Juan Tabo Hills Estates Request for CLOMR

Volume 3 of 4

Prepared For:

Juan Tabo Hills West LLC

P.O. Box 9470

Albuquerque, NM 87119

(505) 899-6768

Prepared By:

Mark Goodwin & Associates, PA

PO BOX 90606

Albuquerque, NM 87199

(505) 828-2200

PURPOSE & SCOPE

Juan Tabo Hills West, LLC, the owner of Tract 'A' of the Juan Tabo Hills West Plat wishes to proceed with construction of the first phase of Juan Tabo Hills Estates, a planned 350 lot, single family residential, 85.1059 acre development including construction of bank protection along the south side of the Tijeras Arroyo between Kirtland Air force Base and the South Eubank Landfill. To that end JTHW, LLC hired Mark Goodwin and Associates, PA, MGA, to provide drainage engineering design and analysis for the proposed subdivision and this report is the third of four reports being prepared for that purpose.

The Onsite Drainage Analysis Report, Volume 1 of 4, provides detailed hydraulic analysis of onsite surface drainage in the streets, inlet design, and preliminary sizing of the on-site storm sewers. It also includes hydrology analysis of the 300 acre upstream urban watershed (Q_{100} =924.20 cfs), final grading plans for the lots, and design of an onsite 3.08 ac-ft Storm Water Quality, SWQ, pond in the southwest corner of the development.

The Bank Protection Design Analysis Report, Volume 2 of 4, includes sediment transport analysis to determine the vertical stability of the Tijeras and scour calculations to determine the depth of the bank protection below the bed of the arroyo.

This Request for Conditional Letter of Map Revision, CLOMR, Volume 3 of 4, contains detailed hydraulic analysis of the Tijeras Arroyo, an annotated Flood Insurance Rate Map, FIRM, and a copy of the Bank Protection Profile which serves as the topo work map. It also includes applicable Federal Emergency Management Administration, FEMA, MT-2 application Forms.

The 404 Individual Permit application, Volume 4 of 4, includes an Approved Jurisdictional Determination, AJD, Alternatives Analysis, and a Conceptual Mitigation Plan, and USACE Individual Permit application forms along with other required attachments.

DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

The bank protection is proposed to be located as close to the arroyo as possible without placing any fill in the newly defined floodway per LOMR Case No. 13-06-1053P, effective June 17, 2013 (see Annotated FIRM and previous LOMR), and without placing any of the shotcrete scour protection in Jurisdictional Waters of the US (see Topo Work Map/ Profile), not even below grade. This project will just be filling in a shallow overbank area currently mapped as Zones AO, Shaded Zone X, and a small portion of Zone AE. The main channel will be widened, channelized, thus reducing the water surface elevations rather than raising them (see Cross Sections).

The "Revised HEC-RAS" model includes the analysis of a future 500 year design flow, 35,853 cfs, and the top of the fill is set above this future design elevation, but the future flow rate and associated elevations are not used for mapping any FEMA floodplains. The top of fill is above:

- 1. The future 500 year water surface elevation,
- 2. The existing 500 year water surface elevation, and
- 3. The 100 year water surface elevation plus 2' freeboard plus superelevation per City of Albuquerque Development Process Manual.

Project Location

The project is located in southeast Albuquerque New Mexico one half (1/2) mile west of Juan Tabo



Hills Blvd, and two (2) miles south of Central Ave (lat 35.046° North, long 106.522° West). Legal description is Tract 'A' of Juan Tabo Hills West an 85.1059 acre tract located in the southwest quarter of section 33 Township 10 North, Range 4 East NMPM and is bounded on the south by Kirtland Air Force Base, on the west and north by the Tijeras Arroyo, and on the East by Juan Tabo Hills Subdivision Units 1 and 2.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

O.M.B No. 1660-0016 Expires February 28, 2014

OVERVIEW & CONCURRENCE FORM

PAPERWORK BURDEN DISCLOSURE NOTICE

Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 1 hours per response. The burden estimate includes the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the needed data, and completing, reviewing, and submitting the form. You are not required to respond to this collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding the accuracy of the burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing this burden to: Information Collections Management, Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 1800 South Bell Street, Arlington, VA 20958-3005, Paperwork Reduction Project (1660-0016). Submission of the form is required to obtain or retain benefits under the National Flood Insurance Program. Please do not send your completed survey to the above address.

