



The purpose of this grading and drainage plan is to obtain approval for a replat of lot 11 to lot 11A, and a building permit for a residential house on lot 11A.

SOILS: Soils on lot 11A are identified by reference C as Tesajo-Millet stony sandy loams. About 40% is a Tesajo stony sandy loam with 3% to 20% slopes and 40% is a Millet stony sandy loam with a 3% to 15% slopes. The Millet soil is on the ridges of alluvial fans, and the Tesajo soil is in swales adjacent to the ridges. On both soils the runoff is medium and the hazard of water erosion is moderate. Building foundations must be set with positive drainage away from the foundation.

DISCUSSION:

A. Lot 11A is located in a platted subdivision. Replat of lot 11A is proposed inorder to incorporate an adjacent parcel of unplatted land. Lot 11A is located on the west face of Manzanita foothills, with a slope down from east to west of about 15 feet (10% slope). The lot is rectangular in shape, bordered on the south by a dedicated public right-of-way, Cerros de Morado, and on the east, north and south sides by platted residential lots. All adjacent lots are developed with single family houses except for the vacant lot 9B directly north of lot 11A. The surface of lot 11A is covered with native vegetation, to include two Juniper trees, numerous cactus and shrubs, grass and other plants.

B. The building on lot 11A is to be sited on a ridge between two small arroyos. Access will be from the Cerros de Morado right-of-way by a paved driveway. Public sanitary sewer and water are available to the

DRAINAGE CONSIDERATIONS:

- A. Historic runoff from and across Lot 11A is channeled into two small arroyos, marked B and C on the drawings, with estimated peak runoff from the 100 year-6 hour storm of 3 CFS and 1.1 CFS, respectively.
- B. Historic runoff patterns have been changed due to existing construction on lots 10 and 12A, which are adjacent to lot 11A, west and east, respectively. Runoff patterns also will be altered by proposed construction on lot 11A. The significant runoff patterns from the developed lots 10, 11A and 12A are outlined below:
- 1. A portion of the runoff from lot 12A is directed to the public right-of-way of Cerros de Morado by the driveway and a timber curb extending along the west portion of lot 12A. Most of the rest of the runoff from lot 12A is directed to the north by the lot slope, and by a constructed rock rubble berm along the common boundary between lot 12A and lot 11A. This flow then enters the existing natural swale going from east to west along the north lot line of lots 12A and 11A. This flow then crosses lot 9A in the existing natural swale, then entering the Stagecoach Road right-of-way.
 - 2. There are four drainage basins resulting from the proposed

construction on lot 11A. Basin D collects minor runoff from lot 12A and the northern portion of lot 11A, directing this runoff to the existing natural arroyo that exits lot 11A near the northwest corner of lot 11A, flowing then to the Stagecoach Road right-of-way through lot 9A. The estimated peak flow from Basin D for the 100 year-6 hour storm is 0.8 CFS (Table D). Basin E includes runoff from the house, patio and landscaped yard. Basin E is a closed basin, with the runoff being retained within the walled yard. Basin F is the west portion of the lot, including some roof runoff, with an estimated 100 year-6 hour peak flow of 0.5 CFS entering the natural swale crossing lot 10, flowing then to the Stagecoach Road right-of-way. Basin G includes a portion of the house, the garage, the driveway and the landscaped area south of the house. The estimated 100 year-6 hour peak flow of 1.3 CFS will flow directly to the right-of-way of Cerros de Morado.

C. Table A shows the estimated runoff from lot 11A in the undeveloped and proposed condition. There is an increase of 1.3 CFS due to the construction on lot 11A. As discussed in paragraph B, above, this increase in flow is directed to the public right-of-way of Cerros de Morado. There is a decrease in flow through lot 10 from 1.1 CFS in the undeveloped condition, to 0.5 CFS in the developed condition. There is also a decrease in the contribution to peak flow from lot 11A for that flow which crosses lot 9A, due to the closed yard on the north of the

D. Flows which enter the public right-of-way flow to existing natural drainage channels which empty into Tijeras Arroyo. The flows from Cerros de Morado and from the arroyo crossing lot 10 enter Stagecoach Road, then flow onto Soplo Road, then onto Camino Cerrito, then, at the intersection with Ranch Trail, entering a sub-surface storm drain which empties into the Four Hills Arroyo near the intersection of Camino Cerrito and Caballero Drive. Overflow from the sub-surface storm drain would flow along the surface of Camino Cerrito, entering the Four Hills Arroyo through an existing surface channel. Flows that enter Stagecoach Road after crossing lot 9A continue north on Stagecoach Road to Sagebrush Trail, then west, splitting at the intersection with Stagecoach Trail. These flows continue along Sagebrush Trail and Stagecoach Trail, flowing the parking lot and portions of the Four Hills Country Club golf course and other lots, then entering the arroyos leading to Tijeras Arroyo. The preferred routing for the flows is the routing along Soplo Road and Camino Cerrito because this route is all public right-of-way. The proposed grading of lot 11A diverts the increased runoff onto the preferred routing.

