Traffic Impact Study Proposed Dunkin Drive-Through

Albuquerque, New Mexico



HT#L14D002 Received 8/10/2023 Prepared For:

NMR, LLC





July 11, 2023

Table of Contents

List of Figures and Tables, ii

| I. Executive Summary | 1 |
|--|-------|
| 1. Introduction | 2 |
| 2. Existing Conditions | 5 |
| Site Location Existing Roadway Characteristics Existing Traffic Volumes Crash Data | 5 |
| 3. Traffic Characteristics of the Proposed Development | 13 |
| Proposed Site and Use Plan Directional Distribution of Site Traffic Proposed Site Traffic Generation | 13 |
| 4. Projected Traffic Conditions | 16 |
| Development Traffic Assignment Ambient Traffic Growth Year 2024 Total Projected Traffic Volumes | 16 |
| 5. Traffic Analysis and Recommendations | 21 |
| Traffic Analyses Discussion and Recommendations | 30 |
| 6. Conclusion | 33 |

Appendix



List of Figures and Tables

Figures

| Figure 1 – Site Location | 3 |
|---|------------|
| Figure 2 – Aerial View of Site | 4 |
| Figure 3 – Existing Roadway Characteristics | 6 |
| Figure 4 – Existing Traffic Volumes | 8 |
| Figure 5 – Directional Distribution | 14 |
| Figure 6 – New Site Traffic Assignment | 17 |
| Figure 7 – Pass-By Site Traffic Assignment | 18 |
| Figure 8 – Year 2024 No-Build Traffic Volumes | 19 |
| Figure 9 – Year 2024 Total Projected Traffic Volumes | 20 |
| Tables | |
| Table 1 – Crash Data – Avenida Cesar Chavez SW with 4 th Street SW | 10 |
| Table 2 – Crash Data – Avenida Cesar Chavez SW with 3 rd Street SW | 11 |
| Table 3 – Crash Data – Avenida Cesar Chavez SW with 2 nd Street SW | 12 |
| Table 4 – Estimated Peak Hour Vehicle Trip Generation | 15 |
| Table 5 – Capacity Analysis Results – Avenida Cesar Chavez with 4 th Street - Signalized | 22 |
| Table 6 – Capacity Analysis Results – Avenida Cesar Chavez with 4 th Street - Signalized | 23 |
| Table 7 – Capacity Analysis Results – Avenida Cesar Chavez with 3 rd Street - Signalized | 24 |
| Table 8 – Capacity Analysis Results – Avenida Cesar Chavez with 3 rd Street - Signalized | 25 |
| Table 9 – Capacity Analysis Results – Existing Conditions - Unsignalized | 2 <i>e</i> |
| Table 10 – Capacity Analysis Results – Existing Conditions - Unsignalized | 26 |
| Table 11 – Capacity Analysis Results – No-Build Conditions - Unsignalized | 27 |
| Table 12 – Capacity Analysis Results – No-Build Conditions – Unsignalized | 27 |
| Table 13 – Capacity Analysis Results – Projected Conditions – Unsignalized | 28 |
| Table 14 – Capacity Analysis Results – Projected Conditions – Unsignalized | 29 |



I. Executive Summary

This report summarizes the results of a traffic impact study conducted by Kenig, Lindgren, O'Hara, Aboona, Inc. (KLOA, Inc.) for a proposed Dunkin Drive-Through (Dunkin) to be located at 310 Avenida Cesar Chavez SW in Albuquerque, New Mexico. The objectives of the traffic study are as follows:

- Determine the existing vehicular conditions in the study area to establish a base condition.
- Assess the impact that the proposed development will have on traffic conditions in the area.
- Determine any roadway or access modifications and/or improvements that will be necessary to effectively accommodate and mitigate future conditions.

Vehicle, pedestrian, and bicycle counts were conducted during the weekday morning and weekday evening peak periods at the intersections of Avenida Cesar Chavez with 2nd Street, 3rd Street, and 4th Street to determine the peak hour of traffic activity during these time periods.

As proposed, the Dunkin will be approximately 1,700 square feet in size and will provide double drive through lanes that will accommodate 14 vehicles. A total of 16 parking spaces will serve the site. Access to the site will be provided via a right-in/right-out access drive off Avenida Cesar Chavez Road and a full movement access drive off 3rd Street.

Based on the proceeding analyses and recommendations, the following conclusions have been made:

- The proposed Dunkin will be located at 310 Avenida Cesar Chavez SW and will be an approximately 1,700 square-foot building providing a drive-through that will accommodate 14 vehicles and a parking lot with 16 parking spaces.
- Access to the site will be provided via the two full movement access drives off Avenida Cesar Chavez SW and 3rd Street SW.
- The volume of traffic estimated to be generated by Dunkin will be reduced due to the volume of pass-by trips anticipated to be diverted from the existing traffic on Avenida Cesar Chavez SW.
- The access drives are projected to be adequate in accommodating the traffic estimated to be generated by Dunkin and will provide flexible and efficient access to the site.
- As part of the proposed development, stop signs should be provided for outbound traffic from both access drives.
- The drive-through stacking of 14 vehicles will be adequate in accommodating the peak drive-through activity for the coffee shop.



1. Introduction

This report summarizes the results of a traffic study conducted by Kenig, Lindgren, O'Hara, Aboona, Inc. (KLOA, Inc.) for a proposed Dunkin to be located at 310 Avenida Cesar Chavez in Albuquerque, New Mexico. The site, which is currently partly utilized as a heavy vehicle parking lot, is located on the south side of Avenida Cesar Chavez west of 3rd Street. The scoping document for this traffic impact study can be found in the Appendix.

As proposed, the proposed Dunkin will be approximately 1,700 square feet in size and will provide a drive through that will accommodate 14 vehicles. A total of 16 parking spaces will serve the site. Access to the site will be provided via a right-in/right-out access drive off Avenida Cesar Chavez and a full movement access drive off 3rd Street.

Figure 1 shows the location of the site in relation to the area roadway network. **Figure 2** shows an aerial view of the site.


The sections of this report present the following:

- Existing roadway conditions
- A description of the proposed site
- Directional distribution of the site traffic
- Vehicle trip generation for the site
- Future traffic conditions, including access to the site.
- Traffic analyses for the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours
- Accident analyzes for the intersections of Avenida Cesar Chavez with 4th Street, 3rd Street, and 2nd Street.
- Recommendations with respect to the adequacy of site access and adjacent roadway system


Traffic capacity analyses were conducted for the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours for the following conditions:

- 1. Existing Conditions Analyzes the capacity of the existing roadway system using existing peak hour traffic volumes in the surrounding area.
- 2. Year 2024 No-Build Conditions Analyzes the capacity of the existing roadway system using the ambient area growth, not attributable to any particular development.
- 3. Year 2024 Total Projected Conditions Analyzes the capacity of the future roadway system using the projected traffic volumes that include the existing traffic volumes, ambient area growth, and traffic estimated to be generated by the proposed development.





Site Location Figure 1



Aerial View of Site Figure 2

2. Existing Conditions

The following provides a detailed description of the physical characteristics of the adjacent roadways, including geometry and traffic control, adjacent land uses, and peak hour traffic flows.

Site Location

The site of the proposed Dunkin is located on the south side of Avenida Cesar Chavez west of 3rd Street and is currently partly utilized as a heavy vehicle parking lot. Land uses within the vicinity of the site are primarily commercial along Avenida Cesar Chavez SW and include Roses Southwest Papers, Inc. and El Modelo Mexican Restaurants to the east, La Entrada Real Estate to the north, Mc Donald's Restaurant and AutoZone Auto Parts to the north. and Sandra's School of Dance to the south. Land-uses to the north of the commercial corridor consists primarily of residential homes.


Existing Roadway Characteristics

Some of the key characteristics of the existing roadways within the study area are described below and illustrated in **Figure 3**.

Avenida Cesar Chavez SW is an east-west roadway that generally provides two travel lanes in each direction. The roadway is classified as a principal arterial. At its signalized intersection with 4th Street SW, Avenida Cesar Chavez provides an exclusive left-turn lane, two exclusive through lanes, and a shared through/right-turn lane on the eastbound approach and an exclusive left-turn lane, two through lanes, and an exclusive right-turn lane on the westbound approach. High visibility crosswalks and pedestrian signals are provided at all four legs of this intersection. At its signalized intersection with 3rd Street SW, Avenida Cesar Chavez provides two exclusive through lanes and an exclusive right-turn lane on the eastbound approach and an exclusive left-turn lane, a through lane, and a shared through/right-turn lane on the westbound approach. Pedestrian signals are provided at the north and west legs of this intersection. Avenida Cesar Chavez SW is under the jurisdiction of the City of Albuquerque, carries an AADT volume of 29,748 vehicles (NMDOT 2022) and has a posted speed limit of 35 miles per hour.

2nd Street SW is a north-south major collector roadway north of Avenida Cesar Chavez SW and a minor arterial south of it. 2nd Street SW provides one travel lane in each direction. At its unsignalized "T" intersection with 3rd Street SW, 2nd Street SW, provides an exclusive left-turn lane and a through lane on the northbound approach and a through lane and an exclusive right-turn lane on the southbound approach. 2nd Street SW is under the jurisdiction of the City of Albuquerque, carries and AADT of 4,952 vehicles (NMDOT 2022), and has a posted speed limit of 25 miles per hour north of Avenida Cesar Chaves SW and 35 miles per hour south of it.





3rd Street SW is a north-south major collector roadway that provides one travel lane in each direction. At its signalized intersection with Avenida Cesar Chavez SW, 3rd Street SW, provides a shared left-turn/through lane and an exclusive right-turn lane on the northbound approach and a shared left-turn/through/right-turn lane on the southbound approach. At its unsignalized "T" intersection with 2nd Street SW, 3rd Street SW provides an exclusive left-turn lane and an exclusive right-turn lane on the eastbound approach. 3rd Street SW is under the jurisdiction of the City of Albuquerque, carries and AADT of 1,437 vehicles (NMDOT 2022), and has a posted speed limit of 30 miles per hour.

4th Street SW is a north-south minor arterial roadway that provides one travel lane in each direction. At its signalized intersection with Avenida Cesar Chavez SW, 4th Street SW, provides a shared left-turn/through lane and an exclusive right-turn lane on the southbound approach and an exclusive left-turn lane, a through lane, and an exclusive right-turn lane on the northbound approach. 4th Street SW is under the jurisdiction of the City of Albuquerque, carries and AADT of 3,228 vehicles (NMDOT 2022), and has a posted speed limit of 30 miles per hour


Existing Traffic Volumes

In order to determine current vehicle, pedestrian, and bicycle conditions within the study area, peak period traffic, pedestrian, and bicycle counts were conducted during the weekday morning (7:00 A.M. to 9:00 A.M.) and evening (4:00 P.M. to 6:00 P.M.) peak periods on Monday, May 22, 2023 at the following intersections:

- Avenida Cesar Chavez SW with 2nd Street SW
- Avenida Cesar Chavez SW with 3rd Street SW
- Avenida Cesar Chavez SW with 4th Street SW

The results of the traffic counts show that the peak hours generally occur from 7:15 A.M. to 8:15 A.M. during the weekday morning peak hour and 4:30 P.M. and 5:30 P.M. during the weekday evening peak hour. **Figure 4** illustrates the existing peak hour vehicle traffic volumes. Summaries of the traffic counts are included in the Appendix.





Crash Data

KLOA, Inc. obtained crash data from the New Mexico Department of Transportation (NMDOT) for the most recent available past five years (2017 to 2021) for the intersections of Avenida Cesar Chavez SW with 3rd Street SW and 4th Street SW and the intersection of 2nd Street SW with 3rd Street SW. The crash data for the intersections including severity and crash type by year is summarized in **Tables** 1 through 3. As can be seen from Table 1 and based on a review of the crash data, the following was determined:

- During the review period a total of 17 crashes were reported at 4th Street, 32 crashes were reported at 3rd Street, and 6 crashes were reported at 2nd Street.
- Over 85 percent of the crashes occurred during clear weather.
- Over 80 percent of the crashes occurred during daylight.
- Fifty percent of the crashes resulted in property damage only, while approximately forty percent of the crashes resulted in a Class C severity.
- No fatal crashes were reported during the review period.
- No crashes involved a pedestrian or bicyclist.
- The only repetitive crash type was From Same Direction/Both Going Straight or From Same Direction/Rear End Collision.
- The main commonality of crashes were likely rear end collisions of vehicles in both directions along Avenida Cesar Chavez.



Table 1 AVENIDA CESAR CHAVEZ WITH 4th STREET– CRASH SUMMARY

| Year | | | Type of | f Crash | Frequer | ncy | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| i ear | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | Total | Average |
| Property Damage Only | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 1.6 |
| Class A Severity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Class B Severity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | < 1 |
| Class C severity | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 1.6 |
| Fatalities | <u>0</u> |
| Total | 3 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 17 | 3.4 |
| Other Vehicle – Both Going Straight/Entering At Angle | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | < 1 |
| Other Vehicle – Both Turn Left/Entering At Angle | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | < 1 |
| Other Vehicle – From Same Direction/Both Going Straight | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | < 1 |
| Other Vehicle – From Same Direction/One Stopped | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | < 1 |
| Other Vehicle – One Left Turn/Entering At Angle | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | < 1 |
| Other Vehicle – From Opposite Direction/One Left Turn | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | < 1 |
| Other Vehicle – From Opposite Direction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | < 1 |
| Left Blank | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 1.4 |

Table 2 AVENIDA CESAR CHAVEZ WITH 3rd STREET– CRASH SUMMARY

| Year | | | Type of | f Crash | Frequer | ıcy | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| i ear | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | Total | Average |
| Property Damage Only | 1 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 14 | 2.8 |
| Class A Severity | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | < 1 |
| Class B Severity | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 |
| Class C severity | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 2.2 |
| Fatalities | <u>0</u> |
| Total | 6 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 32 | 6.4 |
| Other Vehicle – From Opposite Direction/One Left Turn | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | < 1 |
| Other Vehicle – Both Going Straight/Entering At Angle | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 |
| Other Vehicle – From Same Direction/Both Going Straight | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 1.2 |
| Other Vehicle – One Left Turn/Entering At Angle | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | < 2 |
| Other Vehicle – From Opposite Direction | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 |
| Other Vehicle – From Same Direction/Rear End Collision | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 |
| Other Vehicle – From Same Direction/One Stopped | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | < 1 |
| Other Vehicle – One Stopped/Entering At Angle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | <1 |
| Left Blank | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 1 |

Table 3 AVENIDA CESAR CHAVEZ WITH 2^{nd} STREET– CRASH SUMMARY

| Year | | | Type of | Crash | Frequer | ncy | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------------|
| i ear | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | Total | Average |
| Property Damage Only | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | < 1 |
| Class A Severity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Class B Severity | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | < 1 |
| Class C severity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | < 1 |
| Fatalities | <u>0</u> |
| Total | <u>0</u> | <u>4</u> | <u>1</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>1</u> | <u>6</u> | <u>< 1</u> |
| Other Vehicle – From Same Direction/Both Going Straight | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | < 1 |
| Other Vehicle – Both Going Straight/ Entering At Angle | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | < 1 |
| Other Vehicle – One Vehicle/Making a U-Turn | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | < 2 |
| Other Vehicle – From Same Direction/Sideswipe Collision | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | <1 |
| Left Blank | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | < 1 |

3. Traffic Characteristics of the Proposed Development

In order to properly evaluate future traffic conditions in the surrounding area, it was necessary to determine the traffic characteristics of the proposed development including the directional distribution and volumes of traffic that it will generate.

Proposed Site and Use Plan

As proposed, Dunkin will be approximately 1,700 square feet in size and will provide double drivethrough lanes with stacking for 14 vehicles. A total of 16 parking spaces will serve Dunkin. Five of the parking spaces are located to the north of the proposed building and the remaining eleven spaces will be located west side of the building. Access will be provided via two access drives that will serve the site which consist of the following:

- A right-in/right-out access drive off Avenida Cesar Chavez SW which will be located approximately 245 feet east of 4th Street SW. This access drive will provide one inbound lane and one outbound lane.
- A full movement access drive off 3rd Street which will be located approximately 95 feet south of Avenida Cesar Chavez SW. This access drive will provide one inbound lane and one outbound lane.


It should be noted that outbound movements from the access drives should be under stop sign control.

A copy of the proposed site plan is included in the Appendix.

Directional Distribution of Site Traffic

The directional distribution of how traffic will approach and depart the site was estimated based on the general travel patterns through the study area derived from the peak hour traffic volumes, in combination with the population information and socioeconomic forecasts provided by the Mid-Region Council of Governments (MRCOG) for the subareas surrounding the site. **Figure 5** shows the established directional distribution for the proposed Dunkin and illustrates the distance in feet between the access drives and the existing roadways.





Proposed Site Traffic Generation

The estimate of vehicle traffic to be generated by the proposed Dunkin is based upon the proposed land use types and sizes. The vehicle trip generation was calculated using data published in the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) *Trip Generation Manual*, 11th Edition. Land-Use Code 937 (Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window) was utilized. The ITE trip generation sheets are included in the Appendix.

It is important to note that surveys conducted by ITE have shown that a percentage of trips made to coffee/donut shops with drive-through lanes are diverted from the existing traffic on the roadway system. This is particularly true during the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours when traffic is diverted from work-to-lunch and work-to-home trips. Such diverted trips are referred to as "pass-by" trips. Based on information published by ITE for coffee/donut shops, approximately 85 to 95 percent of trips are pass-by trips. However, in order to provide a conservative analysis, only a 70 percent pass-by reduction was applied to the trips estimated to be generated by Dunkin.

Table 4 shows the estimated vehicle trip generation for the weekday morning peak hour, weekday evening peak hour, and daily trips.

Table 4
ESTIMATED PEAK HOUR VEHICLE TRIP GENERATION

| ITE Land Use | Type/Size | Weekday Morning Peak Hour | | | | kday E Peak H | vening our | Daily Two-Way Trips | | |
|-----------------------|---|------------------------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------------|---------------|------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Code | | | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| 937 | Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through (1,700 s.f.) | 74 | 72 | 146 | 33 | 33 | 66 | 454 | 454 | 908 |
| 70% Pass-By Reduction | | <u>-51</u> | <u>-51</u> | <u>-102</u> | <u>-23</u> | <u>-23</u> | <u>-46</u> | <u>-318</u> | <u>-318</u> | <u>-636</u> |
| | Total New Trips | 23 | 21 | 44 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 136 | 136 | 272 |



4. Projected Traffic Conditions

The total projected traffic volumes include the base traffic volumes, increase in background traffic due to growth, and the traffic estimated to be generated by the proposed Dunkin.

Development Traffic Assignment

The estimated weekday morning and weekday evening peak hour traffic volumes that will be generated by the proposed Dunkin were assigned to the roadway system in accordance with the previously described directional distribution (Figure 5). **Figure 6** illustrated the traffic assignment of the new passenger vehicle trips and **Figure 7** illustrates the traffic assignment of the pass-by vehicles trips.


