

DRAINAGE INFORMATION SHEET

PROJECT TITLE: Prairie Hills Apartments ZONE ATLAS/DRNG. FILE #: J22/D36

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: Parcel "F", Panorama Heights

CITY ADDRESS: 1001 Service Road N.E.

ENGINEERING FIRM: Espey, Huston & Assoc. CONTACT: Dennis A. Lorenz
4801 Indian School N.E. Suite 204
 ADDRESS: Albuquerque, N.M. 87110 PHONE: (505) 255-1625

OWNER: A.G. Spanos Construction CONTACT: Paul Inglat
20 First Plaza, Suite 511
 ADDRESS: Albuquerque, N.M. 87102 PHONE: (505) 247-8783

ARCHITECT: N/A CONTACT: _____
 ADDRESS: _____ PHONE: _____

SURVEYOR: Espey, Huston and Assoc. CONTACT: Tim Aldrich
 ADDRESS: Same as above PHONE: (505) 255-1625


CONTRACTOR: A.G. SPANOS CONSTRUCTION CONTACT: PAUL INGLAT
 ADDRESS: SAME PHONE: 247-8783

PRE-DESIGN MEETING:

☒ YES

☐ NO

☐ COPY OF CONFERENCE RECAP SHEET PROVIDED



DRB NO. -

EPC NO. 2-84-108

PROJ. NO. -

TYPE OF SUBMITTAL:

☐ DRAINAGE REPORT

☐ DRAINAGE PLAN

☐ CONCEPTUAL GRADING & DRAINAGE PLAN

☐ GRADING PLAN

☐ EROSION CONTROL PLAN

☒ ENGINEER'S CERTIFICATION

CHECK TYPE OF APPROVAL SOUGHT:

☐ SKETCH PLAT APPROVAL

☐ PRELIMINARY PLAT APPROVAL

☐ SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN APPROVAL

☐ FINAL PLAT APPROVAL

☐ BUILDING PERMIT APPROVAL

☐ FOUNDATION PERMIT APPROVAL

☒ CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY APPROVAL

☐ ROUGH GRADING PERMIT APPROVAL

☐ GRADING/PAVING PERMIT APPROVAL

☐ OTHER _____ (SPECIFY)

DATE SUBMITTED: APRIL 2, 1986

BY: Dennis A. Lorenz



City of Albuquerque

P.O. BOX 1293 ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103

DESIGN HYDROLOGY SECTION
123 Central NW, Albuquerque, NM 87102
(505) 766-7644

November 8, 1984

Mr. Dennis Lorenz
Espey, Huston & Associates
4801 Indian School Rd. N.E.
Albuquerque, N.M. 87110

RE: OFFSITE DRAINAGE FOR PRAIRIE HILL (J22-D36) RECEIVED 10/9/84

Dear Mr. Lorenz:

I agree with the analysis done at Chelwood and Lomas Blvd. N.E., the 10.1 cfs discharge and 0.85 cfs/acre appear to be a good criteria for the drainage area. However, before conceptual approval can be given the following concerns should be addressed, please address the method in which Prairie Hills discharge will travel through Quail Ridge Subdivision. How will Quail Ridge ponding areas discharge? Also, will the inlets to the ponds be changed to accept or pass the Prairie Hills discharge?


If I can be of further assistance, please contact me at 766-7644.

Sincerely yours,

Carlos A. Montoya
Civil Engineer/Hydrology

CAM/ccg

MUNICIPAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT



City of Albuquerque

P.O. BOX 1293 ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103

DESIGN HYDROLOGY SECTION
123 Central NW, Albuquerque, NM 87102
(505) 766-7644

April 7, 1986

Jim Leymon
Espey, Huston & Associates, Inc.
4801 Indian School Road, NE Suite 204
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87110

RE: CERTIFICATION FOR PRAIRIE HILLS APARTMENTS (J-22/D36)
CERTIFICATION STATEMENT DATED APRIL 2, 1986

Dear Mr. Leymon:

Based on the information provided on your April 2, 1986 resubmittal,
the above referenced certification is acceptable.

If I can be of further assistance, please feel free to call me at
766-7644.

Cordially,

Bernie J. Montoya

Bernie J. Montoya, C.E.
Engineering Assistant/Hydrology

BJM/bsj

MUNICIPAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Dwayne Sheppard, P.E., Civil Engineer

ENGINEERING DIVISION

Telephone (505) 766-7467

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER



City of Albuquerque

P.O. BOX 1293 ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103

August 31, 1988

A.G. Spanos Construction, Inc.
1341 W. Robinhood Drive
Stockton, California 95207

RE: DRAINAGE COMPLAINT FROM THE QUAIL RIDGE SUBDIVISION
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO (J-22/D36)

Gentlemen:

A drainage complaint was filed with our office on July 12, 1988 regarding overflow from Pond "B" which flows entered Lots 23 and 24 of the Quail Ridge Subdivision causing a garden wall to fail.

A subsequent field inspection and a topographic survey was conducted by the City's Survey Section, which revealed a grade deviation from the approved as-built certification provided by your consultant (Espey, Huston & Associates, Inc.). In particular, the elevation of the berm adjacent to Lots 23 and 24 is approximately .2 feet lower than the overflow elevation which resulted in the storm runoff exiting Pond "B" and collapsing a garden wall adjacent to Lots 23 and 24.

The maintenance of the berm is the responsibility of the owner, hence we are requesting that you make the necessary corrections as soon as possible to eliminate a recurrence of a similar problem.

Please contact me when the corrections have been completed. If I can be of further assistance, please feel free to call me at 768-2650.

Cordially,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading 'Bernie J. Montoya', is written above the typed name.

Bernie J. Montoya, C.E.
Engineering Assistant

CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT NO. P 261 028 315

xc: Jim Leyman, Espey, Huston & Associates, Inc.
Sharon McPhee, Prairie Hills Apartments
George Selvia, Director, PWD
Councillor M. Wiener

BJM/bsj
(WP+75)

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

DRAINAGE COMPLAINT REPORT

Location Tramway N. of Lomas Sheet 1 of 1

PRAIRIE HILLS APARTMENTS

Reported by: RICHARD ANDES ¹⁰¹² PAWNEE Phone 292-3379 - Home

Address: Quail Ridge Subdivision Ext. 844-2149 - Work

Complainant "Same as above" Phone

Address Ext.

Taken by: Roger Green Date 7/12/88

COMPLAINT Pond B overflows to west and floods houses to west. Spillway may be higher than top of west berm or else blocked. Please Survey and compare to "As-Built's".

Referred to:

INVESTIGATION - CAUSE RUNOFF FROM PRAIRIE HILLS POND OVERTOPPING WEST BERM & RUNNING INTO 1012-1016 PAWNEE BACKYARDS. WALL @ 1012 HAS COLLAPSED. PONDS HAVE INSUFFICIENT CAPACITY.

Recommendation:

Investigated by: Rick Duran Date

Action taken: Survey done on Pond "B" survey shows that the berm within certain places is below the spillway elevation. Letter is being sent to Engineer who certified the drainage concept. Also to owner AS. Spans

Taken by: Date

Follow Up:

Taken by: Date

Zone Atlas

J22

Drainage Map

J22/D36

Plan No.