CONCLUSIONS:

- A. The proposed construction is not within a designated 100 year floodplain.
- B. Construction as proposed will not increase the hazard from flooding to downstream properties.
- C. The proposed grading and construction will protect the property from reasonable changes in the flood plain as designated on the current floodway map.
- D. This Grading and Drainage Plan does not propose changes to the FLOODWAY or FIRM maps.



Floodplain information copied from FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), City of Albuquerque, Bernalillo County, New Mexico, Panel 386 of 825, effective date: September 20, 1996. ZONE X F ALBUQUERQUE 350002 SAGEBRUSH __ CERRITO COUNTY TED AREAS-ALBUQUERQUE LLO COUNTY ZONE X

| MARCH 23, 1999 | | | TNOMAT I MENT | FOIIR | HTLLS | VILLAGE, |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|---------|---------------|-------|-------|----------|
| MARCH 23, 1999 RUNOFF FOR LOT 11A, | BLOCK 13, | SEVENTH | INSTALLMENT, | 10010 | | |

| R | timate: Founoff Fact | ors | Basin of .9 UNDEVELOPED | 807 acre | s, (LOT 1 | 1A) · | PROPOSED | USE . | | |
|-------------|----------------------|--------------|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------|---------|----------------|-----------------|
| Land use | Peak | Total | Area | Percent | Peak Runoff | Total Runoff | Area | Percent | Peak Runoff | Total Runoff |
| | CFS/acre | inches | SF | | CFS 2.2 | CF 2848.0 | SF 11720 | 0.274 | CFS 0.6 | CF 781.3 |
| A | 2.20 2.92 | 0.80 1.08 | 42720 0 | 1.000 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16000 | 0.375 | 1.1 | 1440.0 0.0 |
| ВС | 3.73 5.25 | 1.46 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 15000 | 0.351 | 1.8 | 3300.0 |
| D TOWNER | 5.25 | 2.04 | 42720 | 1.000 | 2.2 | 2848.0 | 42720 | 1.000 | 3.5 | 5521.3 |
| TOTALS | | | 0.9807 | acre | | | 0.9807 | acre | | |

Runoff Estimate: For Undeveloped Basin of 1.3774 acres, BASIN B (Main drainage basin)

| | Runoff Fact Zone 4, 100 | ors | UNDEVELOPE | USE | | | PROPOSED | USE | | |
|---------------|----------------------------|--------|-----------------|---------|----------------|-----------------|----------|---------|----------------|-----------------|
| and use | _ , | Total | Area | Percent | Peak Runoff | Total Runoff | Area | Percent | Peak Runoff | Total Runoff |
| | | | SF | | CFS | CF | SF | | CFS | CF |
| | cfs/acre | inches | | 1.000 | 3.0 | 4000.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| A | 2.20 | 0.80 | 60000 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| В | 2.92 | 1.08 | 0 | | | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| c | 3.73 | 1.46 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.0 | | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| D | 5.25 | 2.64 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Ū | | | |
| | | | | 1.000 | 3.0 | 4000.0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| POTALS | | | 60000 1.3774 | | 3.7 | | 0.000 | acre | | |
| ABLE C | | | | | amaa B | ACTN R | | | | |

PROPOSED USE

PROPOSED USE

Runoff Estimate: For Undeveloped Basin of 1.3774 acres, BASIN B (Main drainage basin)

| | unoff Factone 4, 100 | | UNDEVELOPED | USE | | | PROPOSED | USE | | |
|------------------|--|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Land use | Peak | Total | Area | Percent | Peak Runoff | Total Runoff | Area | Percent | Peak Runoff CFS | Total Runoff CF |
| A B C D | CFS/acre 2.20 2.92 3.73 5.25 | inches 0.80 1.08 1.46 2.64 | SF 22500 0 0 | 1.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 | CFS 1.1 0.0 0.0 | CF 1500.0 0.0 0.0 | SF 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 0.0 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 |
| TOTALS | | | 22500 0.5165 | 1.000 acre | 1.1 | 1500.0 | 0.000 | | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Runoff Estimate: For On-site Basin of 0.3558 acres, BASIN D North portion of developed lot