Ambient Traffic Growth


The existing traffic volumes were increased by an ambient growth factor of 1.0 percent per year for one year (project completion year) to represent Year 2024 no-build conditions. This background growth was determined from the population information and socioeconomic forecasts provided by the Mid-Region Council of Governments (MRCOG) for the subareas surrounding the site. **Figure 8** shows the Year 2024 no-build traffic volumes.


Year 2024 Total Projected Traffic Volumes


The new and pass-by development-generated traffic (Figures 6 and 7) was added to the no-build traffic volumes (Figure 8) to determine the Year 2024 total projected traffic volumes. These volumes are illustrated in **Figure 9**.











5. Traffic Analysis and Recommendations

The following provides an evaluation conducted for the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours. The analysis includes conducting capacity analyses to determine how well the roadway system and access drives are projected to operate and whether any roadway improvements or modifications are required.

Traffic Analyses

Intersection analyses were performed for the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours for the existing, no-build, and total projected (Year 2024) traffic volumes.

The traffic analyses were performed using the methodologies outlined in the Transportation Research Board's *Highway Capacity Manual (HCM)*, 6th Edition and analyzed using Synchro/SimTraffic 11 software.

The analyses for the unsignalized intersections determine the average control delay to vehicles at an intersection. Control delay is the elapsed time from a vehicle joining the queue at a stop sign (includes the time required to decelerate to a stop) until its departure from the stop sign and resumption of free flow speed. The methodology analyzes each intersection approach controlled by a stop sign and considers traffic volumes on all approaches and lane characteristics.

The ability of an intersection to accommodate traffic flow is expressed in terms of level of service, which is assigned a letter from A to F based on the average control delay experienced by vehicles passing through the intersection. The *Highway Capacity Manual* definitions for levels of service and the corresponding control delay for signalized intersections and unsignalized intersections are included in the Appendix of this report.

Summaries of the traffic analysis results showing the level of service, overall intersection delay (measured in seconds), volume-to-capacity ratios, and 95th percentile queues for the existing, nobuild, and Year 2024 total projected conditions are presented in **Tables 5** through **14**. A discussion of the intersections follows. Summary sheets for the capacity analyses are included in the Appendix.



Table 5 SIGNALIZED – AVENIDA CESAR CHAVEZ WITH 4^{TH} STREET

| | Deals III | Eastl | oound | W | estbou | nd | No | orthbou | nd | South | bound | 0 | |
|-------------------------|--|-------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------|---------|--|
| | Peak Hour | L | T/R | L | T | R | L | Т | R | L/T | R | Overall | |
| S | Weekday | A 4.2 | A 5.9 | A 3.7 | A 7.6 | A 2.1 | D 39.9 | C 33.1 | A 9.2 | D 42.5 | B 15.9 | A | |
| Mornin | Morning | A – | - 5.8 | | A - 7.0 | | | C - 30.7 | 7 | \mathbf{C} – | 30.5 | 8.0 | |
| Existing Conditions | Weekday | A 6.3 | A 5.9 | A 3.4 | B 11.8 | A 0.9 | D 42.6 | C 30.2 | B 11.4 | D 42.9 | C 30.6 | В | |
| Evening | | A – | 5.9 | | B – 11.4 | 1 | | C - 33.3 | 3 | $\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{i}$ | 34.4 | 12.2 | |
| 70 | Weekday | A 4.2 | A 5.9 | A 3.7 | A 7.6 | A 2.1 | D 39.9 | C 33.1 | A 9.2 | D 42.5 | B 15.9 | A | |
| uild itions | Morning | A - | 5.8 | | A - 7.0 | ı | | C - 30.7 | 7 | C – 3 | 30.5 | 8.0 | |
| No-Build Conditions | Weekday | A 6.5 | A 6.0 | A 3.5 | B 12.1 | A 0.9 | D 42.6 | C 30.1 | B 11.3 | D 42.9 | C 30.6 | В | |
| | Evening | A - | - 6.0 | | B – 11.7 | | C - 33.4 | | C – 34.4 | | 12.4 | | |
| . 8 | Weekday | A 4.4 | A 6.0 | A 3.8 | A 7.7 | A 2.2 | D 39.7 | C 33.0 | A 9.1 | D 42.4 | B 16.1 | A | |
| cted | Morning | A - | 5.9 | | A – 7.2 | | | C – 30.6 | 5 | C – : | 30.5 | 8.1 | |
| Projected Conditions | Weekday | A 6.5 | A 6.0 | A 3.5 | B 12.1 | A 0.9 | D 42.6 | C 30.1 | B 11.3 | D 42.9 | C 30.6 | В | |
| | Evening | A - | - 6.0 | | B – 11.7 | 7 | | C – 33.4 | ı | C – : | 34.4 | 12.4 | |
| | tes Level of Service easured in seconds. | L – Le: T – Th | | - Right T | urn | | | | | | | | |



Table 6 SIGNALIZED – AVENIDA CESAR CHAVEZ SW WITH 4^{TH} STREET SW - V/C RATIO (95 TH PERCENTILE QUEUE)

| | | Eastl | oound | 7 | Vestbound | | N | orthbour | | South | Southbound | |
|------------|-----------|---------|----------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|------------|--|
| | Peak Hour | L | T/R | L | T | R | L | Т | R | L/T | R | |
| Existing | Weekday | 0.34 | 0.41 | 0.13 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.32 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.43 | 0.16 | |
| Conditions | Morning | (36 ft) | (175 ft) | (10 ft) | (161 ft) | (16 ft) | (53 ft) | (29 ft) | (15 ft) | (73 ft) | (39 ft) | |
| Exis | Weekday | 0.35 | 0.32 | 0.06 | 0.6 | 0.04 | 0.47 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.51 | 0.58 | |
| Cond | Evening | (25 ft) | (138 ft) | (9 ft) | (345 ft) | (6 ft) | (78 ft) | (15 ft) | (22 ft) | (93 ft) | (143 ft) | |
| No-Build | Weekday | 0.34 | 0.41 | 0.13 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.32 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.43 | 0.16 | |
| Conditions | Morning | (36 ft) | (175 ft) | (10 ft) | (161 ft) | (16 ft) | (53 ft) | (29 ft) | (15 ft) | (73 ft) | (39 ft) | |
| No-F | Weekday | 0.36 | 0.32 | 0.06 | 0.61 | 0.04 | 0.47 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.51 | 0.59 | |
| Cond | Evening | (26 ft) | (140 ft) | (9 ft) | (353 ft) | (6 ft) | (79 ft) | (15 ft) | (22 ft) | (93 ft) | (144 ft) | |
| Projected | Weekday | 0.35 | 0.42 | 0.13 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.32 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.43 | 0.17 | |
| Conditions | Morning | (37 ft) | (180 ft) | (10 ft) | (167 ft) | (17 ft) | (53 ft) | (29 ft) | (15 ft) | (73 ft) | (39 ft) | |
| Proje | Weekday | 0.36 | 0.32 | 0.06 | 0.61 | 0.04 | 0.47 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.51 | 0.59 | |
| Cond | Evening | (26 ft) | (141 ft) | (9 ft) | (353 ft) | (6 ft) | (79 ft) | (15 ft) | (22 ft) | (93 ft) | (144 ft) | |

Letter denotes Level of Service L-L ft Turn R-R ight Turn Delay is measured in seconds. T-T hrough

Table 7 SIGNALIZED – AVENIDA CESAR CHAVEZ SW WITH 3RD STREET SW

| | D I II | | oound | | tbound | North | bound | Southbound | 0 11 | |
|-------------------------|---|----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------------|-----------|------------|----------|--|
| | Peak Hour | T | R | L | T/R | L/T | R | L/T/R | Overall | |
| St | Weekday | A 4.2 | A 1.2 | A 6.0 | A 3.3 | D 35.3 | B 15.8 | B – 19.2 | A 4.7 | |
| ting itior | Morning | A – | - 4.0 | Α- | - 3.4 | C – 1 | 26.2 | | 4.7 | |
| Existing Conditions | Weekday | A 6.6 | A 1.6 | A 6.4 | A 9.5 | C 31.9 | C 20.3 | C – 31.7 | A | |
| | Evening | A – | - 6.4 | A - | - 9.4 | C - 1 | 25.6 | 5 55. | 10.0 | |
| 70 | Weekday | A 4.2 | A 1.2 | A 6.0 | A 3.3 | D 35.3 | B 15.8 | B – 19.2 | A | |
| uild itions | Morning | A - | - 4.0 | Α- | - 3.4 | C – 1 | 26.2 | B – 19.2 | 4.7 | |
| No-Build Conditions | Weekday | A 6.7 | A 1.6 | A 6.5 | A 9.8 | C C 31.9 C 31.9 | | C – 31.9 | В | |
| | Evening | A – | - 6.4 | A - | - 9.7 | C – : | 25.7 | C 31.3 | 10.1 | |
| s | Weekday | A 6.5 | A 1.6 | B 16.2 | A 5.0 | D 37.8 | B 13.9 | B – 16.7 | A | |
| cted | Morning | A - | - 6.2 | Α- | - 5.8 | C – 1 | 30.0 | 2 10.7 | 7.2 | |
| Projected Conditions | Weekday | A 6.7 | A 1.6 | A 7.6 | A 9.6 | D 35.4 | C 20.5 | C – 31.8 | В | |
| Evening | | A - | - 6.5 | Α- | - 9.5 | C-28.2 | | C 31.0 | 10.3 | |
| | Letter denotes Level of Service L – Left Turn R – Right Turn Delay is measured in seconds. T – Through | | | | | | | | | |

Kenig, Lindgren, O'Hara, Aboona, Inc.

Table 8 SIGNALIZED – AVENIDA CESAR CHAVEZ SW WITH 3RD STREET SW – V/C RATIO (95TH PERCENTILE QUEUE)

| | D. L. III. | Easth | ound | West | bound | North | oound | Southbound |
|----------------------------|---|--------------------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|------------|
| | Peak Hour | T | R | L | T/R | L/T | R | L/T/R |
| ting | Weekday | 0.49 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.34 | 0.29 | 0.18 | 0.25 |
| itions | Morning | (181 ft) | (11 ft) | (20 ft) | (98 ft) | (43 ft) | (26 ft) | (36 ft) |
| Existing Conditions | Weekday | 0.46 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.55 | 0.35 | 0.28 | 0.52 |
| | Evening | (186 ft) | (11 ft) | (19 ft) | (232 ft) | (57 ft) | (51 ft) | (100 ft) |
| uild | Weekday | 0.49 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.34 | 0.29 | 0.18 | 0.25 |
| | Morning | (181 ft) | (11 ft) | (20 ft) | (98 ft) | (43 ft) | (26 ft) | (36 ft) |
| No-Build | Weekday | 0.46 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.55 | 0.36 | 0.28 | 0.52 |
| Conditions | Evening | (190 ft) | (12 ft) | (19 ft) | (236 ft) | (58 ft) | (51 ft) | (101 ft) |
| ected | Weekday | 0.55 | 0.07 | 0.44 | 0.36 | 0.46 | 0.16 | 0.21 |
| | Morning | (233 ft) | (14 ft) | (70 ft) | (121 ft) | (65 ft) | (25 ft) | (35 ft) |
| Projected | Weekday | 0.46 | 0.05 | 0.21 | 0.55 | 0.46 | 0.28 | 0.53 |
| Conditions | Evening | (190 ft) | (12 ft) | (28 ft) | (233 ft) | (71 ft) | (52 ft) | (101 ft) |
| | tes Level of Service asured in seconds. | L – Lef T – Thi | | | | | | |

Table 9
CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS – EXISTING CONDITIONS

| Intersection | | y Morning K Hour | | y Evening Hour |
|---|-----|---------------------|-----|-------------------|
| | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay |
| Avenida Cesar Chavez with 2 nd Street SW ¹ | | | | |
| • Overall | В | 10.5 | A | 9.6 |
| Eastbound Left-Turn | A | 9.6 | A | 9.3 |
| Eastbound Right-Turn | A | 8.7 | A | 9.0 |
| Northbound Through | A | 9.3 | В | 10.1 |
| Northbound Left-Turn | В | 12.1 | A | 9.1 |
| Southbound Through | A | 8.8 | В | 10.1 |
| Southbound Right-Turn | A | 7.4 | A | 7.5 |
| LOS = Level of Service $1 - \text{All-way stop control}$ Delay is measured in seconds. | ol. | | | |

Table 10 CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS – EXISTING CONDITIONS

| | • | y Morning Hour | Weekday Evening Peak Hour | | |
|---|--------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Intersection | V/C Ratio | 95 th Queues (ft) | V/C Ratio | 95 th Queues (ft) | |
| Avenida Cesar Chavez with 2 nd Street SW ¹ | | | | | |
| Eastbound Left-Turn | 0.104 | 8 | 0.049 | 5 | |
| Eastbound Right-Turn | 0.14 | 13 | 0.178 | 15 | |
| Northbound Through | 0.112 | 10 | 0.237 | 23 | |
| Northbound Left-Turn | 0.459 | 60 | 0.188 | 18 | |
| Southbound Through | 0.113 | 10 | 0.295 | 30 | |
| Southbound Right-Turn | 0.018 | 3 | 0.037 | 3 | |
| LOS = Level of Service $1 - \text{All-way stop control}$ Delay is measured in seconds. | ol. | | | | |

Table 11 CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS – NO-BUILD CONDITIONS

| Intersection | Weekday Morning Peak Hour | | Weekday Evening Peak Hour | |
|---|------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|-------|
| | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay |
| Avenida Cesar Chavez with 2 nd Street SW ¹ | | | | |
| Overall | В | 10.6 | A | 9.6 |
| Eastbound Left-Turn | A | 9.7 | A | 9.3 |
| Eastbound Right-Turn | A | 8.7 | A | 9.0 |
| Northbound Through | A | 9.3 | В | 10.2 |
| Northbound Left-Turn | В | 12.2 | A | 9.1 |
| Southbound Through | A | 8.9 | В | 10.2 |
| Southbound Right-Turn | A | 7.5 | A | 7.5 |
| LOS = Level of Service 1 – All-way stop control Delay is measured in seconds. | 1. | | | |

Table 12 CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS – NO-BUILD CONDITIONS

| | Weekday Morning Peak Hour | | Weekday Evening Peak Hour | |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Intersection | V/C Ratio | 95 th Queues (ft) | V/C Ratio | 95 th Queues (ft) |
| Avenida Cesar Chavez with 2 nd Street SW ¹ | | | | |
| Eastbound Left-Turn | 0.104 | 8 | 0.049 | 5 |
| Eastbound Right-Turn | 0.142 | 13 | 0.18 | 15 |
| Northbound Through | 0.113 | 10 | 0.239 | 23 |
| Northbound Left-Turn | 0.466 | 63 | 0.19 | 18 |
| Southbound Through | 0.115 | 10 | 0.298 | 30 |
| Southbound Right-Turn | 0.018 | 3 | 0.037 | 3 |
| LOS = Level of Service $1 - \text{All-way stop control}$ Delay is measured in seconds. | ol. | | | |

Table 13
CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS – PROJECTED CONDITIONS

| Intersection | Weekday Morning Peak Hour | | Weekday Evening Peak Hour | | |
|--|------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|-------|--|
| | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | |
| 3 rd Street SW with 2 nd Street SW ¹ | | | | | |
| • Overall | В | 10.7 | A | 9.6 | |
| Eastbound Left-Turn | A | 9.7 | A | 9.4 | |
| Eastbound Right-Turn | A | 8.8 | A | 9.1 | |
| Northbound Through | A | 9.3 | В | 10.2 | |
| Northbound Left-Turn | В | 12.3 | A | 9.1 | |
| Southbound Through | A | 8.9 | В | 10.2 | |
| Southbound Right-Turn | A | 7.5 | A | 7.5 | |
| Avenida Cesar Chavez SW with Proposed Right-In/Right-Out Access Drive ² | | | | | |
| Northbound Approach | В | 12.3 | В | 11.1 | |
| 3 rd Street SW with Proposed Access Drive ² | | | | | |
| Eastbound Approach | A | 9.5 | A | 9.9 | |
| Northbound Left-Turn | A | 7.5 | A | 7.5 | |
| | | | | | |

Table 14 CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS – PROJECTED CONDITIONS

| Intersection | Weekday Morning Peak Hour | | Weekday Evening Peak Hour | | |
|--|------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| | V/C Ratio | 95 th Queues (ft) | V/C Ratio | 95 th Queues (ft) | |
| 3 rd Street SW with 2 nd Street SW ¹ | | - | | - | |
| Eastbound Left-Turn | 0.108 | 10 | 0.051 | 5 | |
| Eastbound Right-Turn | 0.145 | 13 | 0.183 | 18 | |
| Northbound Through | 0.117 | 10 | 0.242 | 23 | |
| Northbound Left-Turn | 0.468 | 63 | 0.19 | 18 | |
| Southbound Through | 0.116 | 10 | 0.299 | 30 | |
| Southbound Right-Turn | 0.021 | 3 | 0.04 | 3 | |
| Avenida Cesar Chavez SW with Proposed Right-In/Right-Out Access Drive ² | | | | | |
| Northbound Approach | 0.073 | 5 | 0.023 | 3 | |
| 3 rd Street SW with Proposed Access Drive ² | | | | | |
| Eastbound Approach | 0.044 | 3 | 0.028 | 3 | |
| Northbound Left-Turn | 0.003 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| | | | | | |



Discussion and Recommendations

The following summarizes how the intersections are projected to operate and identifies any roadway and traffic control improvements necessary to accommodate the generated traffic.

Avenida Cesar Chavez SW with 3rd Street SW

The results of the capacity analysis indicate that overall this intersection currently operates at level of service (LOS) A during the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours. The eastbound and westbound approaches currently operate at LOS A during both peak hours while the northbound and southbound approaches operate at LOS C or better during the peak hours.

Under Year 2024 no-build and total projected conditions, the intersection is projected to operate at LOS A during the weekday morning peak hour and LOS B during the weekday evening peak hour with increases in delay of less than three seconds. All the approaches are projected to operate at the existing levels of service during both peak hours with increases in delay of less than less than four seconds. The maximum 95th percentile queue for the eastbound through movement is projected to be approximately 235 feet during the weekday morning peak hour that will not extend back to the intersection of 4th Street with Avenida Cesar Chavez. However, the eastbound through movement may extend back to the proposed right-in/right-out access drive but a review of the traffic simulation showed that the queue will clear the intersection during one cycle. The maximum 95th percentile queue for the westbound left-turn movement is projected to be approximately 70 feet during the weekday morning peak hour that can be accommodated within the existing left-turn lane storage provided. As such, this intersection had adequate reserve capacity to accommodate the traffic estimated to be generated by the proposed Dunkin Drive-Through and no roadway improvement or traffic control adjustments will be required.

Avenida Cesar Chavez SW with 4th Street SW

The results of the capacity analysis indicate that overall this intersection currently operates at LOS A during the weekday morning peak hour and LOS B during the weekday evening peak hour. The eastbound and westbound approaches operate at LOS B or better during the peak hours and the northbound and southbound approaches operate at LOS C during both peak hours.