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

AUTHORITY: The National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, Public Law 90-448, as amended by the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, Public Law 93-234.

PRINCIPAL PURPOSE(S): This information is being collected for the purpose of determining an applicant's eligibility to request changes to National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM).

ROUTINE USE(S): The information on this form may be disclosed as generally permitted under 5 U.S.C § 552a(b) of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended. This includes using this information as necessary and authorized by the routine uses published in DHS/FEMA/NFIP/LOMA-1 National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP); Letter of Map Amendment (LOMA) February 15, 2006, 71 FR 7990.

DISCLOSURE: The disclosure of information on this form is voluntary; however, failure to provide the information requested may delay or prevent FEMA from processing a determination regarding a requested change to a (NFIP) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM).

A. REQUESTED RESPONSE FROM DHS-FEMA

This	request is for a (check one):
	☑ CLOMR: A letter from DHS-FEMA commenting on whether a proposed project, if built as proposed, would justify a map revision, or proposed hydrology changes (See 44 CFR Ch. 1, Parts 60, 65 & 72).
	LOMR: A letter from DHS-FEMA officially revising the current NFIP map to show the changes to floodplains, regulatory floodway or flood elevations. (See 44 CFR Ch. 1, Parts 60, 65 & 72)

B. OVERVIEW

1.	The	NFIP map p	anel(s) affected	for all impacted commu	nities is (are):				•	
Cor	nmun	ity No.	Community Na	me			State	Map No.	Panel No.	Effective Date
Exa	ample: 480301									
500	02	400201	City of Albuque	rque			NM	35001C	0367G	9/26/08
					<u> </u>					
2.	a. F	looding Sour	ce: Tijeras Arroy	/0				<u></u>		
	b. T	ypes of Floo	ding: 🛛 Riverir	ne 🔲 Coastal	☐ Shallow	Flooding (e.g.,	Zones AO	and AH)		
			☐ Alluvia	l fan 🔲 Lakes	Other (/	Attach Descript	ion)			
3.	. Project Name/Identifier: Juan Tabo Hills West Estates									
4.	FEN	FEMA zone designations affected: AO, AE, Shaded X (choices: A, AH, AO, A1-A30, A99, AE, AR, V, V1-V30, VE, B, C, D, X)								
5.	Basis for Request and Type of Revision:									
	a. The basis for this revision request is (check all that apply)									
		☑ Physica	l Change	☐ Improved Methodo	ology/Data	☐ Regulator	y Floodway	Revision	☐ Base Map Ci	hanges
		☐ Coastal	Analysis	☐ Hydraulic Analysis	•	☐ Hydrologic	Analysis		☐ Corrections	
		☐ Weir-Da	m Changes	☐ Levee Certification	1	☐ Alluvial Fa	n Analysis		☐ Natural Char	nges
		☐ New To	pographic Data	Other (Attach Des	cription)					
		Note: A ph	otograph and na	arrative description of the	e area of cond	ern is not requ	ired, but is	very helpful du	uring review.	