Date

Complaint Report No.

Date

Project No.

Approved


ESPEY, HUSTON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

Engineering & Environmental Consultants
4801 INDIAN SCHOOL ROAD, N.E., SUITE 204
ALBUQUERQUE, N.M. 87110
(505) 255-1625

EH&A Job No. 5631

CORPORATE OFFICE
P.O. BOX 519
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78767

DRAINAGE REPORT
FOR
PRAIRIE HILLS APARTMENTS



Prepared for:

A.G. Spanos
20 First Plaza
Suite 511
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

February 1985

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
PURPOSE AND SCOPE	1
LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION	2
EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS	5
PROPOSED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS	7
EROSION CONTROL	9
CONCLUSIONS	10
CALCULATIONS	12

LIST OF FIGURES AND EXHIBITS

VICINITY MAP - FIGURE 1	3
SOILS MAP - FIGURE 2	4
FLOOD HAZARD MAP - FIGURE 3	6
EXHIBIT A	11
EXISTING DRAINAGE BASIN BOUNDARIES - PLATE 1	Back pocket
GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN - PLATES 2 & 3	Back Pocket
QUAIL RIDGE DRAINAGE BASIN BOUNDARIES - PLATE 4	Back pocket
QUAIL RIDGE STORM DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS - PLATE 5	Back pocket



PURPOSE AND SCOPE


The purpose of this report is to establish the criteria for controlling surface runoff from the Prairie Hills Apartments in a manner which is acceptable to the City of Albuquerque and the Albuquerque Metropolitan Flood Control Authority (AMAFCA). The plan determines the excess runoff resulting from 100-year/6-hour and 10-year/6-hour frequency storms falling within the project site and contributing drainage basins under existing and developed conditions.

The scope of this plan is to ensure that the project site will be protected from storm runoff and that the project will not increase the flooding potential to adjacent properties and downstream areas.

LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

The Prairie Hills Apartments are located on Service Road N.E., just west of Tramway Boulevard N.E., approximately 400 feet north of Lomas Boulevard N.E. The site is more particularly described as Parcel "F", Panorama Heights. The vicinity map (See Figure 1) graphically depicts the location of the site.

The site is approximately 11.84 acres in size and is presently undeveloped. The natural topography slopes from east to west at approximately 5%. The major soil group present on this site is Embudo-Tijeras complex. The soil is found on slopes of 0% to 9% on the east mesa. The unit is composed of approximately 50% Embudo gravelly fine sandy loam and about 35% Tijeras gravelly fine sandy loam (See Figure 2). Also included in this unit are areas of Tesajo, Millett and Wink Soils, which make up the remaining 15% of the unit. The U.S.D.A. Soils Conservation Service has classified this unit as a type "B" soil.



VICINITY MAP

SCALE 1" = 800'

J-22

FIGURE I



EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

The project site is currently undeveloped. The site is bounded on the east by Tramway Service Road (unimproved), on the south by undeveloped land, and on the north and west by developed residential areas. No off-site flows enter the site as all off-site flows are intercepted by the Tramway channel.

Plate I illustrates the existing on-site drainage basins affecting the site and adjacent downstream areas (See back panel). Basin "A" discharges directly into Marble Avenue N.E. and then through existing ponding areas in Quail Ridge Subdivision. Basin "B" also discharges directly into Alice Avenue N.E. and then through existing ponding areas in Quail Ridge Subdivision. Basin "C" discharges onto the undeveloped property to the south where it eventually outfalls into Lomas Boulevard N.E.

As mentioned above, Basins "A" and "B" discharge directly into Marble Avenue and Alice Avenue respectively. Quail Ridge Subdivision was developed with four (4) retention ponds that collect storm water from undeveloped Parcel "F", Panoram Heights and Quail Ridge Subdivision. No outfall is provided to drain the retention ponds, contrary to current drainage ordinances.

As shown by Plate J-22 of the Albuquerque Master Drainage Study, Volume III (See Figure 3) this site does not lie within a designated flood hazard zone.



PROPOSED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

The proposed drainage plan (See Plates 2 & 3) is included in the back pocket of this report. The plan shows 1) drainage basins; 2) developed peak flow rates; 3) existing and proposed elevations indicated by spot elevations and contours at one foot intervals; 4) continuity between proposed and existing elevations; 5) proposed buildings, private streets, parking areas, community facilities, and landscaping improvements; 6) proposed drainage patterns and structures.

The proposed development will consist of a 260 unit apartment complex, with 1 recreation - office complex, two swimming pools, tennis courts, covered parking and landscaping.

As per pre-design conferences with City Hydrology staff, a downstream analysis was conducted to determine downstream capacity. This analysis is included in this report (See Exhibit A). It was determined that downstream capacity is limited due to inadequate storm sewers and street capacity. The allowable discharge rate for developed land within the drainage basin was established at 0.85 CFS per acre by the analysis. This rate projects to an allowable discharge of 10.1 CFS for the Prairie Hills Apartment site. The discharge from Prairie Hills Apartments will be controlled through detention pond facilities.

All storm water discharged from Prairie Hills Apartments drains through Quail Ridge Subdivision (See Plate 4). Quail Ridge Subdivision was developed with four (4) retention pond sites to collect on-site and undeveloped off-site stormwater. The existing retention ponds do not drain, therefore, evaporation and percolation are the only means of removing stormwater from the ponds. Additional stormwater due to the upstream development of Prairie Hills Apartments will further impact the ponds. Further analysis of the Quail Ridge Subdivision ponding scheme (See Exhibit "A") revealed that; 1) the central pond is equipped with an overflow channel sized for developed flows; 2) the three (3) retention ponds

located along Nakomis Drive N.E. have no overflow, therefore excess stormwater will spillover the sidewalk and onto Nakomis Drive; 3) considering the allowable 0.85 CFS/acre discharge rate established by downstream analysis, Quail Ridge Subdivision is over ponding stormwater; and 4) as per the current drainage ordinance retention ponding is illegal.

Further negotiations with Mr. Fred Aguirre resulted in additional studies to determine downstream impact due to the free discharge of stormwater from Quail Ridge Subdivision. This analysis revealed an increase in the street depth at Lomas Boulevard of only 0.03 feet. In light of this, a free discharge was granted to Quail Ridge Subdivision, thus eliminating the existing retention ponds. Prairie Hills Apartments is of course required to detain stormwater at the established rate.

As shown by the plan (See Figures 2 and 3) all stormwater is routed within the Prairie Hills site to a series of detention ponds located along the west end of the site. The detention ponds discharge into Marble Avenue and Alice Avenue at a controlled rate. Overflow spillways are provided to handle flows in excess of the 100-year/6-hour storm.

Stormwater will then bypass the existing ponds within Quail Ridge Subdivision. A continuous concrete channel will be constructed through the central pond and the four (4) existing catch basins will be plugged prohibiting stormwater from entering the ponds along Nakomis (See Figure 5). All facilities within Quail Ridge Subdivision were found to have adequate capacity to accept flows from Prairie Hills Apartments.

