CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

Planning Department Suzanne Lubar, Director



February 11, 2016

Richard Dourte, P.E. RHD Engineering, LLC 4305 Purple Sage Ave NW Albuquerque, NM 87120

RE: Monterey Baptist Church
12501 Lomas Blvd NE
Request 90-Day Temporary C.O. - Approved
Engineers Stamp Date 6/17/15 (J22D012A)

Dear Mr. Dourte,

PO Box 1293

Based on the e-mail received today 2/11/2016, the Monterey Baptist Church is acceptable for 90-Day Temporary release of Certificate of Occupancy by Hydrology. The permanent Certificate of Occupancy cannot be issued until the following comments are addressed:

Albuquerque

• A portion of the existing cobble swale that connects to the new pond has been removed and is missing.

New Mexico 87103

If you have any questions, you can contact me at 924-3695 or Totten Elliott at 924-3982.

www.cabq.gov

Sincerely,

Rita Harmon, P.E.

Senior Engineer, Planning Dept. Development Review Services

TE/RH

C: e-mail, Cordova, Camille C.; Connor, Miranda, Rachel; Sandoval, Darlene M.; Blocker, Lois

Elliott, Stanice

From: Richard Dourte <rhdengineering@outlook.com>

Sent:Thursday, February 11, 2016 10:57 AMTo:Elliott, Stanice; Carrasco, Martin N.

Cc: Joe Simons; Chris Romero; Patrick Joseph

Subject: Monterey Baptist Church

Ms. Elliot,

After a field visit to the site yesterday, I can verify that there is a swale on the north side of the sidewalk along Lomas. The swale is not lined or has there been stone place in this swale.

Please issue a temp. CO for this building until the swale has been lined and stone has been placed. Some regrading of the swale entrance to the pond may be needed...

Mr. Carrasco,

After our discussing this morning it is my understanding that there has not been a resolution on who removed the sidewalk adjacent to the missing stone swale (50ft +/-). You have contacted street maintenance to see if they replaced this section of sidewalk. You will contact us when you receive a response from street maintenance. You also plan on contacting the ABCWUA and see if they removed and replaced this sidewalk.

Another item I would like shed some light on is the sidewalk ordinance. It is my understanding that the adjacent property owner is responsible for the maintenance of their sidewalk. If the sidewalk was replaced due to disrepair of this sidewalk by the City, the church did benefit from this sidewalk replacement. It is not unusual that the cost of doing such work is borne by the adjacent property owner.

Thank you for everyone's help in this matter.

Richard

Sent from Windows Mail