b. The area of revision encom	passes the following structu	res (check a	ill that apply)			
Structures:	□ Channelization	Levee	e/Floodwall	☐ Bridge/Culvert		
	☐ Dam	⊠ Fill	i	☐ Other (Attach Des	criptior	n)
6. Documentation of ESA compl	liance is submitted (required	d to initiate C	LOMR review). Plea	ase refer to the instru	ctions	for more information.
		C. REVIE	W FEE			
Has the review fee for the appropriate	e request category been incl	uded?	_			int: \$ <u>4,400.00</u>
Disease one the Disease of the Disea	nd humiliones for	and management of the		No, Attach Explanat		ntione
Please see the DHS-FEMA Web site	at nttp://www.tema.gov/pla			ree Amounts and	⊏xem	puons.
All documents submitted in support of	f this request are served to	D. SIGN		erstand that any fals	a etate	ment may be nunishable by
All documents submitted in support of fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of				erstand that any Tals	- sid(E	ment may be punishable by
Name: James D. Hughes			Company: Mark C	Soodwin & Associates	s, PA	
Mailing Address: PO BOX 90606			Daytime Telephon	e No.: (505) 828-220	00	Fax No.: (505) 797-9539
Albuquerque, NM 87199			E-Mail Address: doug@goodwinengineers.com			ım
Signature of Requester (required):				Date:		
the community official responsible (LOMR) or conditional LOMR request of the community floodplain manager necessary Federal, State, and local papplicant has documented Endange LOMR requests, I acknowledge that authorized, funded, or being carried of the ESA will be submitted. In add or will be reasonably safe from flooding documentation used to make this determined.	t. Based upon the communi- nent requirements, including termits have been, or in the red Species Act (ESA) compound compliance with Sections 9 tout by Federal or State ago lition, we have determined the or as defined in 44CFR 65.2	ity's review, or the required case of a cooliance to FE and 10 of the encies, document the land and and and and and and and and and	we find the complete ments for when fill is inditional LOMR, will MA prior to FEMA's the ESA has been ac umentation from th and any existing or	ed or proposed projects placed in the regula be obtained. For Cost review of the Conditional bieced independent e agency showing its proposed structures to the conditional control of the conditional	ct meet tory flo inditional ity of FI comp to be re	ts or is designed to meet all podway, and that all nal LOMR requests, the LOMR application. For EMA's process. For actions sliance with Section 7(a)(2) emoved from the SFHA are
Community Official's Name and Title:	Curtis Cherne			Community Name: (City of	Albuquerque
Mailing Address: PO BOX 1293			Daytime Telephor	e No.: (505) 924-36	95	Fax No.: (505) 924-3864
Albuquerque			E-Mail Address: (E-Mail Address: ccherne@cabq.gov		
Community Official's Signature (requ	ired):		Date:			
CERTIFICAT This certification is to be signed and elevation information data, hydrologic described in the MT-2 Forms Instruct any false statement may be punishable.	c and hydraulic analysis, and tions. All documents submit	urveyor, regis d any other s tted in suppo	stered professional of supporting information of this request are	engineer, or architect on as per NFIP regula e correct to the best o	authorations p	rized by law to certify paragraph 65.2(b) and as
Certifier's Name: James D. Hughes			License No.: 116	74	Expira	tion Date: 12-31-2014
ompany Name: Mark Goodwin & Associates, PA Telephone No.: (505) 828-2200 Fax No.: (505) 797-9539						
Signature:			Date:	E-Mail Address:	@guot	goodwinengineers.com

E	Ensure the forms that are appropriate to your revision request are included in your submittal.			
E	orm Name and (Number)	Required if		
	☐ Riverine Hydrology and Hydraulics Form (Form 2)	New or revised discharges or water-surface elevations		
	☑ Riverine Structures Form (Form 3)	Channel is modified, addition/revision of bridge/culverts, addition/revision of levee/floodwall, addition/revision of dam		
	☐ Coastal Analysis Form (Form 4)	New or revised coastal elevations		
	☐ Coastal Structures Form (Form 5)	Addition/revision of coastal structure	Seal (Optional)	
	Alluvial Fan Flooding Form (Form 6)	Flood control measures on alluvial fans		

