amount of water. No allowance was made for the existing storm drainage system, which contains at least 10 inlets within this area shown as a flood plain. There is no doubt that the results are approximately correct, based upon the assumptions made. However, if one follows this assumption to its logical conclusion, there would be no justification any storm sewer system throughout the city in so far as changing the flood hazard boundary is concerned.

Based upon the above discussion, it is concluded that the existing FHBM is appropriate and the storm sewer system was designed to handle the developed 10 year max. Q. storm. Therefore no ponding was recommended for this conceptual Grading and Drainage Plan. There is no offsite flow across the property and none is proposed.

Due to the small lot size and the recommended grades, no unusual erosion problems exist and no special control measures are recommended other than normal construction practises of watering for dust control and for maximum compaction with minimum compactive effort