Under Year 2024 no-build and total projected conditions, the intersection and all its approaches are projected to continue operating at the existing levels of service during both peak hours with increases in delay of less than one second. The maximum 95th percentile queue for the westbound through movement is projected to be approximately 355 feet during the weekday evening peak hour and will not extend back to the intersection of Avenida Cesar Chavez with 3rd Street. As such, this intersection has adequate reserve capacity to accommodate the traffic estimated to be generated by the proposed Dunkin Drive-Through and no roadway improvements or traffic control adjustments will be required.



3rd Street SW with 2nd Street SW

The results of the capacity analysis indicate that overall the intersection currently operates at LOS B during the weekday morning and LOS A during the weekday evening peak hour. All the approaches and their critical movements currently operate at LOS B or better during both peak hours.

Under Year 2024 no-build and total projected conditions, the intersections and all its critical movements are projected to continue operating at the same existing levels of service during both peak hours with increases in delay of less than one second. As such, the traffic estimated to be generated by the proposed Dunkin Drive-Through will have a limited impact on the operation of this intersection and no roadway improvements or traffic control adjustments will be required.

Avenida Cesar Chavez SW with Proposed Right-In/Right-Out Access Drive

The results of the capacity analysis indicate that the outbound movement is projected to operate at LOS B during the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hour with a 95th percentile queue of one to two vehicles during both peak hours. As such, this access drive will be adequate to accommodate the traffic estimated to be generated by the proposed Dunkin Drive-Through and will ensure efficient access to the site.

3rd Street SW with Proposed Access Drive

The results of the capacity analysis indicate that the eastbound approach and the northbound left-turn movement are projected to operate at LOS A during the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours. The maximum 95th percentile queue for the northbound left-turn movement is projected to be one to two vehicles during both peak hours and will not interrupt the traffic flow on 3rd Street. As such, this access drive will be adequate to accommodate the traffic estimated to be generated by the proposed Dunkin Drive-Through and will ensure efficient and flexible access to the site.

On-Site Circulation and Drive-Through Stacking

Based on a review of the site plan, vehicles entering the drive-through facility for the coffee shop will enter at the southwest corner of the building facing east. Vehicles will proceed to the dual ordering boards, place their order, and then proceed to the pay/pick-up window located on the east side of the building. Vehicles will then exit the drive-through from the northeast corner of the building and will be able to proceed either left to the access drive on Avenida Cesar Chavez SW or right to the access drive on 3rd Street SW.

A stop sign should be provided for outbound movements from the drive-through onto the main circulation drive aisles and a "Do Not Enter" sign should be provided at the drive-through exit facing north.



Based on the site plan, the drive-through facility will provide stacking for approximately six vehicles before the ordering boards and eight vehicles from the dual order boards to the pick-up window for a total of 14 stacked vehicles.

Observations conducted by KLOA. Inc at existing coffee shops in the Chicagoland area indicated the following:

- During the weekday morning peak period (6:30 A.M. to 9:00 A.M.), an average queue of seven vehicles and a maximum queue of 12 vehicles were observed.
- During the weekday evening peak period (4:00 P.M. to 6:30 P.M.), an average queue of one vehicle and a maximum queue of two vehicles were observed.

As such, the proposed stacking for 14 vehicles will be adequate in accommodating the average and peak drive-through stacking anticipated for the coffee shop.



6. Conclusion


Based on the proceeding analyses and recommendations, the following conclusions have been made:

- The proposed Dunkin will be located at 310 Avenida Cesar Chavez SW and will be an approximately 1,700 square-foot building providing a drive-through that will accommodate 14 vehicles and a parking lot with 16 parking spaces.
- Access to the site will be provided via the two full movement access drives off Avenida Cesar Chavez SW and 3rd Street SW.
- The volume of traffic estimated to be generated by Dunkin will be reduced due to the volume of pass-by trips anticipated to be diverted from the existing traffic on Avenida Cesar Chavez SW.
- The access drives are projected to be adequate in accommodating the traffic estimated to be generated by Dunkin and will provide flexible and efficient access to the site.
- As part of the proposed development, stop signs should be provided for outbound traffic from both access drives.
- The drive-through stacking of 14 vehicles will be adequate in accommodating the peak drive-through activity for the coffee shop.



Appendix

Scope of Traffic Impact Study
Traffic Count Summary Sheets
Site Plan
ITE Trip Generation Summary Sheets
Level of Service Criteria
Capacity Analysis Summary Sheets



SCOPE OF TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY (TIS)

| 10: | KLOA, Inc. | ins Road, Suite 400 |
|-------|---|--|
| MEET | ING DATE: | Wednesday, April 26, 2023 – Was a virtual meeting held |
| (KLOA | NDEES: A, Inc.), Luay Al eering, LLC) | Matthew Grush, P.E. (City of Albuquerque), Brendan May, PE, PTOE boona, PE, PTOE (KLOA, Inc.), Jeff Wooten, PE, LEED AP (Wooten |
| PROJ | ECT: Dunkii | n Donuts (310 Avenida Cesar Chavez) |
| REQU | JESTED CITY | ACTION: Zone Change X Site Development Plan |
| | _ Subdivision | Building Permit Sector Plan Sector Plan Amendment |
| | _ Curb Cut Per | mit Conditional Use Annexation Site Plan Amendment |
| ASSO | CIATED APPL | ICATION: Coffee Shop with Drive-Through Window (1,700 s.f.) |
| The T | | : udy should follow the standard report format, which is outlined in the DPM. mental information is provided for the preparation of this specific study. |
| 1. | Trip Generation | on - Use Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition. |
| 2. | | |
| | Unsignalized a. Avenid | Intersections; Ia Cesar Chavez with 2 nd Street SW |
| | Driveway Inte | rsections: all site drives confirmed |
| 3. | Study Tim | urning movement counts e – 7-9 a.m. peak hour, 4-6 p.m. peak hour t to provide for all intersections listed above. |
| 4. | | ection progression and factors to be used. ation to be determined from the results of the traffic counts |
| 5. | Boundaries of | area to be used for trip distribution. 2 mile radius – commercial; |

6. Basis for trip distribution.

Commercial - Use relationship based upon population. Use population data from 2040 Socioeconomic Forecasts, MRCOG – See MRCOG website for most current data. Commercial -

Ts = (Tt)(Sp)/(Sp)

Ts = Development to Individual Subarea Trips

Tt = Total Trips

Sp = Subarea Population

- 7. Traffic Assignment. Logical routing on the major street system.
- 8. Proposed developments which have been approved but not constructed that are to be Included in the analyses. Projects in the area include: N/A
- Method of intersection capacity analysis planning or operational (see "2016 Highway Capacity Manual" or equivalent [i.e. HCS, Synchro, Teapac, etc.] as approved by staff).
 Must use latest version of design software and/or current edition of design manual.
 Implementation Year: 2024
- 10. Traffic conditions for analysis:
 - a. Existing analysis X yes __ no year (2023);
 - b. Phase implementation year(s) without proposed development N/A
 - c. Phase implementation year(s) with proposed development N/A
 - d. Project completion year without proposed development 2025
 - e. Project completion year with proposed development 2025
 - f. Other -
- 11. Background traffic growth.

Method: use 10-year historical growth based on standard data from the MRCOG Traffic Flow Maps. Minimum growth rate to be used is 1/2%.

12. Planned (programmed) traffic improvements.

List planned CIP improvements in study area and projected project implementation year:

- a. N/A
- 13. Items to be included in the study:
 - a. Intersection analysis. Yes
 - b. Signal progression An analysis is required if the driveway analysis indicates a traffic signal is possibly warranted. Analysis Method: N/A
 - c. Arterial LOS analysis; No
 - d. Recommended street, intersection and signal improvements. Yes
 - e. Site design features such as turning lanes, median cuts, queuing requirements and site circulation, including driveway signalization and visibility. Yes
 - f. Transportation system impacts.
 - g. Other mitigating measures. Yes
 - h. Accident analyses X yes _ no; Location(s): Avenida Cesar Chavez with 2nd Street, 3rd Street, 4th Street (5 years)
 - i. Weaving analyses __ yes X no; Location(s): N/A
- 14. Other: N/A

SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS:

- 1. Number of copies of report required
 - a. 1 digital copy
- 2. Submittal Fee \$1300 for up to 3 reviews plus technology fee

The Traffic Impact Study for this development proposal, project name, shall be performed in accordance with the above criteria. If there are any questions regarding the above items, please contact me at 505-924-3362.


Date


P.E. 6/13/2023

Matt Grush, P.E.
Senior Engineer
City of Albuquerque, Planning
Transportation Development Section


via: email

C: TIS Task Force Attendees, file







Report generated on 7/5/2023 11:24 AM




Report generated on 7/5/2023 11:30 AM




Report generated on 7/5/2023 11:24 AM



Report generated on 7/5/2023 11:30 AM



Report generated on 7/5/2023 11:24 AM



Report generated on 7/5/2023 11:30 AM

Site Plan







LEGAL DESCRIPTION: TR G-1 PLAT OF SOUTH BARELAS INDUSTRIAL PARK UNIT #2 CONT 0.8394 AC

SITE STUDY 1B PROPOSED DUNKIN DONUTS ALBUQUERQUE, NM


TOTAL PARKING SPACES = 16 SPACES Total Required: 14 spaces Provided: 16 spaces

| | ВУ | | | RY 2023 | RY 2023 | 3009 | ZY 2023 |
|--|----------|-----------|--------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | | | DATE: JANUARY 2023 | DATE: JANUARY 2023 | JOB NO.: 2023009 | DATE: JANUARY 2023 |
| | REMARKS | REVISIONS | DESIGN | | | | |
| | IO. DATE | | | SIGNED BY: 0G | AWN BY: 0G | | ECKED BY: JW |
| | .O. | | | SIGN | SAWN | | ECKE |

PLAN

Dunkin Donuts Avenida Cesar Chavez SV Albuquerque, NM 87102

MS.



Land Use: 937 Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window

Description

This land use includes any coffee and donut restaurant that has a drive-through window as well as a walk-in entrance area at which a patron can purchase and consume items. The restaurant sells freshly brewed coffee (along with coffee-related accessories) and a variety of food/drink products such as donuts, bagels, breads, muffins, cakes, sandwiches, wraps, salads, and other hot and cold beverages. The restaurant marketing and sales may emphasize coffee beverages over food (or vice versa).

A coffee/donut shop typically holds long store hours (more than 15 hours) with an early morning opening. Limited indoor seating is generally provided for patrons, but table service is not provided.

Coffee/donut shop without drive-through window (Land Use 936) and coffee/donut shop with drive-through window and no indoor seating (Land Use 938) are related uses.

Additional Data

The sites were surveyed in the 1990s, the 2000s, and the 2010s in California, Colorado, Connecticut, Illinois, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ontario (CAN), Pennsylvania, Quebec (CAN), Tennessee, Vermont, Washington, and Wisconsin.

Specialized Land Use Data

One study was conducted during the pandemic in 2020. Twelve sites were counted in Illinois and Missouri during the AM and PM adjacent street peak hours. The data have not been incorporated within the overall ITE trip generation database and are not reflected in the data plots for this land use. Consideration for their inclusion will be given for the 12th Edition of Trip Generation Manual after additional post-pandemic data are collected. Overall, the pandemic counts yielded an AM adjacent street peak weighted average rate of 84 vehicle trips per 1,000 square feet GFA, roughly equivalent to the pre-pandemic average. The PM adjacent street peak rate was 56 (roughly 40) percent higher than the pre-pandemic value). The higher PM peak rate for these coffee/donut shops conforms with anecdotal observations that with the temporary or permanent closures of many restaurants during the pandemic, the drive-through restaurants that were open did a brisk business even during their off-peak periods.

Source Numbers

594, 599, 615, 617, 618, 621, 622, 635, 639, 712, 714, 725, 726, 728, 853, 854, 892, 903, 928, 959, 979, 982, 1004, 1042, 1044



Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window (937)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday

Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban


Number of Studies: 6 Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 2

Directional Distribution: 50% entering, 50% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
|--------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| 533.57 | 309.41 - 869.00 | 243.65 |

Data Plot and Equation





Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window (937)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

On a: Weekday,

Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,

One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban


Number of Studies: 78 Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 2

Directional Distribution: 51% entering, 49% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
|--------------|----------------|--------------------|
| 85.88 | 18.51 - 282.05 | 44.92 |

Data Plot and Equation





Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window (937)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

On a: Weekday,

Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,

One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban


Number of Studies: 36 Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 2

Directional Distribution: 50% entering, 50% exiting


Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
|--------------|----------------|--------------------|
| 38.99 | 13.78 - 92.31 | 17.79 |

Data Plot and Equation







LEVEL OF SERVICE CRITERIA

| Signalized | Intersections | | |
|---------------------|---|--|---|
| Level of Service | Interpretat | ion | Average Control Delay (seconds per vehicle) |
| A | Favorable progression. Most ve green indication and travel throug stopping. | | ≤10 |
| В | Good progression, with more ve Level of Service A. | hicles stopping than for | > 10 - 20 |
| С | Individual cycle failures (i.e., one are not able to depart as a result during the cycle) may begin to apstopping is significant, although through the intersection without s | t of insufficient capacity pear. Number of vehicles many vehicles still pass | > 20 - 35 |
| D | The volume-to-capacity ratio is hi is ineffective or the cycle length is stop and individual cycle failures | s too long. Many vehicles | > 35 - 55 |
| Е | Progression is unfavorable. The vehigh and the cycle length is long. are frequent. | 1 2 | > 55 - 80 |
| F | The volume-to-capacity ratio is very poor, and the cycle length is clear the queue. | | > 80 |
| Unsignaliz | ed Intersections | | |
| | Level of Service | Average Total l | Delay (sec/veh) |
| | A | 0 - | 10 |
| | В | > 10 | - 15 |
| | С | > 15 | - 25 |
| | D | > 25 | - 35 |
| | E | > 35 | - 50 |
| | F | > 5 | 50 |

Capacity Analysis Summary Sheets
Existing Weekday Morning Peak Hour

| | ۶ | → | • | • | + | • | 4 | † | / | / | + | 4 |
|--------------------------|-------|-----------------|------|-------|----------|-------|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ሻ | ተተ _ጉ | | * | ^ | 7 | ሻ | 1 | 1 | | 4 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 155 | 1332 | 39 | 31 | 791 | 64 | 41 | 19 | 20 | 48 | 15 | 52 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 155 | 1332 | 39 | 31 | 791 | 64 | 41 | 19 | 20 | 48 | 15 | 52 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 2000 | 1900 | 1900 | 2000 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 260 | | 0 | 180 | | 145 | 90 | | 90 | 0 | | 120 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 | | 0 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 0 | | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 70 | | | 100 | | | 120 | | | 25 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | | 0.996 | | | | 0.850 | | | 0.850 | | | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | | 0.963 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1787 | 5016 | 0 | 1687 | 3654 | 1568 | 1641 | 2000 | 1404 | 0 | 1802 | 1553 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.278 | | | 0.147 | | | 0.711 | | | | 0.764 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 523 | 5016 | 0 | 261 | 3654 | 1568 | 1228 | 2000 | 1404 | 0 | 1430 | 1553 |
| Right Turn on Red | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | | 5 | | | | 71 | | | 26 | | | 26 |
| Link Speed (mph) | | 35 | | | 35 | | | 30 | | | 30 | |
| Link Distance (ft) | | 612 | | | 199 | | | 208 | | | 219 | |
| Travel Time (s) | | 11.9 | | | 3.9 | | | 4.7 | | | 5.0 | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 1% | 3% | 3% | 7% | 4% | 3% | 10% | 0% | 15% | 2% | 0% | 4% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | .,, | | - 70 | . , , | .,, | | | | , , , | _,, | | .,. |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 174 | 1541 | 0 | 35 | 889 | 72 | 46 | 21 | 22 | 0 | 71 | 58 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | pm+ov | Perm | NA | pm+ov |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | | 8 | 1 | | 4 | 5 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | | 6 | | 6 | 8 | | 8 | 4 | | 4 |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 3.0 | 20.0 | | 3.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 3.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 3.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 30.0 | | 9.5 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 9.5 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 9.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 15.0 | 32.0 | | 15.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 15.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 15.0 |
| Total Split (%) | 17.6% | 37.6% | | 17.6% | 37.6% | 37.6% | 44.7% | 44.7% | 17.6% | 44.7% | 44.7% | 17.6% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.5 | 1.0 | | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.5 | 5.0 | | 3.5 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 3.5 | | 5.0 | 3.5 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | | Lead | Lag | Lag | | | Lead | | | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | Yes | Yes | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | | None | C-Max | C-Max | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 68.5 | 63.8 | | 64.1 | 58.2 | 58.2 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 16.7 | | 9.9 | 18.3 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.81 | 0.75 | | 0.75 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.20 | | 0.12 | 0.22 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.34 | 0.41 | | 0.13 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.32 | 0.09 | 0.07 | | 0.43 | 0.16 |
| Control Delay | 4.2 | 5.9 | | 3.7 | 7.2 | 2.1 | 39.9 | 33.1 | 9.2 | | 42.5 | 15.9 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 4.2 | 5.9 | | 3.7 | 7.6 | 2.1 | 39.9 | 33.1 | 9.2 | | 42.5 | 15.9 |
| LOS | Α | Α | | Α | Α | Α | D | С | Α | | D | В |
| Approach Delay | , , | 5.8 | | ,, | 7.0 | , , | | 30.7 | , , | | 30.5 | |
| Approach LOS | | A | | | Α. | | | C | | | C | |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 16 | 119 | | 3 | 97 | 0 | 23 | 10 | 0 | | 36 | 13 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 36 | 175 | | 10 | 161 | 16 | 53 | 29 | 15 | | 73 | 39 |
| - Caous Longin John (it) | | 17.0 | | 10 | 101 | 10 | | 20 | 10 | | 7.5 | |

AMEX Existing Weeekday Morning Peak Hour Conditions 11:55 am 07/10/2023 23-158 - Avenida Cesar Chavez DunkinSyAlthurqufetqReport sa

| | • | - | \rightarrow | • | ← | • | • | † | / | - | ļ | 4 |
|-------------------------|------|------|---------------|------|----------|------|------|----------|------|-----|------|------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | | 532 | | | 119 | | | 128 | | | 139 | |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 260 | | | 180 | | 145 | 90 | | 90 | | | 120 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 596 | 3767 | | 405 | 2502 | 1095 | 476 | 776 | 411 | | 555 | 453 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.29 | 0.41 | | 0.09 | 0.58 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.05 | | 0.13 | 0.13 |

Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 85

Actuated Cycle Length: 85

Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 80


Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.43

Intersection Signal Delay: 8.0 Intersection LOS: A Intersection Capacity Utilization 51.7% ICU Level of Service A

Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 3: 4th Street & Avenida Cesar Chavez



| | ۶ | → | • | • | — | • | 1 | † | ~ | / | ţ | ✓ |
|-------------------------|------|----------|-------|--|------------|------|--------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | ^ | 7 | ሻ | ∱ } | | | 4 | 7 | | 4 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 1321 | 78 | 39 | 828 | 20 | 29 | 6 | 31 | 9 | 9 | 27 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 1321 | 78 | 39 | 828 | 20 | 29 | 6 | 31 | 9 | 9 | 27 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 2000 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 | | 170 | 50 | | 0 | 0 | | 70 | 0 | | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 | | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 0 | | 1 | 0 | | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 | | | 40 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | | | 0.850 | | 0.996 | | | | 0.850 | | 0.918 | |
| Flt Protected | | | | 0.950 | | | | 0.960 | | | 0.990 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 3619 | 1599 | 1570 | 3397 | 0 | 0 | 1523 | 1429 | 0 | 1590 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | • | | | 0.152 | | | | 0.728 | • | | 0.921 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 3619 | 1599 | 251 | 3397 | 0 | 0 | 1155 | 1429 | 0 | 1480 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes | • | | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | | | 74 | | 4 | . 00 | | | 29 | | 31 | . 00 |
| Link Speed (mph) | | 35 | | | 35 | | | 30 | | | 10 | |
| Link Distance (ft) | | 184 | | | 289 | | | 130 | | | 286 | |
| Travel Time (s) | | 3.6 | | | 5.6 | | | 3.0 | | | 19.5 | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 0% | 5% | 1% | 15% | 6% | 0% | 24% | 0% | 13% | 0% | 22% | 7% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | 0 70 | 070 | 170 | 1070 | 0 70 | 0 70 | 2170 | 0 70 | 1070 | 0 70 | 22 /0 | 1 70 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1501 | 89 | 44 | 964 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 35 | 0 | 51 | 0 |
| Turn Type | V | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | • | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | v |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | . 0 | . 0 | 6 | | . 0 | 8 | . 0 | . 0 | 4 | |
| Permitted Phases | | _ | 2 | 6 | • | | 8 | · · | 8 | 4 | • | |
| Detector Phase | | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | | 8 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | |
| Switch Phase | | _ | _ | , and the second | • | | | | | • | • | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | | 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 | | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | |
| Total Split (s) | | 37.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | |
| Total Split (%) | | 49.3% | 49.3% | 49.3% | 49.3% | | 50.7% | 50.7% | 50.7% | 50.7% | 50.7% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | 5.0 | | 5.0 | |
| Lead/Lag | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Recall Mode | | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | | None | None | None | None | None | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | | 63.2 | 63.2 | 63.2 | 63.2 | | 140110 | 9.0 | 9.0 | None | 9.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | | | 0.12 | 0.12 | | 0.12 | |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.49 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.34 | | | 0.29 | 0.12 | | 0.12 | |
| Control Delay | | 3.8 | 1.2 | 6.0 | 3.0 | | | 35.3 | 15.8 | | 19.2 | |
| Queue Delay | | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | | 4.2 | 1.2 | 6.0 | 3.3 | | | 35.3 | 15.8 | | 19.2 | |
| LOS | | 4.2 A | Α | Α | 3.3 A | | | 33.3 D | 13.0 B | | 19.2 B | |
| Approach Delay | | 4.0 | Λ. | ٨ | 3.4 | | | 26.2 | U U | | 19.2 | |
| Approach LOS | | 4.0 A | | | 3.4 A | | | 20.2 C | | | 19.2 B | |
| | | 113 | 1 | 5 | 59 | | | 18 | 3 | | 9 | |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | | | | | 98 | | | | | | 36 | |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | | 181 | 11 | 20 | 98 | | | 43 | 26 | | 30 | |

AMEX Existing Weeekday Morning Peak Hour Conditions 11:55 am 07/10/2023 23-158 - Avenida Cesar Chavez DunkinSyAlthurqufetqReport sa

9: Avenida Cesar Chavez & 3rd Street

| | • | - | • | • | ← | • | 1 | Ť | / | - | ↓ | 4 |
|-------------------------|-----|------|------|------|----------|-----|-----|------|------|-----|----------|-----|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | | 104 | | | 209 | | | 50 | | | 206 | |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | | | 170 | 50 | | | | | 70 | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | | 3050 | 1359 | 211 | 2864 | | | 508 | 645 | | 668 | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | | 856 | 0 | 0 | 1215 | | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | | 0.68 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.58 | | | 0.08 | 0.05 | | 0.08 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 75

Actuated Cycle Length: 75

Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:EBT and 6:WBTL, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 75


Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.49

Intersection Signal Delay: 4.7 Intersection LOS: A Intersection Capacity Utilization 60.5% ICU Level of Service B

Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 9: Avenida Cesar Chavez & 3rd Street



| Intersection | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 10.5 | | | | | | |
| Intersection LOS | В | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | ሻ | 7 | ሻ | * | 1 | 7 | |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 48 | 78 | 55 | 259 | 60 | 11 | |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 48 | 78 | 55 | 259 | 60 | 11 | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | |
| Heavy Vehicles, % | 0 | 8 | 17 | 5 | 5 | 0 | |
| Mvmt Flow | 60 | 98 | 69 | 324 | 75 | 14 | |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Approach | EB | | NB | | SB | | |
| Opposing Approach | | | SB | | NB | | |
| Opposing Lanes | 0 | | 2 | | 2 | | |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB | | EB | | | | |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 2 | | 2 | | 0 | | |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB | | | | EB | | |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 2 | | 0 | | 2 | | |
| HCM Control Delay | 9 | | 11.6 | | 8.6 | | |
| HCM LOS | Α | | В | | Α | | |
| I IOW LOO | , <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u> | | | | | | |
| TIOM EOU | ,, | | | | | | |
| Lane | | NBLn1 | NBLn2 | EBLn1 | EBLn2 | SBLn1 | SBLn2 |
| | | NBLn1 100% | | EBLn1 100% | | SBLn1 | SBLn2 |
| Lane | | | NBLn2 | 100% 0% | EBLn2 | | |
| Lane Vol Left, % | | 100% | NBLn2 | 100% | EBLn2 | 0% | 0% |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % | | 100% 0% | NBLn2 0% 100% | 100% 0% | EBLn2 0% 0% | 0% 100% | 0% 0% |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 55 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% | 100% 0% 0% Stop 48 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% | 0% 100% 0% Stop 60 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 11 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 55 55 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 259 0 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 48 48 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop | 0% 100% 0% Stop 60 | 0% 0% 100% Stop |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 55 55 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 259 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 48 48 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 78 0 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 60 0 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 11 0 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 55 55 0 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 259 0 259 0 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 48 48 0 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 78 0 0 78 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 60 0 60 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 11 0 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 55 55 0 0 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 259 0 259 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 48 48 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 78 0 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 60 0 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 11 0 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 55 55 0 0 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 259 0 259 0 324 7 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 48 48 0 0 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 78 0 0 78 98 7 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 60 0 60 75 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 11 0 0 11 14 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 55 55 0 0 69 7 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 259 0 259 0 324 7 0.458 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 48 48 0 0 60 7 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 78 0 0 78 98 7 0.139 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 60 0 60 75 7 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 11 0 0 11 14 7 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 55 55 0 0 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 259 0 259 0 324 7 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 48 48 0 0 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 78 0 0 78 98 7 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 60 0 60 75 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 11 0 0 11 14 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 55 55 0 0 69 7 0.111 5.803 Yes | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 259 0 259 0 324 7 0.458 5.096 Yes | 100% 0% 0% Stop 48 48 0 0 60 7 0.103 6.186 Yes | EBLn2 0% 100% Stop 78 0 78 98 7 0.139 5.116 Yes | 0% 100% 0% Stop 60 0 60 75 7 0.113 5.4 Yes | 0% 0% 100% Stop 11 0 0 11 14 7 0.018 4.608 Yes |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) Convergence, Y/N Cap | | 100% 0% Stop 55 55 0 0 69 7 0.111 5.803 Yes 617 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 259 0 259 0 324 7 0.458 5.096 Yes 706 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 48 48 0 0 60 7 0.103 6.186 Yes 578 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 78 0 0 78 98 7 0.139 5.116 Yes 698 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 60 0 60 75 7 0.113 5.4 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 11 0 0 11 14 7 0.018 4.608 Yes 772 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) Convergence, Y/N | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 55 55 0 0 69 7 0.111 5.803 Yes | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 259 0 259 0 324 7 0.458 5.096 Yes | 100% 0% 0% Stop 48 48 0 0 60 7 0.103 6.186 Yes | EBLn2 0% 100% Stop 78 0 78 98 7 0.139 5.116 Yes | 0% 100% 0% Stop 60 0 60 75 7 0.113 5.4 Yes | 0% 0% 100% Stop 11 0 0 11 14 7 0.018 4.608 Yes |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) Convergence, Y/N Cap | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 55 55 0 0 69 7 0.111 5.803 Yes 617 3.544 0.112 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 259 0 259 0 324 7 0.458 5.096 Yes 706 2.836 0.459 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 48 48 0 0 60 7 0.103 6.186 Yes 578 3.935 0.104 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 78 0 0 78 98 7 0.139 5.116 Yes 698 2.865 0.14 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 60 0 60 75 7 0.113 5.4 Yes 662 3.153 0.113 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 11 0 0 11 14 7 0.018 4.608 Yes 772 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) Convergence, Y/N Cap Service Time HCM Lane V/C Ratio HCM Control Delay | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 55 55 0 69 7 0.111 5.803 Yes 617 3.544 0.112 9.3 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 259 0 259 0 324 7 0.458 5.096 Yes 706 2.836 0.459 12.1 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 48 48 0 0 60 7 0.103 6.186 Yes 578 3.935 0.104 9.6 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 78 0 0 78 98 7 0.139 5.116 Yes 698 2.865 0.14 8.7 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 60 0 60 0 75 7 0.113 5.4 Yes 662 3.153 0.113 8.8 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 11 0 0 11 14 7 0.018 4.608 Yes 772 2.362 0.018 7.4 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) Convergence, Y/N Cap Service Time HCM Lane V/C Ratio | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 55 55 0 0 69 7 0.111 5.803 Yes 617 3.544 0.112 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 259 0 259 0 324 7 0.458 5.096 Yes 706 2.836 0.459 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 48 48 0 0 60 7 0.103 6.186 Yes 578 3.935 0.104 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 78 0 0 78 98 7 0.139 5.116 Yes 698 2.865 0.14 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 60 0 60 75 7 0.113 5.4 Yes 662 3.153 0.113 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 11 0 0 11 14 7 0.018 4.608 Yes 772 2.362 0.018 |

Capacity Analysis Summary Sheets
Existing Weekday Evening Peak Hour

| | ٠ | → | • | • | — | • | • | † | ~ | > | ţ | 4 |
|-------------------------|-------|-----------------|------|-------|----------|-------|-------|----------|-------|-------------|-------|-------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ሻ | ተተ _ጉ | | * | ^ | 7 | ሻ | † | 7 | | 4 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 93 | 1110 | 49 | 21 | 1426 | 39 | 75 | 8 | 31 | 67 | 27 | 209 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 93 | 1110 | 49 | 21 | 1426 | 39 | 75 | 8 | 31 | 67 | 27 | 209 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 2000 | 1900 | 1900 | 2000 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 260 | | 0 | 180 | | 145 | 90 | | 90 | 0 | | 120 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 | | 0 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 0 | | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 70 | | | 100 | | | 120 | | | 25 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | | 0.994 | | | | 0.850 | | | 0.850 | | | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | | 0.966 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1805 | 5143 | 0 | 1719 | 3689 | 1568 | 1736 | 2000 | 1509 | 0 | 1810 | 1538 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.115 | | | 0.220 | | | 0.694 | | | | 0.783 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 218 | 5143 | 0 | 398 | 3689 | 1568 | 1268 | 2000 | 1509 | 0 | 1467 | 1538 |
| Right Turn on Red | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | | 8 | | | | 71 | | | 26 | | | 26 |
| Link Speed (mph) | | 35 | | | 35 | | | 30 | | | 30 | |
| Link Distance (ft) | | 612 | | | 199 | | | 208 | | | 219 | |
| Travel Time (s) | | 11.9 | | | 3.9 | | | 4.7 | | | 5.0 | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 0% | 0% | 6% | 5% | 3% | 3% | 4% | 0% | 7% | 2% | 0% | 5% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 97 | 1207 | 0 | 22 | 1485 | 41 | 78 | 8 | 32 | 0 | 98 | 218 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | pm+ov | Perm | NA | pm+ov |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | | 8 | 1 | | 4 | 5 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | | 6 | | 6 | 8 | | 8 | 4 | | 4 |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 3.0 | 20.0 | | 3.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 3.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 3.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 30.0 | | 9.5 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 9.5 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 9.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 15.0 | 32.0 | | 15.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 15.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 15.0 |
| Total Split (%) | 17.6% | 37.6% | | 17.6% | 37.6% | 37.6% | 44.7% | 44.7% | 17.6% | 44.7% | 44.7% | 17.6% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.5 | 1.0 | | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.5 | 5.0 | | 3.5 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 3.5 | | 5.0 | 3.5 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | | Lead | Lag | Lag | | | Lead | | | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | Yes | Yes | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | | None | C-Max | C-Max | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 66.6 | 62.6 | | 62.8 | 57.0 | 57.0 | 11.2 | 11.2 | 17.9 | | 11.2 | 19.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.78 | 0.74 | | 0.74 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.21 | | 0.13 | 0.23 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.35 | 0.32 | | 0.06 | 0.60 | 0.04 | 0.47 | 0.03 | 0.09 | | 0.51 | 0.58 |
| Control Delay | 6.3 | 5.9 | | 3.4 | 10.2 | 0.9 | 42.6 | 30.2 | 11.4 | | 42.9 | 30.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 6.3 | 5.9 | | 3.4 | 11.8 | 0.9 | 42.6 | 30.2 | 11.4 | | 42.9 | 30.6 |
| LOS | Α | Α | | Α | В | Α | D | С | В | | D | С |
| Approach Delay | | 5.9 | | | 11.4 | | | 33.3 | | | 34.4 | |
| Approach LOS | | Α | | | В | | | С | | | С | |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 10 | 89 | | 2 | 212 | 0 | 39 | 4 | 2 | | 50 | 89 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 25 | 138 | | 9 | 345 | 6 | 78 | 15 | 22 | | 93 | 143 |

PMEX Existing Weeekday Evening Peak Hour Conditions 1:32 pm 07/10/2023 23-158 - Avenida Cesar Chavez Dunkin - SylbachquoetquReeport sa

| | ۶ | - | \rightarrow | • | • | • | • | † | _ | - | ţ | 4 |
|-------------------------|------|------|---------------|------|------|------|------|----------|------|-----|------|------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | | 532 | | | 119 | | | 128 | | | 139 | |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 260 | | | 180 | | 145 | 90 | | 90 | | | 120 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 388 | 3791 | | 496 | 2472 | 1074 | 492 | 776 | 464 | | 569 | 472 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 749 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.25 | 0.32 | | 0.04 | 0.86 | 0.04 | 0.16 | 0.01 | 0.07 | | 0.17 | 0.46 |

Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 85

Actuated Cycle Length: 85

Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 90


Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.60

Intersection Signal Delay: 12.2 Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 68.7% ICU Level of Service C

Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 3: 4th Street & Avenida Cesar Chavez



| | ۶ | → | • | • | — | • | 1 | † | ~ | / | ↓ | ✓ |
|-------------------------|------|----------|--------------|-------|------------|------|--------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | ^ | 7 | ሻ | ∱ } | | | 4 | 7 | | 4 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 1152 | 55 | 34 | 1271 | 22 | 55 | 7 | 73 | 17 | 25 | 95 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 1152 | 55 | 34 | 1271 | 22 | 55 | 7 | 73 | 17 | 25 | 95 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 2000 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 | | 170 | 50 | | 0 | 0 | | 70 | 0 | | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 | | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 0 | | 1 | 0 | | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 | | | 40 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | | | 0.850 | | 0.997 | | | | 0.850 | | 0.906 | |
| Flt Protected | | | | 0.950 | | | | 0.957 | | | 0.994 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 3762 | 1615 | 1703 | 3530 | 0 | 0 | 1818 | 1568 | 0 | 1687 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | | 0.02 | | 0.200 | | | | 0.601 | | • | 0.956 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 3762 | 1615 | 358 | 3530 | 0 | 0 | 1142 | 1568 | 0 | 1623 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red | | 0.02 | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes | • | | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | | | 58 | | 3 | . 00 | | | 29 | | 15 | . 00 |
| Link Speed (mph) | | 35 | | | 35 | | | 30 | | | 10 | |
| Link Distance (ft) | | 184 | | | 289 | | | 130 | | | 286 | |
| Travel Time (s) | | 3.6 | | | 5.6 | | | 3.0 | | | 19.5 | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 0% | 1% | 0% | 6% | 2% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 3% | 0% | 4% | 1% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | 0 70 | 170 | 070 | 0 70 | 270 | 0 70 | 0 70 | 0 70 | 070 | 0 70 | 170 | 170 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1213 | 58 | 36 | 1361 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 77 | 0 | 144 | 0 |
| Turn Type | • | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | • | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | • |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | . 0 | 6 | | . 0 | 8 | . 0 | . 0 | 4 | |
| Permitted Phases | | _ | 2 | 6 | | | 8 | | 8 | 4 | • | |
| Detector Phase | | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | | 8 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | |
| Switch Phase | | _ | - | • | | | | | | • | • | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | | 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 | | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | |
| Total Split (s) | | 37.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | |
| Total Split (%) | | 49.3% | 49.3% | 49.3% | 49.3% | | 50.7% | 50.7% | 50.7% | 50.7% | 50.7% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | 5.0 | | 5.0 | |
| Lead/Lag | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Recall Mode | | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | | None | None | None | None | None | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | | 52.8 | 52.8 | 52.8 | 52.8 | | 110110 | 12.2 | 12.2 | 110110 | 12.2 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | | | 0.16 | 0.16 | | 0.16 | |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.46 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.55 | | | 0.35 | 0.28 | | 0.52 | |
| Control Delay | | 6.0 | 1.6 | 6.4 | 6.9 | | | 31.9 | 20.3 | | 31.7 | |
| Queue Delay | | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | | 6.6 | 1.6 | 6.4 | 9.5 | | | 31.9 | 20.3 | | 31.7 | |
| LOS | | Α | Α | A | Α. | | | C | C | | C | |
| Approach Delay | | 6.4 | | Λ. | 9.4 | | | 25.6 | <u> </u> | | 31.7 | |
| Approach LOS | | 0.4 A | | | 9.4 A | | | 25.0 C | | | 31.7 C | |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | | 103 | 0 | 4 | 127 | | | 27 | 20 | | 56 | |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | | 186 | 11 | 19 | 232 | | | 57 | 51 | | 100 | |
| Queue Length 95th (It) | | 100 | 11 | 19 | 232 | | | 31 | บา | | 100 | |