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

O.M.B No. 1660-0016 Expires February 28, 2014

RIVERINE HYDROLOGY & HYDRAULICS FORM

PAPERWORK BURDEN DISCLOSURE NOTICE

Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 3.5 hours per response. The burden estimate includes the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the needed data, and completing, reviewing, and submitting the form. You are not required to respond to this collection of information unless a valid OMB control number appears in the upper right corner of this form. Send comments regarding the accuracy of the burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing this burden to: Information Collections Management, Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 1800 South Bell Street, Arlington VA 20958-3005, Paperwork Reduction Project (1660-0016). Submission of the form is required to obtain or retain benefits under the National Flood Insurance Program. Please do not send your completed survey to the above address.

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

AUTHORITY: The National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, Public Law 90-448, as amended by the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, Public Law 93-234.

PRINCIPAL PURPOSE(S): This information is being collected for the purpose of determining an applicant's eligibility to request changes to National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM).

ROUTINE USE(S): The information on this form may be disclosed as generally permitted under 5 U.S.C § 552a(b) of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended. This includes using this information as necessary and authorized by the routine uses published in DHS/FEMA/NFIP/LOMA-1 National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP); Letter of Map Amendment (LOMA) February 15, 2006, 71 FR 7990.

DISCLOSURE: The disclosure of information on this form is voluntary; however, failure to provide the information requested may delay or prevent FEMA from processing a determination regarding a requested change to a NFIP Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM).

No	Note: Fill out one form for each flooding source studied				
		A. HYDROLOG	iΥ		
1.	Reason for New Hydrologic Analysis (check	all that apply)		*	
	Not revised (skip to section B)	☐ No existing analysis		☐ Improved data	
	☐ Alternative methodology	☐ Proposed Conditions (CLON	IR)	☐ Changed physic	al condition of watershed
2.	Comparison of Representative 1%-Annual-C	thance Discharges			
	Location Drai	nage Area (Sq. Mi.)	Effective/F	FIS (cfs)	Revised (cfs)
3.	Methodology for New Hydrologic Analysis (check all that apply)			
	☐ Statistical Analysis of Gage Records	☐ Precipitation/Runoff Model	→ Specify M	lodel:	
	Regional Regression Equations	☐ Other (please attach descrip	tion)		
	Please enclose all relevant models in digital new analysis.	format, maps, computations (include	ling compute	ation of parameters), a	and documentation to support the
4.	Review/Approval of Analysis				
	If your community requires a regional, state,	or federal agency to review the hyd	drologic anal	lysis, please attach ev	idence of approval/review.
5.	Impacts of Sediment Transport on Hydrology	y ·			
	Is the hydrology for the revised flooding soul	rce(s) affected by sediment transpo	rt? 🔲 Ye	s 🖾 No	
	If yes, then fill out Section F (Sediment Tran	sport) of Form 3. If No, then attach	your explan	ation	