EROSION CONTROL

Erosion will not result due to this development. All exposed soils will be ultimately landscaped. Temporary erosion control measures will be taken during the construction phase. Temporary erosion control will consist of a ditch-dyke system placed along the south and west boundaries of the site (See Plate 2). Temporary sedimentation ponds will be constructed at the site of the permanent detention ponds. All temporary erosion control measures shall remain in place until permanent drainage facilities are completed.



CONCLUSIONS

The following conclusions can be made with regard to the development of Prairie Hills Apartments:

1. This site does not lie within a designated flood hazard zone.
2. Off-site analysis establishes the allowable discharge rate at 0.85 CFS per acre.
3. Off-site analysis supports the elimination of the four (4) existing retention ponds at Quail Ridge Subdivision.
4. The Quail Ridge Subdivision drainage improvements will be constructed concurrently with this project.
5. Positive drainage will be provided away from all improvements.
6. The proposed improvements will not increase the flooding potential adjacent of downstream properties.
7. Erosion will not result due to this development.
8. This drainage plan is in complete compliance with the approved conceptual drainage plan and downstream analysis.

ESPEY, HUSTON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

Engineering & Environmental Consultants

4801 INDIAN SCHOOL ROAD, N.E., SUITE 204

ALBUQUERQUE, N.M. 87110

(505) 255-1625

CORPORATE OFFICE
P.O. BOX 519
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78767

October 2, 1984

Mr. Carlos Montoya
Civil Engineer/Hydrology
City of Albuquerque
Post Office Box 1293
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103

SUBJECT: Off-Site Drainage Analysis for Prairie Hills Apartments

Dear Carlos:


Transmitted herewith for your review is one copy of the revised off-site analysis for Prairie Hill Apartments. I have expanded the drainage basin maps to clarify the drainage basins that I am using.

In response to your questions regarding the total drainage area and why the area bounded by Lomas, Constitution, Chelwood and Nakomis is not considered a part of the sub-basin under study, I have the following comments:

This drainage area does drain into the storm sewer system in question, however, it does so through numerous inlets upstream from analysis point No. 1. The capacity of the mainline in Chelwood is stated in the Albuquerque Master Drainage Study. The point made in the off-site analysis is that the Albuquerque Master Drainage Study failed to consider the bank of inlets located on Lomas, just east of Chelwood. This bank of inlets is the only relief for the sub-basin under study. By analysis of these inlets and connector pipe, the calculations demonstrate that this system of inlets has a capacity of 82 CFS. Considering a estimated $Q_{10} = 136$ CFS, future development in this area should be required to retain storm water. This retention should be considered temporary, until system "314-03D" is constructed.

I hope this has answered your questions. If I may be of further assistance, please call.

Sincerely,



Dennis Lorenz

/lm



PRAIRIE HILL APTS.

SHEET 1 OF 1 BY
DATE 8/6/84 CK BY

I. INTRODUCTION

THIS DOWNSTREAM ANALYSIS IS PROVIDED AS A SUPPLEMENT TO THE CONCEPTUAL DRAINAGE PLAN SUBMITTED FOR PRAIRIE HILL APARTMENTS. THIS ANALYSIS WILL DETERMINE THE DRAINAGE CRITERIA FOR THE PRAIRIE HILL APARTMENTS AS WELL AS REDEFINING DRAINAGE PARAMETERS AT QUAIL RIDGE SUB'N.

II. RESULTS

THE ANALYSIS POINT UNDER STUDY IS LOCATED AT CITELWOOD AND LOMAS. THIS IS SHOWN IN THE ALB. MASTER DRAINAGE STUDY AS AP 1202. AS SHOWN BY THE HYDROGRAPHS (EXHIBIT A) FROM CITY HYDROLOGY (ENGR DIV.) FOR AP 1202, THE STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM AT AP 1202 HAS A CAPACITY OF 189 CFS. HOWEVER, THE HYDROGRAPHS DO NOT ACCURATELY DESCRIBE THE



PRAIRIE HILL APTS.

SHEET 2 OF BY DL
DATE 8/6/84 CK BY

THE FLOW CHARACTERISTICS OF THE DRAINAGE BASIN. THE HYDROGRAPHS DO NOT STUDY THE SUB-BASINS AS THEY ENTER EACH POINT OF THE SYSTEM. SUCH IS THE CASE AT CHELWOOD AND LOMAS. STUDYING THE BANK OF INLETS AT CHELWOOD AND LOMAS IT WAS DETERMINED THAT THE 30" CONNECTOR PIPE LIMITS THE CAPACITY OF THIS 'SUB-SYSTEM' TO APPROXIMATELY 82 CFS. THE SUB-BASIN THAT DRAINS INTO THIS 'SUB-SYSTEM' IS APPROXIMATELY 98 ACRES IN SIZE WITH $Q_{100} = 207$ CFS AND $Q_{10} = 136$ CFS. THEREFORE, THIS 'SUB-SYSTEM' IS UNDER-SIZED. A UNIT DISCHARGE THEORY WAS THEN APPLIED TO SET THE DISCHARGE PER ACRE AT APPROX 0.85 CFS/AC. USING A UNIT DISCHARGE APPROACH, IT WAS DETERMINED THAT QUAIL RIDGE SUB'N IS REQUIRED TO POND APPROX




ESPEY, HUSTON & ASSOCIATES INC.
Engineering & Environmental Consultants

SUBJECT _____

PRAIRIE HILL APTS.

SHEET 3 OF _____ BY PL
DATE 8/6/84 CK BY _____

28,570 CF OF STORM WATER WITH
A 6.8 CFS DISCHARGE. PRESENTLY
QUAIL RIDGE PONDS APPROX 35,330 CF
WITH NO POSITIVE DISCHARGE. THEREFORE,
QUAIL RIDGE IS PONDING APPROX 7000 CF
OF EXCESS STORM WATER.





PRAIRIE HILL APTS

SHEET _____ OF _____ BY JD
DATE 8/6 CK BY _____

III CALCULATIONS

A. CAPACITY OF SD SYSTEM @ CHELWOOD + LOMAS:

1. PER C.O.A. STORM DRAINAGE FACILITIES
MAP J-22S ; AT CHELWOOD ; LOMAS
THERE ARE 15 D/C INLETS,

ASSUMING DEPTH OF WATER IN GUTTER
OF 0.75' MAX BY PLATE 22.3 D-6 (DPM)
 $Q/\text{INLET} = 17.0 \text{ CFS}$

\Rightarrow TOTAL Q INTERCEPTED BY INLET
BANK = $15 \times 17.0 = 255 \text{ CFS} \pm$