PMEX Existing Weeekday Evening Peak Hour Conditions 1:32 pm 07/10/2023 23-158 - Avenida Cesar Chavez Dunkin - SyllbchqueetquReeport sa

| | • | → | • | • | • | • | 4 | † | / | - | ↓ | 4 |
|-------------------------|-----|----------|------|------|------|-----|-----|----------|------|-----|----------|-----|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | | 104 | | | 209 | | | 50 | | | 206 | |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | | | 170 | 50 | | | | | 70 | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | | 2649 | 1154 | 252 | 2486 | | | 502 | 706 | | 722 | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | | 926 | 0 | 0 | 965 | | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | | 0.70 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.89 | | | 0.13 | 0.11 | | 0.20 | |

Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 75

Actuated Cycle Length: 75

Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:EBT and 6:WBTL, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 70


Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.55

Intersection Signal Delay: 10.0 Intersection LOS: A Intersection Capacity Utilization 58.9% ICU Level of Service B

Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 9: Avenida Cesar Chavez & 3rd Street



| Intersection | | | | | | | |
|--|------|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 9.6 | | | | | | |
| Intersection LOS | Α | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | ች | 7 | ች | | <u> </u> | 7 | |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 21 | 93 | 113 | 98 | 152 | 22 | |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 21 | 93 | 113 | 98 | 152 | 22 | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | |
| Heavy Vehicles, % | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | |
| Mvmt Flow | 28 | 124 | 151 | 131 | 203 | 29 | |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Approach | EB | | NB | | SB | | |
| Opposing Approach | | | SB | | NB | | |
| Opposing Lanes | 0 | | 2 | | 2 | | |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB | | EB | | | | |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 2 | | 2 | | 0 | | |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB | | | | EB | | |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 2 | | 0 | | 2 | | |
| HCM Control Delay | 9.1 | | 9.6 | | 9.8 | | |
| HCM LOS | Α | | Α | | Α | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Lane | | NBLn1 | NBLn2 | EBLn1 | EBLn2 | SBLn1 | SBLn2 |
| Lane Vol Left. % | | | NBLn2 | | EBLn2 | | |
| Vol Left, % | | 100% | NBLn2 | 100% | EBLn2 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % | | 100% 0% | NBLn2 0% 100% | 100% 0% | EBLn2 0% 0% | 0% 100% | 0% 0% |
| Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % | | 100% | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% | 100% 0% 0% | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% | 0% 100% 0% | 0% 0% 100% |
| Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control | | 100% 0% 0% | NBLn2 0% 100% | 100% 0% | EBLn2 0% 0% | 0% 100% | 0% 0% |
| Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % | | 100% 0% 0% Stop | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop | 100% 0% 0% Stop | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop | 0% 100% 0% Stop | 0% 0% 100% Stop |
| Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 113 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 98 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 21 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 93 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 152 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 22 |
| Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 113 113 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 98 0 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 21 21 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 93 0 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 152 0 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 22 0 |
| Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 113 113 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 98 0 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 21 21 0 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 93 0 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 152 0 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 22 0 |
| Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 113 113 0 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 98 0 98 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 21 21 0 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 93 0 0 93 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 152 0 152 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 22 0 0 |
| Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 113 113 0 0 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 98 0 98 0 131 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 21 21 0 0 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 93 0 0 124 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 152 0 152 0 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 22 0 0 22 29 7 0.037 |
| Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 113 113 0 0 151 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 98 0 98 0 131 7 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 21 21 0 0 28 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 93 0 0 93 124 7 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 152 0 152 0 203 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 22 0 0 22 29 7 |
| Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 113 113 0 0 151 7 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 98 0 98 131 7 0.186 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 21 21 0 0 28 7 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 93 0 93 124 7 0.177 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 152 0 152 0 203 7 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 22 0 0 22 29 7 0.037 |
| Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 113 113 0 0 151 7 0.236 5.633 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 98 0 98 7 0.186 5.13 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 21 21 0 0 28 7 0.049 6.243 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 93 0 0 93 124 7 0.177 5.137 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 152 0 152 0 203 7 0.293 5.202 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 22 0 0 22 29 7 0.037 4.566 |
| Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) Convergence, Y/N | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 113 113 0 0 151 7 0.236 5.633 Yes | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 98 0 131 7 0.186 5.13 Yes | 100% 0% 0% Stop 21 21 0 0 28 7 0.049 6.243 Yes | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 93 0 0 93 124 7 0.177 5.137 Yes | 0% 100% 0% Stop 152 0 152 0 203 7 0.293 5.202 Yes | 0% 0% 100% Stop 22 0 0 22 29 7 0.037 4.566 Yes |
| Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) Convergence, Y/N Cap | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 113 113 0 0 151 7 0.236 5.633 Yes 636 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 98 0 131 7 0.186 5.13 Yes 696 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 21 21 0 0 28 7 0.049 6.243 Yes 572 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 93 0 0 93 124 7 0.177 5.137 Yes 696 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 152 0 152 0 203 7 0.293 5.202 Yes 689 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 22 0 0 22 29 7 0.037 4.566 Yes 780 |
| Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) Convergence, Y/N Cap Service Time | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 113 113 0 0 151 7 0.236 5.633 Yes 636 3.385 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 98 0 131 7 0.186 5.13 Yes 696 2.882 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 21 21 0 0 28 7 0.049 6.243 Yes 572 3.995 | BLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 93 0 0 93 124 7 0.177 5.137 Yes 696 2.89 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 152 0 152 7 0.293 7 0.293 5.202 Yes 689 2.955 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 22 0 0 22 29 7 0.037 4.566 Yes 780 2.318 0.037 7.5 |
| Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) Convergence, Y/N Cap Service Time HCM Lane V/C Ratio | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 113 113 0 0 151 7 0.236 5.633 Yes 636 3.385 0.237 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 98 0 98 0 131 7 0.186 5.13 Yes 696 2.882 0.188 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 21 21 0 0 28 7 0.049 6.243 Yes 572 3.995 0.049 | BLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 93 0 93 124 7 0.177 5.137 Yes 696 2.89 0.178 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 152 0 152 0 203 7 0.293 5.202 Yes 689 2.955 0.295 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 22 0 0 22 29 7 0.037 4.566 Yes 780 2.318 0.037 |

<u>Capacity Analysis Summary Sheets</u> Year 2024 No-Build Weekday Morning Peak Hour

| | ۶ | → | • | • | + | • | 4 | † | / | / | ↓ | 4 |
|-------------------------|-------|-----------------|------|-------|----------|-------|-------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | * | ተተ _ጉ | | * | ^ | 7 | ሻ | 1 | 1 | | र्स | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 155 | 1332 | 39 | 31 | 791 | 64 | 41 | 19 | 20 | 48 | 15 | 52 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 155 | 1332 | 39 | 31 | 791 | 64 | 41 | 19 | 20 | 48 | 15 | 52 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 2000 | 1900 | 1900 | 2000 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 260 | | 0 | 180 | | 145 | 90 | | 90 | 0 | | 120 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 | | 0 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 0 | | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 70 | | | 100 | | | 120 | | | 25 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | | 0.996 | | | | 0.850 | | | 0.850 | | | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | | 0.963 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1787 | 5016 | 0 | 1687 | 3654 | 1568 | 1641 | 2000 | 1404 | 0 | 1802 | 1553 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.278 | | | 0.147 | | | 0.711 | | | | 0.764 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 523 | 5016 | 0 | 261 | 3654 | 1568 | 1228 | 2000 | 1404 | 0 | 1430 | 1553 |
| Right Turn on Red | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | | 5 | | | | 71 | | | 26 | | | 26 |
| Link Speed (mph) | | 35 | | | 35 | | | 30 | | | 30 | |
| Link Distance (ft) | | 612 | | | 199 | | | 208 | | | 219 | |
| Travel Time (s) | | 11.9 | | | 3.9 | | | 4.7 | | | 5.0 | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 1% | 3% | 3% | 7% | 4% | 3% | 10% | 0% | 15% | 2% | 0% | 4% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | .,, | | - 70 | | .,, | | | | , , , | | | .,. |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 174 | 1541 | 0 | 35 | 889 | 72 | 46 | 21 | 22 | 0 | 71 | 58 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | pm+ov | Perm | NA | pm+ov |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | | 8 | 1 | | 4 | 5 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | | 6 | | 6 | 8 | | 8 | 4 | | 4 |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 3.0 | 20.0 | | 3.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 3.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 3.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 30.0 | | 9.5 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 9.5 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 9.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 15.0 | 32.0 | | 15.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 15.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 15.0 |
| Total Split (%) | 17.6% | 37.6% | | 17.6% | 37.6% | 37.6% | 44.7% | 44.7% | 17.6% | 44.7% | 44.7% | 17.6% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.5 | 1.0 | | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.5 | 5.0 | | 3.5 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 3.5 | | 5.0 | 3.5 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | | Lead | Lag | Lag | | | Lead | | | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | Yes | Yes | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | | None | C-Max | C-Max | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 68.5 | 63.8 | | 64.1 | 58.2 | 58.2 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 16.7 | | 9.9 | 18.3 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.81 | 0.75 | | 0.75 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.20 | | 0.12 | 0.22 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.34 | 0.41 | | 0.13 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.32 | 0.09 | 0.07 | | 0.43 | 0.16 |
| Control Delay | 4.2 | 5.9 | | 3.7 | 7.2 | 2.1 | 39.9 | 33.1 | 9.2 | | 42.5 | 15.9 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 4.2 | 5.9 | | 3.7 | 7.6 | 2.1 | 39.9 | 33.1 | 9.2 | | 42.5 | 15.9 |
| LOS | Α.Δ | Α | | Α | Α | Α | D | C | Α.Δ | | 72.0 D | В |
| Approach Delay | /1 | 5.8 | | / \ | 7.0 | /\ | | 30.7 | ,, | | 30.5 | |
| Approach LOS | | A | | | Α. | | | C | | | C | |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 16 | 119 | | 3 | 97 | 0 | 23 | 10 | 0 | | 36 | 13 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 36 | 175 | | 10 | 161 | 16 | 53 | 29 | 15 | | 73 | 39 |
| Quodo Longin John (II) | 50 | 173 | | 10 | 101 | 10 | 55 | 23 | 13 | | 13 | |

AMEX Existing Weeekday Morning Peak Hour Conditions 11:55 am 07/10/2023 23-158 - Avenida Cesar Chavez DunkinSyAlthurqufetqReport sa

| | • | - | \rightarrow | • | ← | • | • | † | / | - | ļ | 4 |
|-------------------------|------|------|---------------|------|----------|------|------|----------|------|-----|------|------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | | 532 | | | 119 | | | 128 | | | 139 | |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 260 | | | 180 | | 145 | 90 | | 90 | | | 120 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 596 | 3767 | | 405 | 2502 | 1095 | 476 | 776 | 411 | | 555 | 453 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.29 | 0.41 | | 0.09 | 0.58 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.05 | | 0.13 | 0.13 |

Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 85

Actuated Cycle Length: 85

Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 80


Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.43

Intersection Signal Delay: 8.0 Intersection LOS: A Intersection Capacity Utilization 51.7% ICU Level of Service A

Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 3: 4th Street & Avenida Cesar Chavez



| | ۶ | → | • | • | ← | • | • | † | ~ | / | ţ | 1 |
|-------------------------|------|------------|---------|---------|------------|------|--------------------|--------|---------|----------|-------|------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | † † | 7 | * | ∱ } | | | 4 | 7 | | 4 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 1321 | 78 | 39 | 828 | 20 | 29 | 6 | 31 | 9 | 9 | 27 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 1321 | 78 | 39 | 828 | 20 | 29 | 6 | 31 | 9 | 9 | 27 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 2000 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 | | 170 | 50 | | 0 | 0 | | 70 | 0 | | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 | | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 0 | | 1 | 0 | | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 | | | 40 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | | | 0.850 | | 0.996 | | | | 0.850 | | 0.918 | |
| Flt Protected | | | | 0.950 | | | | 0.960 | | | 0.990 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 3619 | 1599 | 1570 | 3397 | 0 | 0 | 1523 | 1429 | 0 | 1590 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | | 00.10 | 1000 | 0.152 | 0001 | | | 0.728 | 1 120 | | 0.921 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 3619 | 1599 | 251 | 3397 | 0 | 0 | 1155 | 1429 | 0 | 1480 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red | | 0010 | Yes | 201 | 0001 | Yes | | 1100 | Yes | | 1100 | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | | | 74 | | 4 | 100 | | | 29 | | 31 | 100 |
| Link Speed (mph) | | 35 | , , | | 35 | | | 30 | 20 | | 10 | |
| Link Distance (ft) | | 184 | | | 289 | | | 130 | | | 286 | |
| Travel Time (s) | | 3.6 | | | 5.6 | | | 3.0 | | | 19.5 | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 0.00 | 5% | 1% | 15% | 6% | 0% | 24% | 0.00 | 13% | 0.00 | 22% | 7% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | 0 70 | J /0 | 1 /0 | 10 /0 | 0 70 | 0 70 | Z 7 /0 | 0 70 | 13 /0 | 0 70 | ZZ /0 | 1 70 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1501 | 89 | 44 | 964 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 35 | 0 | 51 | 0 |
| Turn Type | U | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | U | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | U |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | i Giiii | i Giiii | 6 | | i Giiii | 8 | i Giiii | i Giiii | 4 | |
| Permitted Phases | | 2 | 2 | 6 | U | | 8 | U | 8 | 4 | 7 | |
| Detector Phase | | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | | 8 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | |
| Switch Phase | | | 2 | U | U | | U | U | U | 7 | 7 | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | | 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 | | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | |
| Total Split (s) | | 37.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | |
| Total Split (%) | | 49.3% | 49.3% | 49.3% | 49.3% | | 50.7% | 50.7% | 50.7% | 50.7% | 50.7% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | 5.0 | | 5.0 | |
| Lead/Lag | | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | 5.0 | | 5.0 | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Recall Mode | | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | | None | None | None | None | None | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | | 63.2 | 63.2 | 63.2 | 63.2 | | None | 9.0 | 9.0 | NOHE | 9.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | | | 0.12 | 0.12 | | 0.12 | |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.49 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.34 | | | 0.12 | 0.12 | | 0.12 | |
| | | 3.8 | 1.2 | 6.0 | 3.0 | | | 35.3 | 15.8 | | 19.2 | |
| Control Delay | | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| Queue Delay | | | 1.2 | | 0.4 | | | | | | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | | 4.2 | | 6.0 | 3.3 | | | 35.3 | 15.8 | | 19.2 | |
| LOS Approach Dolov | | A | Α | Α | A | | | D 26.2 | В | | B | |
| Approach LOS | | 4.0 | | | 3.4 | | | 26.2 | | | 19.2 | |
| Approach LOS | | A | | - | Α | | | C | ^ | | В | |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | | 113 | 1 | 5 | 59 | | | 18 | 3 | | 9 | |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | | 181 | 11 | 20 | 98 | | | 43 | 26 | | 36 | |

AMEX Existing Weeekday Morning Peak Hour Conditions 11:55 am 07/10/2023 23-158 - Avenida Cesar Chavez DunkinSyAlthurqufetqReport sa

| | ၨ | - | • | • | • | • | 1 | † | ~ | - | ↓ | 4 |
|-------------------------|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|----------|------|-----|----------|-----|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | | 104 | | | 209 | | | 50 | | | 206 | |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | | | 170 | 50 | | | | | 70 | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | | 3050 | 1359 | 211 | 2864 | | | 508 | 645 | | 668 | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | | 856 | 0 | 0 | 1215 | | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | | 0.68 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.58 | | | 0.08 | 0.05 | | 0.08 | |

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 75

Actuated Cycle Length: 75

Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:EBT and 6:WBTL, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 75


Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.49

Intersection Signal Delay: 4.7 Intersection LOS: A Intersection Capacity Utilization 60.5% ICU Level of Service B

Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 9: Avenida Cesar Chavez & 3rd Street



| Intersection | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 10.6 | | | | | | |
| Intersection LOS | В | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | ሻ | 7 | * | ^ | | 7 | |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 48 | 79 | 56 | 262 | 61 | 11 | |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 48 | 79 | 56 | 262 | 61 | 11 | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | |
| Heavy Vehicles, % | 0 | 8 | 17 | 5 | 5 | 0 | |
| Mvmt Flow | 60 | 99 | 70 | 328 | 76 | 14 | |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Approach | EB | | NB | | SB | | |
| Opposing Approach | | | SB | | NB | | |
| Opposing Lanes | 0 | | 2 | | 2 | | |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB | | EB | | | | |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 2 | | 2 | | 0 | | |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB | | | | EB | | |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 2 | | 0 | | 2 | | |
| HCM Control Delay | 9.1 | | 11.7 | | 8.7 | | |
| HCM LOS | Α | | В | | Α | | |
| HCIVI LOS | $\overline{}$ | | D | | \sim | | |
| HCIVI LOS | Λ. | | Б | | A | | |
| Lane | A | NBLn1 | NBLn2 | EBLn1 | EBLn2 | SBLn1 | SBLn2 |
| | A | NBLn1 100% | | EBLn1 100% | | SBLn1 | SBLn2 |
| Lane | ^ | | NBLn2 | | EBLn2 | | |
| Lane Vol Left, % | | 100% | NBLn2 | 100% | EBLn2 | 0% | 0% |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % | ^ | 100% 0% | NBLn2 0% 100% | 100% 0% | EBLn2 0% 0% | 0% 100% | 0% 0% |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane | ^ | 100% 0% 0% Stop 56 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% | 100% 0% 0% Stop 48 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% | 0% 100% 0% | 0% 0% 100% |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control | | 100% 0% 0% Stop | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 262 0 | 100% 0% 0% Stop | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop | 0% 100% 0% Stop 61 | 0% 0% 100% Stop |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 56 56 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 262 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 48 48 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 79 0 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 61 0 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 11 0 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 56 56 0 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 262 0 262 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 48 48 0 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 79 0 0 79 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 61 0 61 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 11 0 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 56 56 0 0 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 262 0 262 0 328 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 48 48 0 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 79 0 79 99 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 61 0 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 11 0 0 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 56 56 0 0 70 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 262 0 262 0 328 7 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 48 48 0 0 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 79 0 79 99 7 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 61 0 61 0 76 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 11 0 0 11 14 7 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 56 56 0 0 70 7 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 262 0 262 0 328 7 0.464 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 48 48 0 0 60 7 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 79 0 79 99 7 0.141 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 61 0 61 76 7 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 11 0 0 11 14 7 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 56 56 0 70 7 0.113 5.808 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 262 0 262 0 328 7 0.464 5.101 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 48 48 0 0 60 7 0.103 6.201 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 79 0 79 99 7 0.141 5.131 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 61 0 61 7 0.115 5.409 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 11 0 0 11 14 7 0.018 4.618 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) Convergence, Y/N | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 56 56 0 70 7 0.113 5.808 Yes | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 262 0 262 0 328 7 0.464 5.101 Yes | 100% 0% 0% Stop 48 48 0 0 60 7 0.103 6.201 Yes | EBLn2 0% 100% Stop 79 0 79 99 7 0.141 5.131 Yes | 0% 100% 0% Stop 61 0 61 76 7 0.115 5.409 Yes | 0% 0% 100% Stop 11 0 0 11 14 7 0.018 4.618 Yes |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) Convergence, Y/N Cap | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 56 56 0 70 7 0.113 5.808 Yes 617 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 262 0 262 0 328 7 0.464 5.101 Yes 704 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 48 48 0 0 60 7 0.103 6.201 Yes 577 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 79 0 79 99 7 0.141 5.131 Yes 696 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 61 0 61 7 0.115 5.409 Yes 660 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 11 0 0 11 14 7 0.018 4.618 Yes 771 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) Convergence, Y/N Cap Service Time | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 56 56 0 70 7 0.113 5.808 Yes 617 3.549 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 262 0 262 7 0.464 5.101 Yes 704 2.842 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 48 48 0 0 60 7 0.103 6.201 Yes 577 3.951 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 79 0 79 99 7 0.141 5.131 Yes 696 2.881 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 61 0 61 76 7 0.115 5.409 Yes 660 3.164 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 11 0 0 11 14 7 0.018 4.618 Yes 771 2.372 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) Convergence, Y/N Cap Service Time HCM Lane V/C Ratio | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 56 56 0 70 7 0.113 5.808 Yes 617 3.549 0.113 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 262 0 262 7 0.464 5.101 Yes 704 2.842 0.466 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 48 48 0 0 60 7 0.103 6.201 Yes 577 3.951 0.104 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 79 0 07 79 99 7 0.141 5.131 Yes 696 2.881 0.142 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 61 0 61 0 76 7 0.115 5.409 Yes 660 3.164 0.115 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 11 0 0 11 14 7 0.018 4.618 Yes 771 2.372 0.018 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) Convergence, Y/N Cap Service Time HCM Lane V/C Ratio HCM Control Delay | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 56 56 0 70 7 0.113 5.808 Yes 617 3.549 0.113 9.3 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 262 0 262 0 328 7 0.464 5.101 Yes 704 2.842 0.466 12.2 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 48 48 0 0 60 7 0.103 6.201 Yes 577 3.951 0.104 9.7 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 79 0 79 99 7 0.141 5.131 Yes 696 2.881 0.142 8.7 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 61 0 61 0 76 7 0.115 5.409 Yes 660 3.164 0.115 8.9 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 11 0 0 11 14 7 0.018 4.618 Yes 771 2.372 0.018 7.5 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) Convergence, Y/N Cap Service Time HCM Lane V/C Ratio | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 56 56 0 70 7 0.113 5.808 Yes 617 3.549 0.113 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 262 0 262 7 0.464 5.101 Yes 704 2.842 0.466 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 48 48 0 0 60 7 0.103 6.201 Yes 577 3.951 0.104 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 79 0 07 79 99 7 0.141 5.131 Yes 696 2.881 0.142 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 61 0 61 0 76 7 0.115 5.409 Yes 660 3.164 0.115 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 11 0 0 11 14 7 0.018 4.618 Yes 771 2.372 0.018 |