Flooding Source: Tijeras Arroyo

B. HYDRAULICS

		B. HIDRAULI	<u> </u>		
1. Reach to be Revised					
	Descri	ption Cr	oss Section	Water-Surface El	evations (ft.)
		•		Effective	Proposed/Revised
Downstream Limit*	Limits of Detaile	ed Study 2.9	<u>. </u>	5381.9	5386.01
Upstream Limit*	AQ	20		5440.8	5437.66
*Proposed/Revised elevations mu	ust tie-into the Effective	elevations within 0.5 foot	at the downstream an	nd upstream limits of revi	sion.
2. Hydraulic Method/Model Used	: <u>HEC-RAS 4.1.0</u>				
3. Pre-Submittal Review of Hydro	aulic Models*				
DHS-FEMA has developed two respectively. We recommend 4.	o review programs, CH that you review your H	ECK-2 and CHECK-RAS, EC-2 and HEC-RAS mode	to aid in the review o	f HEC-2 and HEC-RAS i I CHECK-RAS.	nydraulic models,
Models Submitted	<u>Natu</u>	ral Run	Flo	odway Run	<u>Datum</u>
Duplicate Effective Model*	File Name: JTHE CLOMR	Plan Name: Floodplain Effectiv <u>e</u>	File Name: _2013067_	Plan Name:	1929
Corrected Effective Model*	File Name:	Plan Name:	File Name:	Plan Name:	
Existing or Pre-Project Conditions Model	File Name:	Plan Name:	File Name:	Plan Name:	_
Revised or Post-Project Conditions Model	File Name: JTHE CLOMR	Plan Name: AMAFCA Floodplain	File Name: Revised 2013-12-3	Plan Name:	1929
Other - (attach description)	File Name:	Plan Name:	File Name:	Plan Name:	
* For details, refer to the correspo	onding section of the ins	structions.			_
		Digital Models Submitted?	(Required)		
		C. MAPPING REQUI	REMENTS		
A certifled topographic work mand proposed conditions 1%-annifloodplains and regulatory floodwindicated; stream, road, and other property; certification of a register referenced vertical datum (NGVD Topographic Information: PDF P	ual-chance floodplain (i ay (for detailed Zone Al r alignments (e.g., dam red professional engine), NAVD, etc.).	for approximate Zone A re E, AO, and AH revisions); s, levees, etc.); current co	visions) or the bound location and alignment mmunity easements at State; location and of	aries of the 1%- and 0.29 nt of all cross sections w and boundaries; boundar description of reference i	%-annual-chance ith stationing control ries of the requester's
Source: Aldrich Land Surveying,	Inc.	Date:			
Accuracy: ±0.1					
Note that the boundaries of the extended in the second scale as the original, annotated to	lplain and regulatory flo	odway boundaries. Pleas	e attach a copy of the	e effective FIRM and/or	FBFM, at the same

revision.

the boundaries of the effective 1%-and 0.2%-annual-chance floodplain and regulatory floodway at the upstream and downstream limits of the area on

Annotated FIRM and/or FBFM (Required)

D. COMMON REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS*

1	For LOMR/CLOMR requests, do Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) increase?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
	a. For CLOMR requests, if either of the following is true, please submit evidence of compliance with Section 65.12 of t	he NFIP regulations:
	 The proposed project encroaches upon a regulatory floodway and would result in increases above 0.00 foot conditions. 	mpared to pre-project
	 The proposed project encroaches upon a SFHA with or without BFEs established and would result in increases compared to pre-project conditions. 	above 1.00 foot
	b. Does this LOMR request cause increase in the BFE and/or SFHA compared with the effective BFEs and/or SFHA? If Yes, please attach proof of property owner notification and acceptance (if available). Elements of and example notifications can be found in the MT-2 Form 2 Instructions.	☐ Yes ☑ No les of property owner
2.	Does the request involve the placement or proposed placement of fill?	⊠ Yes □ No
	If Yes, the community must be able to certify that the area to be removed from the special flood hazard area, to include an proposed structures, meets all of the standards of the local floodplain ordinances, and is reasonably safe from flooding in NFIP regulations set forth at 44 CFR 60.3(A)(3), 65.5(a)(4), and 65.6(a)(14). Please see the MT-2 instructions for more in	accordance with the
3.	For LOMR requests, is the regulatory floodway being revised?	☐ Yes ☒ No
£X	If Yes, attach evidence of regulatory floodway revision notification. As per Paragraph 65.7(b)(1) of the NFIP Regulation required for requests involving revisions to the regulatory floodway. (Not required for revisions to approximate 1%-annual-[studied Zone A designation] unless a regulatory floodway is being established. Elements and examples of regulatory flood notification can be found in the MT-2 Form 2 Instructions.)	chance floodplains
4.	For CLOMR requests, please submit documentation to FEMA and the community to show that you have complied with Sec Endangered Species Act (ESA).	ctions 9 and 10 of the
	r actions authorized, funded, or being carried out by Federal or State agencies, please submit documentation from the mpliance with Section 7(a)(2) of the ESA. Please see the MT-2 instructions for more detail.	e agency showing its
Vo	t inclusive of all applicable regulatory requirements. For details, see 44 CFR parts 60 and 65.	