2. CHECKING CONNECTOR PIPE, AS PER MAP
J-22-S, LARGEST PIPE = 30" RCP @ $S = 0.040$
BY MANNING EQN:

$$Q = \frac{1.49}{n} A R^{2/3} S^{1/2}$$

$$Q = 82 \text{ CFS}$$

$$n = 0.013$$

$$A = 4.91 \text{ SF}$$

$$R = 0.62$$

$$S = 0.040$$

\Rightarrow CONNECTOR PIPE LIMITS CAPACITY OF
SYSTEM TO APPROX 82 CFS



PRAIRIE HILL APTS

SHEET _____
DATE _____

OF _____

BY _____

CK BY _____

B. DISCHARGE DETERMINATION

ASSUMING A DOWSTREAM CAPACITY
OF 82 CFS ± A UNIT DISCHARGE
RATE PER ACRE CAN BE CALCULATED

$$\Rightarrow \frac{83 \text{ CFS}}{98 \text{ ACRES}} = 0.85 \text{ CFS/ACRE}$$

CONSIDERING BOTH PRAIRIE HILL
AND QUAIL RIDGE :

$$\text{PRAIRIE HILL } 11.84 \text{ AC } (0.85) = 10.1 \text{ CFS}$$

$$\text{QUAIL RIDGE } 8.0 \text{ AC } (0.85) = 6.8 \text{ CFS}$$

C. PONDING VOLUMES

BY POND ROUTING, THE REQUIRED
VOLUMES FOR EACH SITE ARE
DETERMINED BY SOLVING HYDROGRAPHS:

$$\text{PRAIRIE HILL } \text{VOL} = 42,065 \text{ CF}$$

$$\text{QUAIL RIDGE } \text{VOL} = 28,570 \text{ CF}$$




ESPEY, HUSTON & ASSOCIATES INC.
Engineering & Environmental Consultants

SUBJECT PRAIRIE HILL

HYDROGRAPHS

SHEET 1 OF 1 BY DL
DATE 8/6/84 CK BY _____



DRAINAGE CRITERIA

IN THIS ANALYSIS THE RATIONAL METHOD OF ESTIMATING RUNOFF IS USED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS MANUAL, VOLUME II, CHAPTER 22. THE FOLLOWING DESIGN CONSTANTS ARE USED IN THE ANALYSIS:

1. RAINFALL; $P_{100} = 2.51$ in $P_{10} = 1.65$ in
2. SOIL; EMBUDO-TIJERAS COMPLEX - TYPE 'B' SOIL
3. 'C' FACTOR; UNDEVELOPED $C = 0.34$
ON-SITE DEVELOPED $C = 0.66$ @ 65% IMPERVIOUS
PLATE 22.2 C-1 DPM

I. EXISTING CONDITIONS

BASIN 'A'

LENGTH = 700 Ft.
EL. DIFF. = 39 Ft.
SLOPE = .055714 ft/ft
TIME OF CONCENTRATION = 10 Min.
RUNOFF COEFF. = .34
AREA = 6.86 Acres

P100 = 2.51 Inches.
P10 = 1.6491 Inches.

I100 = 5.3055 Inches/Hr.
I10 = 3.4857 Inches/Hr.

Q100 = 12.375 c.f.s.
Q10 = 8.1304 c.f.s.

VOL100 = 21251 c.f.
VOL10 = 13962 c.f.

BASIN 'B'

LENGTH = 610 Ft.
EL. DIFF. = 27 Ft.
SLOPE = .044262 ft/ft
TIME OF CONCENTRATION = 10 Min.
RUNOFF COEFF. = .34
AREA = 3.6 Acres

P100 = 2.51 Inches.
P10 = 1.6491 Inches.

I100 = 5.3055 Inches/Hr.
I10 = 3.4857 Inches/Hr.

Q100 = 6.4939 c.f.s.
Q10 = 4.2665 c.f.s.

VOL100 = 11152 c.f.
VOL10 = 7326.9 c.f.

BASIN 'C'

LENGTH = 480 Ft.
EL. DIFF. = 23 Ft.
SLOPE = .047917 ft/ft
TIME OF CONCENTRATION = 10 Min.
RUNOFF COEFF. = .34
AREA = 1.38 Acres

P100 = 2.51 Inches.
P10 = 1.6491 Inches.

I100 = 5.3055 Inches/Hr.
I10 = 3.4857 Inches/Hr.

Q100 = 2.4893 c.f.s.
Q10 = 1.6355 c.f.s.

VOL100 = 4275 c.f.
VOL10 = 2808.7 c.f.

II. DEVELOPED CONDITIONS

BASIN 'A1'

LENGTH = 530 Ft.
EL. DIFF. = 27 Ft.
SLOPE = .050943 ft/ft
TIME OF CONCENTRATION = 10 Min.
RUNOFF COEFF. = .66
AREA = 1.19 Acres

P100 = 2.51 Inches.
P10 = 1.6491 Inches.

I100 = 5.3055 Inches/Hr.
I10 = 3.4857 Inches/Hr.

Q100 = 4.1669 c.f.s.
Q10 = 2.7377 c.f.s.

VOL100 = 7156 c.f.
VOL10 = 4701.5 c.f.

ALLOWABLE DISCHARGE = 1 c.f.s.

POND VOLUME = 4133.5 c.f.

BASIN 'A2'

LENGTH = 250 Ft.
EL. DIFF. = 10 Ft.
SLOPE = .04 ft/ft
TIME OF CONCENTRATION = 10 Min.
RUNOFF COEFF. = .66
AREA = 1 Acres

P100 = 2.51 Inches.
P10 = 1.6491 Inches.

I100 = 5.3055 Inches/Hr.
I10 = 3.4857 Inches/Hr.

Q100 = 3.5016 c.f.s.
Q10 = 2.3006 c.f.s.

VOL100 = 6013.5 c.f.
VOL10 = 3950.9 c.f.

ALLOWABLE DISCHARGE = .85 c.f.s.

POND VOLUME = 3448.3 c.f.

BASIN 'A3'

LENGTH = 520 Ft.
EL. DIFF. = 23 Ft.
SLOPE = .044231 ft/ft
TIME OF CONCENTRATION = 10 Min.
RUNOFF COEFF. = .66
AREA = 2.94 Acres

P100 = 2.51 Inches.
P10 = 1.6491 Inches.

I100 = 5.3055 Inches/Hr.
I10 = 3.4857 Inches/Hr.

Q100 = 10.295 c.f.s.
Q10 = 6.7638 c.f.s.

VOL100 = 17680 c.f.
VOL10 = 11616 c.f.

ALLOWABLE DISCHARGE = 2.5 c.f.s.

POND VOLUME = 10136 c.f.

BASIN 'A' TOTAL

LENGTH = 530 Ft.
EL. DIFF. = 27 Ft.
SLOPE = .050943 ft/ft
TIME OF CONCENTRATION = 10 Min.
RUNOFF COEFF. = .66
AREA = 5.13 Acres

P100 = 2.51 Inches.
P10 = 1.6491 Inches.

I100 = 5.3055 Inches/Hr.
I10 = 3.4857 Inches/Hr.

Q100 = 17.963 c.f.s.
Q10 = 11.802 c.f.s.

VOL100 = 30849 c.f.
VOL10 = 20268 c.f.

ALLOWABLE DISCHARGE = 4.36 c.f.s.

POND VOLUME = 17691 c.f.

BASIN 'B1'

LENGTH = 470 Ft.
EL. DIFF. = 20 Ft.
SLOPE = .042553 ft/ft
TIME OF CONCENTRATION = 10 Min.
RUNOFF COEFF. = .66
AREA = 1.8 Acres

P100 = 2.51 Inches.
P10 = 1.6491 Inches.