<u>Capacity Analysis Summary Sheets</u> Year 2024 No-Build Weekday Evening Peak Hour

| | ٠ | → | • | • | — | • | 4 | † | ~ | > | ţ | 4 |
|-------------------------|-------|-----------------|------|-------|----------|-------|-------|----------|-------|-------------|-------|-------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | ተተ _ጉ | | ሻ | ^ | 7 | ሻ | † | 7 | | ર્ન | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 94 | 1121 | 49 | 21 | 1440 | 39 | 76 | 8 | 31 | 68 | 27 | 211 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 94 | 1121 | 49 | 21 | 1440 | 39 | 76 | 8 | 31 | 68 | 27 | 211 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 2000 | 1900 | 1900 | 2000 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 260 | | 0 | 180 | | 145 | 90 | | 90 | 0 | | 120 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 | | 0 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 0 | | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 70 | | | 100 | | | 120 | | | 25 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | | 0.994 | | | | 0.850 | | | 0.850 | | | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | | 0.965 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1805 | 5143 | 0 | 1719 | 3689 | 1568 | 1736 | 2000 | 1509 | 0 | 1808 | 1538 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.111 | | | 0.217 | | | 0.693 | | | | 0.783 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 211 | 5143 | 0 | 393 | 3689 | 1568 | 1266 | 2000 | 1509 | 0 | 1467 | 1538 |
| Right Turn on Red | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | | 8 | | | | 71 | | | 26 | | | 26 |
| Link Speed (mph) | | 35 | | | 35 | | | 30 | | | 30 | |
| Link Distance (ft) | | 612 | | | 199 | | | 208 | | | 219 | |
| Travel Time (s) | | 11.9 | | | 3.9 | | | 4.7 | | | 5.0 | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 0% | 0% | 6% | 5% | 3% | 3% | 4% | 0% | 7% | 2% | 0% | 5% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 98 | 1219 | 0 | 22 | 1500 | 41 | 79 | 8 | 32 | 0 | 99 | 220 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | pm+ov | Perm | NA | pm+ov |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | | 8 | 1 | | 4 | 5 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | | 6 | | 6 | 8 | | 8 | 4 | | 4 |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 3.0 | 20.0 | | 3.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 3.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 3.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 30.0 | | 9.5 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 9.5 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 9.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 15.0 | 32.0 | | 15.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 15.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 15.0 |
| Total Split (%) | 17.6% | 37.6% | | 17.6% | 37.6% | 37.6% | 44.7% | 44.7% | 17.6% | 44.7% | 44.7% | 17.6% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.5 | 1.0 | | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.5 | 5.0 | | 3.5 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 3.5 | | 5.0 | 3.5 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | | Lead | Lag | Lag | | | Lead | | | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | Yes | Yes | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | | None | C-Max | C-Max | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 66.5 | 62.5 | | 62.6 | 56.9 | 56.9 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 18.0 | | 11.3 | 19.6 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.78 | 0.74 | | 0.74 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.21 | | 0.13 | 0.23 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.36 | 0.32 | | 0.06 | 0.61 | 0.04 | 0.47 | 0.03 | 0.09 | | 0.51 | 0.59 |
| Control Delay | 6.5 | 6.0 | | 3.5 | 10.4 | 0.9 | 42.6 | 30.1 | 11.3 | | 42.9 | 30.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 6.5 | 6.0 | | 3.5 | 12.1 | 0.9 | 42.6 | 30.1 | 11.3 | | 42.9 | 30.6 |
| LOS | Α | Α | | Α | В | Α | D | С | В | | D | С |
| Approach Delay | | 6.0 | | | 11.7 | | | 33.4 | | | 34.4 | |
| Approach LOS | | Α | | | В | | | С | | | С | |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 10 | 91 | | 2 | 217 | 0 | 40 | 4 | 2 | | 50 | 90 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 26 | 140 | | 9 | 353 | 6 | 79 | 15 | 22 | | 93 | 144 |

PMNB Year 2024 No-Build Weeekday Evening Peak Hour Conditions 1:42 pm 07/10/2023 23-158 - Avenida Cesar ChaseproEhrok1r1 -Relportuerque sa

| | • | - | • | • | ← | • | 1 | † | 1 | - | ↓ | 4 |
|-------------------------|------|------|-----|------|------|------|------|----------|------|-----|----------|------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | | 532 | | | 119 | | | 128 | | | 139 | |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 260 | | | 180 | | 145 | 90 | | 90 | | | 120 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 383 | 3786 | | 492 | 2467 | 1072 | 491 | 776 | 465 | | 569 | 473 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 740 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.26 | 0.32 | | 0.04 | 0.87 | 0.04 | 0.16 | 0.01 | 0.07 | | 0.17 | 0.47 |

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 85

Actuated Cycle Length: 85

Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 90

Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.61

Intersection Signal Delay: 12.4 Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 69.2% ICU Level of Service C


Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 3: 4th Street & Avenida Cesar Chavez




| | ۶ | → | • | • | ← | • | • | † | / | > | ţ | 4 |
|-------------------------|------|----------|-------|-------|------------|------|-------|----------|-------|-------------|-------|------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | ^ | 7 | 7 | ↑ ↑ | | | 4 | 7 | | 4 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 1164 | 56 | 34 | 1284 | 22 | 56 | 7 | 74 | 17 | 25 | 96 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 1164 | 56 | 34 | 1284 | 22 | 56 | 7 | 74 | 17 | 25 | 96 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 2000 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 | | 170 | 50 | | 0 | 0 | | 70 | 0 | | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 | | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 0 | | 1 | 0 | | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 | | | 40 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | | | 0.850 | | 0.997 | | | | 0.850 | | 0.906 | |
| Flt Protected | | | | 0.950 | | | | 0.957 | | | 0.994 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 3762 | 1615 | 1703 | 3530 | 0 | 0 | 1818 | 1568 | 0 | 1687 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | | | | 0.197 | | | | 0.599 | | | 0.957 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 3762 | 1615 | 353 | 3530 | 0 | 0 | 1138 | 1568 | 0 | 1624 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | | | 59 | | 3 | | | | 29 | | 14 | |
| Link Speed (mph) | | 35 | | | 35 | | | 30 | | | 10 | |
| Link Distance (ft) | | 184 | | | 289 | | | 130 | | | 286 | |
| Travel Time (s) | | 3.6 | | | 5.6 | | | 3.0 | | | 19.5 | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 0% | 1% | 0% | 6% | 2% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 3% | 0% | 4% | 1% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | 0,70 | . , , | • 70 | • 70 | _,, | • 70 | • 70 | • 70 | • , , | • 70 | .,, | . 70 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1225 | 59 | 36 | 1375 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 78 | 0 | 145 | 0 |
| Turn Type | | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | | | 6 | | | 8 | | | 4 | |
| Permitted Phases | | _ | 2 | 6 | _ | | 8 | | 8 | 4 | - | |
| Detector Phase | | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | | 8 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | _ | | | | - | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | | 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 | | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | |
| Total Split (s) | | 37.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | |
| Total Split (%) | | 49.3% | 49.3% | 49.3% | 49.3% | | 50.7% | 50.7% | 50.7% | 50.7% | 50.7% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | 5.0 | | 5.0 | |
| Lead/Lag | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Recall Mode | | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | | None | None | None | None | None | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | | 52.7 | 52.7 | 52.7 | 52.7 | | | 12.3 | 12.3 | | 12.3 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | | | 0.16 | 0.16 | | 0.16 | |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.46 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.55 | | | 0.36 | 0.28 | | 0.52 | |
| Control Delay | | 6.1 | 1.6 | 6.5 | 7.0 | | | 31.9 | 20.4 | | 31.9 | |
| Queue Delay | | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | | 6.7 | 1.6 | 6.5 | 9.8 | | | 31.9 | 20.4 | | 31.9 | |
| LOS | | Α | A | Α | Α | | | С | С | | С | |
| Approach Delay | | 6.4 | | | 9.7 | | | 25.7 | | | 31.9 | |
| Approach LOS | | A | | | A | | | C | | | С | |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | | 105 | 0 | 4 | 130 | | | 28 | 20 | | 56 | |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | | 190 | 12 | 19 | 236 | | | 58 | 51 | | 101 | |

PMNB Year 2024 No-Build Weeekday Evening Peak Hour Conditions 1:42 pm 07/10/2023 23-158 - Avenida Cesar ChaseproEhrok1r1 -Relportuerque sa



Splits and Phases: 9: Avenida Cesar Chavez & 3rd Street



| Intersection | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 9.6 | | | | | | | |
| Intersection LOS | A | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | | |
| Lane Configurations | ች | 7 | ች | † | | 7 | | |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 21 | 94 | 114 | 99 | 154 | 22 | | |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 21 | 94 | 114 | 99 | 154 | 22 | | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | | |
| Heavy Vehicles, % | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | | |
| Mvmt Flow | 28 | 125 | 152 | 132 | 205 | 29 | | |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Approach | EB | | NB | | SB | | | |
| Opposing Approach | | | SB | | NB | | | |
| Opposing Lanes | 0 | | 2 | | 2 | | | |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB | | EB | | | | | |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 2 | | 2 | | 0 | | | |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB | | | | EB | | | |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 2 | | 0 | | 2 | | | |
| HCM Control Delay | 9.1 | | 9.7 | | 9.9 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| HCM LOS | Α | | Α | | Α | | | |
| HCM LOS | A | | A | | Α | | | |
| HCM LOS Lane | A | NBLn1 | A NBLn2 | EBLn1 | A EBLn2 | SBLn1 | SBLn2 | |
| Lane | A | NBLn1 100% | | EBLn1 100% | EBLn2 | SBLn1 | SBLn2 | |
| Lane Vol Left, % | A | 100% | NBLn2 | 100% | | 0% | | |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % | A | 100% 0% | NBLn2 0% 100% | 100% 0% | EBLn2 0% | | 0% | |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % | A | 100% 0% 0% | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% | 100% 0% 0% | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% | 0% 100% 0% | 0% 0% 100% | |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control | A | 100% 0% | NBLn2 0% 100% | 100% 0% | EBLn2 0% 0% | 0% 100% | 0% 0% | |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % | A | 100% 0% 0% Stop | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop | 100% 0% 0% Stop | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop | 0% 100% 0% Stop | 0% 0% 100% Stop | |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane | A | 100% 0% 0% Stop 114 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 99 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 21 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 94 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 154 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 22 | |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol | A | 100% 0% 0% Stop 114 114 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 99 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 21 21 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 94 0 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 154 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 22 0 | |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol | A | 100% 0% 0% Stop 114 114 0 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 99 0 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 21 21 0 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 94 0 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 154 0 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 22 0 | |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol | A | 100% 0% 0% Stop 114 114 0 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 99 0 99 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 21 21 0 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 94 0 0 94 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 154 0 154 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 22 0 0 | |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate | A | 100% 0% 0% Stop 114 114 0 0 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 99 0 99 132 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 21 21 0 0 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 94 0 94 125 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 154 0 154 0 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 22 0 0 22 22 | |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp | A | 100% 0% 0% Stop 114 114 0 0 152 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 99 0 99 132 7 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 21 21 0 0 28 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 94 0 0 94 125 7 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 154 0 154 0 205 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 22 0 0 22 29 7 | |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) | A | 100% 0% 0% Stop 114 114 0 0 152 7 0.238 5.64 Yes | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 99 0 132 7 0.188 5.137 Yes | 100% 0% 0% Stop 21 21 0 0 28 7 0.049 6.255 Yes | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 94 0 0 94 125 7 0.179 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 154 0 154 0 205 7 0.297 5.209 Yes | 0% 0% 100% Stop 22 0 0 22 29 7 0.037 | |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) Convergence, Y/N Cap | A | 100% 0% 0% Stop 114 114 0 0 152 7 0.238 5.64 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 99 0 132 7 0.188 5.137 Yes 696 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 21 21 0 0 28 7 0.049 6.255 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 94 0 0 94 125 7 0.179 5.15 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 154 0 154 0 205 7 0.297 5.209 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 22 0 0 22 29 7 0.037 4.573 | |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) Convergence, Y/N | A | 100% 0% 0% Stop 114 114 0 0 152 7 0.238 5.64 Yes | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 99 0 132 7 0.188 5.137 Yes | 100% 0% 0% Stop 21 21 0 0 28 7 0.049 6.255 Yes | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 94 0 0 94 125 7 0.179 5.15 Yes | 0% 100% 0% Stop 154 0 154 0 205 7 0.297 5.209 Yes | 0% 0% 100% Stop 22 0 0 22 29 7 0.037 4.573 Yes | |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) Convergence, Y/N Cap | A | 100% 0% 0% Stop 114 114 0 0 152 7 0.238 5.64 Yes 635 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 99 0 132 7 0.188 5.137 Yes 696 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 21 21 0 0 28 7 0.049 6.255 Yes 571 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 94 0 0 94 125 7 0.179 5.15 Yes 694 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 154 0 154 0 205 7 0.297 5.209 Yes 688 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 22 0 0 22 29 7 0.037 4.573 Yes 779 | |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) Convergence, Y/N Cap Service Time HCM Lane V/C Ratio HCM Control Delay | A | 100% 0% 0% Stop 114 114 0 0 152 7 0.238 5.64 Yes 635 3.392 0.239 10.2 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 99 0 99 0 132 7 0.188 5.137 Yes 696 2.889 0.19 9.1 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 21 21 0 0 28 7 0.049 6.255 Yes 571 4.008 | BLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 94 0 94 125 7 0.179 5.15 Yes 694 2.902 0.18 9 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 154 0 154 0 205 7 0.297 5.209 Yes 688 2.962 0.298 10.2 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 22 0 0 22 29 7 0.037 4.573 Yes 779 2.325 0.037 7.5 | |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) Convergence, Y/N Cap Service Time HCM Lane V/C Ratio | A | 100% 0% 0% Stop 114 114 0 0 152 7 0.238 5.64 Yes 635 3.392 0.239 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 99 0 132 7 0.188 5.137 Yes 696 2.889 0.19 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 21 21 0 0 28 7 0.049 6.255 Yes 571 4.008 0.049 | BLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 94 0 94 125 7 0.179 5.15 Yes 694 2.902 0.18 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 154 0 154 0 205 7 0.297 5.209 Yes 688 2.962 0.298 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 22 0 0 22 29 7 0.037 4.573 Yes 779 2.325 0.037 | |