FEMA Form 086-0-27A, (2/2011)

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

O.M.B. NO. 1660-0016 Expires February 28, 2014

RIVERINE STRUCTURES FORM

PAPERWORK BURDEN DISCLOSURE NOTICE

Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 7 hours per response. The burden estimate includes the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the needed data, and completing, reviewing, and submitting the form. You are not required to respond to this collection of information unless a valid OMB control number appears in the upper right corner of this form. Send comments regarding the accuracy of the burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing this burden to: Information Collections Management, Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 1800 South Bell Street, Arlington, VA 20598-3005, Paperwork Reduction Project (1660-0016). Submission of the form is required to obtain or retain benefits under the National Flood Insurance

Program. Please do not send your completed survey to the above address. PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT AUTHORITY: The National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, Public Law 90-448, as amended by the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, Public Law 93-234 PRINCIPAL PURPOSE(S): This information is being collected for the purpose of determining an applicant's eligibility to request changes to National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM). ROUTINE USE(S): The information on this form may be disclosed as generally permitted under 5 U.S.C § 552a(b) of the Privacy Act of 1974, as arnended. This includes using this information as necessary and authorized by the routine uses published in DHS/FEMA/NFIP/LOMA-1 National Flood Insurance Program; Letter of Map Amendment (LOMA) February 15, 2006, 71 FR 7990. DISCLOSURE: The disclosure of information on this form is voluntary; however, failure to provide the information requested may delay or prevent FEMA from processing a determination regarding a requested change to a NFIP Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM). Flooding Source: Tijeras Arroyo Note: Fill out one form for each flooding source studied. A. GENERAL Complete the appropriate section(s) for each Structure listed below: Channelization......complete Section B Bridge/Culvert.....complete Section C Dam.....complete Section D Levee/Floodwall.....complete Section E Sediment Transport......complete Section F (if required) Description Of Modeled Structure Name of Structure: Excavate/Widen Channel & Fill Overbank ☐ Bridge/Culvert □ Dam Type (check one): ☐ Levee/Floodwall Location of Structure: South bank of the Tijeras Arroyo between Eubank Landfill. and Kirtland AFB Downstream Limit/Cross Section: 2.9 Upstream Limit/Cross Section: 20 (AQ) 2. Name of Structure: ☐ Channelization ☐ Bridge/Culvert ☐ Levee/Floodwall □ Dam Type (check one): Location of Structure: Downstream Limit/Cross Section: __ Upstream Limit/Cross Section: ___ 3. Name of Structure: ___ Type (check one) ☐ Channelization ☐ Bridge/Culvert ☐ Levee/Floodwall □ Dam Location of Structure: _ Downstream Limit/Cross Section: _____ Upstream Limit/Cross Section: NOTE: FOR MORE STRUCTURES, ATTACH ADDITIONAL PAGES AS NEEDED.