I100 = 5.3055 Inches/Hr.
I10 = 3.4857 Inches/Hr.

Q100 = 6.3029 c.f.s.
Q10 = 4.141 c.f.s.

VOL100 = 10824 c.f.
VOL10 = 7111.4 c.f.

BASIN 'B2'

LENGTH = 910 Ft.
EL. DIFF. = 29 Ft.
SLOPE = .031868 ft/ft
TIME OF CONCENTRATION = 10 Min.
RUNOFF COEFF. = .66
AREA = 4.04 Acres

P100 = 2.51 Inches.
P10 = 1.6491 Inches.

I100 = 5.3055 Inches/Hr.
I10 = 3.4857 Inches/Hr.

Q100 = 14.147 c.f.s.
Q10 = 9.2946 c.f.s.

VOL100 = 24294 c.f.
VOL10 = 15961 c.f.

BASIN 'B' TOTAL

LENGTH = 910 Ft.
EL. DIFF. = 29 Ft.
SLOPE = .031868 ft/ft
TIME OF CONCENTRATION = 10 Min.
RUNOFF COEFF. = .66
AREA = 5.84 Acres

P100 = 2.51 Inches.
P10 = 1.6491 Inches.

I100 = 5.3055 Inches/Hr.
I10 = 3.4857 Inches/Hr.

Q100 = 20.45 c.f.s.
Q10 = 13.436 c.f.s.

VOL100 = 35119 c.f.
VOL10 = 23073 c.f.

ALLOWABLE DISCHARGE = 5 c.f.s.

POND VOLUME = 20045 c.f.

BASIN 'C'

LENGTH = 550 Ft.
EL. DIFF. = 19 Ft.
SLOPE = .034545 ft/ft
TIME OF CONCENTRATION = 10 Min.
RUNOFF COEFF. = .66
AREA = .8 Acres

P100 = 2.51 Inches.
P10 = 1.6491 Inches.

I100 = 5.3055 Inches/Hr.
I10 = 3.4857 Inches/Hr.

Q100 = 2.8013 c.f.s.
Q10 = 1.8405 c.f.s.

VOL100 = 4810.8 c.f.
VOL10 = 3160.7 c.f.

ALLOWABLE DISCHARGE = .7 c.f.s.

POND VOLUME = 2706.9 c.f.

8.46 32.61 cfs

III. POND DESIGN

BASIN 'A' TOTAL - PONDS A1,A2,A3,A4

REQUIRED POND VOLUME = 17691 CF
DESIGN POND WITH 18 INCH MAXIMUM WATER DEPTH
AVAILABLE VOLUME (POND A1,A2,A3) = 9997 CF
USE ROCK BOTTOM 18 INCHES THICK WITH $n=0.40$
ROCK VOLUME = 8222 CF
STORAGE IN ROCK LAYER = 3290 CF
TOTAL PONDED VOLUME PROVIDED (PONDS A1,A2,A3) = 13286 CF

PONDED VOLUME POND A4 = 4500 CF

TOTAL PONDED VOLUME BASIN 'A' = 17785 CF

BASIN 'B' TOTAL - POND B

REQUIRED POND VOLUME = 20045 CF
DESIGN POND WITH 18 INCH MAXIMUM WATER DEPTH
AVAILABLE VOLUME = 12140 CF
USE ROCK BOTTOM 18 INCHES THICK WITH $n=0.40$
ROCK VOLUME = 12200 CF
STORAGE IN ROCK LAYER = 4880 CF
ADD PONDED VOLUME IN PARKING LOT = 3365 CF
TOTAL PONDED VOLUME PROVIDED = 20385 CF

BASIN 'C' - POND C

REQUIRED POND VOLUME = 2707 CF
DESIGN POND WITH 18 INCH MAXIMUM WATER DEPTH
AVAILABLE VOLUME = 2650 CF
USE ROCK BOTTOM 18 INCHES THICK WITH $n=0.40$
ROCK VOLUME = 2250 CF
STORAGE IN ROCK LAYER = 900 CF
TOTAL PONDED VOLUME PROVIDED = 3550 CF

IV. POND OUTFALL DESIGN

FOND A1

ALLOWABLE DISCHARGE = 1.0 CFS

BY ORIFACE EQN: Q(4" PIPE) = 0.95 CFS OK

PROVIDE RIF RAP OVERFLOW SWALE TO FOND A2

FOND A2

ALLOWABLE DISCHARGE = 0.85 CFS

BY ORIFACE EQN: $Q(4" \text{ PIPE}) = 0.95 \text{ CFS}$ OK

PROVIDE RIP RAP OVERFLOW SWALE TO POND A3


FOND A3

ALLOWABLE DISCHARGE = ALLOWABLE DISCHARGE FROM BASIN 'A' = 4.36 (

BY ORIFACE EQN: Q(6" PIPE) = 1.7 CFS

USE 3-6 INCH PIPES. Q = 5.1 CFS OK

PROVIDE CONCRETE SPILLWAY



BY WEIR EQN: WHERE $H = 0.67 \text{ FT}$
 $Q = 18.0 \text{ CFS}$
 THEN $L = 10 \text{ FT}$

FOND A4

PROVIDE DRAINLINE TO GIVE 24 HOUR DRAIN TIME

CHECK 4 INCH PIPE:

BY ORIFACE EQN: $Q(4" \text{ PIPE}) = 0.7 \text{ CFS}$

$$\text{DRAIN TIME} = 4500 \text{ CF} / (0.7 \text{ CFS} * 3600) = 2 \text{ HRS}$$


FOND B

ALLOWABLE DISCHARGE = 5.0 CFS

BY ORIFACE EQN: $Q(6" \text{ PIPE}) = 1.7 \text{ CFS}$

USE 3-6 INCH PIPES Q = 5.1 CFS OK

PROVIDE CONCRETE SPILLWAY



BY WEIR EQN:

WHERE $H = 0.67 \text{ FT}$

Q = 20.5 CFS


THEN $L = 12 \text{ FT}$

FOND C

ALLOWABLE DISCHARGE = 0.7 CFS

BY ORIFACE EQN: $Q(4" \text{ PIPE}) = 0.95 \text{ CFS}$ OK

PROVIDE CONCRETE SPILLWAY



BY WEIR EQN:

WHERE $H = 0.67 \text{ FT}$

Q = 2.8 CFS

THEN $L = 5 \text{ FT}$

V. QUAIL RIDGE SUBDIVISION

BASIN I

LENGTH = 545 Ft.
EL. DIFF. = 16 Ft.
SLOPE = .029358 ft/ft
TIME OF CONCENTRATION = 10 Min.
RUNOFF COEFF. = .66
AREA = 1.6 Acres

P100 = 2.51 Inches.
P10 = 1.6491 Inches.

I100 = 5.3055 Inches/Hr.
I10 = 3.4857 Inches/Hr.

Q100 = 5.6026 c.f.s.
Q10 = 3.6809 c.f.s.

VOL100 = 9621.5 c.f.
VOL10 = 6321.3 c.f.