<u>Capacity Analysis Summary Sheets</u> Year 2024 Total Projected Weekday Morning Peak Hour

| | ۶ | → | • | • | — | • | 1 | † | / | / | ţ | |
|--|----------|-----------------|------|-------|----------|-------|-------|----------|----------|----------|-------|-------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | * | ተተ _ጉ | | ሻ | ^ | 7 | ሻ | | 7 | | 4 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 157 | 1354 | 39 | 31 | 807 | 66 | 41 | 19 | 20 | 49 | 15 | 53 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 157 | 1354 | 39 | 31 | 807 | 66 | 41 | 19 | 20 | 49 | 15 | 53 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 2000 | 1900 | 1900 | 2000 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 260 | | 0 | 180 | | 145 | 90 | | 90 | 0 | | 120 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 | | 0 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 0 | | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 70 | | | 100 | | | 120 | | | 25 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | | 0.996 | | | | 0.850 | | | 0.850 | | | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | | 0.963 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1787 | 5016 | 0 | 1687 | 3654 | 1568 | 1641 | 2000 | 1404 | 0 | 1802 | 1553 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.270 | | | 0.143 | | | 0.710 | | | | 0.763 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 508 | 5016 | 0 | 254 | 3654 | 1568 | 1226 | 2000 | 1404 | 0 | 1428 | 1553 |
| Right Turn on Red | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | | 5 | | | | 71 | | | 26 | | | 26 |
| Link Speed (mph) | | 35 | | | 35 | | | 30 | | | 30 | |
| Link Distance (ft) | | 612 | | | 199 | | | 208 | | | 219 | |
| Travel Time (s) | | 11.9 | | | 3.9 | | | 4.7 | | | 5.0 | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 1% | 3% | 3% | 7% | 4% | 3% | 10% | 0% | 15% | 2% | 0% | 4% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 176 | 1565 | 0 | 35 | 907 | 74 | 46 | 21 | 22 | 0 | 72 | 60 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | pm+ov | Perm | NA | pm+ov |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | | 8 | 1 | | 4 | 5 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | | 6 | | 6 | 8 | | 8 | 4 | | 4 |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 3.0 | 20.0 | | 3.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 3.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 3.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 30.0 | | 9.5 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 9.5 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 9.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 15.0 | 32.0 | | 15.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 15.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 15.0 |
| Total Split (%) | 17.6% | 37.6% | | 17.6% | 37.6% | 37.6% | 44.7% | 44.7% | 17.6% | 44.7% | 44.7% | 17.6% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.5 | 1.0 | | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.5 | 5.0 | | 3.5 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 3.5 | | 5.0 | 3.5 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | | Lead | Lag | Lag | | | Lead | | | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | Yes | Yes | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | | None | C-Max | C-Max | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 68.5 | 63.7 | | 64.0 | 58.1 | 58.1 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 16.8 | | 10.0 | 18.4 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.81 | 0.75 | | 0.75 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.20 | | 0.12 | 0.22 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.35 | 0.42 | | 0.13 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.32 | 0.09 | 0.07 | | 0.43 | 0.17 |
| Control Delay | 4.4 | 6.0 | | 3.8 | 7.3 | 2.2 | 39.7 | 33.0 | 9.1 | | 42.4 | 16.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 4.4 | 6.0 | | 3.8 | 7.7 | 2.2 | 39.7 | 33.0 | 9.1 | | 42.4 | 16.1 |
| LOS | Α | Α | | Α | Α | Α | D | С | Α | | D | В |
| Approach Delay | | 5.9 | | | 7.2 | | | 30.6 | | | 30.5 | |
| Approach LOS | | A | | | Α | | | С | | | С | |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 16 | 121 | | 3 | 100 | 1 | 23 | 10 | 0 | | 37 | 14 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 37 | 180 | | 10 | 167 | 17 | 53 | 29 | 15 | | 73 | 39 |
| ====================================== | <u> </u> | 100 | | | 101 | | | | | | | |

AMPR Year 2024 Total Projected Weeekday Morning Peak Hour Conditions 1:42 pm 07/10/2023 23-158 - Avenida Cesaby@blaced DRekpiort Albuquerque sa

| | ۶ | - | \rightarrow | • | • | • | 4 | † | ~ | - | ţ | 4 |
|-------------------------|------|------|---------------|------|------|------|------|----------|------|-----|------|------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | | 532 | | | 119 | | | 128 | | | 139 | |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 260 | | | 180 | | 145 | 90 | | 90 | | | 120 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 586 | 3762 | | 400 | 2495 | 1093 | 475 | 776 | 412 | | 554 | 454 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.30 | 0.42 | | 0.09 | 0.59 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.05 | | 0.13 | 0.13 |

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 85

Actuated Cycle Length: 85

Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 80

Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.43

Intersection Signal Delay: 8.1 Intersection LOS: A Intersection Capacity Utilization 52.2% ICU Level of Service A

Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 3: 4th Street & Avenida Cesar Chavez



| | ۶ | → | • | • | ← | • | 4 | † | ~ | / | ļ | 4 |
|-------------------------|------|----------|---------|---------|------------|------|--------------------|-------|---------|----------|-------|------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | ^ | 7 | * | ∱ } | | | 4 | 7 | | 4 | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 1340 | 79 | 67 | 816 | 20 | 58 | 7 | 32 | 9 | 10 | 27 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 1340 | 79 | 67 | 816 | 20 | 58 | 7 | 32 | 9 | 10 | 27 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 2000 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 | | 170 | 50 | | 0 | 0 | | 70 | 0 | | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 | | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 0 | | 1 | 0 | | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 | | | 40 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | | | 0.850 | | 0.996 | | | | 0.850 | | 0.920 | |
| Flt Protected | | | | 0.950 | | | | 0.957 | | | 0.990 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 3619 | 1599 | 1570 | 3397 | 0 | 0 | 1498 | 1429 | 0 | 1590 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | | 0010 | 1000 | 0.137 | 0001 | | | 0.712 | 1 120 | | 0.930 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 3619 | 1599 | 226 | 3397 | 0 | 0 | 1114 | 1429 | 0 | 1494 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red | | 0010 | Yes | | 0001 | Yes | | | Yes | | 1101 | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | | | 73 | | 4 | 100 | | | 29 | | 31 | 100 |
| Link Speed (mph) | | 35 | 70 | | 35 | | | 30 | 20 | | 10 | |
| Link Distance (ft) | | 184 | | | 289 | | | 130 | | | 286 | |
| Travel Time (s) | | 3.6 | | | 5.6 | | | 3.0 | | | 19.5 | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 0.00 | 5% | 1% | 15% | 6% | 0.00 | 24% | 0.00 | 13% | 0.00 | 22% | 7% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | 0 70 | J /0 | 1 /0 | 10 /0 | 0 70 | 0 70 | Z 7 /0 | 0 70 | 10 /0 | 0 70 | ZZ /0 | 1 /0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1523 | 90 | 76 | 950 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 36 | 0 | 52 | 0 |
| Turn Type | U | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | U | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | U |
| Protected Phases | | 2 | i Giiii | i Giiii | 6 | | i Giiii | 8 | I GIIII | i Giiii | 4 | |
| Permitted Phases | | 2 | 2 | 6 | U | | 8 | U | 8 | 4 | 7 | |
| Detector Phase | | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | | 8 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | |
| Switch Phase | | 2 | 2 | U | U | | U | U | U | 4 | 4 | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | | 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 | | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | |
| Total Split (s) | | 37.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | |
| Total Split (%) | | 49.3% | 49.3% | 49.3% | 49.3% | | 50.7% | 50.7% | 50.7% | 50.7% | 50.7% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | 5.0 | | 5.0 | |
| Lead/Lag | | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | | | 5.0 | 5.0 | | 5.0 | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Recall Mode | | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | | None | None | None | None | None | |
| | | 57.7 | 57.7 | 57.7 | 57.7 | | None | 10.9 | 10.9 | None | 10.9 | |
| Act Effet Green (s) | | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | | | | 0.15 | | | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | | | | 0.77 | | | | 0.15 | | 0.15 | |
| v/c Ratio | | 0.55 | 0.07 | 0.44 | 0.36 | | | 0.46 | 0.16 | | 0.21 | |
| Control Delay | | 5.9 | 1.6 | 16.2 | 4.5 | | | 37.8 | 13.9 | | 16.7 | |
| Queue Delay | | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | | 6.5 | 1.6 | 16.2 | 5.0 | | | 37.8 | 13.9 | | 16.7 | |
| LOS | | A | Α | В | A | | | D | В | | B | |
| Approach Delay | | 6.2 | | | 5.8 | | | 30.0 | | | 16.7 | |
| Approach LOS | | A | | 10 | A | | | С | | | В | |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | | 138 | 2 | 12 | 68 | | | 32 | 3 | | 9 | |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | | 233 | 14 | #70 | 121 | | | 65 | 25 | | 35 | |

AMPR Year 2024 Total Projected Weeekday Morning Peak Hour Conditions 1:42 pm 07/10/2023 23-158 - Avenida Cesaby@baxee1 DRekriort Albuquerque sa

9: Avenida Cesar Chavez & 3rd Street

| | • | - | • | • | • | • | 1 | † | ~ | - | ţ | 4 |
|-------------------------|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|----------|------|-----|------|-----|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | | 104 | | | 209 | | | 50 | | | 206 | |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | | | 170 | 50 | | | | | 70 | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | | 2784 | 1247 | 174 | 2614 | | | 490 | 645 | | 674 | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | | 773 | 0 | 0 | 1134 | | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | | 0.76 | 0.07 | 0.44 | 0.64 | | | 0.15 | 0.06 | | 0.08 | |

Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 75

Actuated Cycle Length: 75

Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:EBT and 6:WBTL, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 90

Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.55 Intersection Signal Delay: 7.2 Intersection Capacity Utilization 67.9%


Intersection LOS: A ICU Level of Service C

Analysis Period (min) 15

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 9: Avenida Cesar Chavez & 3rd Street



| Intersection | | | | | | | |
|---|------|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 10.7 | | | | | | |
| Intersection LOS | В | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | ሻ | 7 | ሻ | | | 7 | |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 50 | 81 | 58 | 262 | 61 | 13 | |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 50 | 81 | 58 | 262 | 61 | 13 | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | |
| Heavy Vehicles, % | 0 | 8 | 17 | 5 | 5 | 0 | |
| Mvmt Flow | 63 | 101 | 73 | 328 | 76 | 16 | |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Approach | EB | | NB | | SB | | |
| Opposing Approach | | | SB | | NB | | |
| Opposing Lanes | 0 | | 2 | | 2 | | |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB | | EB | | | | |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 2 | | 2 | | 0 | | |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB | | | | EB | | |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 2 | | 0 | | 2 | | |
| HCM Control Delay | 9.1 | | 11.8 | | 8.7 | | |
| HCM LOS | Α | | В | | Α | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| .,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | | | | | | |
| Lane | | NBLn1 | NBLn2 | EBLn1 | EBLn2 | SBLn1 | SBLn2 |
| | | NBLn1 100% | | EBLn1 100% | | SBLn1 | SBLn2 |
| Lane | | | NBLn2 | | EBLn2 | | |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % | | 100% | NBLn2 | 100% | EBLn2 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % | | 100% 0% | NBLn2 0% 100% | 100% 0% | EBLn2 0% 0% | 0% 100% | 0% 0% |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % | | 100% 0% 0% | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% | 100% 0% 0% | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% | 0% 100% 0% | 0% 0% 100% |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane | | 100% 0% 0% Stop | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop | 100% 0% 0% Stop | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop | 0% 100% 0% Stop | 0% 0% 100% Stop |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 58 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 262 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 50 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 81 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 61 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 13 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 58 58 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 262 0 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 50 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 81 0 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 61 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 13 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 58 58 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 262 0 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 50 50 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 81 0 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 61 0 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 13 0 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 58 58 0 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 262 0 262 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 50 50 0 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 81 0 0 81 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 61 0 61 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 13 0 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 58 58 0 0 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 262 0 262 0 328 7 0.466 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 50 50 0 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 81 0 0 81 101 7 0.145 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 61 0 61 0 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 13 0 0 13 16 7 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 58 58 0 0 72 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 262 0 262 0 328 7 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 50 0 0 62 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 81 0 0 81 101 7 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 61 0 61 0 76 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 13 0 0 13 16 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 58 58 0 0 72 7 0.117 5.826 Yes | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 262 0 262 0 328 7 0.466 5.118 Yes | 100% 0% 0% Stop 50 0 0 62 7 0.108 6.212 Yes | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 81 0 0 81 101 7 0.145 5.142 Yes | 0% 100% 0% Stop 61 0 61 76 7 0.115 5.43 Yes | 0% 0% 100% Stop 13 0 0 13 16 7 0.021 4.638 Yes |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) Convergence, Y/N Cap | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 58 58 0 0 72 7 0.117 5.826 Yes 615 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 262 0 262 0 328 7 0.466 5.118 Yes 701 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 50 0 0 62 7 0.108 6.212 Yes 576 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 81 0 0 81 101 7 0.145 5.142 Yes 695 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 61 0 61 7 0.115 5.43 Yes 657 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 13 0 0 13 16 7 0.021 4.638 Yes 767 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) Convergence, Y/N | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 58 58 0 0 72 7 0.117 5.826 Yes 615 3.569 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 262 0 262 7 0.466 5.118 Yes 701 2.861 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 50 0 0 62 7 0.108 6.212 Yes 576 3.964 | 81 000 000 81 00 81 00 81 101 7 0.145 5.142 Yes 695 2.893 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 61 0 61 7 0.115 5.43 Yes 657 3.187 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 13 0 0 13 16 7 0.021 4.638 Yes 767 2.395 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) Convergence, Y/N Cap Service Time HCM Lane V/C Ratio | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 58 58 0 0 72 7 0.117 5.826 Yes 615 3.569 0.117 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 262 0 262 7 0.466 5.118 Yes 701 2.861 0.468 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 50 0 0 62 7 0.108 6.212 Yes 576 3.964 0.108 | BLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 81 0 0 81 101 7 0.145 5.142 Yes 695 2.893 0.145 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 61 0 61 76 7 0.115 5.43 Yes 657 3.187 0.116 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 13 0 0 13 16 7 0.021 4.638 Yes 767 2.395 0.021 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) Convergence, Y/N Cap Service Time HCM Lane V/C Ratio HCM Control Delay | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 58 58 0 0 72 7 0.117 5.826 Yes 615 3.569 0.117 9.3 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 262 0 262 0 328 7 0.466 5.118 Yes 701 2.861 0.468 12.3 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 50 0 0 62 7 0.108 6.212 Yes 576 3.964 0.108 9.7 | BLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 81 0 0 81 101 7 0.145 5.142 Yes 695 2.893 0.145 8.8 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 61 0 61 0 76 7 0.115 5.43 Yes 657 3.187 0.116 8.9 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 13 0 0 13 16 7 0.021 4.638 Yes 767 2.395 0.021 7.5 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) Convergence, Y/N Cap Service Time HCM Lane V/C Ratio | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 58 58 0 0 72 7 0.117 5.826 Yes 615 3.569 0.117 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 262 0 262 7 0.466 5.118 Yes 701 2.861 0.468 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 50 0 0 62 7 0.108 6.212 Yes 576 3.964 0.108 | BLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 81 0 0 81 101 7 0.145 5.142 Yes 695 2.893 0.145 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 61 0 61 76 7 0.115 5.43 Yes 657 3.187 0.116 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 13 0 0 13 16 7 0.021 4.638 Yes 767 2.395 0.021 |

| Intersection | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|---|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.2 | | | | | |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | . WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | ↑ ↑ | | | ^ | | 7 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1382 | 41 | 0 | | 0 | 37 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1382 | 41 | 0 | | 0 | 37 |
| Conflicting Peds, #/hr | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | | <u>-</u> | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | _ | | - | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storag | je,# 0 | _ | - | . 0 | 0 | _ |
| Grade, % | 0 | - | _ | . 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, % | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 1455 | 43 | 0 | | 0 | 39 |
| | | | | 0.0 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Major/Minor | Major1 | | Major2 | | Minor1 | |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 749 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - | - | - | 6.9 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | · - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | - | - | - | 3.3 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | *534 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Platoon blocked, % | - | - | | - | | 1 |
| | | | | | _ | *F04 |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | | _ | - | | | ^5 3 4 |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | | - | _ | | _ | *534 - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | | | | | - | |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver Stage 1 | - | | - | | | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - - | | - | | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2 | - - - | | - | - - - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2 Approach | - - EB | | WB | - - - | - - NB | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2 Approach HCM Control Delay, s | - - EB | | - | - - - | NB 12.3 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2 Approach | - - EB | | WB | - - - | - - NB | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2 Approach HCM Control Delay, s | - - EB | | WB | - - - | NB 12.3 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2 Approach HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS | EB 0 | - | - - - WB | - - - | NB 12.3 B | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2 Approach HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS Minor Lane/Major Mvr | EB 0 | - - - NBLn1 | WB | - - - | NB 12.3 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2 Approach HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS Minor Lane/Major Mvr Capacity (veh/h) | EB 0 | - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - | WB 0 | | NB 12.3 B | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2 Approach HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS Minor Lane/Major Mvr Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Ratio | EB 0 | NBLn1 534 0.073 | WB 0 | | NB 12.3 B WBT | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2 Approach HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS Minor Lane/Major Mvr Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Ratio HCM Control Delay (s | EB 0 | NBLn1 534 0.073 12.3 | WB 0 | EBR - | NB 12.3 B WBT - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2 Approach HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS Minor Lane/Major Mvr Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Ratio HCM Control Delay (s HCM Lane LOS | EB 0 | NBLn1 534 0.073 12.3 B | WB 0 | EBR - | NB 12.3 B WBT | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2 Approach HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS Minor Lane/Major Mvr Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Ratio HCM Control Delay (s | EB 0 | NBLn1 534 0.073 12.3 | WB 0 | EBR - | NB 12.3 B WBT - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2 Approach HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS Minor Lane/Major Mvr Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Ratio HCM Control Delay (s HCM Lane LOS | EB 0 | NBLn1 534 0.073 12.3 B | WB 0 | EBR - | NB 12.3 B WBT - | - |

AMPR Year 2024 Total Projected Weeekday Morning Peak Hour Conditions 1:42 pm 07/10/2023 23-158 - Avenida Cesaby@baxee1 DRekriort Albuquerque sa

| Intersection | | | | | | |
|--|----------|---------------|------------|---------------------|---------------|----------|
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.4 | | | | | |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| | ₩. | EDR | INDL | | | SDR |
| Lane Configurations | | 1 | 1 | र्न 67 | 127 | 20 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 31 | 4 | 4 | 67 | 127 | 29 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 31 | 4 | 4 | 67 | 127 | 29 |
| Conflicting Peds, #/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, | | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, % | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, % | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 8 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 33 | 4 | 4 | 71 | 134 | 31 |
| | | | | | | |
| Major/Minor M | inor2 | N | /lajor1 | ٨ | /lajor2 | |
| Conflicting Flow All | 229 | 150 | 165 | 0 | - - | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 150 | - | - | _ | _ | - |
| Stage 2 | 79 | <u>-</u> | _ | _ | _ | _ |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.2 | 4.1 | | _ | _ |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | 0.2 | 4.1 | _ | _ | _ |
| | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | | | 2.2 | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 817 | 970 | 1448 | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 919 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 949 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, % | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 815 | 970 | 1448 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 815 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 916 | _ | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 0.40 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Olago L | 949 | | | | | |
| Glago L | 949 | | | | | |
| | | | NB | | SB | |
| Approach | EB | | NB 0.4 | | SB | |
| Approach HCM Control Delay, s | EB 9.5 | | NB 0.4 | | SB 0 | |
| Approach | EB | | | | | |
| Approach HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS | 9.5 A | | 0.4 | | 0 | |
| Approach HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | 9.5 A | NBL | 0.4 | EBLn1 | | SBR |
| Approach HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS Minor Lane/Major Mvmt Capacity (veh/h) | 9.5 A | 1448 | 0.4 NBT | 830 | 0 | SBR - |
| Approach HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS Minor Lane/Major Mvmt Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 9.5 A | 1448 0.003 | 0.4 NBT | 830 0.044 | 0 SBT | SBR - |
| Approach HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS Minor Lane/Major Mvmt Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Ratio HCM Control Delay (s) | 9.5 A | 1448 | 0.4 NBT | 830 0.044 9.5 | 0 SBT | - |
| Approach HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS Minor Lane/Major Mvmt Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 9.5 A | 1448 0.003 | 0.4 NBT | 830 0.044 | O SBT - | - |