| | Runoff Fact Zone 4, 100 | | UNDEVELOPEI | O USE | | | PROPOSED | | | |
|------------------|--|--|-----------------------|---------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Land use | Peak | Total | Area | Percent | Peak Runoff | Total Runoff | Area | Perceit | Peak Runoff | Total Runoff CF |
| A B C D | CFS/acre 2.20 2.92 3.73 5.25 | inches 0.80 1.08 1.46 2.64 | SF 15500 0 0 | 0.000 | CFS 0.8 0.0 0.0 | CF 1033.3 0.0 0.0 | SF 11500 4000 0 | 0.7:2 0.238 0.010 0.010 | CFS 0.6 0.3 0.0 | 766.7 360.0 0.0 |
| TOTALS | | | 15500 0.3558 | | 0.8 | 1033.3 | 15500 0.3558 | 1.0)0 acre | 0.8 | 1126.7 |

Runoff Estimate: For On-site Basin of .1331 acres, BASIN E Walled yard, patio and portion of house UNDEVELOPED USE Runoff Factors

| | Zone 4, 100 | YEAR | | | | | | | | |
|--------|-------------|--------|--------|---------|----------------|-----------------|--------|---------|-------------|-----------------|
| nd use | Peak | Total | Area | Percent | Peak Runoff | Total Runoff | Area | Percent | Peak Runoff | Total Runoff |
| | a=a /=a | inches | SF | | CFS | CF | SF | | CFS | CF |
| | cFs/acre | | 5800 | 1.000 | 0.3 | 386.7 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| A | 2.20 | 0.80 | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2800 | 0.483 | 0.2 | 252.0 |
| В | 2.92 | 1.08 | 0 | | | 0.0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| С | 3.73 | 1.46 | 0 | | 0.0 | | 3000 | 0.517 | 0.4 | 660.0 |
| D | 5.25 | 2.64 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3000 | ****** | | |
| | | | | 1.000 | 0.3 | 386.7 | 5800 | 1.000 | 0.5 | 912.0 |
| TALS | | | 5800 | | 0.5 | | 0.1331 | acre | | |
| | | | 0.1331 | acre | | | | | | |

PROPOSED USE

PROPOSED USE

Runoff Estimate: For On-site Basin of .1607 acres, BASIN F West side of lot and portion of house

| | unoff Facto one 4, 100 | | UNDEVELOPEL |) USE | | | | | | |
|---------|---------------------------|--------|-------------|---------|----------------|-----------------|-------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| and use | Peak | Total | Area | Percent | Peak Runoff | Total Runoff | Area | Percent | Peak Runoff | Total Runoff CF |
| | cFS/acre | inches | SF | | CFS | CF | SF | | CFS | 0.0 |
| _ | • | 0.80 | 7000 | 1.000 | 0.4 | 466.7 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.0 | |
| A | 2.20 | | 0 | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5600 | 0.800 | 0.4 | 504.0 |
| В | 2.92 | 1.08 | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| С | 3.73 | 1.46 | 0 | | | 0.0 | 1400 | 0.200 | 0.2 | 308.0 |
| D | 5.25 | 2.64 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | |
| OTALS | | | 7000 | | 0.4 | 466.7 | 7000 | 1.000 acre | 0.5 | 812.0 |
| | | | 0 1607 | acre | | | 0.200 | | | |

TABLE G in of 2024 acres BASTN G

| Runoff | Estimate | <pre>For On-site South part</pre> | Basin (| landscar | acies, BASIN e. driveway, | garage | and portion | of house |
|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------|-----------|---|--------|-------------|----------|
| | | South part | 01 100, | Tanasaar | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | , | PROPOSE | ED USE |
| | Runoff I | | UNDEVE | LOPED USI | \$ | | PROPOSI | 15 052 |
| | _ | | | | | | | |