Floo		ELIZATION			
_	Flooding Source: Tijeras Arroyo				
Nam	Name of Structure: Fill Encroachment				
1.	Hydraulic Considerations				
	The channel was designed to carry $30,500$ (cfs) and/or the 500 -ye The design elevation in the channel is based on (check one):	ar flood.			
	Subcritical flow ☐ Critical flow ☐	Supercritical flow Energy grade line			
	If there is the potential for a hydraulic jump at the following location jump is controlled without affecting the stability of the channel.	s, check all that apply and attach an explanation of how the hydraulic			
	☐ Inlet to channel ☐ Outlet of channel ☐ At Drop Structure	es			
	☐ Other locations (specify):				
2.	Channel Design Plans	4			
	Attach the plans of the channelization certified by a registered profe	essional engineer, as described in the instructions.			
3.	Accessory Structures				
	The channelization includes (check one): Levees [Attach Section E (Levee/Floodwall)] Drop structure Transitions in cross sectional geometry Debris basin/de	ctures Superelevated sections etention basin [Attach Section D (Dam/Basin)] Energy dissipator			
	☐ Weir ☐ Other (Describe):				
4.	Sediment Transport Considerations				
	Are the hydraulics of the channel affected by sediment transport?	☐ Yes No			
	If yes, then fill out Section F (Sediment Transport) of Form 3. If No, transidered.	nen attach your explanation for why sediment transport was not			
Floo	C. BRIDGE	E/CULVERT			
	arrier de Jarrica III les				
	This revision reflects (check one):				
1.	This revision reflects (check one):				
	This revision reflects (check one): Bridge/culvert not modeled in the FIS				
	This revision reflects (check one): Bridge/culvert not modeled in the FIS Modified bridge/culvert previously modeled in the FIS				
1.	This revision reflects (check one): Bridge/culvert not modeled in the FIS Modified bridge/culvert previously modeled in the FIS Revised analysis of bridge/culvert previously modeled in the FIS Hydraulic model used to analyze the structure (e.g., HEC-2 with spe				
1.	This revision reflects (check one): Bridge/culvert not modeled in the FIS Modified bridge/culvert previously modeled in the FIS Revised analysis of bridge/culvert previously modeled in the FIS Hydraulic model used to analyze the structure (e.g., HEC-2 with spelf different than hydraulic analysis for the flooding source, justify why the structures. Attach justification.	ecial bridge routine, WSPRO, HY8):			
1.	This revision reflects (check one): Bridge/culvert not modeled in the FIS Modified bridge/culvert previously modeled in the FIS Revised analysis of bridge/culvert previously modeled in the FIS Hydraulic model used to analyze the structure (e.g., HEC-2 with spelf different than hydraulic analysis for the flooding source, justify why the structures. Attach justification. Attach plans of the structures certified by a registered professional expressional expressional expression.	ecial bridge routine, WSPRO, HY8): y the hydraulic analysis used for the flooding source could not analyze			
1.	This revision reflects (check one): Bridge/culvert not modeled in the FIS Modified bridge/culvert previously modeled in the FIS Revised analysis of bridge/culvert previously modeled in the FIS Hydraulic model used to analyze the structure (e.g., HEC-2 with spelf different than hydraulic analysis for the flooding source, justify why the structures. Attach justification. Attach plans of the structures certified by a registered professional enchance (check the information that has been provided):	ecial bridge routine, WSPRO, HY8): y the hydraulic analysis used for the flooding source could not analyze engineer. The plan detail and information should include the following			
1.	This revision reflects (check one): Bridge/culvert not modeled in the FIS Modified bridge/culvert previously modeled in the FIS Revised analysis of bridge/culvert previously modeled in the FIS Hydraulic model used to analyze the structure (e.g., HEC-2 with spelf different than hydraulic analysis for the flooding source, justify why the structures. Attach justification. Attach plans of the structures certified by a registered professional e (check the information that has been provided): Dimensions (height, width, span, radius, length)	ecial bridge routine, WSPRO, HY8):			
1.	This revision reflects (check one): Bridge/culvert not modeled in the FIS Modified bridge/culvert previously modeled in the FIS Revised analysis of bridge/culvert previously modeled in the FIS Hydraulic model used to analyze the structure (e.g., HEC-2 with spelf different than hydraulic analysis for the flooding source, justify why the structures. Attach justification. Attach plans of the structures certified by a registered professional excheck the information that has been provided): Dimensions (height, width, span, radius, length) Shape (culverts only)	ecial bridge routine, WSPRO, HY8): y the hydraulic analysis used for the flooding source could not analyze engineer. The plan detail and information should include the following Distances Between Cross Sections Erosion Protection			