<u>Capacity Analysis Summary Sheets</u> Year 2024 Total Projected Weekday Evening Peak Hour

| | ۶ | → | • | • | — | • | 4 | † | ~ | > | ţ | 1 |
|-------------------------|-------|------------|------|-------|----------|----------|-------|----------|-------|-------------|-------|-------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | ↑ ↑ | | Ť | 44 | 7 | 7 | † | 7 | | ર્ન | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 94 | 1125 | 49 | 21 | 1444 | 39 | 76 | 8 | 31 | 68 | 27 | 211 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 94 | 1125 | 49 | 21 | 1444 | 39 | 76 | 8 | 31 | 68 | 27 | 211 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 2000 | 1900 | 1900 | 2000 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 260 | | 0 | 180 | | 145 | 90 | | 90 | 0 | | 120 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 | | 0 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 0 | | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 70 | | | 100 | | | 120 | | | 25 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | | 0.994 | | | | 0.850 | | | 0.850 | | | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | | 0.965 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1805 | 5143 | 0 | 1719 | 3689 | 1568 | 1736 | 2000 | 1509 | 0 | 1808 | 1538 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.111 | | | 0.216 | | | 0.693 | | | | 0.783 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 211 | 5143 | 0 | 391 | 3689 | 1568 | 1266 | 2000 | 1509 | 0 | 1467 | 1538 |
| Right Turn on Red | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | | 8 | | | | 71 | | | 26 | | | 26 |
| Link Speed (mph) | | 35 | | | 35 | | | 30 | | | 30 | |
| Link Distance (ft) | | 612 | | | 199 | | | 208 | | | 219 | |
| Travel Time (s) | | 11.9 | | | 3.9 | | | 4.7 | | | 5.0 | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 0% | 0% | 6% | 5% | 3% | 3% | 4% | 0% | 7% | 2% | 0% | 5% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 98 | 1223 | 0 | 22 | 1504 | 41 | 79 | 8 | 32 | 0 | 99 | 220 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | pm+ov | Perm | NA | pm+ov |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | | 8 | 1 | | 4 | 5 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 | | | 6 | | 6 | 8 | | 8 | 4 | | 4 |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 3.0 | 20.0 | | 3.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 3.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 3.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.5 | 30.0 | | 9.5 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 9.5 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 9.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 15.0 | 32.0 | | 15.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 15.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 15.0 |
| Total Split (%) | 17.6% | 37.6% | | 17.6% | 37.6% | 37.6% | 44.7% | 44.7% | 17.6% | 44.7% | 44.7% | 17.6% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.5 | 1.0 | | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.5 | 5.0 | | 3.5 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 3.5 | | 5.0 | 3.5 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | | Lead | Lag | Lag | | | Lead | | | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | | Yes | Yes | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | | None | C-Max | C-Max | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 66.5 | 62.5 | | 62.6 | 56.9 | 56.9 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 18.0 | | 11.3 | 19.6 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.78 | 0.74 | | 0.74 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.21 | | 0.13 | 0.23 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.36 | 0.32 | | 0.06 | 0.61 | 0.04 | 0.47 | 0.03 | 0.09 | | 0.51 | 0.59 |
| Control Delay | 6.5 | 6.0 | | 3.5 | 10.4 | 0.9 | 42.6 | 30.1 | 11.3 | | 42.9 | 30.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 6.5 | 6.0 | | 3.5 | 12.1 | 0.9 | 42.6 | 30.1 | 11.3 | | 42.9 | 30.6 |
| LOS | Α | Α | | Α | В | Α | D | С | В | | D | С |
| Approach Delay | | 6.0 | | | 11.7 | | | 33.4 | | | 34.4 | |
| Approach LOS | | A | | | В | | | C | | | C | |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 10 | 91 | | 2 | 218 | 0 | 40 | 4 | 2 | | 50 | 90 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 26 | 141 | | 9 | 353 | 6 | 79 | 15 | 22 | | 93 | 144 |
| Quodo Longin John (it) | 20 | ודו | | | 000 | <u> </u> | 13 | 10 | | | 55 | 177 |

PMPR Year 2024 Total Projected Weeekday Evening Peak Hour Conditions 1:42 pm 07/10/2023 23-158 - Avenida Cesaby@blaxee1 DRekpiort Albuquerque sa

| | • | - | • | • | ← | • | • | † | / | - | ↓ | 4 |
|-------------------------|------|------|-----|------|----------|------|------|----------|------|-----|----------|------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | | 532 | | | 119 | | | 128 | | | 139 | |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 260 | | | 180 | | 145 | 90 | | 90 | | | 120 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 383 | 3786 | | 491 | 2467 | 1072 | 491 | 776 | 465 | | 569 | 473 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 739 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.26 | 0.32 | | 0.04 | 0.87 | 0.04 | 0.16 | 0.01 | 0.07 | | 0.17 | 0.47 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 85

Actuated Cycle Length: 85

Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 90


Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.61

Intersection Signal Delay: 12.4 Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 69.3% ICU Level of Service C

Analysis Period (min) 15


Splits and Phases: 3: 4th Street & Avenida Cesar Chavez



| Lane GroupEBLEBTEBRWBLWBTWBRNBLNBTNBRSBLSBTLane Configurations↑↑↑↑↑↑↑Traffic Volume (vph)011665649127222728751726 | SBR 96 |
|---|-----------|
| | |
| | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) 0 1166 56 49 1272 22 72 8 75 17 26 | |
| Future Volume (vph) 0 1166 56 49 1272 22 72 8 75 17 26 | 96 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) 1900 2000 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) 0 170 50 0 70 0 | 0 |
| Storage Lanes 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) 25 40 25 25 | |
| Lane Util. Factor 1.00 0.95 1.00 1.00 0.95 0.95 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt 0.850 0.997 0.850 0.907 | |
| Flt Protected 0.950 0.957 0.994 | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) 0 3762 1615 1703 3530 0 0 1818 1568 0 1689 | 0 |
| Fit Permitted 0.196 0.593 0.954 | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) 0 3762 1615 351 3530 0 0 1127 1568 0 1621 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red Yes Yes Yes | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) 59 3 29 15 | 1 00 |
| Link Speed (mph) 35 35 30 10 | |
| Link Distance (ft) 184 289 130 286 | |
| Travel Time (s) 3.6 5.6 3.0 19.5 | |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) 0% 1% 0% 6% 2% 0% 0% 0% 3% 0% 4% | 1% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | 1 70 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) 0 1227 59 52 1362 0 0 84 79 0 146 | 0 |
| Turn Type NA Perm Perm NA Perm Perm NA Perm Perm NA | U |
| Protected Phases 2 6 8 4 | |
| Permitted Phases 2 6 8 4 | |
| Detector Phase 2 2 6 6 8 8 8 4 4 | |
| Switch Phase | |
| Minimum Initial (s) 12.0 12.0 12.0 12.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) 28.0 28.0 28.0 28.0 38.0 38.0 38.0 38.0 38.0 | |
| Total Split (s) 37.0 37.0 37.0 38.0 38.0 38.0 38.0 38.0 | |
| Total Split (%) 49.3% 49.3% 49.3% 50.7% 50.7% 50.7% 50.7% 50.7% | |
| Yellow Time (s) 4.0 4.0 4.0 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 | |
| All-Red Time (s) 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 | |
| Lead/Lag | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | |
| Recall Mode C-Max C-Max C-Max None None None None None None None | |
| Act Effct Green (s) 52.7 52.7 52.7 52.7 12.3 12.3 12.3 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio 0.70 0.70 0.70 0.70 0.16 0.16 0.16 | |
| v/c Ratio 0.46 0.05 0.21 0.55 0.46 0.28 0.53 | |
| Control Delay 6.1 1.6 7.6 7.0 35.4 20.5 31.8 | |
| Queue Delay 0.6 0.0 0.0 2.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 | |
| Total Delay 6.7 1.6 7.6 9.6 35.4 20.5 31.8 | |
| LOS A A A A D C C | |
| Approach Delay 6.5 9.5 28.2 31.8 | |
| Approach LOS A A C C | |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) 106 0 7 128 36 21 57 | |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) 190 12 28 233 71 52 101 | |

PMPR Year 2024 Total Projected Weeekday Evening Peak Hour Conditions 1:42 pm 07/10/2023 23-158 - Avenida Cesaby@baxee21DRekiort Albuquerque sa

| | <i>≯</i> → | • | • | + | • | • | † | / | / | ↓ | 4 |
|------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|------------|-----|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----|
| Lane Group | EBL EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 104 | | | 209 | | | 50 | | | 206 | |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | | 170 | 50 | | | | | 70 | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 2645 | 1153 | 246 | 2483 | | | 495 | 706 | | 721 | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 918 | 0 | 0 | 962 | | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.71 | 0.05 | 0.21 | 0.90 | | | 0.17 | 0.11 | | 0.20 | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area Type: | Other | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 75 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 75 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced | to phase 2:EBT and | l 6:WBTL, | Start of C | Green | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 70 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Co | ordinated | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.55 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: | | | | tersection | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | ation 68.1% | | IC | CU Level of | of Service | C C | | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Splits and Phases: 9: Av | enida Cesar Chavez | & 3rd Str | eet | | | | | | | | |



| Intersection | | | | | | | |
|--|------|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 9.6 | | | | | | |
| Intersection LOS | Α | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | |
| Lane Configurations | ች | 7 | * | | <u></u> | 7 | |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 22 | 95 | 115 | 99 | 154 | 23 | |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 22 | 95 | 115 | 99 | 154 | 23 | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | |
| Heavy Vehicles, % | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | |
| Mvmt Flow | 29 | 127 | 153 | 132 | 205 | 31 | |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Approach | EB | | NB | | SB | | |
| Opposing Approach | | | SB | | NB | | |
| Opposing Lanes | 0 | | 2 | | 2 | | |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB | | EB | | | | |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 2 | | 2 | | 0 | | |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB | | | | EB | | |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 2 | | 0 | | 2 | | |
| HCM Control Delay | 9.2 | | 9.7 | | 9.8 | | |
| HCM LOS | Α | | Α | | Α | | |
| I IOW LOO | | | | | | | |
| 110M 200 | • | | | | , , | | |
| | | NBLn1 | NBLn2 | EBLn1 | EBLn2 | SBLn1 | SBLn2 |
| Lane | , , | | NBLn2 | | EBLn2 | | |
| Lane Vol Left, % | | 100% | NBLn2 | 100% | EBLn2 | 0% | 0% |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % | | 100% 0% | NBLn2 0% 100% | 100% 0% | EBLn2 0% 0% | 0% 100% | 0% 0% |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % | | 100% | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% | 100% 0% 0% | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% | 0% 100% 0% | 0% 0% 100% |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control | | 100% 0% 0% | NBLn2 0% 100% | 100% 0% | EBLn2 0% 0% | 0% 100% | 0% 0% |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % | | 100% 0% 0% Stop | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop | 100% 0% 0% Stop | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop | 0% 100% 0% Stop | 0% 0% 100% Stop |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 115 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 99 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 22 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 95 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 154 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 23 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 115 115 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 99 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 22 22 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 95 0 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 154 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 23 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 115 115 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 99 0 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 22 22 0 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 95 0 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 154 0 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 23 0 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 115 115 0 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 99 0 99 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 22 22 0 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 95 0 0 95 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 154 0 154 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 23 0 0 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 115 115 0 0 153 7 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 99 0 99 132 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 22 22 0 0 29 7 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 95 0 95 127 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 154 0 154 0 205 7 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 23 0 0 23 31 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 115 115 0 0 153 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 99 0 132 7 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 22 22 0 0 29 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 95 0 0 95 127 7 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 154 0 154 0 205 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 23 0 0 23 31 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 115 115 0 0 153 7 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 99 0 99 132 7 0.189 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 22 22 0 0 29 7 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 95 0 0 95 127 7 0.181 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 154 0 154 0 205 7 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 23 0 0 23 31 7 0.039 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 115 115 0 0 153 7 0.241 5.653 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 99 0 132 7 0.189 5.149 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 22 22 0 0 29 7 0.051 6.263 | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 95 0 0 95 127 7 0.181 5.157 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 154 0 154 0 205 7 0.298 5.221 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 23 0 0 23 31 7 0.039 4.585 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) Convergence, Y/N | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 115 115 0 0 153 7 0.241 5.653 Yes 633 3.402 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 99 0 132 7 0.189 5.149 Yes | 100% 0% 0% Stop 22 22 0 0 29 7 0.051 6.263 Yes | EBLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 95 0 0 95 127 7 0.181 5.157 Yes 693 2.912 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 154 0 154 7 0.298 5.221 Yes 686 2.973 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 23 0 0 23 31 7 0.039 4.585 Yes |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) Convergence, Y/N Cap | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 115 115 0 0 153 7 0.241 5.653 Yes 633 3.402 0.242 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 99 0 132 7 0.189 5.149 Yes 694 2.899 0.19 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 22 22 0 0 29 7 0.051 6.263 Yes 570 4.018 | BLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 95 0 05 127 7 0.181 5.157 Yes 693 2.912 0.183 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 154 0 154 0 205 7 0.298 5.221 Yes 686 2.973 0.299 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 23 0 0 23 31 7 0.039 4.585 Yes 777 2.337 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) Convergence, Y/N Cap Service Time HCM Lane V/C Ratio HCM Control Delay | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 115 115 0 0 153 7 0.241 5.653 Yes 633 3.402 0.242 10.2 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 99 0 99 0 132 7 0.189 5.149 Yes 694 2.899 0.19 9.1 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 22 22 0 0 29 7 0.051 6.263 Yes 570 4.018 | BLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 95 0 0 95 127 7 0.181 5.157 Yes 693 2.912 0.183 9.1 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 154 0 154 0 205 7 0.298 5.221 Yes 686 2.973 0.299 10.2 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 23 0 0 23 31 7 0.039 4.585 Yes 777 2.337 0.04 7.5 |
| Lane Vol Left, % Vol Thru, % Vol Right, % Sign Control Traffic Vol by Lane LT Vol Through Vol RT Vol Lane Flow Rate Geometry Grp Degree of Util (X) Departure Headway (Hd) Convergence, Y/N Cap Service Time HCM Lane V/C Ratio | | 100% 0% 0% Stop 115 115 0 0 153 7 0.241 5.653 Yes 633 3.402 0.242 | NBLn2 0% 100% 0% Stop 99 0 132 7 0.189 5.149 Yes 694 2.899 0.19 | 100% 0% 0% Stop 22 22 0 0 29 7 0.051 6.263 Yes 570 4.018 | BLn2 0% 0% 100% Stop 95 0 05 127 7 0.181 5.157 Yes 693 2.912 0.183 | 0% 100% 0% Stop 154 0 154 0 205 7 0.298 5.221 Yes 686 2.973 0.299 | 0% 0% 100% Stop 23 0 0 23 31 7 0.039 4.585 Yes 777 2.337 |

| Intersection | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------|----------|----------|--------|--------|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.1 | | | | | | | |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR | | |
| _ane Configurations | ∱ } | | | ^ | | 7 | | |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1209 | 15 | 0 | 1440 | 0 | 13 | | |
| uture Vol, veh/h | 1209 | 15 | 0 | 1440 | 0 | 13 | | |
| conflicting Peds, #/hr | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| ign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | | |
| T Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None | | |
| torage Length | _ | - | _ | - | _ | 0 | | |
| eh in Median Storag | | _ | _ | 0 | 0 | - | | |
| Grade, % | 0, 11 0 | _ | _ | 0 | 0 | _ | | |
| eak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | | |
| eavy Vehicles, % | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | | |
| lvmt Flow | 1273 | 16 | 0 | 1516 | 0 | 14 | | |
| VIIILI IOW | 1213 | 10 | | 1010 | | 14 | | |
| lajor/Minor | Major1 | N | Major2 | N | Minor1 | | | |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | - - | <u>-</u> | - | 645 | | |
| Stage 1 | - | - | _ | _ | _ | - | | |
| Stage 2 | <u>-</u> | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | | |
| ritical Hdwy | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 6.9 | | |
| ritical Hdwy Stg 1 | <u>-</u> | _ | _ | _ | _ | 0.9 | | |
| ritical Hdwy Stg 2 | _ | | _ | _ | - | - | | |
| ollow-up Hdwy | _ | _ | _ | - | _ | 3.3 | | |
| | | | | | | *607 | | |
| ot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | | | |
| Stage 1 | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | | |
| Stage 2 Platoon blocked, % | - | - | U | - | 0 | - 1 | | |
| | - | - | | - | | | | |
| lov Cap-1 Maneuver | | - | - | - | - | *607 | | |
| lov Cap-2 Maneuver | | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| nnraach | ED | | \A/D | | ND | | | |
| pproach | EB | | WB | | NB | | | |
| CM Control Delay, s | 0 | | 0 | | 11.1 | | | |
| CM LOS | | | | | В | | | |
| | | ND: (| | ED. | 14/5= | | | |
| linor Lane/Major Mvr | mt l | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBT | | | |
| apacity (veh/h) | | 607 | - | - | - | | | |
| CM Lane V/C Ratio | | 0.023 | - | - | - | | | |
| CM Control Delay (s | s) | 11.1 | - | - | - | | | |
| CM Lane LOS | | В | - | - | - | | | |
| CM 95th %tile Q(vel | n) | 0.1 | - | - | - | | | |
| otes | | | | | | | | |
| Volume exceeds ca | apacity | \$: De | elay exc | ceeds 30 | 00s | +: Com | putation Not Defined | *: All major volume in platoon |
| | | | | | | | | |

PMPR Year 2024 Total Projected Weeekday Evening Peak Hour Conditions 1:42 pm 07/10/2023 23-158 - Avenida Cesaby@baxee1 DRekriort Albuquerque sa

| Intersection | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------|-------|---------|--------|-------------|------|
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.7 | | | | | |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ₩. | LDIX | NDL | 4 4 |) } | אומט |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 18 | 2 | 2 | 136 | 115 | 16 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 18 | 2 | 2 | 136 | 115 | 16 |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, #/hr | | | | | | |
| | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, | | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, % | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, % | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 19 | 2 | 2 | 143 | 121 | 17 |
| | | | | | | |
| Major/Minor Mi | inor2 | N | /lajor1 | N | /lajor2 | |
| | | | 138 | | najuiz - | 0 |
| Conflicting Flow All | 277 | 130 | | 0 | | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 130 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 147 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.2 | 4.1 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.2 | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 741 | 963 | 1471 | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 921 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 885 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, % | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 741 | 963 | 1471 | - | _ | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 741 | - | - | _ | _ | _ |
| Stage 1 | 920 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ |
| Stage 2 | 885 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ |
| Olago Z | 000 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Approach | EB | | NB | | SB | |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 9.9 | | 0.1 | | 0 | |
| HCM LOS | Α | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | | NBL | NIRT | EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |
| | | | | | | אמט |
| Capacity (veh/h) | | 1471 | - | 758 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | | 0.001 | | 0.028 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | | 7.5 | 0 | 9.9 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | | A | Α | Α | - | - |
| HCM 95th %tile Q(veh) | | 0 | - | 0.1 | - | - |