ADD Q100 = 20.5 CFS FROM PRAIRIE HILLS POND 'B'
Q(TOTAL) = 20.5 + 5.6 = 26.1 CFS

BASIN II

LENGTH = 585 Ft.
EL. DIFF. = 26 Ft.
SLOPE = .044444 ft/ft
TIME OF CONCENTRATION = 10 Min.
RUNOFF COEFF. = .66
AREA = 2.7 Acres

P100 = 2.51 Inches.
P10 = 1.6491 Inches.

I100 = 5.3055 Inches/Hr.
I10 = 3.4857 Inches/Hr.

Q100 = 9.4544 c.f.s.
Q10 = 6.2115 c.f.s.

VOL100 = 16236 c.f.
VOL10 = 10667 c.f.

ADD Q100 = 18.0 CFS FROM PRAIRIE HILLS POND 'A3'
Q(TOTAL) = 18.0 + 9.5 = 27.5 CFS

BASIN III

LENGTH = 585 Ft.
EL. DIFF. = 29 Ft.
SLOPE = .049573 ft/ft
TIME OF CONCENTRATION = 10 Min.
RUNOFF COEFF. = .66
AREA = 3.7 Acres

P100 = 2.51 Inches.
P10 = 1.6491 Inches.

I100 = 5.3055 Inches/Hr.
I10 = 3.4857 Inches/Hr.

Q100 = 12.956 c.f.s.
Q10 = 8.5121 c.f.s.

VOL100 = 22250 c.f.
VOL10 = 14618 c.f.

ADD Q100 = 2.8 CFS FROM PRAIRIE HILLS POND 'C'
ADD Q100 = 26.1 CFS FROM BASIN I
Q(TOTAL) = 2.8 + 26.1 + 13.0 = 41.9 CFS

VI. TOTAL DEVELOPED RUNOFF FOR PRAIRIE HILLS APTS. AND QUAIL RIDGE SUBDIVISION @ NAKOMIS DR.

LENGTH = 1495 Ft.
EL. DIFF. = 58 Ft.
SLOPE = .038796 ft/ft
TIME OF CONCENTRATION = 10 Min.
RUNOFF COEFF. = .66
AREA = 19.84 Acres

P100 = 2.51 Inches.
P10 = 1.6491 Inches.

I100 = 5.3055 Inches/Hr.
I10 = 3.4857 Inches/Hr.

Q100 = 69.472 c.f.s.
Q10 = 45.643 c.f.s.

VOL100 = 119310 c.f.
VOL10 = 78387 c.f.

VII. QUAIL RIDGE CHANNEL CAPACITY DETERMINATION

A. REACH 1 @ S = 2.0 %

RECTANGULAR SECTION

BOTTOM WIDTH = 4 FT
DEPTH = .67 FT
AREA = 2.68 SF
HYDRAULIC RADIUS = .50187 FT
SLOPE = .02 FT/FT
n = .013
Q = 27.434 CFS
VELOCITY = 10.237 FPS

SOLVING FOR DEPTH @ Q=26.1 CFS
d = 0.6'
V = 10.9 FPS

B. REACH 2 @ S = 6.1 %

RECTANGULAR SECTION

BOTTOM WIDTH = 4 FT
DEPTH = .67 FT
AREA = 2.68 SF
HYDRAULIC RADIUS = .50187 FT
SLOPE = .061 FT/FT
n = .013
Q = 47.911 CFS
VELOCITY = 17.877 FPS


SOLVING FOR DEPTH @ Q=26.1 CFS
d = 0.45'
V = 14.5 FPS

C. REACH 3 @ S = 5.2 %

RECTANGULAR SECTION

BOTTOM WIDTH = 4 FT
DEPTH = .67 FT
AREA = 2.68 SF
HYDRAULIC RADIUS = .50187 FT
SLOPE = .052 FT/FT
n = .013
Q = 44.236 CFS
VELOCITY = 16.506 FPS

SOLVING FOR DEPTH @ Q=26.1 CFS
d = 0.5'
V = 13.0 FPS



SECTION REACHES A, B, C

D. REACH 4 @ S = 7.0 %

RECTANGULAR SECTION

BOTTOM WIDTH = 3.2 FT

DEPTH = 2 FT

AREA = 6.4 SF

HYDRAULIC RADIUS = .88889 FT

SLOPE = .07 FT/FT

n = .013

Q = 179.42 CFS

VELOCITY = 28.034 FPS

SOLVING FOR DEPTH @ Q=26.1 CFS

$$d = 0.5'$$

$$V = 16.3 \text{ FPS}$$

E. REACH 5 @ S = 1.3 %

RECTANGULAR SECTION

BOTTOM WIDTH = 7.4 FT

DEPTH = 2 FT

AREA = 14.8 SF

HYDRAULIC RADIUS = 1.2982 FT

SLOPE = .013 FT/FT

n = .013


Q = 230.16 CFS

VELOCITY = 15.551 FPS

SOLVING FOR DEPTH @ Q=26.1 CFS

$$d = 0.4'$$


$$V = 8.8 \text{ FPS}$$



SECTION REACHES D, E

ALL REACHES EXCEED Q100

PRAIRIE HILLS APARTMENTS
ADDENDUM NO. 1
3/24/86



The following analysis is an addendum to the approved drainage report for Prairie Hills Apartments. The approved report outlined the drainage criteria for controlling stormwater from the Prairie Hills Apartments site. The results of the approved downstream analysis indicated that detention ponding would be required, and the site discharge rate was established at 0.85 cfs/acre. The approved report established a total controlled discharge from the developed site of 11.2 cfs, with a total ponding requirement of 40,443 CF.

The as-built condition, as shown by the Engineer's Certification of Drainage Compliance, provides 33,088 CF of detention ponding. This volume represents a 7354 CF deficiency in the ponding requirement. As-built measurements of the on-site ponds reveal that ponds "A-1, A-2, A-3, A-4" and "C" all meet or exceed the design volumes. Pond "B" represents the substandard ponding system.

Prior to taking drastic measures (such as completely rebuilding pond "B" at great cost to the developer), the following analysis was performed to check what effect this substandard ponding system has downstream. The following hydrographs represent predevelopment conditions, design conditions, and as-built conditions. Utilizing these hydrographs, street depths were plotted at Analysis Point No. 1 (Chelwood @ Lomas) to check the increase in street depth due to as-built conditions.

CONCLUSIONS

The following conclusions can be made from the analysis:

1. As-built Q peak is approximately 2 cfs lower than the predeveloped Q peak.
2. As-built street depths are approximately equal to pre-developed street depths, and only a negligible amount higher than design street depths.
3. The as-built condition should be approved since no additional impact is felt downstream as a result of this development.



ESPEY, HUSTON & ASSOCIATES INC.
Engineering & Environmental Consultants

SUBJECT HYDR. CALCS

PRAIRIE HILL APTS.