0.1607 acre

| | unoff Fact one 4, 100 | | UNDEVELOPEI | USE | | | I KOI ODZD | | | |
|----------|--------------------------|---------|-------------|---------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| Land use | Peak | . Total | Area | Percent | Peak Runoff | Total Runoff | Area | Percent | Peak Runoff | Total Runoff CF |
| | cFS/acre | inches | SF | | CFS | CF | SF | | CFS | 0.0 |
| | • | | 12300 | 1.000 | 0.6 | 820.0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.0 | |
| A | 2.20 | 0.80 | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3500 | 0.285 | 0.2 | 315.0 |
| В | 2.92 | 1.08 | 0 | | | | 0 | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| С | 3.73 | 1.46 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 | _ | 0.715 | 1.1 | 1936.0 |
| D | 5.25 | 2.64 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8800 | 0.713 | | |
| TOTALS | | | 12300 | | 0.6 | 820.0 | 12300 0.2824 | 1.000 acre | 1.3 | 2251.0 |
| | | | 0.2824 | acre | | | 0.2024 | uozo | | |

- a. Runoff factors from Section 22.2, Hydrology, of the Development Process Manual, Volume 2, Design Criteria,
- City of Albuquerque, Bernalillo County and AMAFCA, January, 1993 b. Land use descriptions: A. Uncompacted soil, unlined arroyos
 - B. Lawn, shrubs, undeveloped 10%-29% slopes C. Compacted soil, undeveloped 20% or more slope
- D. Impervious areas c. Peak runoff = Area (acres) x factor (CFS/acre) = CFS
- d. Total runoff = Area (SF) x factor (inches) / 12 (inches /foot) = CF
- e. Peak and total runoff is based on 6 hour, 100 year frequency storm f. The current use is for the site in its natural state, or partially developed if off-site.
 - The undeveloped use is for the site in its natural state. The proposed use is for full development of the basin, under present zoning

REFERENCES:

- A. Bernalillo County Ordinance No. 90-6
- B. Section 22.2, Hydrology, of the Development Process Manual, Volume 2, Design Criteria, for the City of Albuquerque...Bernalillo County...AMAFCA, January 1993.
- C. Soil Survey of Bernalillo County and Parts of Sandoval and Valencia Counties, New Mexico, USDA-SCS.
- D. Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), City of Albuquerque, Bernalillo County, New Mexico, Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), Panel 386 of 825. Effective date: September 20, 1996, scale 1"=500'.
- E. Topographic Orthophoto Map, M-23, Albuquerque Metropolitan Arroyo Flood Control Authority, Bernalillo County, New Mexico. Scale
- F. Open-channel Hydraulics, Richard H. French, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1985.

NOTES (CONTINUED)

- P. All berms and earthwork supporting non-building structures must be compacted to 95% of maximum dry density (Modified Proctor Test) to depth of undisturbed earth. Buildings may require separate subsurface soils testing and preparation.
- Q. All cut and fill slopes and constructed drainage swales are to be provided with an erosion control surface by developer/owner. Coverings may be turf, rock, terraced with garden walls or timbers or similar according to the landscape plan. Erosion control is for protection and ease of maintenance. To prevent damage to downstream property, erosion control must be placed within six months of completion of the building, or one year from the issuing of the building permit. Erosion control may be provided by seeding with a native grass mixture as follows:

| Common name | Genus-species | Pounds/Acre |
|--|--|-------------|
| "Palma" Indian rice grass "Viva" Galleta grass | Oryzopis Hymenoides Hilaria Jasmesii | 2.0 |
| "Niner" Sideoates Gramma | Bouteloua curti Pedula | a 2.0 |

"Hatchita" Blue Gramma Bouteloua Gracilis

Sand dropseed (NM Region) Sporbolus Cryptandrus 1.0

Four-wing Saltbrush Atriplel Canesoens The seed will be spread on loose surface soil, raked or worked into the soil about one/half inch, and a straw mulch or a mulch mat placed over the seed to prevent erosion. The seeded area is to be watered daily until a turf is established. Areas which remain bare shall be reseeded, or alternative erosion control shall be used.

3.0

- R. Additional fill may be placed around the perimeter foundation wall. All wall materials below the finished exterior grade shall be approved for direct contact with the earth. All fill so placed shall have a minimum slope of 5% away from the building.
- S. Footings for foundation walls may be stepped. See architectual drawings for details on footings. The grading plan is based on use of a level pad for the lower (basement) level.

PRELIMINARY MARCH 25, 1999 MARVIN R. KORTUM, P.E. Civil Engineering NM PE 651. 1605 Speakman Drive, S.E. Albuquerque, New Mexico 87123 (505) 299-0774 GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN LOT 11A, BLOCK 13, FOUR HILLS VILLAGE 7TH INSTALL NOTES, MAPS PROJECT NO. SHEET OF