1.	This revision reflects (check one): Bridge/culvert not modeled in the FIS Modified bridge/culvert previously modeled in the FIS Revised analysis of bridge/culvert previously modeled in the FIS Hydraulic model used to analyze the structure (e.g., HEC-2 with spelf different than hydraulic analysis for the flooding source, justify why the structures. Attach justification. Attach plans of the structures certified by a registered professional e(check the information that has been provided): Dimensions (height, width, span, radius, length) Shape (culverts only) Material Beveling or Rounding	ecial bridge routine, WSPRO, HY8): y the hydraulic analysis used for the flooding source could not analyze engineer. The plan detail and information should include the following Distances Between Cross Sections Erosion Protection Low Chord Elevations – Upstream and Downstream			
1.	This revision reflects (check one): Bridge/culvert not modeled in the FIS Modified bridge/culvert previously modeled in the FIS Revised analysis of bridge/culvert previously modeled in the FIS Hydraulic model used to analyze the structure (e.g., HEC-2 with spelf different than hydraulic analysis for the flooding source, justify why the structures. Attach justification. Attach plans of the structures certified by a registered professional e(check the information that has been provided): Dimensions (height, width, span, radius, length) Shape (culverts only) Material Beveling or Rounding	ecial bridge routine, WSPRO, HY8): y the hydraulic analysis used for the flooding source could not analyze engineer. The plan detail and information should include the following Distances Between Cross Sections Erosion Protection Low Chord Elevations – Upstream and Downstream Top of Road Elevations – Upstream and Downstream			
1.	This revision reflects (check one): Bridge/culvert not modeled in the FIS Modified bridge/culvert previously modeled in the FIS Revised analysis of bridge/culvert previously modeled in the FIS Hydraulic model used to analyze the structure (e.g., HEC-2 with spelf different than hydraulic analysis for the flooding source, justify why the structures. Attach justification. Attach plans of the structures certified by a registered professional e(check the information that has been provided): Dimensions (height, width, span, radius, length) Shape (culverts only) Material Beveling or Rounding Wing Wall Angle	ecial bridge routine, WSPRO, HY8):			
1.	This revision reflects (check one): Bridge/culvert not modeled in the FIS Modified bridge/culvert previously modeled in the FIS Revised analysis of bridge/culvert previously modeled in the FIS Hydraulic model used to analyze the structure (e.g., HEC-2 with spelf different than hydraulic analysis for the flooding source, justify why the structures. Attach justification. Attach plans of the structures certified by a registered professional e(check the information that has been provided): Dimensions (height, width, span, radius, length) Shape (culverts only) Material Beveling or Rounding Wing Wall Angle	ecial bridge routine, WSPRO, HY8): y the hydraulic analysis used for the flooding source could not analyze engineer. The plan detail and information should include the following Distances Between Cross Sections Erosion Protection Low Chord Elevations – Upstream and Downstream Top of Road Elevations – Upstream and Downstream Structure Invert Elevations – Upstream and Downstream Stream Invert Elevations – Upstream and Downstream			
2.	This revision reflects (check one): Bridge/culvert not modeled in the FIS Modified bridge/culvert previously modeled in the FIS Revised analysis of bridge/culvert previously modeled in the FIS Hydraulic model used to analyze the structure (e.g., HEC-2 with spelf different than hydraulic analysis for the flooding source, justify why the structures. Attach justification. Attach plans of the structures certified by a registered professional e(check the information that has been provided): Dimensions (height, width, span, radius, length) Shape (culverts only) Material Beveling or Rounding Wing Wall Angle	ecial bridge routine, WSPRO, HY8): y the hydraulic analysis used for the flooding source could not analyze engineer. The plan detail and information should include the following Distances Between Cross Sections Erosion Protection Low Chord Elevations – Upstream and Downstream Top of Road Elevations – Upstream and Downstream Structure Invert Elevations – Upstream and Downstream Stream Invert Elevations – Upstream and Downstream			