SHEET 1 OF BY DL.
DATE 3-24-86 CK BY

CALCULATIONS

1. PRAIRIE HILL SITE

DESIGN DISCHARGE PER APPROVED REPORT

$$Q_{100} = 41.4 \text{ CFS}$$

$$Q_{10} = 27.2 \text{ CFS}$$

$$Q_{\text{RELEASE}} = 11.2 \text{ CFS.}$$

2. PRAIRIE HILL SITE

AS-BUILT DISCHARGE PER ENGRS CERT

$$Q_{\text{POND 'A-B'}} = 5.1 \text{ CFS}$$

$$Q_{\text{POND 'C'}} = 0.95 \text{ CFS}$$

$$Q_{\text{POND 'B'}} = 20.5 \text{ CFS} > Q_{100} \text{ OVER SPILLWAY}$$

$$Q_{\text{TOTAL}} = 26.6 \text{ CFS}$$

3. OFFSITE BASIN ANALYZED @ AP#1 (CHELWOOD - LOMAS)

$$t_c = 22 \text{ min} \quad L_{100} = 3.64 \text{ "/hr.} \quad p_{100} = 2.55" \quad C = 0.58$$

$$A = 86.2 \text{ AC}$$

$$Q_{100} = 182 \text{ CFS}$$

$$Q_{10} = 119 \text{ CFS}$$

ALL AS PER APPROVED
REPORT



ESPEY, HUSTON & ASSOCIATES INC.
Engineering & Environmental Consultants

SUBJECT CALCS.

P. HILL APTS

SHEET 2 OF BY DL
DATE 3-24-06 CK BY

4. TOTAL BASIN WITH UNDEVELOPED
PRAIRIE HILL SIDE @ AP #1

$t_c = 22 \text{ min}$ $i_{100} = 3.64$ $P_{100} = 2.55$
 $C = 0.58$ $A = 98 \text{ ac}$

$Q_{100} = 207 \text{ cfs}$

$Q_{10} = 136 \text{ cfs}$

ALL AS PER APPROVED
REPORT

85 23652

RECEIVED

GRANT OF EASEMENT
FOR
APR 12 1985 524
ESPEY, HUSTON & ASSOC.

DRAINAGE EASEMENT


APR 16 1985
RECEIVED
HUSTON & ASSOC.

522-D36

THIS INDENTURE made and executed this 11 day of March, 1985 by and between Quail Ridge Homeowners Association in the County of Bernalillo and State of New Mexico hereinafter called the Grantors and THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO, a municipal corporation, hereinafter called the Grantee.

WITNESSETH, that for valuable considerations the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged the Grantors have this day bargained and sold and by these presents do sell, convey and deliver unto the City of Albuquerque a permanent easement as right-of-way including the permanent right to enter upon the real estate hereinafter described at any time that it may see fit and construct, maintain and repair drainage facilities across, through, and under the lands hereinafter described and the further right to remove trees, bushes, undergrowth and obstructions interfering with the location, construction and maintenance of said facilities.

The land affected by the grant of this easement and right-of-way is located in the County of Bernalillo and State of New Mexico and is more particularly described as follows:



SEE ATTACHED EXHIBIT "A"

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said right and easement for the uses and purposes aforesaid, unto Grantee its successors and assigns for so long as said easement shall not be abandoned for use as a right-of-way for aforesaid drainage facilities.

The Grantors do hereby covenant with Grantee that they are lawfully seized and possessed of the real estate above described and that they have a good and lawful right to convey it or any part thereof, that it is free from all encumbrances except taxes due and owing the Treasurer of Bernalillo County and that they will forever warrant and defend the title thereto against the lawful claims of all persons whomsoever.

Grant of Easement

525

As a part of the consideration for this grant, the Grantors do hereby release any and all claims for damages for whatsoever cause incidental to the exercise of the rights herein granted provided, however, that the Grantee agrees to save Grantors harmless from any and all liability that may arise as a result of the construction and use of the easement for the purposes set forth.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have set their hands and seals this

11 day of MARCH, 1985.

Lloyd B. Wayne
Lloyd Wayne, President

Quail Ridge Homeowners Association

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
 ss.
COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)


The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 11th
day of MARCH, 1985 by Lloyd Wayne

Carol Eng

My commission expires:

11/21/87

526



MAR 28 P2:46

DOLORES C. WALKER
CO. CLERK - RECORDER
DEPUTY

#2397
P9265

ESTIMATE SHEET
PROJECT NO. 2397
MAP NO. J-22

Developer

Check appropriate box and date.

☒ Quantities and Cost Estimate
Date Prepared: 02/06/85
☒ Approval
Date Requested: 02/27/85
☐ Work Order
Date Issued: _____
☐ Final Acceptance
Date Accepted: _____

APPLICANT INFORMATION:

Developer: Quail Ridge Homeowners Association
Mailing Address: 1039 Omaha N.E., Albuq., N.M. 87112
Consulting Engineer: Espey, Huston and Associates, Inc.
4801 Indian School Road N.E., Suite 204
Mailing Address: Albuquerque, New Mexico 87110
Person to contact regarding this form: Dennis A. Lorenz
Mailing Address: See consultant's address.
Phone: (505) 255-1625

GENERAL SCOPE OF PROJECT:

DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS WITHIN QUAIL RIDGE SUBDIVISION

NAME OF SUBDIVISION OR DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY TO BE SERVED:


QUAIL RIDGE SUBDIVISION

Developer to Pay = 100% Policy _____
(Methods II & III)
City to Pay = 0% Policy _____
City to Pay = 0% Policy _____

SPECIFIC LOCATION:

Quail Ridge Subdivision

W	SAS	SD	P	M
		X		



Project No. _____

FOR ESTIMATE

#239
P94d

RECOMMENDED:

CONCURRENCE:

Assistant City Engineer

Date

Requesting Office

Date

APPROVED:

City Engineer

Date

OFFICE OF THE CITY TREASURER
RECEIPT OF ESCROW FUNDS

Receipt from the above applicant of the sum of \$ _____, is hereby acknowledged to be held in escrow until the completion of work described above.

Debit City Account _____

Credit 233200-7000820 \$ _____

Journal Voucher No. _____

Accountant _____

Date _____

Treasurer _____

FOR CONSTRUCTION

Construction Documentation Completed.

Deane West 4/11/85
MDD/Engineering Date

Outside funds have been received by CIP.

Funds are available _____

APPROVED:

City Engineer

Date

APPROVED:

CIP/Fiscal Supervisor rs

Date

WORK ORDER RECEIVED:

PROPOSED STARTING DATE:

Contractor _____

Date _____

FOR ACCEPTANCE

RECOMMENDED:

Assistance City Engineer _____

Date _____

APPROVED:

ACCEPTANCE COMPLETED:

City Engineer _____

Date _____

Date _____

DESIGN DEPOSIT FEE

DATE: 2-6-85

#2397
P9C85CITY ENGINEER
MUNICIPAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

PROJECT NO: 2397

TOTAL FEE \$ 85.20

BLOCK-10-BLOCK X AHBA

DEPOSIT SCHEDULE

CONSTRUCTION PHASE	UNIT PRICE	QUANTITY	DEPOSIT FEE
SANITARY SEWER	*\$.60 ** .35	X X	LF =
WATER	*\$.50 ** .30	X X	LF =
WATER (FIRE HYDRANT ONLY)	*\$72.00 ** 43.00	X X	EA =
PAVING (RESIDENTIAL)	*\$ 1.75 ** 1.05	X X	LF =
PAVING (1/2 ARTERIAL)	*\$ 2.05 ** 1.25	X X	LF =
STORM DRAINAGE (PIPE)	*\$ 1.40 ** .35	X X	LF =
STORM DRAINAGE (CHANNEL)	*\$ 3.70 ** 2.20	X X	LF =
TOTAL			\$ 85.20

* Based on 10% engineering fee
** Based on 6% engineering fee*
BASED ON 2% OF
ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE

DEPOSITOR

Name ESPEY, HUSTON & ASSOCAddress 4801 INDIAN SCHOOLALB, NM 87110Telephone 255-1625Signed Don G. SpeyRECEIPT OF THE ABOVE DEPOSIT IS
HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGED:Robert E. Landon, City Treasurer
City of Albuquerque



(Signed) (Date)

CREDIT TO:

241004 - 5252000


This deposit fee shall be held by the City Treasurer for a period of one year after the date of the approved estimate. During this period the deposit fee may be applied to the required total Engineering Fee prior to release of the Work Order. Deposit fee not utilized within the above period of one year will be transferred to the City Treasury, and no refunds will be made.

UNIT 3 PANORAMA HEIGHTS
FILED 2-11-78 D3-73



J-22

VICINITY MAP



BENCH MARK

ACS BENCH MARK 2-J23 LOCATED AT THE INTERSECTION OF TRAMWAY BLVD. AND LOMAS BLVD. NE IN THE NORTH MEDIAN NOSE. THE STATION MARK IS A STANDARD ACS BRASS TABLET STAMPED '2J-23; 1982' SET 0.4 FT. BELOW THE GROUND WITHIN AN 11 INCH DIAMETER STEEL MONUMENT BOX. ELEVATION = 5769.26 FEET (MSLD)

CONCEPTUAL DRAINAGE PLAN

This conceptual drainage plan shows 1) existing and proposed elevations indicated by spot elevations and contours at 2'-0" intervals; 2) continuity between existing and proposed elevations; 3) proposed buildings, parking areas, interior streets, and landscaped areas; and 4) proposed drainage patterns and drainage structures.

The proposed improvements are located on the West Tramway Frontage Road N.E. just north of Lomas Blvd. N.E. The site is more particularly described as Parcel "F", Panorama Heights.

The site does not lie within a designated flood hazard area. No offsite flows enter the property.

The drainage criteria for this site is based on a downstream analysis performed on the 98 acre drainage basin. This basin drains overland to an existing system of inlets located at Chelwood Park Blvd. and Lomas Blvd. The system capacity was determined to be approximately 83 CFS. This represents a unit discharge of approximately 0.85 CFS per acre of developed land within the basin. Therefore, the proposed discharge rate for this development is established at 10.1 CFS, with the remaining storm water to be ponded onsite.

Presently all excess storm water released by this site drains through Quail Ridge Subdivision. Quail Ridge Subdivision has four (4) onsite ponding areas. These ponds hold 100% of all accepted storm water without positive discharge.

Due to the proximity of Quail Ridge to this development and the necessity to drain storm water through Quail Ridge, the ponding scheme at Quail Ridge was studied. The study revealed that, assuming Quail Ridge is allowed a 0.85 CFS per acre discharge rate, the subdivision is over ponding approximately 7000 cubic feet. It is proposed that along with the design analysis of this project, that the ponding problems at Quail Ridge be addressed. Quail Ridge should be granted a credit for excess ponding and the existing ponds should be modified to reduce volume and allow for a controlled discharge.

As shown by this conceptual drainage plan, all storm water will be routed overland through the site into several ponding areas located along the west side of the site. These ponds will drain at a controlled rate not to exceed 10.1 CFS (which is less than historical) into the drainage system within Quail Ridge.

Erosion will not result due to this development as all exposed soil will be landscaped and all proposed drainage structures will be provided with erosion protection. Temporary erosion control measures during the construction phase will consist of a ditch-dike system placed along the perimeter of the site. A sedimentation pond will be located at the site of the proposed permanent ponds. A specific erosion control plan will be included with the final Grading and Drainage Plan.

For further information regarding downstream capacity, see separate submittal to City Hydrology.

LEGEND

- EXISTING CONTOUR
- EXISTING SPOT ELEVATION
- EXISTING SIGNS
- EXISTING WALL
- EXISTING BUILDING
- DRAINAGE AREA BOUNDARY
- RETAINING WALL
- NEW CONTOURS
- NEW SPOT ELEVATIONS
- CONCRETE RUNDOWN

CASA HERMOSA SUBDIVISION
FILED 8-13-72 D3-44

QUAIL RIDGE
FILED 9-13-79 D9-180

- CALCULATIONS
- DESIGN CRITERIA
P100=2.55 IN. P10=1.67 IN.
Tc=0.0078L+0.77/8+0.385
L=0.647C+(-0.51)
Q=C1A
VOLUME=PCV
SOIL: EMBUDO TIJERAS COMPLEX - SOIL GROUP 'B'
 - EXISTING CONDITION
A=1.84 ACRES
C=0.34
Tc=10 MIN.
1100=5.97 IN/HR 110=3.54 IN/HR
Q100=81.7 CFS Q10=14.3 CFS
V100=37685 CF V10=24488 CF
 - DEVELOPED CONDITION
A. BASIN 'A1'
AREA=4.56 ACRES
C=0.65
Tc=10 MIN.
1100=5.39 IN/HR 110=3.54 IN/HR
Q100=21.3 CFS Q10=4.8 CFS
V100=26625 CF V10=18068 CF
ALLOWABLE DISCHARGE=0.85 CFS/AC
Q=3.6 CFS
BY SOLVING HYDROGRAPH: POND VOLUME=15998 CF
 - B. BASIN 'B'
AREA=6.80 ACRES
C=0.66
Tc=10 MIN.
1100=5.39 IN/HR 110=3.54 IN/HR
Q100=21.3 CFS Q10=4.8 CFS
V100=26625 CF V10=18068 CF
ALLOWABLE DISCHARGE=0.85 CFS/AC
Q=5.1 CFS
BY SOLVING HYDROGRAPH: POND VOLUME=21230 CF
 - C. BASIN 'C'
AREA=1.34 ACRES
C=0.66
Tc=10 MIN.
1100=5.39 IN/HR 110=3.54 IN/HR
Q100=4.8 CFS Q10=3.1 CFS
V100=61380 CF V10=3380 CF
ALLOWABLE DISCHARGE=0.85 CFS/ACRE
Q=1.2 CFS
BY SOLVING HYDROGRAPH: POND VOLUME=4583 CF

MARBLE AVENUE NE

ALICE AVENUE NE

GRADING & DRAINAGE PLAN



BARKER-BOL & ASSOCIATES
ARCHITECTS AND PLANNERS
208 GOLD AVENUE S.W.
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102
TELEPHONE AREA CODE 505-842-8789

PRAIRIE HILLS

ESPEY, HOUSTON & ASSOCIATES
Engineering & Environmental Consultants

Campbell, Okuma, Perkins Associates
Landscape Architecture Site Planning

